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**Abstract**

The objective of this research was to define the use capacity classes of 2403.25 ha in the basin of La Corriente del Lobo - Itatinga (SP), (22°03'56" to 22°59'12" of latitude S and 48°38'47" to 48°41'25" of longitude W Gr.). The soil use capacity was obtained by using the SIG IDRISI 32 crossing the documents of steepness and soils, and the document of judgment of soil use capacity classes and of the utility survey of the physical milieu. The areas with use capacity classes and subclasses presented the following values: IIIa - 68.60 ha (2.85%), IIIe,s - 1919.15 ha (79.86%); IIIe - 210.60 ha (8.76%); IVe - 3.38ha (0.14%); IVe,s - 157.42 ha (6.55%) and VIe,s - 44.10 ha (1.84%). The lands of the basin were distributed in three classes (III, IV and VI) and six subclasses, but the biggest extension (79.9%) belonged to the subclass IIIe,s. The modules of the IDRISI allowed to discriminate, mapping and to quickly quantify the soil use capacity of the areas of classes and subclasses in the basin.
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**Resumen**

El objetivo del trabajo fue definir las clases de capacidad de uso de 2403.25 ha de la cuenca de la Corriente del Lobo – Itatinga (SP) (22° 03' 56" - 22° 59' 12" de latitud S y 48° 38' 47" - 48° 41' 25" de longitud O). La capacidad de uso de la tierra se obtuvo mediante el SIG-IDRISI 32 del cruzamiento de las cartas clinográfica y de suelos y de la Tabla de juzgamiento de clases de capacidad de uso de la tierra y del levantamiento utilitario del medio físico. Las áreas de las clases y subclases de capacidad de uso presentaron los siguientes valores: IIIa – 68.60 ha (2.85%), IIIe,s – 1919.15 ha (79.86%); IIIe – 210.60 ha (8.76%); IVe – 3.38 ha (0.14%); IVe,s – 157.42 ha (6.55%) y VIe,s – 44.10 ha (1.84%). Las tierras de la cuenca se distribuyeron en tres clases (III, IV y VI) y seis subclases pero la mayor extensión (79.9%) pertenece a la subclase IIIe,s. Los módulos del IDRISI permitieron discriminar, mapear y cuantificar rápidamente la capacidad de uso de las áreas de las clases y subclases de la cuenca.
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**Introduction**

Land use capacity looks for harnessing soil conditions with minimal loss, based on the expressive detail factors that may influence the structure and composition of the soil such as relief, erosion, soil type, climate, among others (Araújo Jr., 1998). The type of use can be used to identify areas with economic and sustainable productivity capacity (Norton, 1940).

The use capacity indicates the degree of crops intensity that can be applied to the soil, without losing its productive capacity (Ribeiro and Fields, 1998; Dainese et al., 1999). The survey soil conditions and the natural envi­ronment for agriculture is of great value. A conscious exploration, based on certain con­servation practices regarding soil type, allows better use and increase of soil sustainability because increases the potential and use of resources for future generations (Araújo Jr., 1998).

Soil conservation involves practices that have been evaluated in recent decades, as a new form of agriculture that seeks to main­tain good soil conditions and the adoption of emergency or preventive practices, including erosion control, modern techniques of agri­cultural mechanization, the correct and proper use of fertilizers and amendments as well as the most productive varieties (Araújo Jr., 1998).

**Figure 1.** Slope classes chart from the watershed land La Corriente del Lobo - Itatinga (SP), Brazil.

This study aimed to define, map and quan­tify the types of land use capacity of the ba­sin La corriente del Lobo - Itatinga (SP), ta­king into account information from clinogra­phic letters and soil, local data, the classifica­tion table, among others.

**Materials and methods**

This work was developed in 2403.25 hectares of the basin La Corriente del Lobo, located in the municipality of Itatinga, São Paulo, Brazil (22° 03' 56'' - 22° 59' 12" S and 48° 38' 47" - 48° 41' 25" W), located in the watershed Las Piedras tributary of Pardo river from the Mi­ddle Paranapanema. The basin is 826 MASL, Latosols are the predominant and reforesta­tion

The clinographic chart (Figure 1) was ob­tained manually through an analog abacus (De Biasi, 1970), from sheets if Pratânia (SF-22-ZBV-4) and Itatinga (SF-22-ZD-II-2) on the scale 1:50000 (IBGE, 1973), using six slope classes ranges (0-3%, 3-6%, 6-12%, 12-20%, 20-40% and >40%). These are used by Bra­zilian researchers who work insoil use and management for future conservation projects (Filadelfo Jr. 1999).

Clinographic and soil charts were scanned (Figure 2) and imported to the Geographic Information System - IDRISI 32 (Eastman, 1998), in BMP format generated in the sca­nning process to IMG format for the module File/Import. To georeference these cards and digital image, four control points located at the edges of the module Reformat / Resample were selected. Vectorization of boundaries for slope types and soils was made through On Screen Digitizing module to get the mask po­lygon of the basin area. Tracking the types of slope and soil on the watershed polygon was done using the module Reformat / Raster / Vector Conversion / Lineras.

Red Latosols Soils (LR6) are formed by associations of Eutroferric and Distroferric soils with flat and undulating relief, and Eu­troferric Red Nitosols soil with soft wavy relief and molic horizon, with clay texture. Red Latosols Soils (LR56) are formed by the asso­ciation of Dystrophic soils and Red Yellow Dystrophic Latosols soil, with soft wavy flat relief.

The chart for land use capacitywas made from the intersection of information from the clinographic and soil charts. For the first, a judging table for use capacity classes develo­ped by França (1963) and Lepsch et al. (1983) was used, and adapted by Zimback and Ro­drigues (1993) by the module Analy­sis/Database Query/Overlay. The areas of soil slope type and land use capacity were determined with GIS - IDRISI, using the pre­vious module.

**Figure 2.** Soil unit chart from the watershed La Corriente del Lobo - Itatinga (SP), Brazil.

The land use capacity was classified by the constant classification manual system for the utility survey of the physical media, and the land classification was made using the criteria from the judging table (França, 1963; Lepsch et al., 1991), adapted by Zimback and Ro­drigues (1993) shown in Table 1. This table shows the types of land use capacity for each criteria of the parameter of the limitation, in­cluding: apparent fertility (FA), effective depth (PE), permeability and drainage (PD), runoff (DF), stoniness (P), risk of runoff (RI), sheet erosion (EL), rill erosion (ES) and gully (V). The assessment of the constant parameters of Table 1 was adapted to the following criteria:

* **FA.** It was based on the physical and chemical characteristics of the different types of soils in the municipality, accor­ding to the land survey made by Oliveira et al. (1999);
* **PE.** It was determined based to the mor­phology description of each type of soil, according to the description of each unit and the soil survey by Oliveira et al. (1999);
* **PD.** Established from the physical proper­ties of each type of land, mostly by cons­tant texture according to the analysis of the survey.

|  |
| --- |
| . **Table 1**. Judging table for land use capacity in the studied land. |
|  | **Use capacity classes** |  |
| **Characteristics** | **Criteria** | **I** | **II** | **III** | **IV** | **V** | **VI** | **VII** | **VIII** |
| Fertility | Very High | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | High | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Meddium |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Effective dept (cm) | >200 | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 - 200 | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50 – 100 |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25 – 50 |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
|  | <50 |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Permeability and drainage | Excessive |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Good | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Moderate |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Poor |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very poor |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| Runoff | Very fast |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fast |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Moderate |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Slow | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very slow |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stoniness (%) | Without stons | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <1 |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 – 10 |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 – 30 |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30 – 50 |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
|  | >50 |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Runoff risk | Without risk | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Occasional |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oftern |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
|  | Very often |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| Slope (%) | 0 – 3 | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 – 6 |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 – 12 |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 – 20 |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 – 40 |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
|  | >40 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| Laminar erotion | No apparent | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Slight |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fast |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Moderate |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
|  | Severe |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
|  | Extreme |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| Rill erosion | No apparent | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ocational |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Often |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very often |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| Erosion in middle rills | No apparent | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ocational |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Often |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very often |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| Deep rill erosion | No apparent | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ocational |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
|  | Often |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
|  | Very often |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
|  Gullie erosiom | No aparent | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ocational |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
|  | Often |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
|  | Very often |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| **Source**: França (1963), Lepsch *et al.* (1991), Zimback y Rodrigues (1993). |

* **DF.** Runoff types (Table 2) were construc­ted based on the main factors that inter­feres the axes intensity: (1) Infiltration that varies with soil type, as red nitosol distroferric (structured red land) and red-yellow dystrophic latossol which decrea­ses the intensity. The greater infiltration, the less runoff will be. (2) The slope di­rectly affects runoff intensity, therefore, the smaller the slope, the lower the con­tribution to total runoff.
* **P.** It was determined by the morphology of each type of soil, according to the lif­ting of Oliveira et al. (1999);
* **RI.** Runoff risk was determined by field observation using the lift conducted by Oliveira et al. (1999);
* **The slope** was determined in the field according to the particular class in the municipality.

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 2.** Slope ranges and criteria to establish classes of runoff. |
| **Slope (%)** | **Runoff criteria** | **Classes** |
| 0 – 3 | SlowVery slow | III |
| 3 – 6 | Slow Moderate | III |
| 6 – 12 | ModerateFast | IIIII |
| 12 – 20 | Fast | III |
| 20 – 40 | Very fast | IV |
| > 40 | Very fast | IV |

* The **erosion types** were taken from the defined criteria in the field observations and information obtained from the Mi­nistry of Agriculture and Supply of São Manuel-SP.

Within each class, the subclasses classifica­tion for land capacity was done by using the constraints listed in Table 3, as su­ggested Lepsch et al. (1991).

**Results and discussion**

71% of the basin area is in the slope class <6% (Figure 1 and Table 4). Flat areas with slope between 0-3%, and areas with gently slope between 3-6%, are recommended for annual crops use with simple conservation practices such as soil contour farming that allow erosion control (Filadelpo Jr., 1999). The LR56 soil unit comprises 91.1% of the area dominated by relatively flat and gently undulating slope.

Data shows the type of slope between 0-12% as dominant, occupying 91.46% (2198.01 ha) of the total basin area, which is suitable for annual crops with extensive use of mechanization according Lepsch et al. (1991). In general, the slope type 0-3% (39.5% and has 948.08), 3-6% (31.51% to 757.26 ha) and 12.6% (20.50% to 492.67 ha) represents the plane to undulate slope group (0-12%) (Chiarini and Donzeli, 1973).

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 3.** Limitations to define subclasses of land use capacity. |
| **Erosion (*e*)** | **Soil (*s*)** | **Water (*a*)** | **Weather (*c*)** |
| Strong slopeLong slopeAbrupt textural changeLaminar erosionRill erosionGully erosionWind erosionDeposits of erosionLow permeabilityHorizont A sandy  | Low depthSandy texture throught the profile Stoniness Expansive clayLow saturation of basesAluminium toxicityLow Exchange capacityAcid sulfate or sulfatesHigh sodium saturationExcess of soluble salts Carbonate excess |  Water table capacity Runoff riskSlow fall of organic soilsOrganic soils deficiencyOxigen deficiency in the soil | Prolonged droughtFrost Cold windsSnow |

The areas with slopes between 12-20% (heavily wavy) and between 20-40% (hilly), comprise 6.7% (161.01 ha) and 1.84% (44.23 ha) respectively.

Knowing the subclasses of land use capa­city is an important tool that allows the pla-

nning for a better use of resources in seg­mented areas, in terms of cultural practices, but always embedded within the overall con­text of watersheds.

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 4.** Slope classes based on soil units occurring in the basin of the watershed La Corriente del Lobo - Itatinga (SP), Brazil. |
| **Slope classes (%)** | **Soil Units** |
| **LR6†** |  | **LR56†** |  | **Total** |  |
| **ha** | **%** | **ha** | **%** | **ha** | **%** |
| 0 – 3 | 92.52 | 3.85 | 855.56 | 35.60 | 948.08 | 39.45 |
| 3 – 6 | 80.03 | 3.33 | 677.23 | 28.18 | 757.26 | 31.51 |
| 6 – 12 | 38.22 | 1.59 | 454.45 | 18.91 | 492.67 | 20.50 |
| 12 – 20 | 3.36 | 0.14 | 157.65 | 6.56 | 161.01 | 6.70 |
| 20 – 40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 44.23 | 1.84 | 44.23 | 1.84 |
| Total | 214.13 | 8.91 | 2189.12 | 91.09 | 2403.25 | 100 |

The land use capacity, class III, dominates 91.47% of the basin (Figure 3 and Table 5). According to Araújo Jr. (1998), those are ara­ble land that requires intensive practices or complex management for the production of annual crops with medium to high yield. The moderately sloping topography of the soils in this class requires intensive care for erosion control, as much as the internal drainage de­ficiency demands a water management, and the low productivity requires special practices for soil improvement. This class includes the best lands without irrigation from some semi-arid regions. Units that belong to this class in El Lobo basin are LR6 and LR56, that repre­sents 210.53 ha and 1987.73 ha respectively.

**Figure 3.** Land use capacity chart in the watershed La Corriente del Lobo - Itatinga (SP), Brazil.

Class IV consists of the soil units LR6 (3.36 ha and 0.14%) and LR56 (6.55% and 157.41 ha), which are not suitable for permanent crops, but suitable for irrigated annual crops. Those units exhibit high slope, severe erosion, very poor drainage and low productivity.

 Class VI includes arable land without annual species, except by pasture or refores-

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 5**. Land use capacity clases in La Corriente del Lobo basin- Itatinga (SP), Brazil |
| **Classes** | **Basin area** |
| **ha** | **%** |
| IIIe | 210.53 | 8.76 |
| IVe | 3.36 | 0.14 |
| IIIe,s | 1919.24 | 79.86 |
| IVe,s | 157.41 | 6.55 |
| VIe,s | 44.22 | 1.84 |
| IIIa | 68.49 | 2.85 |
| Total | 2403.25 | 100 |

tation. This class shows shallow soils or exce­ssive slope, these soils are common in arid and semiarid regions. The unit LR56 in this soil class represents 1.84% that is 44.22 ha.

The subclass IIIe,s is the most significant and prevalent as it represents the 80% of the total basin area. It is located in the soil unit LR56 and represents 79.86% (1919.24 ha) of sandy textured soils with low fertility. The LR6 unit, characterized by highly fertile soils when compared to LR56 unit, has the sub­class IIIe as the greatest contribution (8.76% and 210.53 ha) in the total basin area.

Subclasses VIe,s and IIIa show the highest limitations. The first have soil erosion problems and is only suitable for pasture and forests, while the second shows occasional flooding problems.

**Conclusion**

El Lobo basin, Itatinga, SP, Brazil, has the most representative slope classes as levels between 3-6%, while the least common are between 20-40%. LR56 unit, with 91.08%, and the land use capacity class III with 91.47%, are the ones that dominate the ba­sin.
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