

Ineffable sets and large cardinals

Conjuntos inefables y grandes cardinales

FRANQUI CARDENAS

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia

ABSTRACT. Suppose κ is a regular cardinal. We prove that if the set of H_{λ^+} -reflecting cardinals $\lambda < \kappa$ is ineffable, then κ is an H_{κ^+} -reflecting cardinal. Similarly, we also prove that if the set of Woodin cardinals/cardinals having the stationary reflection property below κ is ineffable, then κ is a Woodin cardinal/cardinal having the stationary reflection property.

Key words and phrases. Ineffable set, reflecting cardinal, Woodin cardinal, stationary reflection property.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 03E55.

RESUMEN. Probamos que si el conjunto de cardinales λ bajo κ tales que λ es cardinal H_{λ^+} -reflejante es un subconjunto inefable de κ entonces κ resulta ser un cardinal H_{κ^+} -reflejante. De manera similar para la propiedades de ser cardinal de Woodin y la propiedad de reflexión estacionaria: si el conjunto de los cardinales λ bajo κ tales que λ es cardinal de Woodin (se satisface $RP(\lambda)$) es un subconjunto inefable de κ entonces κ es cardinal de Woodin (se tiene $RP(\kappa)$).

Palabras y frases clave. Conjunto inefable, cardinal reflejante, cardinal de Woodin, propiedad de reflexión estacionaria.

1. Introduction

We have proved in [1] that if the set of Ramsey cardinals, Rowbottom cardinals or Jónsson cardinals below κ is an ineffable subset of κ then κ turns out to be a Ramsey cardinal, Rowbottom or Jónsson cardinal respectively. Continuing with this idea, we extend the result for the property of being a Woodin cardinal, a reflecting cardinal and having the stationary reflection property.

2. Main results

Definition 2.1. Let $\kappa > \omega$ be a regular cardinal and $A \subseteq \kappa$. A is said to be an ineffable subset of κ if and only if for any sequence $\langle S_\zeta : \zeta \in A \rangle$ such that for all $\zeta \in A$, $S_\zeta \subseteq \zeta$ there exists $T \subseteq A$, T a stationary subset of κ such that for all $\zeta < \zeta' \in T$, $S_\zeta = \zeta \cap S_{\zeta'}$. In this case we say that the sequence $\langle S_\zeta : \zeta \in T \rangle$ is coherent. If $A = \kappa$, κ is said to be an ineffable cardinal.

The following definition is due to Miyamoto, [3]:

Definition 2.2. An inaccessible cardinal κ is said to be an H_{κ^+} -reflecting cardinal if and only if for any $E \subseteq \kappa$ and any formula φ , if there is some cardinal χ such that $H_\chi \models \varphi(E)$, then the set $\{\alpha < \kappa : \text{There is a cardinal } \chi' < \kappa \text{ with } H_{\chi'} \models \varphi(E \cap \alpha)\}$ is a stationary subset of κ .

In [3] it is proved that κ is an H_{κ^+} -reflecting cardinal if and only if κ is a strongly unfoldable cardinal. Unfoldable cardinals were introduced by Villaverces in [4].

Theorem 2.3. If $A = \{\lambda < \kappa : \lambda \text{ is an } H_{\lambda^+}\text{-reflecting cardinal}\}$ is an ineffable subset of κ then κ is an H_{κ^+} -reflecting cardinal.

Proof. Let $E \subseteq \kappa$, φ be a formula and χ be a cardinal such that $H_\chi \models \varphi(E)$. Let ψ be the Σ_1 -sentence “There is ρ a cardinal and there is a set y such that $y = H_\rho$ and $y \models \varphi(E)$ ”. Since $H_{\kappa^+} \prec_1 V$ there is a cardinal $\rho \in H_{\kappa^+}$ and there exists $y \in H_{\kappa^+}$ such that $y = H_\rho$ and $y \models \varphi(E)$ in H_{κ^+} . So $H_{\kappa^+} \models \varphi(E)$ and therefore $\langle V_\kappa, \in, E \rangle \models \varphi(E)$. Hence the set:

$$C = \{\alpha < \kappa : \langle V_\alpha, \in, E \cap \alpha \rangle \prec \langle V_\kappa, \in, E \rangle\}$$

is a club subset of κ . So $A \cap C$ is an ineffable subset of κ and for every $\lambda \in A \cap C$, $\langle V_\lambda, \in, E \cap \lambda \rangle \models \varphi(E \cap \lambda)$. Then there is a cardinal χ_λ such that $H_{\chi_\lambda} \models \varphi(E \cap \lambda)$ for any $\lambda \in A \cap C$. Now since λ is an H_{λ^+} -reflecting cardinal the set

$$N_\lambda := \{\alpha < \lambda : \exists \eta < \lambda \text{ a cardinal, } H_\eta \models \varphi(E \cap \alpha)\}$$

is a stationary subset of λ for every $\lambda \in A \cap C$. So there exists $S \subseteq A \cap C$ a stationary subset of κ such that the sequence $\langle N_\lambda : \lambda \in S \rangle$ is coherent. Then the set $N = \bigcup_{\lambda \in S} N_\lambda$ is a stationary subset of κ such that

$$N \subseteq \{\alpha < \kappa : \exists \chi' < \kappa \text{ a cardinal, } H_{\chi'} \models \varphi(E \cap \alpha)\}.$$

(N is a stationary subset of κ : Given $D \subseteq \kappa$ a club subset of κ , then for $\lambda \in S \cap \overline{D}$, $D \cap \lambda$ is club in λ and hence $D \cap N_\lambda \neq \emptyset$). \square

For the following theorem we use the fact that κ is a Woodin cardinal if and only if for any $B \subseteq V_\kappa$ the set

$$\{\alpha < \kappa : \forall \delta < \kappa (\alpha \text{ is } \delta\text{-strong for } B)\}$$

is stationary in κ (see [2]), where κ is γ -strong for B if and only if there is a $j : V \rightarrow M$ such that:

- (1) $cp(j) = \kappa$ and $\gamma < j(\kappa)$,
- (2) $V_\gamma \subseteq M$, and
- (3) $B \cap V_\gamma = j(B) \cap V_\gamma$.

Theorem 2.4. *If $A = \{\delta < \kappa : \delta \text{ is a Woodin cardinal}\}$ is an ineffable subset of κ then κ is a Woodin cardinal.*

Proof. Let $B \subseteq V_\kappa$. We have to prove that the set $\{\delta < \kappa : \forall \lambda < \kappa (\delta \text{ is } \lambda\text{-strong for } B)\}$ is a stationary subset of κ . For $\mu \in A$, the set $S_\mu := \{\alpha < \mu : \forall \lambda < \mu (\alpha \text{ is } \lambda\text{-strong for } B \cap V_\mu)\}$ is a stationary subset of μ . Since A is an ineffable subset of κ , there exists $T \subseteq A$ a stationary subset of κ such that the sequence $\langle S_\mu : \mu \in T \rangle$ is coherent. Let $S = \bigcup_{\mu \in T} S_\mu$. Then S is a stationary subset of κ . We have to prove that $S \subseteq \{\delta < \kappa : \forall \lambda < \kappa (\delta \text{ is } \lambda\text{-strong for } B)\}$: Let $\delta \in S$. Then there exists $\mu \in T$ such that $\delta \in S_\mu$. We can suppose $\lambda < \mu$ since $S - (\lambda + 1)$ is also a stationary subset of κ and the sequence $\langle S_\mu : \mu \in T \rangle$ is coherent. So δ is λ -strong for $B \cap V_\mu$ and there is $j : V \rightarrow M$ such that $cp(j) = \delta$ with $j(\delta) > \lambda$, $V_\lambda \subseteq M$ and $(B \cap V_\mu) \cap V_\lambda = B \cap V_\lambda = j(B \cap V_\mu) \cap V_\lambda = j(B) \cap j(V_\mu) \cap V_\lambda = j(B) \cap (V_{j(\mu)})^M \cap V_\lambda = j(B) \cap V_\lambda$. \checkmark

Definition 2.5. For $\lambda \geq \omega_2$ a regular cardinal, $RP(\lambda)$ is the statement: For every $S \subseteq [\lambda]^\omega$, S a stationary subset of $[\lambda]^\omega$ there exists $x \in [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ such that $S \cap [x]^\omega$ is a stationary subset of $[x]^\omega$.

Definition 2.6. For $S \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$ and $\lambda < \kappa$, $S|\lambda$ is the set $\{a \cap \lambda : a \in S\}$. If $S \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$ is a stationary subset of $[\kappa]^\omega$ then $S|\lambda$ is a stationary subset of $[\lambda]^\omega$ (see [2]).

Theorem 2.7. *If $A = \{\lambda < \kappa : \lambda \geq \omega_2 \text{ is a regular cardinal such that } RP(\lambda)\}$ is an ineffable subset of κ then $RP(\kappa)$.*

Proof. Let S be a stationary subset of $[\kappa]^\omega$. For every $\lambda \in A$, there exists $x_\lambda \in [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ such that $(S|\lambda) \cap [x_\lambda]^\omega$ is a stationary subset of $[x_\lambda]^\omega$. Since A is an ineffable subset of κ , there is $T \subseteq A$ a stationary subset of κ such that the sequence $\langle x_\lambda : \lambda \in T \rangle$ is coherent, so there is $\lambda \in T$ such that $x := x_\lambda = x_{\lambda'}$ for every $\lambda' \geq \lambda$. Then $S \cap [x]^\omega$ is a stationary subset of $[x]^\omega$: Let C be a club subset of $[x]^\omega$ and let $\lambda_0 \in T$ be such that $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda$ and $x \subseteq \lambda_0$. Since $(S|\lambda_0) \cap [x]^\omega$ is a stationary subset of $[x]^\omega$ there exists $p_0 \in (S|\lambda_0) \cap C$ and $q_0 \in S$ such that $p_0 = q_0 \cap \lambda_0 = q_0 \cap x$. For some $\lambda_1 \in T$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_0$, $q_0 \subseteq \lambda_1$, so $q_0 \in S|\lambda_1$ and therefore there is $p_1 \in (S|\lambda_1) \cap C$ such that $q_0 \subseteq p_1 \subseteq x$. Hence $q_0 \cap x = q_0 = p_0 \in S$, so $p_0 \in S \cap C$. \checkmark

References

- [1] F. Cárdenas, *Ineffable limits of weakly compact cardinals and similar results*, Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas **54** (2020), no. 2, 181–186.
- [2] T. Jech, *Set Theory. The Third Millennium Edition, revised and expanded*, fourth ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2006.
- [3] T. Miyamoto, *A note on weak segments of PFA*. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Asian Logic Conference (Beijing, 1996)*, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, 1998.
- [4] A. Villaveces, *Chains of end elementary extensions of models of set theory*, Journal of Symbolic Logic **63** (1998), no. 3, 1116–1136.

(Recibido en agosto de 2024. Aceptado en enero de 2025)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA
FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS
CARRERA 30, CALLE 45
BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA
e-mail: fscardenasp@unal.edu.co