

DIFFERENTIAL SIMPLICITY AND A CRITERION FOR NORMALITY

by

Ives LEQUAIN

0. *Introduction.* Let P be a point on an algebraic variety V over a ground field k . Let R be the local ring of P on V , and let \mathcal{D} be the module of derivations of R into itself. If R is \mathcal{D} -simple, then P is a normal point.

Let P be a point on a noetherian scheme X . Let R be the local ring of P on X , and let \mathcal{D} be the module of derivations of R into itself. If R is \mathcal{D} -simple, then P need not be anymore a normal point. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for P to be normal.

1. *Preliminaries.* Let R be a commutative ring with identity. A derivation D of R is a map from R into R such that $D(a+b) = D(a) + D(b)$ and $D(ab) = aD(b) + bD(a)$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Let \mathcal{D} be a set of derivations of R . An ideal I of R is a \mathcal{D} -ideal if $D(I) \subseteq I$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$; R is \mathcal{D} -simple if it has no \mathcal{D} -ideal other than (0) and (1) . If R contains the rational numbers and has no prime \mathcal{D} -ideal other than (0) and (1) , then R is \mathcal{D} -simple [2, Cor. 1.5 p. 743].

If R is a domain with quotient field K , and if D is a derivation of R , then D can be uniquely extended to a derivation of K that we also call D [6, lemma p. 120]; if T is any domain between R and K such that $D(T) \subseteq T$, we say that D is regular on T , or that D can be extended to T .

We note that if D is regular on a ring T and if S is a multiplicative system of T , then D is regular on T_S . We note also that if R is \mathcal{D} -simple, if T is a ring such that $R \subseteq T \subseteq K$, and if every $D \in \mathcal{D}$ can be extended to T , then T is \mathcal{D} -simple [3, Prop. 1.1, p. 216]. We shall write $D^{(0)}(x)$ to denote x , and for $n \geq 1$, $D^{(n)}(x)$ to denote $D(D^{(n-1)}(x))$, i.e. the n^{th} derivative of x . If Q is a prime ideal of a ring R we shall write $Q^{(n)}$ to denote the n^{th} symbolic power of Q , i.e. $Q^{(n)} = Q^n R_Q \cap R = \{x \in R \mid \exists y \in R \setminus Q \text{ such that } xy \in Q^n\}$. Of course, when Q is a maximal ideal, we have $Q^{(n)} = Q^n$.

II. Case of a point on an algebraic variety. Let P be a point on an algebraic variety V over a ground field k . Let R be the local ring of P on V and let \mathcal{D} be the module of derivations of R . We have :

PROPOSITION 1. *If R is \mathcal{D} -simple, then P is a normal point, i.e. R is integrally-closed.*

Proof: R is of the type $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]_M$ where M is a maximal ideal of $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Denoting the integral closure of S by \bar{S} , \bar{S} is a finite S -module [6, Theorem 9, p. 267]; thus, the conductor of S in \bar{S} is certainly an ideal $A \neq (0)$; then, $\bar{S}_{S \setminus M} = \bar{R}$ the integral closure of $R = S_M$ and the conductor of R in \bar{R} is $AR \neq (0)$ [6, lemma p. 269]. If the characteristic of k is $p \neq 0$, then R is a field [2, Theorem 1.4 p. 743] and therefore integrally closed. If the characteristic of k is 0, then every $D \in \mathcal{D}$ can be extended to \bar{R} [5, p. 168] so that the conductor of R in \bar{R} is a \mathcal{D} -ideal of R ; since it is $\neq (0)$, and since R is \mathcal{D} -simple, it has to be the ideal (1), so that $R = \bar{R}$ is integrally closed.

III. Case of a point on a noetherian scheme. The conjecture that the preceeding proposition should be true for a point P on a noetherian scheme X was given a counterexample in [2, Example 2.2, p. 746] where a noetherian, local, 1-dimensional, not integrally closed domain R was constructed, and a derivation D of R

was defined such that R was D -simple. Here, we shall look for conditions that make a point P normal when R is \mathcal{D} -simple.

Thus, let X be a noetherian scheme, P a point on X , R the local ring of P on X , and \mathcal{D} the module of derivations of R . Our assumption is that R is \mathcal{D} -simple. If R is of characteristic $p \neq 0$, R is a primary ring [2, Theorem 1.4, p. 743], hence is equal to its total quotient ring and therefore integrally closed; this case will not be anymore of interest in our considerations. Thus, we can now suppose that R is a \mathcal{D} -simple noetherian ring of characteristic 0; it is then a domain containing the rational numbers. [2, Cor. 1.5, p. 743]. Let K be its quotient field and \bar{R} its integral closure in K ; let $\mathcal{P} = \{\text{minimal prime ideals of } R\}$, and $R' = \bigcap_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} R_Q$. We have :

PROPOSITION 2 : $R \subseteq R' \subseteq \bar{R}$.

Proof : That $R \subseteq R' = \bigcap_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} R_Q$ is clear. Now, let $Q \in \mathcal{P}$; by the Cohen-Seidenberg lying over theorem [6, Theorem 3, p. 256], there exists a prime ideal \bar{Q} of \bar{R} such that $\bar{Q} \cap R = Q$; by [2, Theorem 3.3, p. 749], \bar{Q} is unique, and is a minimal prime; furthermore, the map $\varphi : \mathcal{P} = \{\text{minimal prime ideals of } R\} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{P}} = \{\text{minimal prime ideals of } \bar{R}\}$ defined by $\varphi(Q) = \bar{Q}$ is clearly injective since $Q = \bar{Q} \cap R$, and is surjective [2, Theorem 3.3, p. 749]. Now, since R is a noetherian domain, \bar{R} is a Krull ring [4, (33.10) p. 118] and $\bar{R} = \bigcap_{\bar{Q} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}} \bar{R}_{\bar{Q}}$, so that we have $R' = \bigcap_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} R_Q \subseteq \bigcap_{\bar{Q} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}} \bar{R}_{\bar{Q}} = \bar{R}$.

In [2, Example 2.2, p. 746] it was shown that $R' \subsetneq \bar{R}$ can happen.

LEMMA 3. *If R is 1-dimensional, let Q be its unique non trivial prime ideal, and let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $D(Q) \subsetneq Q$. Then the following statements are equivalent :*

(i) P is a normal point on X , i.e. $R = \bar{R}$.

(ii) $\forall n \geq 1, Q^n = \{x \in R \mid D^{(i)}(x) \in Q \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$

Proof: Suppose R integrally closed; then R is a rank-1-discrete valuation ring. Let u be a generator of Q ; since $D(Q) \not\subseteq Q$, we have $D(u) \notin Q$; we can suppose that $D(u) = 1$. If $x \in Q^n$, we certainly have $D^{(0)}(x), \dots, D^{(n-1)}(x) \in Q$; conversely, if $x \notin Q^n$, we have $x = u^k t$ with $k < n$ and t a unit in R ; then, $D^{(k)}(x) = k!t + u r_k$ with $r_k \in R$; since R is D -simple of characteristic 0, $k!/t$ is a unit in R and $D^{(k)}(x) \notin Q$. Thus (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

Now, suppose (ii) true, and let \bar{R} be the integral closure of R . By [5, p. 168] and [3, lemma 2.2 p. 216] \bar{R} has only one prime ideal, thus \bar{R} is a rank-1-discrete valuation ring, D -simple; let \bar{Q} be its maximal ideal. For \bar{R} , the condition (i) is satisfied, hence, as was checked, we have, for every $n \geq 1$, $\bar{Q}^n = \{x \in \bar{R} \mid D^{(i)}(x) \in \bar{Q} \text{ for } i=0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Then, we get $\bar{Q}^n \cap R = \{x \in R \mid D^{(i)}(x) \in \bar{Q} \cap R = Q \text{ for } i=0, 1, \dots, n-1\} = Q^n$ since we suppose (ii) true. Hence, R is a topological subspace of \bar{R} (with the Q -adic and \bar{Q} -adic topology respectively), and $R^* \subseteq \bar{R}^*$ where R^* and \bar{R}^* are the completions of R and \bar{R} respectively. By [1, p. 330], \bar{R}^* has no nilpotent element other than 0, hence R^* has no nilpotent element other than 0 either, and again by [1, p. 330], \bar{R} is a finite R -module, and the conductor C of R in \bar{R} is different from (0). But C is a D -ideal and R is D -simple; thus $C = (1)$ and $R = \bar{R}$.

LEMMA 4. *If Q is any prime ideal of R , and D any element of \mathcal{D} , the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $Q^n R_Q = \{x \in R_Q \mid D^{(i)}(x) \in Q R_Q \text{ for } i=0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$

(ii) $Q^n R_Q \cap R = \{x \in R \mid D^{(i)}(x) \in Q \text{ for } i=0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$

Proof: This is an easy computation that we shall omit.

THEOREM 5: *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) P is normal on X , i.e. $R = \bar{R}$

(ii) $R = R'$ and $\forall Q \in \mathcal{P}$, $\exists D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\forall n \geq 1$, the n^{th} symbolic power $Q^{(n)}$ of Q is equal to

$$\{x \in R \mid D^{(i)}(x) \in Q \text{ for } i=0, 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Proof: Note that R is integrally closed if and only if $R = \bigcap_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} R_Q = R'$ and R_Q is integrally closed for every $Q \in \mathcal{P}$; then apply lemmas 3 and 4.

Remark: When R is a \mathcal{D} -simple noetherian ring, it is not known if $R \subsetneq R' = \bigcap_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} R_Q$ can happen, i.e. equivalently if R can have some principal ideals with some embedded associate prime. It is not known either if \bar{R} is noetherian in general.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Y. Akizuki, *Einige Bemerkungen über primäre Integritätsbereiche mit Teilerkettensatz*, Proc. Physico-Math. Soc. Japan, 17 (1935), 327-336.
2. Y. Lequain, *Differential simplicity and complete integral closure*, Pacific J. Math. 36 (1971), 741-751.
3. ———, *Differential simplicity and extensions of a derivation*, Pacific J. Math. 46 (1973), 215-224.
4. M. Nagata, *Local rings*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1962.
5. A. Seidenberg, *Derivations and integral closure*, Pacific J. Math. 16 (1966), 167-173.
6. O. Zariski-P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, v. 1, Van Nostrand Co., 1958.

IMPA

Rua Luiz de Camoes, 68

Rio de Janeiro, Brasil, S. A.

(Recibido en noviembre de 1973)