

SPECIAL ARITHMETIC AND GEOMETRIC MEANS

PRESERVE Φ -LIKE UNIVALENCE

by

S. K. BAJPAI

Let R be a region containing 0. Let Φ be analytic in R and satisfying $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\Phi'(0)\} > 0$. Let D be the open unit disc of the complex plane centered at 0. Define $S(\Phi)$ as the set of normalized functions, $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$, analytic in D such that $f(D) \subset R$ and

$$(1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \right\} > 0$$

for all $z \in D$. The elements of $S(\Phi)$ are called Φ -like in D . Geometrically, we define R to be Φ -like if for any $\gamma \in R$ the initial value problem

$$(2) \quad \frac{dW}{dt} = -\Phi(W), \quad W(0) = \gamma$$

has a solution $W(t)$, defined for all $t \geq 0$, such that $W(t) \in R$ for all t and $W(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. With these definitions, Professor Louis Brückman [1] proved the following two theorems, stated below without proof, together with a corollary.

Theorem A. Let f be Φ -like in D . Then f is univalent in D and $f(D)$ is Φ -like.

Theorem B. Let f be analytic in D with $f(0) = 0$. If f is univalent and $f(D)$ is Φ -like then f is Φ -like in D .

Corollary A. Let f be analytic in D with $f(0) = 0$. Then f is univalent in D if and only if f is Φ -like for some Φ .

With R and Φ as defined above, we define $M(a, b, \Phi(f))$ to be the class of those functions $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$, analytic in D and satisfying $\operatorname{Re}\{K(a, b, \Phi(f))\} > 0$ (a and b real numbers), $f'(z)\Phi(f(z)) \neq 0$ in $0 < |z| < 1$, and also

$$(3) \quad K(a, b, \Phi(f)) = aA(f, \Phi) + bB(f, \Phi)$$

$$(4) \quad A(f, \Phi) = 1 + zf''(z)/f'(z) -$$

$$- z(\Phi(f(z)))' / \Phi(f(z))$$

$$(5) \quad B(f, \Phi) = z f'(z) / \Phi(f(z)) .$$

We define $G(a, b, \Phi(f))$ to be the class of analytic functions $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$, in D which satisfy $\operatorname{Re}\{T(a, b, \Phi(f))\} > 0$, $f'(z)\Phi(f(z)) \neq 0$ in $0 < |z| < 1$, for a and b real number $a+b$ an odd integer, where :

$$(6) \quad T(a, b, \Phi(f)) = (A(f, \Phi))^a (B(f, \Phi))^b$$

is defined by taking principal branches.

Clearly $M(a, b, \Phi(f))$ and $T(a, b, \Phi(f))$ contain arithmetic and geometric means of the functions $A(f, \Phi)$ and $B(f, \Phi)$ relative to masses a and b , respectively. In this note we demonstrate the following:

Theorem 1. All functions belonging to $M(a, b, \Phi(f))$ or $G(a, b, \Phi(f))$ are Φ -like univalent functions from the class $S(\Phi)$.

Proof. First of all we note if $f \in G(a, b, \Phi(f))$ or $f \in M(a, b, \Phi(f))$ then $\Phi(f)$ is analytic in D and $\Phi(f)$ has no zero in $0 < |z| < 1$. If we define $w(z)$ by the equation

$$(7) \quad \frac{z f'(z)}{(f(z))} = \alpha \left\{ \frac{(1-w(z))}{(1+w(z))} \right\} + i \beta$$

$$= \frac{\delta - \bar{\delta} w(z)}{(1+w(z))},$$

where $\delta = (\Phi'(0))^{-1} = \alpha + i\beta$, and α and β are real numbers, we find that $w(z)$ is certainly analytic in the neighbourhood of zero. Also, since $f'(z)\Phi(f(z)) \neq 0$ in $0 < |z| < 1$, we find that $zf'(z)/\Phi(f(z))$ is analytic in D . Hence, without loss of generality, we may choose $w(z)$ to be regular in D . Also equation (7) implies that $w(0) = 0$. Since $\alpha > 0$, to show that $f \in S(\Phi)$ it is enough to show that $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in D$. Suppose this were false. Let $M(r, w) = \max \{|w(z)| : |z| = r\}$, then there is some r_1 such that $M(r_1, w) = 1$, and so there is some $z_1 \in D$ such that $|w(z_1)| = 1$ and $|z_1| = r$. By Jack's lemma there exists $t \geq 1$ such that $z_1 w'(z_1) = t w(z_2)$ [2]. Now we compute $A(f, \Phi)$ and $B(f, \Phi)$ from (7) and find that

$$(8) \quad A(f, \Phi) = - \frac{\bar{\delta} z w'(z)}{\delta - \bar{\delta} w(z)} - \frac{z w'(z)}{1+w(z)}$$

$$(9) \quad B(f, \Phi) = \frac{\delta - \bar{\delta} w(z)}{1 + w(z)}$$

From (8) and (9) it follows :

$$(10) \quad K(a, b, \Phi(f)) = - \frac{a \bar{\delta} z w'(z)}{\delta - \bar{\delta} w(z)} - \frac{a z w'(z)}{1+w(z)} +$$

$$+ \frac{b(\delta - \overline{\delta}w(z))}{1+w(z)}$$

$$(11) \quad T(a, b, \Phi(f)) = \left(- \frac{z \overline{\delta} w'(z)}{\delta - \overline{\delta} w(z)} - \frac{z w'(z)}{1+w(z)} \right)^a \cdot \left(\frac{\delta - \overline{\delta} w(z)}{1+w(z)} \right)^b$$

If we require f to be in $M(a, b, \Phi(f))$ and use (7) with $z = z_1$, we find that $|w(z_1)| = 1$ and

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}(K(a, b, (f)))_{\text{at } z = z_1} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ - \frac{a \overline{\delta} t w(z_1)}{\delta - \overline{\delta} w(z_1)} - \frac{a t w(z_1)}{1+w(z_1)} \right\} \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{b(\delta - \overline{\delta} w(z_1))}{1+w(z_1)} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{4a i \alpha (t \beta + t \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\delta} w(z_1)))}{|(1+w(z_1))(\delta - \overline{\delta} w(z_1))|^2} \right) \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{2i b (\beta + \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\delta} w(z_1)))}{|(1+w(z_1))^2|} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

But this contradicts the fact that $f \in M(a, b, \Phi(f))$.

So $|w(z)| < 1$ for all z in \mathbb{D} and, from (1), we conclude $f \in S(\Phi)$. Similary, if we require $f \in G(a, b, \Phi(f))$ we have that if $a+b$ is an odd

integer then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad & \operatorname{Re} (T(a, b, \Phi(f)))_{\text{at } z=z_1} \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} ((A(f, \Phi))^a (B(f, \Phi))^b)_{\text{at } z=z_1} \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{4\alpha t_1 (\beta + \operatorname{Im}(\delta \overline{w}(z_1))) i}{|(1+w(z_1))(\delta - \overline{\delta} w(z_1))|^2} \right)^a \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \left. \left(\frac{2(\beta + \operatorname{Im} \delta w(z_1)) i}{|(1+w(z_1))^2|} \right)^b \right\} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $f \notin G(a, b, \Phi(f))$, a contradiction. Hence, we must have $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in D$. Therefore, any $f \in G(a, b, \Phi(f))$ is Φ -like univalent by (1). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remarks: If Φ is the identity function and $a = \alpha$, $b = 1$, then we obtain the results in [3] and [4] due to Mocanu, Miller, and Reade. If $\Phi(f)$ is a starlike function defined in D then, by using Theorem 1, we obtain the subclass of close-to-convex functions in the sense of W.Kaplan [5].

Acknowledgements: The author is grateful to the referee for many suggestions in rewriting this paper in the present form. He is also thankful to CNPq for providing a research grant part of the time during which this article was written. The

author would also like to point out that part of this paper was written with Professor E.M. Silvia and an abstract to the partial result was presented to the American Mathematical Society (Notices, A.M.S., 1973).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Louis Brickman, ϕ -like analytic functions 1, Bull. Amer.Math.Soc. 79 (1973), 555-558.
- [2] I.S.Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order α , J. London Math. Soc. (2), 3 (1971), 469-474.
- [3] Petru T. Mocanu and Maxwell O. Reade, Generalized convexity in conformal -1 mappings, Revue. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 16 (1971) 1541-1544.
- [4] Petru T. Mocanu, Maxwell O. Reade and S.S.Mi_ller, All α -convex functions are starlike, Revue. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.

20(1975)。

- [5] W. Kaplan, Close-to-convex 'schlicht' functions,
Michigan Math. J. 1(1952), 169-185.

Instituto de Ciencias Exactas
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidade de Brasília
70.000 Brasília, D.F.
BRASIL.

(Recibido en julio de 1977; la versión revisada
en septiembre de 1977).