

## Reaction of detached fruits from selected cocoa clones to artificial inoculation with *Phytophthora palmivora*

### Reacción a la inoculación artificial con *Phytophthora palmivora* de frutos desprendidos de clones de cacao seleccionados

Leonora Rodríguez Polanco <sup>1,2</sup>, Martha Liliana Carrero Gutiérrez <sup>1,3</sup>, Edinson Bayardo Parra <sup>1,4</sup>, Jose Dimas Segura Amaya <sup>1,5</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria - AGROSAVIA. Colombia. <sup>2</sup>✉ [lrodriguezp@agrosavia.co](mailto:lrodriguezp@agrosavia.co), <sup>3</sup>✉ [mcarrero@agrosavia.co](mailto:mcarrero@agrosavia.co), <sup>4</sup>✉ [ebayardo@agrosavia.co](mailto:ebayardo@agrosavia.co), <sup>5</sup>✉ [jsegura@agrosavia.co](mailto:jsegura@agrosavia.co)



<https://doi.org/10.15446/acag.v71n2.88841>

2022 | 71-2 p 186-194 | ISSN 0120-2812 | e-ISSN 2323-0118 | Rec.: 2020-07-03 Acep.: 2022-12-14

### Abstract

Black pod rot (BPR), caused by several species of the genus *Phytophthora*, is one of the most limiting diseases for the production of cocoa as it appears in all of the producing regions of the world and generates significant losses. The aim of this study was to establish the response to this infection in six cocoa clones (EET8, IMC67, TSH565, PA46, ICS95, and CCN51), through an inoculation test on detached fruits using five *P. palmivora* isolates from five producing regions. The incidence and severity of the disease in the detached pods were evaluated at six and ten days after inoculation (DAI). Clone CCN51 was classified as susceptible, and clones IMC67 and PA46 as moderately susceptible at six DAI. All the clones evaluated were categorized as susceptible at ten DAI. The HURV19 isolates of *P. palmivora* showed the highest aggressiveness compared to ANYA228, which was found to be the least aggressive.

**Keywords:** black pod rot, *Theobroma cacao*, genetic resistance.

### Resumen

La podredumbre negra de la mazorca (BPR), causada por varias especies del género *Phytophthora*, es una de las enfermedades más limitantes para la producción de cacao ya que se presenta en todas las regiones productoras del mundo y genera importantes pérdidas. El objetivo de este estudio fue establecer la respuesta a esta infección en seis clones de cacao (EET8, IMC67, TSH565, PA46, ICS95 y CCN51), mediante una prueba de inoculación en frutos desprendidos utilizando cinco aislados de *P. palmivora* de cinco regiones productoras. Se evaluó la incidencia y severidad de la enfermedad en las vainas desprendidas a los seis y diez días después de la inoculación (DAI). El clon CCN51 se clasificó como susceptible y los clones IMC67 y PA46 como moderadamente susceptibles a los seis DAI. Todos los clones evaluados fueron categorizados como susceptibles a los diez DAI. Los aislamientos HURV19 de *P. palmivora* mostraron la mayor agresividad en comparación con ANYA228, que resultó ser el menos agresivo.

**Palabras clave:** pudrición negra de la mazorca, *Theobroma cacao*, resistencia genética

## Introduction

In Colombia, there are about 175,000 hectares cultivated with cacao trees that produce 60 535 t of cocoa annually (Portafolio, 2017). Losses caused by fungal diseases, especially by *Monilia* (*Moniliophthora roreri* [Cif.]), are over 40 % (Rodríguez, 2006; Tirado-Gallego *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, the black pod rot (BPR) of cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.), caused by several species of *Phytophthora*, is one of the most limiting diseases for the production of cocoa and is present in all the cacao-producing regions worldwide (Cilas & Despreaux, 2004). Different species of this oomycete have been reported to cause significant losses, e.g. *Phytophthora capsici* Leonian can cause yield losses of up to 10 %, *Phytophthora palmivora* Butler can be responsible for up to 30 % of the losses (Tahi *et al.*, 2006), while *Phytophthora megakarya* Brasier and Griffin can cause up to 80 % of the losses (Mfegue, 2012). Therefore, *P. megakarya*, which is endemic to Africa, is considered the most aggressive *Phytophthora* species that causes BPR on cacao plants throughout the world (Tahi *et al.*, 2006). *P. palmivora* is currently present in all continents where cacao is produced, contrary to *P. capsici* that has only been found in the Americas (Bowers *et al.*, 2001). These two species can attack many other tropical plants; however, the only known host for *P. megakarya* is cacao. In Colombia, only *P. palmivora* has been reported as the causal agent of BPR disease in cacao (Rodríguez & Vera, 2015).

Promotion of cocoa crops in the country started in the year 2000, leading to an increase of newly planted areas at altitudes above 800 meters above the sea level (m.a.s.l.) where lower temperatures prevail, favoring the development of the BPR disease. With these favorable edaphoclimatic conditions, BPR exceeds in importance and economic losses diseases caused by *Monilia*, and positions *P. palmivora* as the main pathogen for cocoa production in these areas (Rodríguez & Vera, 2015). This situation, together with an increase in the use of the cacao genotype CCN51, which is highly susceptible to BPR when establishing new plantations, increased production losses up to 25 % caused by *P. palmivora*, while *Monilia* (*Moniliophthora roreli* [Cif.]) only caused up to 4.2 % of the losses (Ramírez, 2016; Rodríguez & Vera, 2015).

Traditionally, BPR management strategies have been based mainly on cultural practices, such as phytosanitary pruning, and early elimination of diseased fruits. These are efficient and potential control methods that seem to be less expensive and more environmentally sustainable compared to the application of chemical products (Djocgoue *et al.*, 2006; Ndoumbe-Nkeng *et al.*, 2004). Although the use of copper-based fungicides has been effective in reducing the level of infection by *Phytophthora*, the high costs of these products make them in many cases inaccessible for small farmers, who produce more

than 50 % of the cocoa around the world (de Oliveira & Newman, 2005). Furthermore, biological control is another alternative explored (Deberdt *et al.*, 2008).

Due to the considerable decrease in crop yield caused by the attack of different species of *Phytophthora*, genetic resistance is considered the most economical and effective alternative for the control of *Phytophthora* sp. (Surujdeo-Maharaj, Umaharan, & Iwaro, 2001). The programs of genetic improvement of cocoa are commonly oriented to get cultivars resistant to diseases that allow better crop quality and productivity (Dias, 2001; Phillips-Mora, Arciniegas-Leal, Mata-Quirós, & Motomayor-Arias, 2012). Different methods for assessing the degree of genetic resistance in cocoa planting materials include observation of yield in the field under natural infection conditions; likewise, artificial inoculation in fruits and leaves has been tested and adopted (Blaha, 1974; Iwaro & Sreenivasan, 1997; Nyassé, Cilas, Herail, & Blaha, 1995; Saul-Maora, Namaliu, Cilas, & Blaha, 2003).

The reliability of artificial inoculation tests depends on their correlation with the resistance rates observed in the field (Amponsah & Asare-Nyako, 1973; Nyassé, 1997). Therefore, a significant and positive correlation between the results of artificial inoculation of fruits and the total incidence of BPR in the field (including ripe and immature fruits) indicates that artificial inoculation of attached and detached fruits is a reliable method to evaluate the resistance to the BPR disease (Pokou *et al.*, 2008).

However, correlations with field resistance have not always been significant, suggesting the influence of uncontrolled environmental factors affecting field observations or results in screening tests (Efombagn, Bieysse, Nyassé, & Eskes, 2011). These authors concluded that, if leaf disc and detached pod tests are applied under standardized conditions, these can be of great value to speed up the selection of cacao clones resistant to BPR.

Studies on the genetic control of cocoa resistance to three species of *Phytophthora* (*P. palmivora*, *P. megakarya*, and *P. capsici*) have shown quantitative trait loci (QTL) located in many genomic regions (Flament *et al.*, 2001; Lanaud *et al.*, 2009), with some of these being common to the three *Phytophthora* species. This situation offers the possibility of improving resistance in cocoa by a possible accumulation of many different resistance genes located in different chromosome regions using marker-assisted selection. Nyadanu *et al.* (2012) reported that insoluble sugar, flavonoid, tannin, and lignin were the most reliable biochemical factors that can be used as marker traits to screen and select to improve the resistance to the BPR disease of cocoa. The expression of resistance in the host is observed as a decrease in the rate of disease development since one or more stages are delayed or completely blocked. Each resistance

factor that plays a role in a step of the life cycle of the pathogen may be considered as a horizontal resistance component (Vanderplank, 1966).

According to what was mentioned above, the aim of this study was to establish the response of detached fruits from six cocoa clones to the artificial inoculation with five isolates of *P. palmivora*, and to discuss the degree of resistance in the materials evaluated.

## Materials and methods

Two artificial inoculation experiments on cacao fruits were carried out in the Laboratory of Phytopathology at the Nataima Research Center (C.I. Nataima) of the Colombian Agriculture Research Corporation (AGROSAVIA), located in the municipality of Espinal, Tolima, Colombia. The center is located in the following geographic coordinates: 40° 12' Latitude N, and 74° 56' Longitude W, at an altitude of 430 m.a.s.l. The laboratory had an average temperature of 22 °C and a relative humidity of 70 %.

### Phytophthora palmivora isolates

Five isolates of *P. palmivora* were selected from the C.I. Nataima collection, obtained from different cacao producing regions of Colombia (Table 1). The isolates used were selected for showing the highest levels of aggressiveness in screening tests, previously performed on detached fruits of the clone IMC67.

Isolates were previously characterized by colony morphology (texture, aerial development of mycelia and color), and biometric characteristics of sporangia and chlamydospores (length, width, and length/width ratio of sporangia, diameter of chlamydospores, length of the pedicel and presence or absence of papilla and chlamydospores, and sporangia dehiscence). The identification of the isolates was supported using molecular markers (ITS4, ITS6, and COX). Isolates were conserved in Eppendorf tubes with sterile distilled water at 10° C. These were reactivated on cacao fruits of the cacao clone IMC67 to minimize any epigenetic influence on the virulence of the pathogen. Besides, the environment in which the experiments were conducted was maintained constant with a relative humidity of 90 % and a temperature of 28° C to standardize the environmental influence on the virulence of the pathogen.

### Plant material

Healthy fruits were obtained from commercial cacao plantations located in Palocabildo, department of Tolima, Colombia, where two-month-old fruits were bagged to avoid infection in the field. Once fruits reached an age of 4.5 months, they were cut and taken to the laboratory for artificial inoculation with *P. palmivora*. Cacao fruits of the clones CCN51,

ICS95, EET8, TSH565, PA46, and IMC67 were used. The cacao clones selected for this study represent the two major types of cacao planted in most cacao-growing regions of Colombia, i.e., PA46 (cluster marañon-PA series) and IMC67 (cluster Iquitos-IMC series) (Motamayor *et al.*, 2008), and Trinitarian (CCN51, ICS95, EET8, and TSH565). Clone PA46 was used as a resistant control (R) and clone CCN51 as a susceptible control (S) (Iwaro, Bekele, & Butler, 2003; Phillips-Mora *et al.*, 2012).

### Inoculation of fruits and qualification of resistance reaction

Ten fruits of approximately 4.5 months old from each of the clones selected were inoculated with a suspension of  $1.5 \times 10^5$  zoospores mL<sup>-1</sup> from Petri dishes with 12-day-old cultures with each of the isolates selected, impregnated in two discs of filter paper of 0.5 cm diameter, and placed at equidistant points in the equatorial zone of the cacao pod. Fruits inoculated with sterile distilled water corresponded to the absolute control treatment (Phillips-Mora *et al.*, 2012; Rodríguez & Vera, 2015). Subsequently, the fruits were incubated individually in a humid chamber (airtight plastic bag with a paper towel moistened with sterile distilled water) at 28 °C. Subsequently, six and ten days after inoculation (DAI), the incidence, i.e., the presence or absence of injuries, and the severity, measured as the average lesion diameter (ALD) obtained from two perpendicular sides of the pod, were evaluated. With the ALD, the response to the infection in each clone was made, and the degree of genetic resistance was graded according to the scales published by Phillips-Mora & Galindo (1989) (Table 2). The level of aggressiveness of the isolates was also established according to the diameter of the lesion in the clones evaluated.

### Expression and development period of BPR symptoms in cacao

The periods of symptom expression of *P. palmivora* were identified in an artificial inoculation experiment established in the field of an experimental plot in La Isla (C.I. La Suiza, department of Santander, Colombia). Growing cacao fruits of approximately 1.5 months selected from the clones ICS39, ICS40, ICS60, and ICS95 were bagged in order to guarantee their health until they reached an age of approximately 4.5 months. Subsequently, 10 fruits of each of the clones were artificially inoculated with a suspension of  $1.5 \times 10^5$  zoospores mL<sup>-1</sup>, as described above. The absolute control consisted of inoculation with sterile distilled water. Observations were made every 24 hours from the moment inoculation was performed until the time of symptom onset was recorded. Then, these were categorized according to their development as necrotic points (first symptoms), evident spots ( $\geq 2$  cm in diameter), presence of mycelium (white

**Table 1.** *Phytophthora palmivora* isolates collected from different cacao producing regions and materials of Colombia in 2014.

Code	Origin	Host clone	masl	Sequence identity (ITS) GenBank		
				Species	%	Accession No.
TOVR 01	Tolima	TSH 565	1.111	<i>P. palmivora</i>	99	KF263691.1
HURV 19	Huila	TSH 565	734	<i>P. palmivora</i>	100	M650992.1
SARIO 189	Santander	ICS95	1.199	<i>P. palmivora</i>	99	LM650992.1
ANYA 228	Antioquia	Hibrido	908	<i>P. palmivora</i>	100	LM650992.1
ARAR 153	Arauca	CCN51	166	<i>P. palmivora</i>	99	KF263691.1

Note. <sup>(a)</sup> masl: meters above sea level

**Table 2.** Reaction scale to BPR disease based on mean diameter of lesion (cm) caused by *P. palmivora* in cacao fruits (Phillips-Mora & Galindo, 1989).

Reaction	Mean diameter of the lesion (cm) <sup>(a)</sup>	
	6 days	10 days
Resistant (R)	0-2	0-3
Moderately resistant (MR)	2.1-4	3.1-6
Moderately susceptible (MS)	4.1-6	6.1-9
Susceptible (S)	>6	>12

Note. <sup>(a)</sup> Mean diameter of cacao pod lesion calculated from length and width measurements.

mycelium), and sporulation (formation of sporangia). In this trial, the *P. palmivora* isolate SARIO189 was used due to its high level of aggressiveness that was previously established.

## Statistical design and analysis

**Fruit inoculation and symptom observation:** Two independent experiments were carried out in a completely randomized design (CRD) with six clones and five isolates to evaluate the average lesion diameter (ALD), with ten repetitions per treatment. Data from ADL of the two experiments were combined after establishing the homogeneity of the variance using Cochran's Q test (Gomez & Gomez, 1984), the normality of the residuals employing Shapiro-Wilk's test, and the homogeneity of the variances using Levene's test. ALD data were subjected to a two-way variance analysis with clone and isolate as factors, to assess the significance of the treatment effect and the interaction between treatments; finally, the means were compared through the t-test ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) using the software Infostat (di Rienzo *et al.*, 2012).

**Periods of expression and development of BPR symptoms:** This experiment was carried out using a completely randomized design with ten repetitions per treatment. The data were assessed using an analysis of variance (ANOVA). The normality of the residuals and the homogeneity of the variances were verified employing the tests Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's, respectively. ALD data were subjected to an analysis of variance, and the treatment means were compared through the t-test ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) using the software InfoStat (di Rienzo *et al.*, 2012).

## Results

### Fruit inoculation, resistance evaluation and virulence reaction of isolates

The analysis of variance of ADL was statistically significant ( $p \leq 0.001$ ), influenced by the cacao genotypes and the *P. palmivora* isolates. Host genotype x isolate interaction effects were, however, not significant ( $p \leq 0.005$ ) (Table 3).

The results of artificial inoculation in detached cacao pods showed significant differences ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the lesion size for the clones evaluated at 6 DAI (Table 4). Clone CCN51 showed the highest significant value in lesion size and was superior in 46.08 % and 46.39 % compared to the clones IMC 67 and PA 46, respectively. Clone CCN51 was classified as susceptible (S), and clones PA 46 and IMC 67 were classified as moderately susceptible (MS). Clones ICS95, EET8, and TSH565 did not show significant differences between them and were classified as susceptible (S) according to their response to infection. In all clones, incidence reached a value of 100 % (Table 4).

The results of the analysis of variance of artificial inoculation in detached cacao pods indicated significant statistical differences ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the

**Table 3.** Analysis of variance of severity levels in six cocoa clones (CCN 51, ICS 95, EET 8, TSH 565, IMC 67 and PA 46), six and ten days after inoculation (DAI) with five isolates (ANYA 228, SARIO 189, ARAR 153, TOVR 01 and HURV 19) of *Phytophthora palmivora*.

Days after inoculation	Sources of variation	df	SS	MS	F (p-values)
Six	Clones	5	476.76	79.46	12.12 (<0.0001)
	Isolates	4	66.48	33.24	5.07 (0.0091)
	Clones x isolates	20	113.55	9.46	1.44 (0.1705)
	Error	180			
Ten	Clones	5	1004.19	167.36	11.87 (<0.0001)
	Isolates	4	117.02	58.51	4.15 (0.0072)
	Clones x isolates	20	332.65	27.72	1.97 (0.1201)
	Error	180			



size of the lesion for clones evaluated at 10 DAI (Table 4). Clones CCN51, ICS95, and EET8 showed the highest values with 21.86 cm, 19.29 cm, and 19.25 cm, respectively, and were statistically similar between them ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). The lowest values for lesion size corresponded to the clones TSH565, IMC67, and PA46, with average values of 15.30 cm, 13.95 cm, and 13.25 cm, respectively. All clones tested reached values higher than 12 cm in lesion size, so they were classified as susceptible (S) (Phillips-Mora *et al.*, 2012).

### Aggressiveness of *P. palmivora* isolates

The aggressiveness variability of *P. palmivora* isolates was established as the average size of the lesion measured in two perpendicular directions of the cacao pod in five clones evaluated at six DAI. Significant differences ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) were found among the five isolates used (Table 5). The most aggressive isolate corresponded to HURV19 with a value of 13.79 cm and was 45.98 % higher compared to ANYA228, which exhibited the lowest aggressiveness amongst all isolates evaluated. Isolates SARIO189 and ARAR153 did not show significant differences between them, with lesion size values of 10.84 and 12.11 cm, respectively, showing an intermediate behavior in aggressiveness (Table 5).

### Periods of expression and development of *P. palmivora* symptoms

Symptom development indicated that, on average, the clones inoculated showed the first symptoms (necrotic points) in the inoculation zone at 2.7 DAI. Necrotic spots appeared at 5.2 DAI and increased in size as the infection process in the cortex tissue progressed until the appearance of mycelia at 7.1 DAI. Finally, the formation of sporangia occurred at 8.8 DAI (Table 6). Clone ICS95 had the pathogen isolate

with the shortest periods of symptom expression: on average, it lasted 8 days until sporulation. The opposite behavior was observed in clone ICS39, where *P. palmivora* required 9.6 DAI for the formation of reproductive structures. In all inoculated clones, the incidence of *P. palmivora* was 100 % at 6 DAI.

## Discussion

Disease symptoms were observed in cacao pods of all genotypes inoculated with *P. palmivora* at 6 DAI, showing that none of them was immune to the pathogen. This indicates the existence of variation in the reaction of the evaluated plant material, suggesting that, in this pathosystem, there is a resistance gradient, quantitative resistance, or that it is a non-specific race, as has been indicated in previous studies (Blaha, 1974; Legavre *et al.*, 2015; Surujdeo-Maharaj *et al.*, 2001). This type of resistance is controlled by many genes that interact delaying the development of the pathogen, and its multigene character makes it more challenging to overcome (Eulgem, 2005).

This study showed a different response to infection in the clones, which can provide evidence of the existence of quantitative resistance or a non-specific race of *P. palmivora* in cocoa. Symptoms of the disease were observed in all genotypes inoculated with each of the five isolates of *P. palmivora* collected in geographically distant cacao-producing regions in the country. These experiments indicate that resistance is expressed with similar patterns, although with different values when pods are inoculated with each of the five isolates of *P. palmivora*.

The lesion size values obtained showed significant differences ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), confirming the differentiated response of each cacao genotype to infection by *P. palmivora* (Table 3). A broad genetic variation resistant to BPR has been reported in cacao by Iwaro *et al.*

**Table 4.** Reaction to BPR disease in detached fruits of six cocoa clones, six and ten days after artificial inoculation to *Phytophthora palmivora* (isolates ANYA 228, SARIO 189, ARAR 153, TOVRO1, HURV19). C.I. Nataima, Espinal, Tolima, Colombia.

Clone	6 DAI			10 DAI		
	Mean diameter of lesion (cm) <sup>[a]</sup>	Reaction of resistance/ Susceptibility <sup>[b]</sup>	Incidence (%)	Mean diameter of lesion (cm) <sup>[a]</sup>	Reaction of resistance/ susceptibility <sup>[b]</sup>	Incidence (%)
CCN 51	9.83 A	S	100	21.86 A	S	100
ICS 95	8.18 AB	S	100	19.29 A	S	100
EET 8	7.36 BC	S	100	19.25 A	S	100
TSH 565	6.67 BC	S	100	15.30 B	S	100
IMC 67	5.30 C	MS	100	13.95 B	S	100
PA 46	5.27 C	MS	100	13.25 B	S	100

**Note.** <sup>[a]</sup> Different letters in the same column represent significant differences identified by the Tukey test ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).

<sup>[b]</sup> S: susceptible, MS: moderately susceptible.

**Table 5.** Virulence of selected isolates of *P. palmivora* in detached fruits of five cocoa clones (CCN51, ICS95, EET8, TSH 565, IMC 67, PA 46). C.I. Nataima, Espinal, Tolima, Colombia.

Code of isolate	Department of collection	Mean diameter of lesion (cm) <sup>[a]</sup>
ANYA 228	Antioquia	7.45 A
SARIO 189	Santander	10.84 B
ARAR 153	Arauca	12.11 B
TOVRO1	Tolima	12.6 C
HURV19	Huila	13.79 C

**Note.** <sup>[a]</sup> Different letters in the same column represent significant differences identified by the Tukey test ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Means were calculated from 10 independent measurements for each pod in five clones, six days after inoculation (DAI).

**Table 6.** Average number of days for the development of symptoms and signs in detached cacao fruits artificially inoculated with *Phytophthora palmivora* under field conditions (C.I. La Suiza, Rionegro, Santander, Colombia).

* Clone	First symptoms (necrotic points)	Obvious spots ( $\pm 2$ cm)	Appearance of mycelium	Sporulation
ICS 39	3.6	6.2	8	9.6
ICS 40	1.9	4.9	6.9	9.1
ICS 60	2.6	5.1	7.1	8.7
ICS 95	2.6	4.7	6.2	8.0
Mean	2.7	5.2	7.1	8.8

**Note.** \* 10 fruits per each clone. *P. palmivora* SARIO189 isolate.

(1997), Nyadanu *et al.* (2010), and Tahiri *et al.* (2006). Previous studies indicated that six days of incubation are not enough to accurately separate resistance from susceptible genotypes, whereas, after ten days, the lesion size (LS) observed in each fruit tends to stabilize (Phillips-Mora & Galindo, 1989).

The melon-shaped genotype PA46 showed the smallest lesion size, and this agrees with the fact that resistance to BPR has been found predominantly associated with high Amazonian Forastero genotypes (Bartley, 2005; Kébé *et al.* 1999). Among these, SCA6, PA150, and P7 have shown lower susceptibility to the pathogen, and some lower Amazonian Forasteros (*amelonado* or melon-shaped) are included in this group. In general, Trinitarian materials are considered more susceptible to the BPR disease (Paulin *et al.*, 2008), as it was confirmed with the genotypes evaluated in this study, with the Trinitarian genotype CCN51 exhibiting the highest lesion size values, followed by ICS95, EET8, and TSH565 (Table 4). This observation agrees with previous research reports, where this material has been classified as highly susceptible in several regions of Colombia (Phillips-Mora & Galindo, 1989; Rodríguez & Vera, 2015; Ramirez, 2016). Iwaro & Sreenivasan (1997) estimated that 90 % of the known commercial cacao genotypes are susceptible to this disease. In fact,

clone CCN51 may be used as a control in tests that involve the evaluation of resistance to black pod rot in cacao genotypes (Lisboa, Cerqueira-Silva, Clement, & Newman, 2011).

As mentioned, genotype ICS95 was the most susceptible after CCN51. Moreover, the values obtained coincided with the observation of the life cycle of *P. palmivora* in the field, where this genotype showed the lowest duration value for the infection stages, with 1.6 days less compared to genotype ICS39, which showed the most extended infection period.

A decrease in the life cycle of the pathogen may indicate the expression of some level of resistance, as one or more stages are delayed or completely blocked. Each of several factors that reflect specific affectation of a step in the life cycle of a pathogen may be considered as a component of horizontal resistance (Vanderplank, 1966). Horizontal or quantitative resistance is based on four fundamental actions: resistance to penetration, resistance to pathogen growth, resistance to sporulation, and resistance to lesion growth (Vander Plank, 1982).

This study identified a significant variation ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the aggressiveness of *P. palmivora* isolates in Colombia, with a difference of 45.98 % in the ALD between the more aggressive (HURV19) and the less aggressive (ANYA228) isolates. Under semi-controlled experimental conditions in which this study was conducted, this variation may be predominantly attributed to genetic causes. According to Agrios (2004), the development of the disease is a function of the host, the pathogen, and the environment. In the current study, the selection of fruits was performed in the same development stage, and plants were grown under similar environmental conditions, minimizing the influence of environmental factors. The fact that there was no interaction between the isolate and the cacao genotype is vital in the generation of quantitative resistance to *P. palmivora*. In this sense, this characteristic allows the identification of different levels of resistance in cacao genotypes using any isolate. However, considering that pathogen population may be highly variable in aggressiveness, as observed in this work, Lessa *et al.* (2020) emphatically use a mixture of isolates that can reflect the variability of the population and, therefore, the identification of more significant levels of resistance against this pathogen.

Furthermore, if the resistance level present in a genotype is consistent in all the evaluations with several isolates in different regions of the world, the varieties created with this resistance to *P. palmivora* in one location would also express resistance in another locations (Surujdeo-Maharaj *et al.*, 2001). Indeed, some similarities have been observed in the classification of cacao genotypes regarding the resistance to *P. palmivora* and *P. megakarya* in Cameroon, Ivory Coast,

and Togo; where the Trinitario parents were generally more susceptible to the disease, lower Amazon (LA) and some upper Amazon (UA) *amelonado* type parents, such as Sca 6, P 7, Pa 150 or T85/799, should help develop less susceptible cultivars (Nyassé *et al.*, 2007). A significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) was also observed among clones for pod resistance to pathogen invasion based on lesion size. Relatively smaller lesions were recorded for SCA 6 and ICS 95. Lesion sizes for ICS 6, ICS 40, ICS 84, SCA 12, and PA 46 were moderate, whereas relatively large lesions were produced on other clones (Iwaro & Sreenivasan, 1997).

Development and refining of the artificial inoculation method of detached cacao fruits for the identification and characterization of the level of resistance to black pod rot has shown respectability and reliability, finding a positive correlation between the data obtained with the test on detached pods and natural infection in the field (Iwaro & Sreenivasan, 1997; Kébé *et al.*, 1999). Early resistance detection methods using detached leaves and pod segments have correlated well with observations of incidence and severity of BPR disease in the field, so they are currently used to evaluate segregating progenies to detect and discard highly susceptible materials (Iwaro & Sreenivasan, 1997; Iwaro, Thévenin, Butler, & Eskes, 2005).

The use of these methods allows a rapid and early evaluation of resistance levels of a large number of materials. The percentage of mature fruits infected in the field is determined not only by the resistance of the fruit to the infection, but also by escape phenomena, such as the fructification cycle (production outside the main epidemic period), and fructification intensity (Cilas & Despréaux, 2004). The fructification cycle usually explains about 43 % of the variation in infection level (Kébé *et al.*, 1999), and the use of an artificial inoculation technique in detached fruits may allow reducing this difficulty and reflect variation in the genetic resistance of cacao tissue to infection, as the main parameter.

The existence of polygenic or quantitative resistance to different species of *Phytophthora* in cacao indicates that this can be used for genetic improvement by recurrent selection processes (Nyassé *et al.*, 2007), where the search for resistance to *Phytophthora* sp. should be expanded. This expansion should include selected genotypes in regions with high disease pressure and early detection of resistant progenies using artificial inoculation methods. Recent molecular studies on the genetic regulation of resistance in *Theobroma cacao* to three species of *Phytophthora* (*P. palmivora*, *P. megakarya*, and *P. capsici*) have shown that QTL are located in many genomic regions (Flament *et al.*, 2001; Lanaud *et al.*, 2009), and some of them are common to all three *Phytophthora* species.

This situation offers the possibility of improving resistance to *Phytophthora* in cacao through a possible accumulation of many diverse resistance genes located in different chromosomal regions through the marker-assisted selection and rapid selection methods (Legavre *et al.*, 2015).

## ConclusiONS

The use of a method for early detection of resistance allowed identifying PA 46 as a tolerant clone to the BPR disease; this suggests the possibility of selecting genotypes with durable resistance to the pathogen, allowing the use of these materials in breeding programs in search of resistance to *Phytophthora* sp.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Ministerio de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural (Agriculture and Rural Development Ministry) (MADR) of Colombia for funding this research. The information used in this article is part of the project “Study of the genetic diversity, virulence and defense mechanisms in the *Phytophthora* sp.-cacao ecosystem” finalized in 2015, and implemented by the Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (Colombian Agriculture Research Corporation) - AGROSAVIA.

## REFERENCES

- Agrios, G. N. (2004). Plant pathology. *Academic Press*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-02037-6>
- Amponsah, J. D. and Asare-Nyako, A. (1973). Glasshouse method for screening seedlings of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) for resistance to black pod caused by *Phytophthora palmivora* (Butl.) Butl. *Tropical Agriculture*, 50, 143–152. <https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201303247633>
- Bartley, B. G. D. (2005). The genetic diversity of cacao and its utilization. *CABI Publishing*. <https://www.cabi.org/cabebooks/ebook/20053073504>
- Blaha, G. 1974. Methods of testing for resistance. In: P.H. Gregory (Ed.), *Phytophthora Disease of Cocoa*, Legavre (pp. 259–268). London.
- Bowers, J. H.; Bailey, B. A.; Hebbar, P. K.; Sanogo S. and Lumsden, R. D. (2001). The impact of plant diseases on world chocolate production. *Plant Health Progress*, 2(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHP-2001-0709-01-RV>
- Cilas, C. and Despréaux, D. (2004). Improvement of cocoa tree resistance to *Phytophthora* diseases. *Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIRAD)*. <https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=XF2016028268>
- De Oliveira, M. and Newman, E. (2005). Identificação e manejo das principais doenças do cacaueteiro no Brasil. *CEPLAC/CEPEC/SEFIT*. [http://nbcgib.uesc.br/cicacau/arquivos/producao\\_tecnico\\_cientifica/\[1\]livrofito.pdf](http://nbcgib.uesc.br/cicacau/arquivos/producao_tecnico_cientifica/[1]livrofito.pdf).
- Deberdt, P.; Mfegue, C. V.; Tondje, P. R.; Bon, M. C.; Ducamp, M.; Hurard, C.; Begoude B. A. D.; Ndoumbe-Nkeng M.; Hebbar P. K. and Cilas, C. (2008). Impact of environmental factors,



- chemical fungicide and biological control on cacao pod production dynamics and black pod disease (*Phytophthora megakarya*) in Cameroon. *Biological Control*, 44(2), 149–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2007.10.026>
- Di Rienzo, J. A.; Macciavelli, R. E. and Casanoves, F. (2012). Modelos lineales mixtos: aplicaciones en InfoStat. *Grupo Infostat*. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283491350\\_Modelos\\_lineales\\_mixtos\\_aplicaciones\\_en\\_InfoStat](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283491350_Modelos_lineales_mixtos_aplicaciones_en_InfoStat)
- Dias, L. (2001). Origem e dispersão de *Theobroma cacao* L.: um novo cenário. In L. Dias (Ed.), *Melhoramento genético do cacauero*. Funape.
- Djougoue, P. F.; Boudjeko, T.; Nankeu, D. J.; Efombagn, M. I. B.; Nyasse, S. and Omokolo, D. N. (2006). Comparative assessment of the resistance of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) Progenies from SNK10 x SNK413; ICS84 x ICS95 to *Phytophthora megakarya* in Cameroon by Measuring Size of Necrotic Lesion along the Midrib. *Plant Pathology Journal*, 5(3), 329–335. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/46033429\\_Comparative\\_Assessment\\_of\\_the\\_Resistance\\_of\\_Cocoa\\_Theobroma\\_cacao\\_L\\_Progenies\\_from\\_SNK10\\_x\\_SNK413\\_IC84\\_x\\_IC95\\_to\\_Phytophthora\\_megakarya\\_in\\_Cameroon\\_by\\_Measuring\\_Size\\_of\\_Necrotic\\_Lesion\\_along\\_the\\_Mid](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/46033429_Comparative_Assessment_of_the_Resistance_of_Cocoa_Theobroma_cacao_L_Progenies_from_SNK10_x_SNK413_IC84_x_IC95_to_Phytophthora_megakarya_in_Cameroon_by_Measuring_Size_of_Necrotic_Lesion_along_the_Mid)
- Efombagn, M. I. B.; Biéyasse, D.; Nyassé, S. and Eskes, A. B. (2011). Selection for resistance to *Phytophthora* pod rot of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) in Cameroon: Repeatability and reliability of screening tests and field observations. *Crop Protection*, 30(2), 105–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2010.10.012>
- Eulgem, T. (2005). Regulation of the arabidopsis defense transcriptome. *Trends in Plant Science*, 10(2), 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TPLANTS.2004.12.006>
- Flament, M. H.; Kebe, I.; Clément, D.; Pieretti, I.; Risterucci, A. M.; N'Goran, J. A.; Cilas, C.; Despréaux, D. and Lanaud, C. (2001). Genetic mapping of resistance factors to *Phytophthora palmivora* in cocoa. *Genome*, 44(1), 79–85. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/12064440\\_Genetic\\_mapping\\_of\\_resistance\\_factors\\_to\\_Phytophthora\\_palmivora\\_in\\_cocoa/link/00b7d52d2b0bb68e04000000/download](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/12064440_Genetic_mapping_of_resistance_factors_to_Phytophthora_palmivora_in_cocoa/link/00b7d52d2b0bb68e04000000/download)
- Gomez, K. and Gomez, A. (1984). *Statistical procedures for agricultural research* (2nd edition). Washington D.C.: John Wiley & Sons. [https://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf\\_docs/PNAAR208.pdf](https://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/PNAAR208.pdf)
- Iwano, A. D.; Bekele, F. L. and Butler, D. R. (2003). Evaluation and utilisation of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) germplasm at the International Cocoa Genebank, Trinidad. *Euphytica*, 130(2), 207–221. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022855131534>
- Iwano, A. D.; Sreenivasan, T. N. and Umaharan P. (1997). Foliar resistance to *Phytophthora palmivora* as an indicator of pod resistance in *Theobroma cacao*. *Plant Disease*, 81(6), 619–624. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.1997.81.6.619>
- Iwano, A. D.; Sreenivasan, T. N. and Umaharan, P. (1997). *Phytophthora* resistance in cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*): Influence of pod morphological characteristics. *Plant Pathology*, 46(4), 557–565. <https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1365-3059.1997.D01-47.X>
- Iwano, A. D.; Thévenin, J. M.; Butler, D. R. and Eskes, A. B. (2005). Usefulness of the detached pod test for assessment of cocoa resistance to *Phytophthora* pod rot. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 113(2), 173–182. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-005-2929-6>
- Kébé, B. I.; N'Goran, J. A. K.; Tahi, G. M.; Paulin, D.; Clément, D. and Eskes, A. B. (1999). Pathology and breeding for resistance to black pod in Côte d'Ivoire. In B. Frances; E. Michelle and E. Albertus (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International Workshop on the Contribution of Disease Resistance to Cocoa Variety Improvement* (pp. 135–139). INGENIC. <https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=FR2019132926>
- Lanaud, C.; Fouet, O.; Clément, D.; Boccara, M.; Risterucci, A. M.; Surujdeo-Maharaj, S.; Legavre T. and Argout, X. (2009). A meta-QTL analysis of disease resistance traits of *Theobroma cacao* L. *Molecular Breeding*, 24(4), 361–374. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-009-9297-4>
- Legavre, T.; Ducamp, M.; Sabau, X.; Argout, X.; Fouet, O.; Dedieu, F.; Surujdeo-Maharaj S.; Garcia D.; Paulin D. and Lanaud, C. (2015). Identification of *Theobroma cacao* genes differentially expressed during *Phytophthora megakarya* infection. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 92, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PMPP.2015.08.005>
- Lessa, I. Z. V.; Luz, E. D. M. N.; Magalhães, D. M. A.; Bezerra, J. L. and Pires, J. L. (2020). Morfologia e agressividade de isolados de *Phytophthora palmivora* de cacauero no estado da Bahia. *Summa Phytopathologica*, 46(3), 228–235. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-5405/222473>
- Lisboa, E.; Cerqueira-Silva, C.; Clément, D. and Newman, E. (2011). Resistance gradient of black pod disease in cocoa and selection by leaf disk assay. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology*, 11(4), 297–303. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1984-70332011000400002>
- Mfegue, C. V. (2012). Origine et mécanismes de dispersion des populations de *Phytophthora megakarya*, pathogène du cacauero au Cameroun. *Centre International D'études Supérieures en Sciences Agronomiques*. <https://agritrop.cirad.fr/567532/>
- Motamayor, J. C.; Lachenaud, P.; da Silva e Mota, J. W.; Loor, R.; Kuhn, D. N.; Brown, J. S. and Schnell, R. J. (2008). Geographic and genetic population differentiation of the Amazonian chocolate tree (*Theobroma cacao* L.). *PLOS ONE*, 3(10), e3311. <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0003311>
- Ndoumbe-Nkeng, M.; Cilas, C.; Nyemb, E.; Nyasse, S.; Biéyasse, D.; Flori, A. and Sache, I. (2004). Impact of removing diseased pods on cocoa black pod caused by *Phytophthora megakarya* and on cocoa production in Cameroon. *Crop Protection*, 23(5), 415–424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2003.09.010>
- Nyadanu, D.; Akromah, R.; Adomako, B.; Kwoseh, C.; Lowor, S. T.; Dzahini-Obiatey, H.; Akrofi A. Y. and Assuah, M. K. (2012). Inheritance and general combining ability studies of detached pod, leaf disc and natural field resistance to *Phytophthora palmivora* and *Phytophthora megakarya* in cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.). *Euphytica*, 188(2), 253–264. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0717-x>
- Nyadanu, D.; Assuah, M. K.; Adomako, B.; Asiama, Y. P.; Opoku, I. Y. and Adu-Ampomah, Y. (2010). Efficacy of screening methods used in breeding for black pod disease resistance varieties in cocoa. *African Crop Science Journal*, 17(4), 175–186. <https://doi.org/10.4314/acsj.v17i4.54298>
- Nyassé, S. (1997). Etude de la diversité de *Phytophthora megakarya* et caractérisation de la résistance du cacauero (*Theobroma cacao* L.) à cet agent pathogène. *Institut national polytechnique de Toulouse*. <https://agritrop.cirad.fr/308921/>
- Nyassé, S.; Cilas, C.; Herail, C. and Blaha, G. (1995). Leaf inoculation as an early screening test for cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) resistance to *Phytophthora* black pod disease. *Crop Protection*, 14(8), 657–663. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-2194\(95\)00054-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-2194(95)00054-2)
- Nyassé, S.; Efombagn, M. I. B.; Kébé, B. I.; Tahi, M.; Despréaux, D. and Cilas, C. (2007). Integrated management of *Phytophthora* diseases on cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.): Impact of plant



- breeding on pod rot incidence. *Crop Protection*, 26(1), 40-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CROPRO.2006.03.015>
- Paulin, D.; Ducamp, M. and Lachenaud, P. (2008). New sources of resistance to *Phytophthora megakarya* identified in wild cocoa tree populations of French Guiana. *Crop Protection*, 27(7), 1143-1147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CROPRO.2008.01.004>
- Phillips-Mora, W.; Arciniegas-Leal, A.; Mata-Quirós, A. and Motomayor-Arias, J. C. (2012). Catálogo de clones de cacao seleccionados por el CATIE para siembras comerciales. Centro Agronómico Tropical de Investigación y Enseñanza (CATIE). [https://www.worldcocoafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/files\\_mf/phillipsmora2012clones4.64mb.pdf](https://www.worldcocoafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/files_mf/phillipsmora2012clones4.64mb.pdf)
- Phillips-Mora, W. and Galindo, J. J. (1989). Método de inoculación y evaluación de la resistencia a *Phytophthora palmivora* en frutos de cacao (*Theobroma cacao*). *Turrialba*, 39(4), 488-496. <https://repositorio.catie.ac.cr/handle/11554/10760>
- Pokou, N. D.; N'Goran, J. A. K.; Kébé, I.; Eskes, A.; Tahi, M. and Sangaré, A. (2008). Levels of resistance to *Phytophthora* pod rot in cocoa accessions selected on-farm in Côte d'Ivoire. *Crop Protection*, 27(3-5), 302-309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2007.07.012>
- Portafolio. (2017). Colombia, con unos de los cultivos de cacao más productivos a nivel mundial. *Portafolio*. <https://www.portafolio.co/economia/record-en-produccion-de-cacao-en-primero-semester-508495#:~:text=Un%20r%C3%A9cord%20hist%C3%B3rico%20en%20producci%C3%B3n,un%20gran%20potencial%20por%20explotar>
- Ramírez, J. (2016). Pérdidas económicas asociadas a la pudrición de la mazorca del cacao causada por *Phytophthora* spp., y *Moniliophthora roreri* (Cif y Par) Evans et al., en la hacienda *Theobroma*, Colombia. *Revista de Protección Vegetal*, 31(1), 42-49. [http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S1010-27522016000100006](http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1010-27522016000100006).
- Rodríguez, E. and Vera, A. (2015). Identificación y manejo de la pudrición parda de la mazorca (*Phytophthora* sp.) en cacao. *Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (Corpoica)*. <https://repositorio.agrosavia.co/handle/20.500.12324/13138>
- Rodríguez, E. (2006). Técnicas de reducción de inóculo para controlar la moniliasis del cacao en Santander. *Revista Innovación & Cambio Tecnológico*, 4(4), 68-77. <https://repositorio.agrosavia.co/handle/20.500.12324/750>
- Saul-Maora, J.; Namaliu, Y.; Cilas, C. and Blaha, G. (2003). Durability of field resistance to black pod disease of cacao in Papua New Guinea. *Plant Disease*, 87(12), 1423-1425. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.2003.87.12.1423>
- Surujdeo-Maharaj, S.; Umaharan, P. and Iwaro, A. D. (2001). A study of genotype-isolate interaction in cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.): resistance of cacao genotypes to isolates of *Phytophthora palmivora*. *Euphytica*, 118(3), 295-303. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017516217662>
- Tahi, G. M.; Kébé, B. I.; N'Goran, J. A. K.; Sangaré, A.; Mondeil, F.; Cilas, C. and Eskes, A. B. (2006). Expected selection efficiency for resistance to cacao pod rot (*Phytophthora palmivora*) comparing leaf disc inoculations with field observations. *Euphytica*, 2006, 149(1), 35-44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10681-005-9052-9>
- Tahi, G. M.; Kébé, B. I.; Sangaré, A.; Mondeil, F.; Cilas, C. and Eskes, A. B. (2006). Foliar resistance of cacao (*Theobroma cacao*) to *Phytophthora palmivora* as an indicator of pod resistance in the field: Interaction of cacao genotype, leaf age and duration of incubation. *Plant Pathology*, 55(6), 776-782. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2006.01453.x>
- Tirado-Gallego, P. A.; Lopera-Álvarez, A. and Ríos-Osorio, L. A. (2016). Estrategias de control de *Moniliophthora roreri* y *Moniliophthora perniciosa* en *Theobroma cacao* L. Revisión sistemática. *Corpoica Ciencia y Tecnología Agropecuaria*, 17(3), 417-430. [https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol17\\_num3\\_art:517](https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol17_num3_art:517)
- Vanderplank, J. E. (1982). Host-pathogen interactions in plant disease. Academic Press.
- Vanderplank, J. (1966). Epidemiology of fungicidal action. In D. Torgeson (Ed.), *Fungicides* (pp. 63-91). New York: Academic Press.