Physiological performance of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) under agricultural climatic conditions in Boyaca, Colombia
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**ABSTRACT**

Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) is native to South America; it is characterized by its high nutritional composition and high adaptation capacity to diverse edapho-climatic conditions, which highlights how genetic variability is expressed as multiple physiological and phenological responses. The objective of this research was to evaluate the physiological response and proximal composition of the grain to three types of fertilization under the environmental conditions in the municipality of Oicata (Boyaca, Colombia), located at 2,875 m a.s.l. In this place, the white Soracá variety was planted using a completely randomized design with four treatments and four repetitions. It was observed that the fertilization sources have an effect on the physiological and phenological behavior, mainly on the number of leaves, length of stem and chlorophyll content. In addition, the proximal composition of seeds changes, which is attributed to the application of mineral organic fertilizer that improves the production of quinoa grains, while N-P-K contribution shows greater growth and vegetable development, but less yield.
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**Introduction**

Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) is considered a crop with great potential because of its high agronomic characteristics and nutritional value, and especially for its inclusion in children and elderly people’s diets (Valcárcel-Yamany and Silva, 2012). According to Schomöchel et al. (2017), this plant has the capacity to produce grains of high quality and protein content; additionally, it contains amino acids such as lysine and sulfur, which are not found in cereals.

These nutritional characteristics are the result of environmental conditions, such as temperature, light intensity, relative humidity and precipitation. These conditions are key factors in the quality and number of grains per panicle (Morales et al., 2017), as well as in the phenological and physiological performance of the plants related to the adaptive capacity to diverse environmental conditions (Winkel et al., 2016). The plant has adaptive advantages that allow it to express a great productive potential. Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) has undergone diverse evolutionary
processes, but it has been bred from crosses with *Chenopodium carnosulum* to acquire resistance to salinity problems, *Chenopodium petiolare* for getting adaptability to droughts, and *Chenopodium pallidicaule* for getting tolerance to frosts (Jarvis *et al*., 2017).

The quinoa plant has the capacity to develop alternative metabolic plasticity (Bazile *et al*., 2014). This change is induced by conditions such as temperature, light intensity, nutritional status, relative humidity, and water availability (Morales *et al*., 2017). In addition, it is able to undergo phenological, morphological, and physiological changes known as phenotypic plasticity.

On the one hand, the soil conditions, weather, and nutrient availability are important factors in the morpho-agronomic performance of the crop. However, the physical, chemical, and microbiological characteristics are specific to each place and mark plant development and the composition, quality and quantity of the quinoa grain (Veloza *et al*., 2016).

Moreover, in Colombia, the most cultivated varieties of quinoa are Piartal and Tunkahuan which come from Ecuador, SL47 from Nariño, White from Jerico and White from Soraca and Boyaca (Ardila *et al*., 2006). These quinoa genotypes have an average productivity of 1.5 and 2.6 t ha⁻¹ depending on the variety the fertilization plan (Delgado *et al*., 2009) and the environmental conditions (García-Parra *et al*., 2017).

Furthermore, the quinoa is planted at a small scale in the provinces of Nariño, Cundinamarca, Cauca and Boyaca. In Boyaca, there are reports of crops in the central zone (2,538-3,031 m a.s.l) grown from a mix of seeds that affects crop productivity and grain quality (Veloza *et al*., 2016).

In that order, it is necessary to evaluate the physiological performance and the composition of the quinoa grain in three types of fertilization and under the environmental conditions of the municipality of Oicata.

### Materials and methods

The research was carried out from June 2016 to May 2017 in the municipality of Oicata (Boyaca, Colombia) with coordinates 5°22′48″ N and 73°30′09″ W and an elevation of 2,875 m a.s.l. The average temperature was 12°C, with 74.1% relative humidity and an average precipitation of 1018.9 mm per year (Tab. 1). The soil in which the experiment was established had Andic Dystrufts and Vertic Haplustalf association (IGAC and UPTC, 2005).

White quinoa seeds from Soraca were used as plant material, which were stored by the Research Group Agricultura, Organizaciones y Frutos (AOF) for six months. Some of the fertilizers used were: Paz del Rio Fertilizer (PRF, Escoria Thomas, Colombia), Urea (U) and an organic fertilizer from The Agro-Ecological Farm Victoria (AEFV, Colombia).

The experiment area for the test was of 460 m², where four fertilization treatments with four replicates were established. These tests were performed based on the result of the soil analysis carried out by the Chemistry Laboratory of Soil, Water and Plants from Agrosavia (Tab. 2). The treatment T0 was the control, T1 was for the application of 6 kg of AEFV fertilizer, T2 was for the application of 3 kg of AEFV fertilizer plus 100 g of U and 50 g of PRF, and T3 was for the application of 200 g of U and 100 g of PRF (Tab. 3).

The response variables were: number of leaves, height of plants (rigid flex meter), days to reach six true leaves, and days to 50% flowering. In addition, days to milky grain and days to pasty grain state, chlorophyll (SPAD 502 plus, Konica-Minolta, Japan), dry and fresh weights of the plant (Drying oven HSY-75, 24 hours at 104°C), grain productivity, protein amount (Kjeldahl Technique, NTC370), neutral detergent fiber in grain (Gravimetric determination, Van Soest AOAC 2002.4) and acid detergent fiber in grain (Gravimetric determination, Van Soest H₂SO₄), were measured every 15 d.

### TABLE 1. Climatic conditions at the experimental plot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate variation</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation (mm)*</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar brightness (hours)**</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>108.8</td>
<td>161.7</td>
<td>151.8</td>
<td>163.7</td>
<td>151.8</td>
<td>162.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>72.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pluviometer data of Oicata at an altitude of 2,645 m a.s.l Code 24030450 IDEAM.
**Data supplied by the weather station from IDEAM (Universidad Pedagogica y Tecnologica de Colombia).
A completely randomized design with four replicates and 16 separate experimental units was established. The data obtained in the test were tabulated using Excel® program; a test of homogeneity of variety with the Bartlett method and a normality test with the Shapiro-Wilk method were performed. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a Tukey test of comparison of means with a 0.05 significance level were performed using the program R version 3.3.0.

**Statistical design**

A completely randomized design with four replicates and 16 separate experimental units was established. The data obtained in the test were tabulated using Excel® program; a test of homogeneity of variety with the Bartlett method and a normality test with the Shapiro-Wilk method were performed. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a Tukey test of comparison of means with a 0.05 significance level were performed using the program R version 3.3.0.

**Results and discussion**

**Number of leaves**

As shown in Figure 1, significant changes such as an increase in the number of leaves were observed after day 75. However, after the start of the flowering stage (105 d), the plant showed a loss of leaves to its senescence. The highest average was found in the T3 treatment (90.75), which presented significant differences compared to the others, whereas T0 had the lowest average (32.75). All the treatments displayed a sigmoid performance. This performance was expressed in the exponentially development of the plant regarding the time (Taiz and Zeiger, 2007) taking into account that the plant induces, in the vegetative stages, the greatest number of foliar buds capable of capturing and housing the assimilates that will influence the reproductive stages and the formation of grains (Atencio et al., 2014).

![Figure 1](image)

**TABLE 2.** Soil characteristics at the study site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Organic matter (%)</th>
<th>CECE (cmol/Kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interchangeable base (cmol/Kg)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Na</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Microelements (mg/Kg)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>282.4</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3.** Chemical characteristics of fertilizers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRF (%)</th>
<th>U (%)</th>
<th>AEFV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Nitrogen</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phosphorus (P₂O₅)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (K)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (Na)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (CaO)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (MgO)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon (SiO₂)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (Zn)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Cu)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt (Co)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron (Br)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum (Mo)</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur (S)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRF: Paz del Rio fertilizer; U: Urea; AEFV: Agro-Ecological Farm Victoria fertilizer.

Although the number of leaves is a determining variable in the production of quinoa, it is not a key factor to crop yield. Similarly, the application of elements such as nitrogen stimulated the excessive development of fodder, which could affect the grain productivity (Kakabouki et al., 2018). Correspondingly, Garcia et al. (2017) stated that the application of an increasing dose of N-P-K in quinoa enhanced leaf area, but not productivity. Nevertheless, the opposite occurs when organic-mineral fertilizer is used.
This kind of amendment facilitates the absorption of important elements for energetic, metabolic and enzymatic activities of the plant due to the microorganism content (Ramzani et al., 2017).

**Plant height**

Statistical differences ($P<0.05$) in height were recorded from day 75 for the T3 treatment. This contrast resulted in the highest average during the days 75, 90, 105, 135 and 150. The final average was 172.25 cm, compared to the absolute control treatment T0, which resulted in 93.25 cm (Fig. 2). Following day 120, the T2 treatment increased its growth due to the fertilization plan that was provided to each treatment. This increase could be due to the fact that nitrogen can stimulate plant elongation and cellular division, which allows the synthesis of auxin and cytokines that have an effect on stem growth. (Basra et al., 2014).

As a result, when more nitrogen is applied and there is more precipitation, an increase of the stem elongation is evident. According to Fghire et al. (2015), the continuous cell division and elongation increase the permeability of the root meristems and facilitates the absorption of structural minerals such as calcium, considered as fundamental in tissue rigidity and an active agent in the manifestation of plant hormones that stimulate the elongation and cellular division.

**Chlorophyll content**

This variable presented important statistical differences among days 90, 105, 120 and 130. T3 showed the highest average (66.4 SPAD units) in the closest phase to the flowering, at the moment in which the plant displayed the highest number of leaves. However, at 150 d, the treatments did not show significant differences (Fig. 3). This could be due to the fact that nitrogen application and chlorophyll content are directly proportional to each other (Liu et al., 2015), along with the application urea in treatments T2 and T3.

In addition, nitrogen determines the content of assimilates existing in the leaves, and consequently, the tone of the leaf through which the chlorophyll meters act on the wave capture. Then, the high nitrogen applications result in high chlorophyll contents (Fghire et al., 2015), as observed in the experiment. For this reason, it is important to measure the chlorophyll content to evaluate the nutritional status of plants at a leafy level (Rincón and Ligarreto, 2010).

Therefore, it is evident that the highest chlorophyll content is equivalent to vegetative phases and it is reduced in the reproductive phases (Fig. 3). According to García-Parra et al. (2018), the plant captures the highest number of elements in these phases, while in the fertilization, filling, and maturation phases, the nutrients are finally accumulated in the seeds.

**Fresh weight**

Each one of the evaluated organs presented significant statistical differences ($P<0.05$), which evidences that the T2 treatment had greater fresh weight in roots and leaves. In contrast, T3 displayed the highest average in the weight of...
the stem and panicle (Fig. 4). These results were obtained as a response to the implementation of mineral organic fertilizers (García-Parra et al., 2017). Moreover, the high precipitations during the final phase of the vegetative stages and at the beginning of the reproductive stages generated the dilution of the phosphate fertilizer, which is indispensable for the development of diverse energetic activities. Similarly, the contribution of calcium is fundamental in the expression of plant hormones, which stimulate the development of leaves, stems and roots.

The fresh weight of plants is an indicator of efficiency in the uptake of nutrients and water (Torres et al., 2000), which can be influenced by environmental conditions. Thus, the biggest part of fresh biomass is found in the panicles followed by the stems, because the panicles support the leaves, glomerulus, and seeds, and are the duct for important substances in the nutrition of quinoa (Al-Naggar et al., 2017).

**Dry weights**

The plants treated with different fertilization protocols under the edaphoclimatic conditions of the municipality of Oicata presented significant statistical differences ($P<0.05$) regarding the dry weight of the roots, stems, leaves, and panicles. These results showed that the treatments T2 and T3 had the highest average during the entire test (Fig. 5) because of the implementation of the organic material that acts as a retainer of moisture (García, 2006). This facilitates the dilution of urea, Paz del Río fertilizer, and minerals that are present in the AEF fertilizer, all expressed as assimilates in dry matter. In the case of T3, the increment occurred because of the application of soluble fertilizer and the increase of precipitation during the test.
Furthermore, the dry matter is an indicator of the capacity that plants have to absorb nutrients (Magolbo et al., 2015). Aliro et al. (2011) stated that in the vegetative stages the highest dry matter accumulation occurs in leaves, while in grain formation the elements, minerals, and photosynthesized compounds are transported from the source to the sump organs.

Nevertheless, the high indexes of dry weight do not certainly indicate either the productivity of the plant or the quality of its composition. It is due to the fact that quinoa expresses diverse potentials according to its variety and origin; the nutrients absorbed not only reach sump organs like seeds, but they are also stored in source organs as reserve, which are determining in the dry weight of leaves, roots and stems (Jayme-Oliveira et al., 2017).

**Phenological performance**

According to Table 4, the differentiation of the phenological performance started at flowering stage when treatments T1 and T2 took less time to get into their reproductive stages. However, after reaching the stages of milky and pasty grain, T0 presented a shorter productive cycle compared to T1, T2 and T3. This effect was due to the availability of nitrogen, which stimulated the longevity of the plant tissue, generating a constant production of new cells that are expressed in longer productive cycles.

The phenological response by the crop occurs through determining factors such as the soil and weather (Vargas et al., 2015). For this reason, quinoa plants allow to display longer productive cycles when fertilization plans are carried out with N-P-K in excess (Gómez and Aguilar, 2016).
Moreover, and regarding the climatic factors, the activity of the Rubisco activase (enzyme) declines as temperatures increase above the thermal optimum of photosynthesis. This loss of activity causes Rubisco deactivation, which in turn is proposed to reduce photosynthetic capacity at elevated temperatures (Raines 2011).

In addition, elements such as nitrogen determine how long the quinoa plant can be harvested (Geren, 2015). This growth effect is triggered when this element stimulates plant hormones that generate the production of foliar buds, which are indispensable in the photosynthesis process. It also composes the chlorophyll molecule that is found between 40-52 SPAD units in the vegetative phases (García-Parra et al., 2017).

Another determining factor is the edapho-climatic conditions during the establishment and development of the crop. The metabolic and phenotypical plasticity processes adapt to the water levels, sun radiation and relative humidity, which are all variables that intervene in the metabolic activities of the plant. These variables have allowed the plant to adapt to diverse regions of the world (Tabaglio et al., 2015).

**Production and composition of the grain**

Grain production did not show significant statistical differences ($P>0.05$). However, T1 showed a greater productivity per square meter, while protein, neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acidic detergent fiber (ADF) had the best results in T2, T1 and T3, respectively (Tab. 5). The protein of T1 could be higher because it does not contribute to the available nitrogen despite of the organic fertilizer supply; the population of diazotrophic bacterium existing in this kind of fertilizer influences its availability in the plant (Parra-Cota et al., 2014). Additionally, a balance in elements like phosphorus, magnesium and other microelements contribute to the synthesis of protein (Marschner, 2012).

On the one hand, quinoa production is determined by factors such as the availability of elements in the soil. For this reason, even though no significant differences were observed in the grain productivity, treatments T3 and T2 outweigh the amount reported by Delgado et al. (2009). Moreover, the amount of protein obtained is between the ranges established by Jacobsen (2003), which are between 13 and 18%. These ranges are higher in comparison to cereals such as rice (7.5%) and corn (13.4%) (Elsohaimy et al., 2015).

Regarding NDF content, the amount was higher in T1 seeds. However, the values for every treatment are higher in comparison to what was obtained by Peiretti et al. (2013), who reported values of 12.75%. The amount of ADF in the test was low compared to studies by Simranpreet et al. (2017), who reported 77.73%. These results were obtained because the composition and presence of fiber are influenced by the maturity stage of the seed (Reguera et al., 2018). The results in this test are related to the fact that when plants started the grain phenological stage, precipitations caused the elongation of the panicle that formed new seeds. This prolonged the phenological period of the plant.

---

**TABLE 4.** Phenological performance of quinoa according to the treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Days to six true leaves</th>
<th>Days to 50% flowering</th>
<th>Days to milky grain</th>
<th>Days to pasty grain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>27±0.0 a</td>
<td>119.5±3.3 a</td>
<td>142.2±1.5 c</td>
<td>171.5±1.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>25.7±0.9 a</td>
<td>118.5±1.7 ab</td>
<td>143±0.0 c</td>
<td>173±0.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>26.5±0.5 a</td>
<td>118.7±3.5 ab</td>
<td>150±0.0 b</td>
<td>188±1.6 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>26.7±0.5 a</td>
<td>124±0.0 b</td>
<td>154.2±3.4 a</td>
<td>202±2.1 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences according to the Tukey test ($P≤0.05$). T0: absolute control; T1: organic fertilizer; T2: organic fertilizer + urea + Paz del Río fertilizer, and T3: urea + Paz del Río fertilizer.

**TABLE 5.** Production and composition of the quinoa grain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Grain productivity (g/m²)</th>
<th>Protein (%)</th>
<th>NDF (%)</th>
<th>ADF (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>243±1.6 a</td>
<td>13.9±1.6 a</td>
<td>15±1.1a</td>
<td>10.79±0.9 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>389±3.9 a</td>
<td>14.7±0.5 a</td>
<td>16.11±0.2 a</td>
<td>10.24±0.3 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>298.2±1.7 a</td>
<td>14.9±2.8 a</td>
<td>14.08±0.8 a</td>
<td>9.72±0.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>374.2±1.7 a</td>
<td>13.6±0.5 a</td>
<td>15.69±0.3 a</td>
<td>11.64±1.2 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NDF: Neutral Detergent Fiber. ADF: Acid Detergent Fiber. Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences according to the Tukey test ($P≤0.05$). T0: absolute control, T1: organic fertilizer, T2: organic fertilizer + urea + Paz del Río fertilizer, and T3: Urea + Paz del Río Fertilizer.
to seven months; in other words, two more months than the phenological average of the variety in previous tests.

It is noteworthy that reports of ADF and NDF are very diverse in different tests reported in around the world. This could be happening because of the variety, maturity stage of the grain and fertilization, as referenced by Peiretti et al. (2013), whose results were 12.75% of ADF and 5.49% of NDF. On the other hand, Marmouzi et al. (2015) reported ADF of 72.03% and ADF of 27.06%, similar to the results of Simranpreet et al. (2017), with values of 77.73% and 27.4% for NDF and ADF, respectively.

**Conclusions**

Quinoa under the high Andean tropic conditions has a better reaction and performance reflected on grain productivity and quality when it is amended with organic and mineral fertilizers. Nonetheless, from a physiological activity perspective, the plant responded better to mineral fertilization. Even though the organic fertilizer did not contribute to the nitrogen available to the plant, the population of diazotrophic bacteria native to this environment is efficient in the contribution of nitrogen for plant development.
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