Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas Vol. XI (1977), págs 51 - 58.

### QUASI-COVARIANT REPRESENTATIONS

#### OF NUCLEAR \*-ALGEBRAS

can oith for a first the transfer and dit to man

## Steven M. MOORE

## ABSTRACT

We consider the extension of the concept of a quasi-covariant representation of C\*-algebras to nuclear \*-algebras. Necessary conditions for a representation to be quasi-covariant are obtained.

### RESUMEN

Consideramos la extensión del concepto de una

representación cuasi-covariante de C\*-álgebras a \*-álgebras nucleares. Condiciones necesarias para que una representación sea cuasi-covariante son obtenidas.

## § Introducción.

In [1] we introduced locally convex \*-algebras. Although this is a new type of topological algebraic structure that is ripe for more mork, it has become clear that stronger properties are needed in order to get substantial results. Since a C\*-algebra is a nuclear \*-algebra if and only if it is finite dimensional [2], one might expect that the additional hypothesis of nuclearity would be interesting. Thus here we consider nuclear \*-algebras, i.e. a locally convex \*-algebra  $\mathcal{Q}$  that is also a nuclear space. This still includes the physically interesting case of the field algebra [3].

For nuclear \*-algebras it is not possible to define quasi-equivalent representations in the same way as in the C\*-algebra theory [4] (e.g. in the field algebra [3], all projections are trivial). But Kadison [5] has given an equivalent definition using the following concepts: Let I be a representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the sense of [1].  $\omega_{\Phi}$  is a <u>vector</u> <u>state</u> of I if  $\omega_{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) = (\Phi, \Pi(\mathbf{x})\Phi)$  where  $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi)$ ,  $\|\Phi\| = 1$ . The set of all vector-states of I is denoted by  $E(\Pi)$  and the closure of the convex hull of E(II) by F(II) (closure in the weak topology). A representation  $\Pi_1$  is <u>quasi-equivalent</u> to a representation  $\Pi_2$  if F(II) = F(II).

### § 2. Quasi-covariant representations.

In [1] we also introduced the concept of covariant representation. We say that a representation If is <u>quasi-covariant</u> if it is quasi-equivalent to If, where (If, V') is some covariant representation of  $(\mathcal{U}, Q)$ .

We remember that our working hypothesis is that  $g \rightarrow qx$  is continuous for each  $x \in \mathcal{OL}$ . The question of the continuity of  $g \rightarrow g\omega$  is more delicate, partly because of possible ambiguities in the topology of Of. There is a large class of topologies for  $\mathcal{U}$  for which ( $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$ ) is a dual pair. Among these are the weak topology and the strong topology [2] . In analogy with the  $C^{\bullet}$ -algebra case [6,7], one might be tempted to elect the strong topology. However, for the field algebra [3] , the fact that the w are products of tempered distributions and that we are in general treating a nuclear \*-algebra which possesses very different properties than those of a C\*-algebra suggests that we should consider instead the weak topology. Thus we let E<sup>C</sup> be the set of all states such that  $g \rightarrow g\omega$  is continuous with respect to the weak topology on  ${\it O}\!{\it L}$  .

53

2.1 <u>Theorem</u>. Let  $(\Pi, V)$  be a covariant representation of  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q})$ . Then  $E(\Pi) \subset E^{c}$ 

<u>Proof</u>. Let  $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi)$ ,  $\|\Phi\| = 1$ . Then  $g\omega_{\Phi}(x) = (\Phi, \Pi(g|x)|\Phi)$ . Thus  $|g\omega_{\Phi}(x) - \omega_{\Phi}(x)| = |(\Phi, \Pi(gx-x)\Phi)|$ . Since  $g \rightarrow gx$  is continuous,  $gx \rightarrow x$  when  $g \rightarrow e$ . Thus  $(\Phi, \Pi(gx - x)\Phi) \rightarrow 0$  when  $g \rightarrow e$ . QED.

2.2 <u>Theorem</u>. E<sup>C</sup> has the following properties:

a. E<sup>c</sup>is convex.

b. E<sup>c</sup>is weakly closed.

c.  $E^{c}$  is invariant with respect to Q, i.e.  $gE^{c} = E^{c}$  for all  $g \in Q$ .

( Q. M.A. to

<u>Proof</u>. a. Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in E^C$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , Then  $|g(\lambda\omega_1 + (1-\lambda)\omega_2)(x) - (\lambda\omega_1 + (1-\lambda)\omega_2)(x)|$   $= |\lambda (g\omega_1 - \omega_1)(x) + (1-\lambda)(g\omega_2 - \omega_2)(x)|$ 

 $\leq \lambda | (g\omega_1 - \omega_1)(\mathbf{x})| + (1 - \lambda) | (g\omega_2 - \omega_2)(\mathbf{x})|.$ 

b. Suppose  $\omega_{\beta} \xrightarrow{} \omega$  and  $g_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{} e$ . We have  $|(g_{\alpha} \omega - \omega)(x)| \leq |g_{\alpha}(\omega - \omega_{\beta})(x)| + |(g_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} - \omega_{\beta})(x)| + |(\omega_{\beta} - \omega)(x)|.$ 

Fix x for the moment. Since  $g \rightarrow g\omega(x)$  is  $\operatorname{cont}_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}$ nuous, we can find  $\beta_0$  such that  $\beta \ge \beta_0$  implies  $|(\omega_{\beta}^{-}\omega)(x)| \le \varepsilon/6$ . Now  $\psi: g \rightarrow g (\omega - \omega_{\beta})(x) = (\omega - \omega_{\beta})(g x)$  is a conti-54 nous function. Consider

 $I(\beta) = ]c(\beta) - \varepsilon/6 , c(\beta) + \varepsilon/6[$ where  $c(\beta) = (\omega - \omega_{\beta})(x) = \psi(e) \cdot \psi^{-1}I(\beta) = \Psi(\beta)$  is then a neighborhood of e in Q. There exists  $\alpha(\beta)$ such that  $\alpha \ge \alpha(\beta)$  implies  $g_{\alpha} \in \Psi(\beta)$  since  $g_{\alpha} \rightarrow e$ . If  $\beta \ge \beta_{0}$ , then  $|c(\beta)| \le \varepsilon/6$ , so for  $\alpha \ge \alpha(\beta_{0})$ ,  $|\psi(g_{\alpha}) - \psi(e)| \le \varepsilon/6$ , i.e.

 $|g_{\alpha}(\omega-\omega_{\beta})(x)| \leq \varepsilon/6 + |(\omega-\omega_{\beta})g(x)| \leq \varepsilon/3.$ 

Fix  $\beta \ge \beta_0$ . There eixists  $\alpha_1$  such that  $\alpha \ge \alpha_1$  implies  $|(g_{\alpha} \ \omega_{\beta} - \ \omega_{\beta})(x)| \le \varepsilon/3$ . Thus for  $\alpha \ge \alpha_1$  and  $\alpha \ge \alpha(\beta)$  we have  $|(g_{\alpha} \ \omega - \omega)(x)| \le \varepsilon$ .

c.To show  $h \ \omega \ \varepsilon \ \varepsilon^{c}$ , if  $\omega \ \varepsilon \ \varepsilon^{c}$ , let  $g_{\alpha} \rightarrow e$ . Then  $h^{-1} g_{\alpha} h \rightarrow e$ . Hence  $h^{-1} g_{\alpha} h \omega \rightarrow \omega$ .  $h \rightarrow h \omega(x)$ continuous implies  $h(h^{-1} g_{\alpha} h) \omega(x) = g_{\alpha} h \omega(x) \rightarrow h \omega(x)$ . QED.

# § 3. <u>Necessary conditions for a quasi-covariant</u> <u>representation</u>.

We have obtained the following necessary conditions for a quasi-covariant representation:

3.1 <u>Theorem</u>. Let II be a quasi-covariant representation. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

a.  $F(\Pi)$  is invariant. b.  $F(\Pi) \subset E^{C}$ . <u>Proof</u>: Let II be a quasi-covariant representation. Then there exists a covariant representation  $(\Pi_1, V_1)$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G})$  to which II is quasi-equivalent. For  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{D}(\Pi_1), |\Phi| = 1$ ,

$$g\omega_{\Phi}(x) = \omega_{\Phi}(gx) = (\Phi, \Pi_{1}(gx)\Phi)$$
$$= (V^{*}(g)\Phi, \Pi_{1}(x)V^{*}(g)\Phi)$$
$$= \omega_{V^{*}}(g)\Phi (x)$$

This means that  $E(\Pi_1)$  is invariant. Thus the convex hull of  $E(\Pi_1)$  is invariant. Since  $E(\Pi_1) \subset E^c$ , it follows that the closure of the convex hull is invariant. Thus  $gF(\Pi_1) = F(\Pi_1)$ . But  $F(\Pi) = F(\Pi_1)$ , so part a follows.

Now let  $\omega \in E(\Pi_1)$ . Then there exists  $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi_1)$ ,  $\|\Phi\| = 1$  with  $\omega = \omega_{\Phi}$ . Hence

 $|g\omega(x) - \omega(x)| = |\omega(gx - x)| = |(\Phi, \Pi_1(gx - x) \Phi)|$ Since  $gx \rightarrow x$  is continuos,  $gx \rightarrow x$  when  $g \rightarrow e$ . Thus  $(\Phi, \Pi_1(gx - x)\Phi) \rightarrow 0$  when  $g \rightarrow e$ . Hence  $\omega \in E^C$ . Thus  $E(\Pi_1)\subseteq E^C$ .  $E^C$  convex and closed implies that  $F(\Pi) = F(\Pi_1) \subset E^C$ . QED.

It is not known whether these conditions are also sufficient as they are in the C\*-algebra case [7].

#### **REFERENCES**.

- [1] S.M. Moore, Rev. Col. Mat. X, 99-120 (1967).
- [2] A. Pietsch, Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [3] H.J. Borchers, Algebraic aspects of Wightman field theory, in Statistical Mechanics and Field Theory, R.N. Sen, C. Weil, eds., Israel Universities Press, 1972.
- [4] S. Sakai, C\*-algebras and W\*-algebras, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [5] R.V. Kadison, Trans. A.M.S. 103, 34-52 (1962).
- [6] H.J. Borchers, Commun. math. phys. 14, 305-314 (1969).
- [7] H.J. Borchers, Uber C\*-Algebren mit lokalkompakten Symmetriegruppen, Gottingen preprint (1973).

Departamento de Física Universidad de los Andes Apartado Aéreo 4976, Bogotá 1, DE, Colombia, S.A.

57

## Recibido en agosto de 1977 ).

LAPPENCES.

[5] R.V. Kadison, Trabs. A.M.S. 103, 34-52