

LINEAR FUNCTIONALS AND LOCAL MEASURES

(A version of the Riesz Representation Theorem in the context of metric spaces)

by

Jairo ÁLVAREZ

0) *Introduction* : The classical version of the Riesz Representation Theorem is proved in the context of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and the local compactness plays an essential role ([1]). This means, for instance, that the theorem is not true when the underlying space is a topological vector space of infinite dimension . This paper shows that it is possible to modify the classic proof to establish a natural extension of this theorem in the context of metric spaces or, more generally, in the context of paracompact spaces (see results in sections 5, 6, 7, 8). A similar extension can be obtained via the Daniell integral ([2]), using Stone theorem instead of our lemma and following the same type of construction used in the proof of our final theorem. However, taking advantage of the particular nature of a metric space, we use a weaker condition regarding the type of continuity imposed on the "representable" functionals.

The notion of local measure (see section 4) was introduced by C. Elson in [4] and we follow the same type of construction used there in theorem 4.4 to establish our final result.

1): *Conventions 1:* Let (X, d) be a metric space and W a non-empty open subset of X . Define :

- $S(f)$: support of the function f .
- $E^\delta = \{ y \in X \mid d(y, E) < \delta \}$, where δ is a positive number and E a subset of X .
- $W_\delta = \{ y \in W \mid \exists \rho > \delta \quad B_\rho(y) \subset W \}$, where δ and ρ are positive numbers.
- A non empty subset E of X is said to be properly bounded in W if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that diameter of E^δ is finite and $E^\delta \subset W$.
- $C_o(W) = \{ f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ bounded, continuous and } S(f) \text{ properly bounded in } W \}$.
- A sequence of functions is said to converge uniformly in a local sense in X , if every element of X has an open neighborhood in which the sequence converges uniformly. Note that if X is a separable metric space this is equivalent to say that there exists a countable open covering (V_i) of X such that in each V_i the sequence converges uniformly.
- A sequence of functions in $C_o(W)$ is said to be C -convergent if it is uniformly convergent in a local sense in X and the sequence is uniformly bounded. Note that $C_o(W)$ is not closed with respect to this convergence.
- A sequence of functions (f_n) in $C_o(W)$ is said to be C_o -convergent or simply that it is convergent in $C_o(W)$, if it is C -convergent and besides $\bigcup_{n>0} S(f_n)$ is closed under this convergence. If f is the function in $C_o(W)$ defined by the limit of a sequence (f_n) converging in $C_o(W)$, we write $f_n \rightarrow f(C_o(W))$.
- $C(W)^*$: space of linear functionals on $C_o(W)$ continuous with respect to the C -convergence.
- $C_o(W)^*$: space of linear functionals on $C_o(W)$ continuous with respect to the convergence in $C_o(W)$. Note that $C(W)^* \subset C_o(W)^*$.
- A partition of unity on W of class $C(W)$ w.r.t to the open covering of W ,

$\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}}$, is a sequence of continuous functions (ψ_n) such that :

i) For every n , $\psi_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$

ii) For every n there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $S(\psi_n)^\delta \subset U_\alpha$, for some $\delta > 0$.

iii) For every $x \in W$ there exists an open neighborhood of x , on which, except for a finite number of indices n , $\psi_n \equiv 0$.

iv) $\sum_{i=1}^{i=\infty} \psi_i(x) = 1$ for $x \in W$.

2) **PROPOSITION 1** : Let W be a non empty open subset of a metric space X . Every countable open covering of W admits a partition of unity of class $C(W)$.

Proof : Let (V_i) be a countable open covering for W . We may assume that all V_i are non-empty. For every V_i denote by $k(i)$ the first natural number for which $(V_i)_{1/k(i)} \neq \phi$. The family $\{(V_i)_{1/k} : i \geq 0, k \geq k(i)\}$ is a countable open covering for W . Enumerate it as (W_j) . Since W , as a metric space, is a paracompact space, there exist a sequence (ψ_j) of continuous functions defined on W with range in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following properties : I) For every j , $S(\psi_j) \subset W_j$. II) For every $x \in W$ there exists an open neighborhood U of x such that $U \cap S(\psi_j) = \phi$ except for finitely many indices j . III) $\sum_{i>0} \psi_i(x) = 1$ for every $x \in W$. (see [3]). From I), it follows that for every j , there exists $\delta(j) > 0$ such that $S(\psi_j)^{\delta(j)} \subset V_i \subset W$ for some i . This means that the functions ψ_i can be considered as continuous functions, defined in X , satisfying conditions i) and ii) in the definition of partition of unity of class $C(W)$, while conditions iii) and iv) coincide with properties II) and III) above. This completes the proof.

3) **PROPOSITION 2** : Let V and W be non-empty open subsets of a metric space X such that $V \subset W$. If φ is a function in $C_0(W)$ supported in V and (ψ_n) is a partition of unity on V of class $C(V)$, then the sequence $(\varphi_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi \psi_k)$ converges in $C_0(W)$ to φ . If $\varphi \geq 0$ the convergence is monotone (in-

creasing).

Proof: For every $x \in S(\varphi)$ it is possible to find a ball $B_{r(x)}(x)$ such that except for a finite number of ψ_k , $B_{r(x)}(x) \cap S(\psi_k) = \emptyset$. This means that for large k , $\varphi_k = \varphi$ on $B_{r(x)}(x)$, ie, the sequence (φ_k) converges uniformly to φ on $B_{r(x)}(x)$. If $x \notin S(\varphi)$ then there exists $\delta(x)$ such that $B_{\delta(x)}(x) \cap S(\varphi) = \emptyset$ and hence $\varphi_k = \varphi = 0$ on $B_{\delta(x)}(x)$ for every k . In conclusion, (φ_k) converges to φ uniformly in a local sense. It is clear that $\bigcup_{k>0} S(\varphi_k) \subset S(\varphi)$ and hence $\bigcup_{k>0} S(\varphi_k)$ is a properly bounded subset of W . Since it is immediate that the convergence is bounded it follows that $\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi (C_0(W))$. If $\varphi \geq 0$ then $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq \varphi_{n+1} \leq \varphi$ and so the convergence in this case is increasingly monotonic.

4) *Conventions 2:* Let (X, d) be a metric space and W a non-empty open subset of X .

For a subset E of X , at $\mathcal{B}(E)$ denote the Borel σ -algebra w.r.t the topology induced on E .

A natural measure in W is a non-negative measure defined on $\mathcal{B}(W)$ that takes finite values on properly bounded subsets of W and is regular in the following sense:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) &= \inf \{ \mu(V) \mid E \subset V \subset W, V \text{ open subset} \}, E \in \mathcal{B}(W). \\ &= \sup \{ \mu(K) \mid K \subset E, K \text{ closed subset} \} \end{aligned}$$

A local measure ν in W is a real valued set function defined on $\{ \bigcup \mathcal{B}(V), V \text{ open subset properly bounded in } W \}$, such that its restriction to any of the σ -algebras $\mathcal{B}(V)$ defines a real measure denoted by ν_V . If A and B are open subsets properly bounded in W it is clear that $\nu_A = \nu_B$ on $\mathcal{B}(A \cap B)$. It is easy, therefore, to give a meaning to the expression $\int_W f d\nu$, for any a function f in $C_0(W)$. Note that if $W = X$ and X is bounded, a local measure in X is a

real measure and the previous symbol becomes the usual integral.

Using previous definition it is easy to see that a local measure in W can be regarded as an element of $C_0(W)^*$. Our main result in this paper shows that the converse is also true. We also establish that every local measure is a weak difference of two natural measures.

5) **LEMMA :** (*Riesz Representation for non-negative linear functionals*). Let W be a non-empty open subset of a metric space X . If T is a non negative linear functional on $C_0(W)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(f_n) = T(f)$ whenever (f_n) is an increasing sequence of non negative functions which converges in $C_0(W)$ to f , then there exists a unique natural measure ν in W such that :

$$T(f) = \int_W f d\nu \quad , \quad f \in C_0(W)$$

If in addition $\|T\| = \sup \{ T(b) \mid 0 \leq b \leq 1, b \in C_0(W) \} < \infty$ then ν is a finite measure. This is in particular the case when T is C -continuous.

6) **Remark :** When X is a metric space in which closed bounded subsets are compact sets the non-negativity of the linear functional T implies that $T(f_n) \nearrow T(f)$ whenever (f_n) is a sequence of non negative functions which converge monotonically increasing to f in $C_0(W)$ and hence the previous lemma applies. In fact, take $g \in C_0(W)$ such that $0 \leq f - f_n \leq g$ for every n . Because of the compactness of $S(f)$ the convergence of (f_n) to f in $C_0(W)$ will be uniform. Therefore for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, n can be taken large enough such that $f - f_n \leq \frac{\epsilon}{T(g)} g$. Consequently $0 \leq T(f) - T(f_n) \leq \epsilon$, i.e. $T(f_n) \nearrow T(f)$.

Proof : Our proof proceed as in the classical case. The main difference being in the method of establishing the sub-additivity for the outer measure leading to the definition of ν .

DEFINITION 1 : If V is an open subset of W . Define :

$$\mu(V) = \begin{cases} \sup \{ T(f) : f \in C_0(V), 0 \leq f \leq 1 \}, & \text{if } V \neq \phi \\ 0 & \text{if } V = \phi \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION 2 : If E is a subset of W . Define :

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \{ \mu(V) : E \subset V ; V \text{ open subset of } W \}$$

Note that :

i) If V is an open subset properly bounded in W , then $\mu(V) < \infty$. In this case it is possible to find $\psi \in C_0(W)$ such that $\psi = 1$ on V and therefore it can be seen that $T(\psi \cdot f) \geq 0$ for every f as specified in definition 1. Consequently $\mu(V) \leq T(\psi) < \infty$.

ii) $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ whenever E is properly bounded in W .

iii) $\mu^*(E_1) \leq \mu^*(E_2)$, if $E_1 \subset E_2$

$\mu^*(V) = \mu(V)$, if V is open

iv) $\mu(V) = \sup \{ T(f) : f \in C_0(W), 0 \leq f \leq 1, S(f) \subset V \}$ (use proposition 2).

We want to prove now that μ^* is an outer measure. It is enough to prove that

$$\mu^* \left(\bigcup_{i>0} E_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i), \text{ when the } E_i \text{ are arbitrary subsets of } W \text{ and } \mu^* \left(\bigcup_{i>0} E_i \right) > 0$$

with $\mu^*(E_i) < \infty$ for every i . Given $\epsilon > 0$, and for every i , take V_i open subset

of W such that $E_i \subset V_i$ and $\mu^*(E_i) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^i} > \mu(V_i)$. Let c be a real number

such that $\mu^* \left(\bigcup_{i>0} E_i \right) > c \geq 0$. Since $\mu \left(V \equiv \bigcup_{i>0} E_i \right) > c$ there exists $f \in C_0(V)$

such that $\mu(V) \geq T(f) > c$. Let (ψ_n) be a partition of unity on V w.r.t (V_i) .

From proposition 2 it is known that $(f_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} f \psi_k)$ is a monotonic increasing sequence

of non-negative functions which converges in $C_0(W)$ to f . By hypothesis

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(f_n) = T(f)$ and hence there exists $N > 0$ such that for every $n \geq N$, $T(f_n) > c$.

But $\sum_{k=1}^n \psi_k f$ can be written as

$$f_n = \sum_{r=1}^{r=M} \sum_{i=1}^{L(j_r)} \psi_{k_i} f$$

where $\varphi_r = \sum_{i=1}^{L(j_r)} \psi_{k_i} f \in C_0(V_{j_r})$ for some j_r . It can be seen also that $0 \leq \varphi_r \leq 1$ and consequently $T(\varphi_r) \leq \mu(V_{j_r})$. In conclusion

$$c < T(f_n) = \sum_{r=1}^{r=M} T(\varphi_r) \leq \sum_{r=1}^{r=M} \mu(V_{j_r}) \leq \sum_{r=1}^M \mu^*(E_{j_r}) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{j_r}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i) + \epsilon.$$

Since the analysis is valid for any $c < \mu^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary it follows that

$$\mu^*(\bigcup_{i>0} E_i) \leq \sum_{i>0} \mu^*(E_i)$$

It is known from measure theory that the collection of μ^* -measurable sets from a σ -algebra on which μ^* is a measure. By proving that all open subsets of W are μ^* -measurable it can be concluded that all Borel subsets of W are in this σ -algebra.

We prove first that open subsets of W are inner regular. Let $\bar{\mu}(V) = \sup\{\mu^*(K) : K \subset V, K \text{ closed subset of } W\}$. It is immediate that $\bar{\mu}(V) \leq \mu(V)$. To prove that $\bar{\mu}(V) \geq \mu(V)$ assume that $\mu(V) > 0$. Let c be a real number satisfying $\mu(V) > c \geq 0$. There exists $f \in C_0(V)$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, such that $\mu(V) \geq T(f) > c$. Let K be its support in V . If U is any open subset which contains K then f will be supported in U and from observation iv in pag. 6, it follows that $T(f) \leq \mu(U)$. This means that $\mu(U) > c$. Therefore $\mu^*(K) \geq c$. Since c is any number less than $\mu(V)$ it follows that $\bar{\mu}(V) \geq \mu(V)$.

It is not difficult to prove now that $\mu^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{i=N} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} \mu^*(A_i)$, where the A_i are disjoint open sets (or closed) and this result can be used to prove the μ^* -measurability of open sets, ie that every open set V satisfy the equality $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap V) +$

$\mu^*(A \cap V^c)$ for any subset A of W . We omit the proof.

We will denote by ν the measure induced by μ^* on the σ -algebra of μ^* -measurable sets.

The measure ν is finite on properly bounded measurable subsets of W . This implies in particular that ν is σ -finite. Consequently, to prove regularity it is enough to prove it for properly bounded measurable subsets of W . Let E be such a set. Take closed subsets K and K_0 properly bounded in W such that $E \subset K \subset K_0$, with K properly bounded in K_0 . For a given $\epsilon > 0$, it is possible to find an open set V such that $K - E \subset V \subset K_0$ and $\nu(V) < \nu(K - E) + \epsilon$. The set $(K_0 - V) \cap K$ is closed and it is contained in E . Moreover, $E - (K_0 - V) \cap K \subset E \cap V \subset V - (K - E)$, which implies that $\nu(E) - \mu((K_0 - K) \cap K) \leq \nu(V - (K - E)) < \epsilon$. Since ϵ is arbitrary it follows that $\nu(E) = \sup\{\nu(K) \mid K \subset E, \text{ closed subset of } W\}$, which proves the inner regularity of ν . The outer regularity is immediate from its construction. In conclusion, ν is natural measure in W .

To prove the representation formula we adapt the procedure used in step X in the proof of theorem 2.14 in [1]. It is enough to prove the inequality $T(f) \leq \int_W f d\nu$, since using the linearity of T it can be concluded that $-T(f) = T(-f) \leq \int_W (-f) d\nu = -\int_W f d\nu$ and consequently $T(f) \geq \int_W f d\nu$.

Let f be a function in $C_0(W)$ with range contained in $[a, b]$. Since f can be written as $f = f \vee 0 - (-f) \vee 0$, with $f \vee 0$ and $(-f) \vee 0$ in $C_0(W)$, it can be assumed that $f \geq 0$. Let K be its support. For a given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that $y_i - y_{i-1} < \epsilon$ and $y_0 < a < y_i < \dots < y_n = b$. Define the sets E_i as follows

$$E_i = \{x \in W : y_{i-1} < f(x) \leq y_i\} \cap K, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since f is continuous, f is measurable and consequently, the E_i are disjoint

Borel sets whose union is K . For every E_i it is possible to choose an open subset V_i properly bounded in W such that $V_i \supset E_i$, $\nu(V_i) < \nu(E_i) + \frac{\epsilon}{n}$ and $f(x) < y_i + \epsilon$, for every $x \in V_i$. Let $\{b_i\}_i > 0$ be a partition of unity w.r.t $\{V_i\}_i > 0$. From proposition 2 it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{i=K} b_i f \in f(C_0(W))$ and for N , large enough, it holds that

$$T(f) < T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} b_i f\right) + \epsilon.$$

But $\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} b_i f$ can be written as $\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{L_k} b_{ij} f$, where for every k , $\sum_{j=1}^{L_k} b_{ij}$ is a non negative function in $C_0(V_k)$ bounded by 1. It can be written that

$$\begin{aligned} T(f) &< T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} b_i f\right) + \epsilon = \sum_{k=1}^n T\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L_k} b_{ij} f\right) + \epsilon \leq \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k + \epsilon) T\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L_k} b_{ij}\right) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} (y_k + \epsilon) \nu(V_k) + \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k + \epsilon) \nu(E_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k + \epsilon) \frac{\epsilon}{n} + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum (y_k - \epsilon) \nu(E_k) + 2\epsilon \nu(K) + (b + \epsilon) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{E_k} f d\nu + \epsilon [2\nu(K) + b + \epsilon + 1] \\ &\leq \int_W f d\nu + \epsilon [2\nu(K) + b + \epsilon + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

And since ϵ is arbitrary, $T(f) \leq \int_W f d\nu$.

The uniqueness of ν can be proved as in theorem 2.14 in [1] taking closed sets instead of compact sets.

By definition $\nu(W) = \|T\| = \sup \{T(f) : 0 \leq f \leq 1, f \in C_0(W)\}$, therefore ν will be a finite measure if $\|T\| < \infty$. If $T \in \mathcal{C}(W)^*$ then $T \in C_0(W)^*$ and it will be a continuous, and hence bounded, linear functional on the vector space $C_0(W)$ normed with the sup norm. Therefore, the previous statement is valid in this case.

7) THEOREM : (Riesz Representation Theorem for C_0 -continuous functionals).

Let W be a non empty open subset of a metric space and T a linear functional on $C_0(W)$. If $T \in C_0(W)^*$ there exists a unique local measure μ in W , which describes T as a linear functional. Moreover, there exist natural measure μ^+ and μ^- in W (with $\mu^- \equiv 0$ when $T \geq 0$), such that

$$T(f) = \int_W f d\mu = \int_W f d\mu^+ - \int_W f d\mu^-, \quad f \in C_0(W)$$

If $T \in C(W)^*$ then μ is a real measure.

Proof: Let $C_0^+ = \{f \in C_0(W) : f \geq 0\}$. For $f \in C_0^+$ define $T^+(f) = \sup\{T(b) \mid f \geq b, b \in C_0^+\}$. Since $T(0) = 0$, $T^+(f)$ is non-negative. If V is a properly bounded open subset of W , which contains the support of f , then T is a continuous linear functional on the vector space $C_0(V)$ normed with the sup norm. Consequently for $0 \leq b \leq f$, $|T(b)| \leq \|T\|_V \|f\|_\infty$, where $\|T\|_V = \sup\{|T(g)| : g \in C_0(V), \|g\|_\infty \leq 1\}$ is finite. Hence $T^+(f) \leq \|T\|_V \|f\|_\infty$. Note that if T is C -continuous on $C_0(W)$ then T is a continuous operator on $C_0(W)$ normed with the sup norm and so $T^+(f)$ can be bounded independently of its support by $\|T\|_W \|f\|_\infty$.

This not difficult to see that $T^+(cf) = cT^+(f)$ for $c \geq 0$ and that $T^+(f+g) \leq T^+(f) + T^+(g)$. Let us prove that T^+ is additive on C_0^+ by proving the reverse inequality. Consider $b \in C_0^+$ such that $b \leq f+g$. Define the functions r and s as follows :

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x)b(x)}{f(x)+g(x)}, & \text{on } V = \{y \in X \mid f(y)+g(y) > 0\} \\ 0, & \text{on } X-V \end{cases}$$

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(x) \vee b(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}, & \text{on } V \\ 0, & \text{on } X-V \end{cases}$$

It can be seen that $0 \leq s \leq f$, $0 \leq r \leq g$, $s + r = b$ and that they are in C_0^+ . Hence, it can be deduced that

$$T(b) = T(s) + T(r) \leq T^+(f) + T^+(g).$$

Since the analysis is valid for any $b \in C_0^+$ satisfying $b \leq f + g$ it follows that

$$T^+(f+g) \leq T^+(f) + T^+(g).$$

Observing that any $f \in C_0(W)$ can be expressed as $f = f^+ - f^-$ where $f^+ = f \vee 0$ and $f^- = (-f) \vee 0$ are functions in C_0^+ , T^+ can be extended to all of $C_0(W)$ as follows

$$T^+(f) = T^+(f^+) - T^+(f^-), \text{ for } f \in C_0(W)$$

T^+ is a linear functional on $C_0(W)$. We prove its additivity. To see this observe that $f+g = (f+g)^+ - (f+g)^- = f^+ - f^- + g^+ - g^-$. Consequently $(f+g)^+ + f^- + g^+ = (f+g)^- + f^+ + g^-$. Apply T^+ to both sides and use its additivity on C_0^+ to get that $T^+(f+g) = T^+(f) + T^+(g)$.

Consider now an increasing sequence of non-negative functions (f_n) converging to f in $C_0(W)$. It is clear that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^+(f_n)$, say a , exists and that $a \leq T^+(f)$. If $a < T^+(f)$ there exists $b \in C_0^+$, $b \leq f$ such that $a < T(b) \leq T^+(f)$. The sequence $(b \wedge f_n)$ converges to b in $C_0(W)$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(b \wedge f_n) = T(b)$. But for every n , $T(b \wedge f_n) \leq T^+(f_n) \leq a < T(b)$ which leads to the contradiction $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(b \wedge f_n) = T(b) \leq a < T(b)$. In conclusion $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^+(f_n) = T^+(f)$, ie T^+ is a non negative linear functional satisfying all conditions required by previous lemma.

Hence, there exists a unique natural measure μ^+ , associated with T^+ , satisfying all properties described in the lemma. Moreover, μ^+ is a finite measure when T is C -continuous, since in this case : $\mu^+(W) \leq \|T\|_W$.

We define now T^- on $C_0(W)$ as follows :

$$T^-(f) = T^+(f) - T(f) \quad , \quad f \in C_0(W)$$

It is not difficult to see that T^- is a non-negative linear functional satisfying all conditions required by the lemma. We write μ^- to denote the corresponding natural measure associated with it. This measure is finite when T is C -continuous since in this case $\mu^-(W) \leq 2 \|T\|_W$.

From the definition of T^+ and T^- it follows that

$$T(f) = T^+(f) - T^-(f) = \int_W f d\mu^+ - \int_W f d\mu^- \quad , \quad f \in C_0(W) .$$

If $T \geq 0$ then $T^+ = T$ and we obtain $T^- \equiv 0$. Consequently $\mu^- \equiv 0$.

Let $E \in \mathcal{B}(V)$, where V is properly bounded open subset of W . Define

$$\mu(E) = \mu^+(E) - \mu^-(E) .$$

It is immediate that μ is a local measure and that the representation formula given in the statement of the theorem is valid. Let ν be another possible local measure which describes T as a linear functional on $C_0(W)$. If U is a properly bounded open subset of W , $\nu(U) = \mu(U)$ because the indicator function of U can be approximated pointwise and boundedly by functions f_n in $C_0(W)$ and with the union of their supports as a properly bounded subset of W . Hence

$$\nu(U) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_W f_n d\nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_W f_n d\mu = \mu(U) .$$

Now, for a given properly bounded subset V of W the class of Borel subsets of V on which $\nu = \mu$ is a σ -algebra which contain all open subsets. Hence $\nu = \mu$ on $\mathcal{B}(V)$, which implies the uniqueness of μ . Since μ^+ and μ^- are finite measures when T is C -continuous it is immediate that μ is a real measure when that is the case.

8) *Remark*: As it can be seen, proposition 1 plays a central role in the proof of the results presented here. A similar proposition is valid for paracompact spaces (see [3]), namely that given any open countable covering (A_i) of a paracompact space X , there exists a continuous partition of unity (f_i) . Therefore, if we consider the space of functions $\{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ bounded and continuous}\}$ instead of $C_0(X)$, and C -convergence instead of C_0 -convergence the same proofs can be used to obtain the corresponding results expressed in the second part of both the lemma and the theorem.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
2. H. L. Royden, *Real Analysis*, MacMillan, New York, 1963.
3. N. Bourbaki, *General Topology*, Hermann-Addison Wesley, Paris-Reading, 1965
4. Constance Elson, *An extension to Weyl's lemma to infinite dimensions*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, San Diego (1971).

*Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad del Valle
Cali, Colombia, S. A.*

(Recibido en noviembre 1973).