

# Ham salting in plastic bags: A way to reduce salt use?

## Salado de jamones en bolsas: ¿Una manera de reducir el uso de sal?

Joel Girón<sup>1\*</sup>, Raúl Grau<sup>2</sup>, and José Manuel Barat<sup>2</sup>

### ABSTRACT

The objective of this work was to study salt reduction in the processing and final product of Iberian dry-cured ham manufacturing. For this purpose, high-barrier plastic bags were used in the salting stage, with salt doses of 2.5 and 3.2% at 10 and 15 d. The following aspects were evaluated: the production and salt content of brine, the analytical determinations of the hams after the salting stage, the changes in the total mass of the hams during processing and the analytical and sensory assessment of the dry-cured product. The experiment results showed that the plastic bag salting minimized the production of brine by 61 and 63% and reduced the salt content in the final product without altering the physicochemical characteristics or the hygienic quality of the dry-cured ham. In the sensory analysis, the samples salted with 2.5% doses were preferred by consumers.

**Key words:** dry-curing, vacuum, sodium chloride, brine.

### RESUMEN

El objetivo de este trabajo fue estudiar la reducción de sal en el procesamiento y el producto final en la fabricación de jamón curado. Para este propósito, se usaron bolsas de plástico de alta barrera en la etapa de salado, con dosis de sal de 2,5 y 3,2% a los 10 y 15 d. Se evaluaron los siguientes aspectos: la producción y el contenido de sal en la salmuera, las determinaciones analíticas de los jamones después de la etapa de salado, los cambios en la masa total de los jamones durante el procesamiento y la evaluación analítica y sensorial del producto curado. Los resultados experimentales obtenidos mostraron que el salado en bolsas de plástico minimizó la producción de salmuera en un 61 y un 63% y redujo el contenido de sal en el producto final sin alterar las características fisicoquímicas o la calidad higiénica del jamón curado. En el análisis sensorial, los consumidores prefirieron muestras saladas al 2,5%.

**Palabras clave:** curado en seco, vacío, cloruro de sodio, salmuera.

## Introduction

The manufacturing of dry-cured ham is a relatively simple process, but it must have specific conditions in order to ensure excellent quality. A critical point in the process is the salting stage, which generates the drying conditions and the sensory characteristics of the product (Andrés *et al.*, 2004; Benedini *et al.*, 2012), as well as a large amount of brine waste, whose effluents should be treated to prevent environmental pollution. In the salting stage, Serrano/Iberian hams are covered with salt by stacking them in containers for a period of approximately 1 d kg<sup>-1</sup> (Arboix, 2014). Excess salt is unnecessary because the salt uptake reaches a saturation point, after which the pieces are not able to incorporate more salt despite increasing the salting time. Moreover, the water released from the meat has partially dissolved NaCl, and nitrifying salts form saturated brine, whose main components are organic in nature (Barat *et al.*, 2006). Brine production is one of the more significant environmental issues faced by many industries since brine

disposal has a negative impact on the environment, is costly, and requires a high amount of energy (Randall and Lewis, 2011). The potential environmental damage from disposed brine include eutrophication, pH fluctuations, and proliferation of heavy metals in marine environments. There are different management strategies for brine disposal, including brine minimization, direct disposal, and direct reuse (Giwa *et al.*, 2017). Currently, most of the available brine treatment options do not produce potable water that can be resold, thereby offsetting treatment costs; instead, they produce a mixed salt (waste) product that needs to be disposed of at additional costs (Randall and Nathoo, 2015). Additionally, meat products are identified as products for sodium reduction (Kloss *et al.*, 2015). The salt content can be reduced by modifying the salting procedure according to salt uptake information (Fulladosa *et al.*, 2015). In this regard, a fish salting methodology with low brine emission proposed by Fuentes *et al.* (2008) was applied for the ham salting. The methodology consists of using an exact amount of salt that is directly dosed for absorption on the lean ham

Received for publication: 19 March, 2018. Accepted for publication: 30 July, 2018

Doi: 10.15446/agron.colomb.v36n2.71130

<sup>1</sup> Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Surcolombiana, Neiva (Colombia).

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Tecnología de Alimentos, Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia (España).

\* Corresponding author: joel.giron@usco.edu.co



surface. The combination of a curing salt mix and vacuum packaging in this controlled salting process has also been studied to obtain salt-smoked salmon for the purpose of accelerating NaCl absorption and dehydration (Rizo *et al.*, 2013; Rizo *et al.*, 2015). This procedure has proven to be capable of reducing waste and obtaining homogeneous products. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate a salting procedure that uses high-barrier plastic bags in order to reduce brine waste production and obtain an Iberian dry-cured ham that is good quality and safe.

## Materials and methods

Fifty fresh Iberian hams with an average weight of  $12.8 \pm 0.5$  kg were selected and processed under the experiment conditions in an Iberian dry-cured ham manufacturing company. Ten hams that were used as the control were salted following the company's standard conditions. The remaining forty hams were salted employing high-barrier plastic bags (size 1300 x 750 x 0.12 mm, water vapor transmission rate  $1.8 \text{ g } 120 \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ 24 h}^{-1}$  (23°C and 85% RH)) supplied by Productos Pilarica, S.A. (Valencia, Spain) with a vacuum application. For this purpose, the hams were randomly assigned to each treatment, in one of the two salt doses, 2.5 and 3.2% (w/w), and one of the two salting times, either 10 or 15 d (Fig. 1). In the post-salting stage, the hams were stored at between 4–8°C and 73–75% relative humidity for 70 d. In the subsequent drying stage, the hams were kept in a room under controlled conditions for 120 d; the temperature was increased from 8 to 20°C,


while the relative humidity was progressively reduced to 64%. Finally, the hams were left to mature for 16 months between 20–25°C with relative humidity 55–65% (cellar stage). At the end of the process, the hams were sent to the Polytechnic University of Valencia for the physicochemical analysis and sensory evaluation.

## Sampling

The sampling was carried out once the hams had reached about 30% of the total weight loss and at the end of the process. The physicochemical parameters were evaluated in the whole ham after the salting stage. At the end of the process, the analytical determinations were carried out in two zones at the widest section of the ham: zone A, located between the lean surface and near the femoral artery (*Semimembranosus* muscle) and zone B, near the subcutaneous fat (*Biceps femoris* muscle) (Fig. 1). In addition, at the end of the salting stage, the generated brine was collected, and the volume and concentration of NaCl were measured.

## Analytical determinations

The moisture content ( $x^w$ ) was determined with oven drying to constant weight at 100°C (ISO, 1997). The fat content ( $x^f$ ) was established using a FOSS Soxtec System 2055 Tecator (ISO, 1973). The water activity ( $a_w$ ) of each sample was determined at 24°C with an Aqualab® dew point hygrometer (DECAGON Aqualab CX-2, Pullman, WA, USA). The sodium chloride was determined in both the brine and hams with an automatic chloride Analyser (Sherwood Scientific Ltd., Cambridge, UK) with the



**FIGURE 1.** Salting process of hams indicating dose, time and sampling zones.

method described by Girón *et al.* (2015). The total sodium chloride concentration in the liquid phase ( $Z^{NaCl}$ ) was estimated from the weight fractions of water ( $x^w$ ) and sodium chloride ( $x^{NaCl}$ ) in accordance with Equation 1. The total weight losses of the post-salted hams were estimated from the weight ( $M$ ) of the hams (at sampling time  $t$ , and 0), with Equation 2.

$$z^{NaCl} = \left( \frac{x^{NaCl}}{x^{NaCl} + x^w} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta Mt = \left( \frac{M_0 - Mt}{M_0} \right) \quad (2)$$

Color measurements were taken shortly after cutting the slice in zones A and B using a CR-410 colorimeter (Minnolta Chroma Meter, Osaka, Japan) and CIE L\*a\*b\* color system and D-65 illuminant. The texture profile analysis (TPA) was carried out following the procedure described by Aliño *et al.* (2010a) with double compression using a Texture Analyzer TA.XT2 (Stable MicroSystems, UK). The determinations were carried out on cubic samples (15×15×15 mm) from zones A and B; the following parameters were calculated: hardness (g), cohesiveness (dimensionless), springiness (dimensionless), adhesiveness and chewiness (g).

### Microbiological analysis

The microbiological analyses were carried out after the salting stage and at the end of the process on the area closest to the bone of the central transversal section of the ham, under sterile conditions and while considering the total mesophilic aerobic flora (Cordero and Zumalacárregui, 2000), Micrococcaceae (Cordero and Zumalacárregui, 2000), salt-tolerant flora (BAM, 1998), lactic acid bacteria (ISO, 1998), Enterobacteriaceae (ISO, 2017), and sulphite-reducing clostridium (BAM, 1998). Microbial counts were obtained in duplicate, and all the results were expressed as a logarithm of colony-forming units per gram ( $\log \text{cfu g}^{-1}$ ).

### Sensory analysis

A sensory assessment was performed in order to evaluate the sensory acceptance of the obtained dry-cured hams. The sensory analysis was conducted with hams from each treatment. The sensory evaluation test was taken by 106 consumers: 56 women and 50 men aged between 20 and 50, all regular consumers of Iberian dry-cured ham. The tests were done with semi-structured scales (UNE-ISO, 2006), evaluating attributes such as appearance, odor, texture, intensity of saltiness, typical taste and global acceptance. These attributes were selected as being the most important and representative for dry-cured ham. Each assessor

answered a questionnaire with 8 cm lines and three anchor points (0 = unpleasant, 4 = acceptable, and 8 = pleasant) for all the attributes. Each assessor evaluated five samples served at room temperature and coded with a three-digit random number.

### Statistical analysis

The statistical treatment of the data was performed using Statgraphics Centurion XVI (Manugistics Inc., Rockville, MD, USA). An analysis of variance (One-Way ANOVA) was conducted for each parameter to test if there were significant differences between the samples. All of the physicochemical, microbiological, and sensory parameters were considered as dependent variables in these analyses, and the salt dose and sampling zone were the factors. The LSD procedure (least significant difference) was used to test for differences between the averages at the 5% significance level. A multifactor ANOVA was conducted with the salting parameters to evaluate the effect of the salt dose, sampling zone, and their interactions.

## Results and discussion

### Evaluation of the salting process

The brine production, sodium chloride content in brine, moisture, lipid content, sodium chloride,  $a_w$ , sodium chloride concentration in the liquid phase, and microbial loads for the samples and the control after the salting stage are shown in table 1. There were no significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) in the production of brine when increasing the salting time or the salt dose. However, the brine production in the experiment was lower for the samples salted in plastic bags, as compared to the theoretical production of brine obtained in the traditional salting process as reported by Barat *et al.* (2006). Spain produces approximately 26,500 metric t of cured hams and shoulders made with the traditional method, generating a brine volume of approximately 29,818 tons. The salting method using plastic bags at 2.5 or 3.2% for 15 or 10 d would generate approximately 10,910 and 11,823 metric t of brine, respectively, representing a reduction of between 63 and 61%. In addition, when considering the amount of salt present in the brine, the differences would increase to 89% (2.5%, 15 d) and 72% (3.2%, 10 d). Brine from the traditional method is theoretically saturated (0.26 w NaCl / w brine) (Barat *et al.*, 2006), which would generate 7,752 metric t of salt. On the other hand, the brine from the plastic bag salting under the conditions of this study was  $0.074 \pm 0.035$  for the 2.5% salting for 15 d (2.5%-15 d) and  $0.184 \pm 0.050$  for 3.2% for 10 d (3.2%-10 d). This represents 809 and 2,181 metric t of salt, respectively.

### Physicochemical characterization of the samples after the salting stage

The evaluated parameters in the samples after the salting stage showed significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the control and the treatments for moisture, salt content and water activity. The hams salted at 2.5%-15 d and 3.2%-10 d presented the highest values of moisture. The salt content presented the lower values for treatment 2.5%-10 d ( $0.060 \pm 0.007$  w/w) when the salt content was expressed as salt into the liquid phase ( $Z^{\text{NaCl}}$ ); the hams salted at 3.2%-15 d had significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) because of their higher salt concentration ( $0.038 \pm 0.003$ ), as compared to the control ( $0.031 \pm 0.002$ ). The water activity values were inversely related to  $Z^{\text{NaCl}}$ , with greater or lesser  $a_w$  values of the hams related to greater or lesser  $Z^{\text{NaCl}}$  values. For  $a_w$ , the hams salted at 2.5%-10 d presented the higher values ( $0.982 \pm 0.006$ ). The fat content and microbiological counts did not show significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ). The fat values are similar to those reported by other authors in studies on Iberian dry-cured ham (Aliño *et al.*, 2010b). The values obtained in the microbiological determinations for aerobic mesophilic, salt-tolerant flora and micrococcaeae, but not for the isolation of lactic acid bacteria, *Enterobacteriaceae* and sulphite-reducing clostridium, indicated a good level of hygiene in the raw material and the salting process.

### Evaluation of the hams' mass variation throughout the processing

There were significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) for the changes in total mass (Fig. 2). The control exhibited a greater reduction than the hams salted at 2.5 and 3.2% for either

10 or 15 d after the salting stage; the result was consistent with changes in moisture and brine. Nevertheless, the water content was released along the drying stage. Therefore, the differences were reduced during the processing. At the end of the process, no significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) were observed between the treatments and the control; the accumulated weight losses ( $\Delta M$ ) exhibited an average value of  $-0.031 \pm 0.02$  for all of the hams.




FIGURE 2. Mean values and standard deviation for the changes in total mass throughout the processing time.

### Physicochemical characterization of dry-cured hams


There were no significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) for moisture content ( $x^w$ , w/w) or salt content ( $x^{\text{NaCl}}$  DM) between zones A and B of the samples and the control (Fig. 3). The

TABLE 1. Physicochemical parameters and microbial counting (log cfu g<sup>-1</sup>) of the brine and hams after the salting stage. Mean values  $\pm$  SD.

	Control	Dose (w/w) and salting time (days)			
		2.5		3.2	
		10	15	10	15
Brine (w/w of ham)	$0.114 \pm 0.012^*$	$0.040 \pm 0.006^a$	$0.042 \pm 0.004^a$	$0.045 \pm 0.005^a$	$0.049 \pm 0.006^a$
Moisture (g 100 g <sup>-1</sup> )	0.26*	$0.147 \pm 0.092^a$	$0.074 \pm 0.035^a$	$0.184 \pm 0.050^a$	$0.177 \pm 0.033^a$
NaCl (g 100 g <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.644 \pm 0.013^a$	$0.659 \pm 0.010^{ab}$	$0.660 \pm 0.007^b$	$0.672 \pm 0.011^b$	$0.659 \pm 0.003^{ab}$
Lipid (g 100 g <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.083 \pm 0.016^a$	$0.092 \pm 0.009^a$	$0.087 \pm 0.005^a$	$0.084 \pm 0.007^a$	$0.085 \pm 0.029^a$
$x^{\text{NaCl}}$ (g 100 g <sup>-1</sup> ) DM	$0.086 \pm 0.005^{bc}$	$0.060 \pm 0.007^a$	$0.076 \pm 0.014^b$	$0.083 \pm 0.007^{bc}$	$0.093 \pm 0.022^c$
$a_w$	$0.967 \pm 0.004^a$	$0.982 \pm 0.006^b$	$0.972 \pm 0.005^a$	$0.970 \pm 0.001^a$	$0.967 \pm 0.000^a$
$Z^{\text{NaCl}}$ (g NaCl mL <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.031 \pm 0.002^b$	$0.022 \pm 0.002^a$	$0.032 \pm 0.001^b$	$0.029 \pm 0.002^b$	$0.038 \pm 0.003^c$
Aerobic mesophiles	$0.453 \pm 0.785^a$	$0.358 \pm 0.620^a$	$0.524 \pm 0.908^a$	$0.946 \pm 0.827^a$	$0.000 \pm 0.000^a$
Salt-tolerant flora	$0.887 \pm 0.768^a$	$0.399 \pm 0.691^a$	$1.312 \pm 0.159^a$	$0.892 \pm 0.795^a$	$1.283 \pm 0.251^a$
Micrococcaeae	$1.029 \pm 0.893^a$	$0.000 \pm 0.000^a$	$0.000 \pm 0.000^a$	$0.529 \pm 0.000^a$	$0.333 \pm 0.577^a$

Means in a row with different letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ); Data obtained from Barat *et al.* (2006).

moisture content in the outer sampling zone (A) of the hams presented lower values than the inner zone (B); these results were expected because the outer zone is directly in contact with the salt. The moisture values agree with those established by other authors (Garrido-Novel *et al.*, 2015).



**FIGURE 3.** Mean values ± standard deviation of water content ( $x^w$  w/w), salt content on a dry matter basis ( $x^{NaCl}$  DM), and water activity in the sample zones A (lean surface, white bars) and B (inner point, black bars) at the end of the process.

The salt content values showed that the traditionally salted hams presented higher levels of sodium chloride than the hams salted in plastic bags. As expected, the salt content in the outer zone (A) presented lower values than in the inner zone (B) because salt diffuses to the zones with a greater amount of water (Aliño *et al.*, 2010a). The highest salt contents were found in the control and the hams salted at 3.2% for 15 d. The water activity presented significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) with lower values in the outer zone (A) for all of the treatments and the control, which exhibited the lowest  $a_w$  values.

The multifactor ANOVA (Tab. 2) revealed that the amount of salt dosed and the sampling zone influenced the moisture and salt content. The effect of the sampling zone was greater as compared to the salt dose for all of the considered variables. The interactions between salt and zone did not affect the studied variables. Nevertheless, the effect of the interactions was less important than the factors considered individually.

**TABLE 2.** F-ratio values and significance levels obtained in the multifactor ANOVA for the physicochemical parameters according to the factors: salt dose (S) and zone of analysis (Z) and their interaction (SxZ).

	S	Z	SxZ
$x^w$	0.76 <sup>ns</sup>	17.91 <sup>***</sup>	0.31 <sup>ns</sup>
$x^{NaCl}$	32.14 <sup>***</sup>	27.47 <sup>***</sup>	2.23 <sup>ns</sup>
$a_w$	2.42 <sup>ns</sup>	3.50 <sup>ns</sup>	0.06 <sup>ns</sup>
$Z^{NaCl}$	0.45 <sup>ns</sup>	1.40 <sup>ns</sup>	0.33 <sup>ns</sup>

ns: not significant, \*\*\*  $P < 0.001$ .

### Texture and color analysis

There were no significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) between the treatments and the control for the TPA analysis (Tab. 3). On the other hand, it was observed that the lower moisture content in the control promoted higher values for hardness, chewiness and cohesiveness. However, these values may not be important at the consumption level because ham is consumed in slices and not in cubes. The results for the inner zone (B) did not show significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) between the treatments and the control; the values were similar for the texture attributes, except for the samples at 2.5% for 10 d, which presented a softer texture. Other authors (Gou *et al.*, 2008; Andronikov *et al.*, 2013) have found that a lower salt content in ham promotes proteolysis, which results in a softer texture in the final product (Gou *et al.*, 2008; Andronikov *et al.*, 2013).

The color parameters did not show significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) between the treatments and the control (Tab. 4). The mean values for luminosity and yellowness

**TABLE 3.** Mean values  $\pm$  standard deviation of the texture parameters: hardness (g), chewiness (g), springiness, cohesiveness and adhesiveness in the *Semimembranosus* muscle (outer zone: A) and in the *Biceps femoris* muscle (inner zone: B) of the ham.

Outer (A)	Salt dose 2.5%			Salt dose 3.2%	
	Control	10 d	15 d	10 d	15 d
Hardness (g)	124.696 $\pm$ 21.145 <sup>b</sup>	83.931 $\pm$ 26.361 <sup>a</sup>	103.747 $\pm$ 38.003 <sup>ab</sup>	91.615 $\pm$ 3.135 <sup>ab</sup>	104.569 $\pm$ 31.207 <sup>ab</sup>
Adhesiveness (g)	-0.778 $\pm$ 0.320 <sup>a</sup>	-0.499 $\pm$ 0.437 <sup>a</sup>	-0.807 $\pm$ 0.345 <sup>a</sup>	-0.653 $\pm$ 0.308 <sup>a</sup>	-0.644 $\pm$ 0.181 <sup>a</sup>
Springiness	0.783 $\pm$ 0.032 <sup>a</sup>	0.726 $\pm$ 0.111 <sup>a</sup>	0.760 $\pm$ 0.057 <sup>a</sup>	0.743 $\pm$ 0.022 <sup>a</sup>	0.756 $\pm$ 0.044 <sup>a</sup>
Chewiness (g)	60.199 $\pm$ 10.140 <sup>b</sup>	37.786 $\pm$ 18.085 <sup>a</sup>	49.644 $\pm$ 23.981 <sup>ab</sup>	34.233 $\pm$ 14.712 <sup>ab</sup>	50.669 $\pm$ 17.149 <sup>ab</sup>
Cohesiveness	0.618 $\pm$ 0.023 <sup>ab</sup>	0.576 $\pm$ 0.079 <sup>a</sup>	0.603 $\pm$ 0.048 <sup>ab</sup>	0.568 $\pm$ 0.021 <sup>ab</sup>	0.634 $\pm$ 0.020 <sup>b</sup>
Inner (B)					
Hardness (g)	109.770 $\pm$ 31.200 <sup>a</sup>	98.499 $\pm$ 39.075 <sup>a</sup>	114.532 $\pm$ 21.027 <sup>a</sup>	124.920 $\pm$ 22.435 <sup>a</sup>	118.542 $\pm$ 58.049 <sup>a</sup>
Adhesiveness (g)	-0.504 $\pm$ 0.267 <sup>ab</sup>	-0.372 $\pm$ 0.325 <sup>a</sup>	-0.600 $\pm$ 0.391 <sup>a</sup>	-0.409 $\pm$ 0.015 <sup>ab</sup>	-0.458 $\pm$ 0.257 <sup>ab</sup>
Springiness	0.747 $\pm$ 0.092 <sup>a</sup>	0.721 $\pm$ 0.063 <sup>a</sup>	0.734 $\pm$ 0.068 <sup>a</sup>	0.730 $\pm$ 0.058 <sup>a</sup>	0.736 $\pm$ 0.031 <sup>a</sup>
Chewiness (g)	47.009 $\pm$ 13.797 <sup>a</sup>	46.580 $\pm$ 21.754 <sup>a</sup>	53.434 $\pm$ 10.850 <sup>a</sup>	54.214 $\pm$ 17.845 <sup>a</sup>	48.507 $\pm$ 21.536 <sup>a</sup>
Cohesiveness	0.615 $\pm$ 0.115 <sup>a</sup>	0.603 $\pm$ 0.058 <sup>a</sup>	0.640 $\pm$ 0.083 <sup>a</sup>	0.584 $\pm$ 0.093 <sup>a</sup>	0.578 $\pm$ 0.061 <sup>a</sup>

Means in a row with different letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ )

**TABLE 4.** Mean values  $\pm$  standard deviation of color parameters L\*: lightness, a\*: redness, b\*: yellowness, in the evaluated zones of the hams.


Outer	Salt dose 2.5%			Salt dose 3.2%	
	Control	10	15	10	15
L*	33.784 $\pm$ 3.472 <sup>a</sup>	33.314 $\pm$ 3.747 <sup>a</sup>	34.169 $\pm$ 4.279 <sup>a</sup>	34.322 $\pm$ 1.094 <sup>a</sup>	32.038 $\pm$ 2.907 <sup>a</sup>
a*	10.187 $\pm$ 2.977 <sup>bc</sup>	9.964 $\pm$ 2.166 <sup>b</sup>	7.714 $\pm$ 1.476 <sup>a</sup>	7.665 $\pm$ 0.512 <sup>a</sup>	10.008 $\pm$ 2.125 <sup>bc</sup>
b*	6.793 $\pm$ 2.976 <sup>a</sup>	5.739 $\pm$ 1.830 <sup>a</sup>	5.201 $\pm$ 0.656 <sup>a</sup>	5.043 $\pm$ 1.065 <sup>a</sup>	6.120 $\pm$ 1.182 <sup>a</sup>
Inner					
L*	29.792 $\pm$ 2.281 <sup>bc</sup>	25.593 $\pm$ 2.083 <sup>a</sup>	30.883 $\pm$ 4.731 <sup>c</sup>	25.288 $\pm$ 3.596 <sup>a</sup>	26.622 $\pm$ 1.950 <sup>ab</sup>
a*	7.131 $\pm$ 2.575 <sup>a</sup>	6.014 $\pm$ 0.860 <sup>a</sup>	5.680 $\pm$ 1.491 <sup>a</sup>	5.420 $\pm$ 1.644 <sup>a</sup>	6.620 $\pm$ 2.281 <sup>a</sup>
b*	3.842 $\pm$ 0.969 <sup>b</sup>	2.903 $\pm$ 0.726 <sup>ab</sup>	3.179 $\pm$ 2.495 <sup>ab</sup>	2.097 $\pm$ 1.147 <sup>a</sup>	2.915 $\pm$ 1.445 <sup>ab</sup>

Means in a row with different letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ )

were similar in the two sampling zones for all of the treatments and the control. Higher values for redness were observed for the samples salted at 3.2% for 15 d in both zones, which may have been due to the pH, salt concentration and moisture loss, which raised the pigment concentrations, such as myoglobin (Sanabria *et al.*, 2004; Bermudez *et al.*, 2014)

### Sensory analysis of dry-cured hams

The overall scores marked by the assessors of the sensory attributes of the different evaluated samples are shown in figure 4. There were significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the samples for the evaluated attributes. The hams with lower acceptance rates were those salted with the highest concentration of salt; the treatment at 3.2% for 15 d presented the lowest acceptability; the hams presented similar behavior when salted at 3.2% for 10 d, except for the score of appearance and saltiness. The observed result can be attributed to the formation of a crust on the hams' surface, which was salted with a higher salt dose, affecting



**FIGURE 4.** Score average for the different attributes evaluated in the control and the hams salted in plastic bags. 0: very unpleasant, 4: acceptable and 8: very pleasant.

the diffusion process and consequently deteriorating the organoleptic characteristics of the hams at the end of the process (Martínez-Onandi *et al.*, 2016). On the other hand, the hams salted with a lower salt dose facilitated the diffusion process and improved their acceptance. In addition, it is important to emphasize that Spanish consumers highly value the salty taste of ham (Morales *et al.*, 2013), which influenced the preference for the control over the other treatments.

## Conclusions

The assessed methodology minimizes and reduces brine waste. Moreover, it provides hams with a lower salt content. The employed salt levels exhibited similar levels for the reference values for the physicochemical parameters. Among the salt doses, some samples with 3.2% (w/w) presented crust hardening, while the samples with the 2.5% salt dose presented acceptance close to the control. However, consumers should be made aware of the importance of reducing salt uptake, which is often high in cured products. Further research should be done in order to replicate the proposed methodology using biodegradable or reusable plastic bags or plastic or metal containers that can be used in the production line to make the whole productive process more environmentally friendly.

## Literature cited

- Aliño, M., R. Grau, F. Toldrá, and J.M. Barat. 2010a. Physicochemical changes in dry-cured hams salted with potassium, calcium and magnesium chloride as a partial replacement for sodium chloride. *Meat Sci.* 86 (2), 331-36. Doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.05.003
- Aliño, M., R. Grau, A. Fuentes, and J.M. Barat. 2010b. Influence of low-sodium mixtures of salts on the post-salting stage of dry-cured ham process. *J. Food Eng.* 99 (2), 198-205. Doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2010.02.020
- Andrés, A., R. Cava, J. Ventanas, V. Thovar, and J. Ruiz. 2004. Sensory characteristics of Iberian ham: Influence of salt content and processing conditions. *Meat Sci.* 68(1), 45-51. Doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2003.08.019
- Andronikov, D., L. Gasperlin, T. Polak, and B. Zlender. 2013. *Texture and quality parameters of slovenian dry-cured ham Kraški Pršut according to mass and salt levels.* *Food Technol. Biotech.* 51 (1), 112-22.
- Arboix, J.A. 2014. Ham production dry-cured ham. In: *Encyclopedia of meat science* (Second Edition). Academic Press, Oxford.
- FDA. 1998. Bacteriological Analytical Manual Online (BAM). New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, MD, USA. URL: <https://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/LaboratoryMethods/ucm2006949.htm>
- Barat, J.M., D. Vidal-Brotóns, E. López-Pascual, and M.L. Gras. 2006. Quantification and kinetics of the residual brine generation during ham and shoulder pile salting. *Meat Sci.* 73(4), 576-80. Doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.02.010
- Benedini, R., G. Parolari, T. Toscani, and R. Virgili. 2012. Sensory and texture properties of Italian typical dry-cured hams as related to maturation time and salt content. *Meat Sci.* 90(2), 431-437. Doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.09.001
- Bermúdez, R., D. Franco, J. Carballo, and J.M. Lorenzo. 2014. Physicochemical changes during manufacture and final sensory characteristics of dry-cured Celta ham. Effect of muscle type. *Food Control* 43, 263-69. Doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.03.028
- Cordero, M.R. and J.M. Zumalacárregui. 2000. Characterization of *Micrococcaceae* isolated from salt used for Spanish dry-cured ham. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 31, 303-06. Doi: 10.1046/j.1472-765x.2000.00818.x
- Fuentes, A., J.M. Barat, I. Fernández-Segovia, and J.A. Serra. 2007. Study of sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) salting process: Kinetic and thermodynamic control. *Food Control* 19 (8), 757-63. Doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2007.07.014
- Fulladosa, E., I. Muñoz, X. Serra, J. Arnau, and P. Gou. 2015. X-ray absorptiometry for non-destructive monitoring of the salt uptake in bone-in raw hams during salting. *Food Control* 47, 37-42. Doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.06.023
- Garrido-Novell, C., A. Garrido-Varo, D. Pérez-Marín, J.E. Guerrero-Ginel, and M. Kim. 2015. Quantification and spatial characterization of moisture and NaCl content of Iberian dry-cured ham slices using NIR hyperspectral imaging. *J. Food Eng.* 153, 117-23. Doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2014.09.035
- Girón, J., L. Gil-Sánchez, E. García-Breijo, M. Pagán, J.M. Barat, and R. Grau. 2015. Development of potentiometric equipment for the identification of altered dry-cured hams: A preliminary study. *Meat Sci.* 106, 1-5. Doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.03.006
- Giwa, A., V. Dufour, F. Al-Marzooqi, M. Al-Kaabi, and S.W. Hasan. 2017. Brine management methods: Recent innovations and current status. *Desalination* 407, 1-23. Doi: 10.1016/j.desal.2016.12.008
- Gou, P., R. Morales, X. Serra, M.D. Guàrdia, and J. Arnau. 2008. Effect of a 10-day ageing at 30°C on the texture of dry-cured hams processed at temperatures up to 18°C in relation to raw meat pH and salting time. *Meat Sci.* 80, 1333-1339. Doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2008.06.009
- ISO Norm 1442, International Organization for Standardization, 1997. Meat and meat products - Determination of moisture content. Geneva, Switzerland.
- ISO Norm 1443, International Organization for Standardization, 1973. Meat and meat products - Determination of total fat content. Geneva, Switzerland.
- ISO Norm 15214, International Organization for Standardization, 1998. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs - Horizontal method for the enumeration of mesophilic lactic acid bacteria - Colony-count technique at 30 degrees C. Geneva, Switzerland.
- ISO Norm 4833-1, International Organization for Standardization, 2013. Microbiology of the food chain - Horizontal method for the enumeration of microorganisms - Part 1: Colony count at 30 degrees C by the pour plate technique. Geneva, Switzerland.

- ISO Norm 21528-2, International Organization for Standardization, 2017. Microbiology of the food chain - Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae - Part 2: Colony-count technique. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Kloss, L., M. J. Dawn, L. Graeve, and W. Vetter. 2015. Sodium intake and its reduction by food reformulation in the European Union - A review. *NFS Journal* 1, 9-19. Doi: 10.1016/j.nfs.2015.03.001
- Martínez-Onandi, N., A. Rivas-Cañedo, M. Nuñez, and A. Picon. 2016. Effect of chemical composition and high-pressure processing on the volatile fraction of Serrano dry-cured ham. *Meat Sci.* 111, 130-38. Doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.09.004
- Morales, R., L. Guerrero, A.P.S. Aguiar, M.D. Guàrdia, and P. Gou. Factors affecting dry-cured ham consumer acceptability. *Meat Sci.* 95 (3), 652-657. Doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.05.012
- Randall, D.G., J. Nathoo, and A.E. Lewis. 2011. A case study for treating a reverse osmosis brine using Eutectic Freeze Crystallization - Approaching a zero-waste process. *Desalination* 2661 (3), 256-62. Doi: 10.1016/j.desal.2010.08.034
- Randall, D. G. and J. Nathoo. 2015. A succinct review of the treatment of Reverse Osmosis brines using Freeze Crystallization. *J. Water Proc. Eng.* 8, 186-94. Doi: 10.1016/j.jwpe.2015.10.005
- Rizo, A., A. Fuentes, I. Fernández-Segovia, R. Masot, and M. Alcañiz. 2013. Development of a new salmon salting-smoking method and process monitoring by impedance spectroscopy. *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* 51, 218-24. Doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2012.09.025
- Rizo, A., V. Máñes, A. Fuentes, I. Fernández-Segovia, and J.M. Barat. 2015. A novel process for obtaining smoke-flavoured salmon using water vapour permeable bags. *J. Food Eng.* 149, 44-50. Doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2014.10.009
- Sanabria, C., P.J. Martín-Álvarez, and A.V. Carrascosa. 2004. Colour and moisture changes during the manufacture of Iberian dry-cured ham caused by some biotic and abiotic factors. *Food Sci. Technol. Int.* 10 (4), 269-75. Doi: 10.1177/1082013204046094
- UNE-ISO Norm 4121, Spanish Association for Standardization, 2017. Sensory analysis. Guidelines for the use of quantitative response scales. Madrid, Spain.