

A NOTE ON GENERALIZED MOBIUS  $\mu$ -FUNCTIONS

by

V.S. ALBIS

In [1] the concept of a conjugate pair of sets of positive integers is introduced. Briefly, if  $Z$  denotes the set of positive integers and  $P$  and  $Q$  denote non-empty subsets of  $Z$  such that: if  $n_1 \in Z$ ,  $n_2 \in Z$ ,  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$ , then

$$(1) \quad n = n_1 n_2 \in P(\text{resp. } Q) \Leftrightarrow n_1 \in P, n_2 \in P \text{ (resp. } Q),$$

and, if in addition, for each integer  $n \in Z$  there is a unique factorization of the form

$$(2) \quad n = ab, \quad a \in P, \quad b \in Q,$$

we say that each of the sets  $P$  and  $Q$  is a direct factor set of  $Z$ , and that  $(P, Q)$  is a conjugate pair. It is clear that  $P \cap Q = \{1\}$ . Among the generalized functions studied in [1], we find

$$(3) \quad \mu_P(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in P}} \mu(n/d)$$

a generalization of MOBIUS  $\mu$ -function. The following results are also proved in [1]:

(i)  $\mu_P$  is a multiplicative function.

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} \mu_P(n/d) = \rho(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Here we shall show that  $\mu_P$  is the unique arithmetical function satisfying (ii) above. Let  $\mu^*$  be such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} \mu^*(n/d) = \rho(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

If  $\mu^*$  is multiplicative, it suffices to prove that  $\mu_P(p^k) = \mu^*(p^k)$  for every prime  $p$  and every integer  $k > 0$ . So let  $\mu^*$  be a multiplicative function; it follows from (4) and (ii) that  $\mu_P(1) = \mu^*(1)$ , thus  $\mu_P(p) = \mu^*(p)$  for every prime  $p$ . We will now show by induction on  $k$  that  $\mu_P(p^k) = \mu^*(p^k)$ . Suppose this relation holds for  $u > k \geq 0$ . From (ii) we obtain

$$(5) \quad \mu_P(p^u) = - \sum_{\substack{1 < i < u \\ p^i \in Q}} \mu_P(p^u/p^i) ,$$

because  $1 = p^0 \in Q$ . On the other hand, from (4) we obtain

$$(6) \quad \mu^*(p^u) = - \sum_{\substack{1 < i < u \\ p^i \in Q}} \mu^*(p^u/p^i) ,$$

because  $1 = p^0 \in Q$ . But by the induction hypothesis,  $\mu_P(p^{u-i}) = \mu^*(p^{u-i})$  ( $i = 1, \dots, u$ ). Thus the righth members in (5) and (6) are equal, so that  $\mu_P(p^u) = \mu^*(p^u)$

In view of the above result, it suffices to show that any function  $\mu^*$  satisfying (4) is multiplicative, thus proving the following

THEOREM 1. If  $\mu^*$  satisfies (4) for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\mu^* = \mu_P$ .

In order to prove this theorem we begin with some lemmata. ([2])

LEMMA 1. Let  $g$  be a multiplicative function. If  $g(1) = 0 \Rightarrow g(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $g(1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow g(1) = 1$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $f$  be an arithmetical function. If  $\sum_{d|n, d \in \mathbb{Q}} f(n/d) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $f(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof: As in [1, lemma 2], we proceed by induction on  $n$ , noting that  $f(n)$  always appears in the involved sum, because  $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $g$  be a multiplicative function. If  $f$  is such that

$$g(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in \mathbb{Q}}} f(n/d)$$

then  $f$  is multiplicative.

Proof: The proof is a convenient and trivial adaptation of that of lemma 3, [2]. For the sake of clearness we repeat it. If  $g(1) = 0$ , then  $g(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so by lemma 2,  $f(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $g(1) = 1$  it is clear that that  $f(1) = 1$ . Let us consider the following proposition:

$$P\{m, n\} : \ll (m, n) = 1 \Rightarrow f(mn) = f(m)f(n) \gg.$$

If  $m$  or  $n = 1$ , the above proposition is true. Let us suppose that  $P\{m, n\}$  is false for some pair  $(m, n)$ , and let

$$m_0 = \min \{ m; \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } (m, n) = 1 \text{ such that } P\{m, n\} \text{ is false} \}$$

then there exists an  $n$  such that  $(m_0, n) = 1$  and  $P\{m_0, n\}$  is false. Now let

$$n_0 = \min \{ n; (m_0, n) = 1 \text{ and } P\{m_0, n\} \text{ is false} \}.$$

We have then:

- i)  $1 < m_0 < n_0$  and  $(m_0, n_0) = 1$ .
- ii)  $P\{m_0, n_0\}$  is false.
- iii)  $P\{k, n\}$  is true for every  $n$  and each  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k < m_0$  and  $(k, n) = 1$ .
- iv)  $P\{m_0, t\}$  is true for each  $t$  such that  $1 \leq t \leq n_0$  and  $(m_0, t) = 1$ .

If now we take  $g(m_0 n_0)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{t|m_0 n_0 \\ t \in \mathbb{Q}}} f(m_0 n_0 / t) &= g(m_0 n_0) = g(m_0) g(n_0) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\substack{d|m_0 \\ d \in \mathbb{Q}}} f(m_0 / d) \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\delta|n_0 \\ \delta \in \mathbb{Q}}} f(n_0 / \delta) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

using the multiplicativity of  $g$  and (1); so that

$$\sum_{\substack{d|m_0, \delta|n_0 \\ d, \delta \in \mathbb{Q}}} \{ f(m_0 n_0 / d\delta) - f(m_0 / d) f(n_0 / \delta) \} = 0$$

But  $m_0/d$  and  $n_0/\delta$  are smaller than  $m_0$  and  $n_0$ , resp., if  $d, \delta \neq 1$ ; thus from the above relation and the hypothesis on  $(m_0, n_0)$ , we conclude that

$$f(m_0 n_0) - f(m_0) f(n_0) = 0,$$

contradicting ii). So  $P\{m, n\}$  is always true.

We remark that no explicit calculation for  $\mu_p$  is

is needed in the above reasonings. Further, if we use the following theorem [1, theorem 3]:

THEOREM 2. If f and g are arithmetical functions,  
then

$$(iii) \quad g(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} f(n/d) \Leftrightarrow f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d) \mu_P(n/d),$$

the uniqueness of  $\mu_P$  is easily proved. Here we have proved Theorem 1 without help of this result; but we will prove more:  $\mu_P$  is the sole function that can perform the inversion in Theorem 2. For this we have to prove the following

LEMMA 4. If  $f(1) \neq 0$  and  $\sum_{e|n} f(e) \rho^*(n/e) = f(n)$ ,  
then  $\rho^*(n) = \rho(n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof: See [2, lemma 4].

Suppose now that  $\mu^*$  is such that

$$(7) \quad g(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} f(n/d) \Leftrightarrow f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d) \mu^*(n/d).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \sum_{d|n} g(d) \mu^*(n/d) = \sum_{d|n} \mu^*(n/d) \cdot \sum_{\substack{\delta e=d \\ \delta \in Q}} f(e) \\ &= \sum_{e|n} f(e) \sum_{\substack{d \delta' = n \\ \delta e = d \\ \delta \in Q}} \mu^*(\delta') = \sum_{e|n} f(e) \sum_{\substack{\delta \delta' = n/e \\ \delta \in Q}} \mu^*(\delta'); \end{aligned}$$

writing  $\rho^*(n) = \sum_{n=\delta d, d \in Q} \mu^*(\delta)$  we have  $f(n) = \sum_{e|n} f(e) \rho^*(n/e)$ , so by lemma 4 and theorem 1,

$$\rho(n) = \rho^*(n) = \sum \mu^*(\delta) \Rightarrow \mu^* = \mu_P.$$

Thus we have proved the

THEOREM 3. Let f and g be arithmetical functions  
such that  $g(1) \neq 0$ . If

$$g(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} f(n/d) \iff f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d) \mu^*(n/d)$$

then  $\mu^* = \mu_P$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. COHEN, E.: A class of residue systems (mod r) and related arithmetical functions, I. A generalization of Mobius function, Pacific J. of Math., vol. 9 (1959), 13.
2. SATYANARAYANA, U.V.: On the inversion property of the Mobius  $\mu$ -function, The Math. Gazette, vol. XLVII(1963), 38-42.

Departamento de Matemáticas y Estadística  
Universidad Nacional de Colombia  
 (Recibido en marzo de 1968)