|  |
| --- |
| EVALUATION BY PEERS **FINAL EVALUATION** |

This format is designed so that you, as the evaluator, can give your final conclusions once the author has made the respective modifications and corrections according to your suggestions, and, in this way, you can decide whether to recommend the article in question for publication.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **I. General Information**: In this section you will enter basic information about the article, such as the title of the article, the date it was received and evaluated, the language of the article, your personal data as an evaluator, and the institution to which you belong. Please fill in this information below. | | | | |
| Title. |  | | | |
| Date of Receipt | Day / Month / Year | | Evaluation Date | Day / Month / Year |
| Language of the article |  | | | |
| Evaluator’s full name | |  | | |
| Institution | |  | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **II. Conclusions of the evaluator on incorporation of recommendations and suggestions** | | |
| 1. After reading the revised version of the article, do you consider that the author incorporated the recommendations and suggestions made by you in your previous evaluation? | | |
| Yes |  | Observations: |
| No |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **III. Conclusions of the evaluator on publication of the article** | | |
| b) Do you consider this article ready for publication? | | |
| Yes |  | Observations: |
| No |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **IV. Suggestions** (if you have any, please write them below) |
|  |