Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas Vol. XIII (1979), págs. 93 - 120

A DUALITY BETWEEN HILBERT MODULES AND

FIELDS OF HILBERT SPACES

 nor

Alonso TAKAHASHI

Abstract. The category of Hilbert modu les with abelian C*-algebra of scalars and the category of fields of Hilbert spaces over compact Hausdorff spaces are discussed and a duality between them is exhibited.

§0. Introduction. In [3] we considered Hilbert modules over a C^* -algebra A ($\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, 2.15), and fields of Hilbert modules $([3], 3.04)$, obtaining a representation of Hilbert modules as continuous sections on a field $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ over the maximal ideal space X of the center of A $([3], 3.12)$; when the C*-algebra A is commutative the asso-

ciated field π is a field of Hilbert spaces ([3], 3.13). This representations will be used here to get an equivalence between Hilbert modules on the one hand and fields of Hilbert spaces on the other. These results appeared first in the author's doc toral dissertation (Tulane University, 1971).

§1. Decomposable operators. The pull-back field. In order to state the adequate definitions of morphism between fields over different base spaces we need some information about linear maps between modules of continuous sections on field of normed spaces. MEANASAT availa

A field $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ of normed spaces ([3], 3.01) will sometimes be denoted by (E,π, X) . A subset Γ , of sections of π is *full* if for any $e \in E$ there exists a section $\sigma \in \Gamma_1$ such that $\sigma[\pi(e)] = e$. We always suppose that $\Gamma^{D}(\pi)$ is full.

We also assume that for each $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathsf{b}}(\pi)$ the function N_{σ} given by $N_{\sigma}(x) = ||\sigma(x)||$, $x \in X$, is in $C^b(X)$. Observe that this is the case when Π is a field of Hilbert spaces, for each pair $\sigma, \tau \in$ $\Gamma^{b}(\pi)$ we have $\langle \sigma | \tau \rangle \in C^{b}(X)$ and so $N_{\sigma} = \langle \sigma | \sigma \rangle^{2}$ is also in $c^b(x)$, y a implicit a no anolicea

 -1.01 . Lemma. Suppose that (E,π,X) is a field of nonmed spaces and let $\sigma_0 \in \Gamma^D(\pi)$ and $x_0 \in X$.

part (t) A to meddas add to X songs lashi

Then:

(i) If $\sigma_o(x_o) \neq 0$ there exists an $a \in c^b(x)$ such that (1) $0 \le a \le 1$, $a(x) = 1$ and (2) $\|a\sigma_0\| =$ $\|\sigma_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha})\|$.

(ii) $I_0 \sigma_0(x_0) = 0$ then, for each $\delta > 0$ there exists an a in $c^{b}(x)$ satisfying condition (1) abo ve, and (3) $\|\text{a}\sigma_{0}\| \leq \delta$.

Proof. Take $a(x) = \left(\max_{x \in X} \{1, \|\sigma_{o}(x_{o})\|^{-1}\right)$ $(\sigma_0(x) \vert)$ ⁻¹, in the first case and $a(x) = 1 - \delta^{-1}$ min $\{ \|\sigma_o(x)\|, \delta \},$ shift the second. Boundedness is clear and continuity follows from the continuity of $x \mapsto \|\sigma_{o}(x)\|$. The other conditions are easily checked.

1.02. Definition. Assume that (E, T, X) and (E', π ', X) are two fields of normed spaces (over the same base space X). A linear map T: $\Gamma^D(\pi)$ + $\Gamma^{b}(\pi^{*})$ and said to be decomposable (over X) if there exists a family $(T(x))_{x\in X}$ such that:

(1) For each $x \in X$, $T(x)$ is a bounded linear operator of $E_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ into $E_x' = (\pi^*)^{-1}(x)$.

 (2) sup $||T(x)|| < + \infty$. $x \in X$

(3) $(T\sigma)(x) = T(x)\sigma(x)$ for any $\sigma \in \Gamma^D(\pi)$,

In this case we write $T = {T(x)}_{x \in y}$. Note

that (3) implies part of (1), namely the boundedness of the operators $T(x)$, $x \in X$. The next proposition gives equivalent conditions for T to be decomposable.

1.03. Proposition. For any linear map $T: \Gamma^{\text{b}}(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\text{b}}(\pi^{\gamma})$ the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) T is bounded and $C^{D}(X)$ -linear (i.e. $T(a\sigma)$ = $a(T\sigma)$ for all $a \in C^{\overline{b}}(X)$, $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\overline{b}}(\pi)$.

(ii) T is bounded and for any $x_0 \in X$ and any $\sigma_{o} \in \Gamma^{D}(\pi)$, if $\sigma_{o}(x_{o}) = 0$ then $(\Gamma \sigma_{o})(x_{o}) = 0$.

(iii) T if decomposable over x.

Moreover, it these conditions hold and $T = {T(x)}_{x \in X}$ then $||T|| = \sup ||T(x)||$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume $\sigma_0(x_0) = 0$ and take $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrary. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\|\mathsf{T}\sigma\| \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $\|\sigma\| \leq \delta$. By 1.01. (ii) we can pick $a \in C^{D}(X)$ with $0 \le a \le 1$, $a(x_0) = 1$ and $\|\mathsf{a}\sigma_{\mathsf{o}}\| < \delta$. Then $\|\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{a}\sigma_{\mathsf{o}})\| \leq \varepsilon$, and this implies $||T(a\sigma_{0})(x_{0})|| \leq \epsilon$. But $T(a\sigma_{0})(x_{0}) = [a(T\sigma_{0})](x_{0})$ $a(x_0)(T\sigma_0)(x_0) = (T\sigma_0)(x_0)$, thus $\|(T\sigma_0)(x_0)\| \leq \varepsilon$ and since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, $(T\sigma_0)(x_0) = 0$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). For each $x_0 \in X$ define $T(x_0): E_{x_0} \rightarrow E_{x_0}^{\prime}$ as follows. Given $e \in E_{x_0}^{\prime} = \pi^{-1}(x_0)$

let $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$ be such that $\sigma(\mathbf{x}_o) = e$ and put $T(x_0)e = (T\sigma)(x_0)$. Let us check that $T(x_0)$ is well defined. Suppose $e = \sigma(x_0) = \tau(x_0)$, σ , $\tau \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$, i.e. $(\sigma - \tau)(\mathbf{x_o}) = 0$. Then, by hypothesis, $(T(\sigma-\tau))({x_o}) = 0$ and so $(T\sigma)({x_o}) = (T\tau)({x_o})$. Clear ly $T(x_0)$ is linear. Now, for e and σ as above take a in $c^{b}(x)$ as in 1.01 (i) if $\sigma(x_0) \neq 0$ and $c_a \in [0 \cap if \ \sigma(x_0) = 0;$ let $\tau = a \sigma \in \Gamma^b(\pi)$. Then $\tau(x_0) = e$ and $\|\tau\| = \|\sigma(x_0)\| = \|e\|$; thus we have: $||T(x_0)e|| = ||T(x_0)T(x_0)|| = ||TT(x_0)|| \le$ \sup $|(T_{T})(x)| = |T_{T}| \leq ||T|| ||T|| = ||T|| ||e||.$ Since $x \in X$ $e \in E_{x_0}$ is arbitrary, we get $||T(x_0)|| \le ||T|| < +\infty$, for all $x_0 \in X$. Thus each $T(x_0)$ is bounded. Finally, for $\sigma \in \Gamma^D(\pi)$ arbitrary, $T(x_0)\sigma(x_0)$ = $(T\sigma)(x_0)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). For any $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$ we have: $\|\texttt{T}\sigma\| = \sup \|(\texttt{T}\sigma)(x)\| = \sup \|\texttt{T}(x)\sigma(x)\|$ $x \in Y$ $x \in X$ \leq sup $T(x) \cdot | \sigma(x) | \leq (\sup | T(x) |) | \sigma |$ $x \in X$ $x \in X$

Hence $||T|| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} ||T(x)|| < +\infty$, i.e. T is bounded. Now take $a \in \mathbb{C}^b(x)$, $\sigma \in \Gamma^b(\pi)$ and $x \in X$, then:

$$
(T(a\sigma))(x) = T(x)(a\sigma)(x) = T(x)(a(x)\sigma(x))
$$

$$
= a(x) (T(x) \sigma(x)) = a(x) (T\sigma)(x)
$$

 $(a(T\sigma))(\mathbf{x})$.

Thus $T(a\sigma) = a(T\sigma)$, i.e. T is $C^D(X)$ -linear 3

1.04. Corollary. If T *is* decomposable over X, $T = {T(x)}_{x \in Y}$, then $||T|| = \sup |T(x)||$ $x \in X$ $x \in Y$

1.05. Let (E,π,X) and $(E^{\dagger}, \pi^{\dagger}, X)$ be two fields of normal spaces over X and let ${f(x)}_{x\in X}$ be a family of maps satisfying conditions (1) and (2) of 1.02. Then we can use the relation (3) of (1.02) to define $\texttt{T}\sigma\colon\ X\,\,\texttt{+}\,\ E^{\,\textrm{+}}$. Then $\texttt{T}\sigma\in\Sigma^{\,\,\nu}(\pi)$ for each $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$ and we obtain a map $\Upsilon:\Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi) \to \Sigma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$, $\sigma \rightarrow \tau \sigma$. We will also write $\tau = {\tau(x)}_{x \in X}$ in this case. If $T\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi^{\mathbf{t}})$ for each $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$ then T is a bounded $c^{b}(x)$ -linear map of $\Gamma^{b}(\pi)$ into $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(\pi^{\dagger})$. In particular $\|\mathbf{T}\| = \sup \|\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x})\|$ $x \in \mathbb{Z}$

We will see that this situation holds under rather weaker condition. Indeed, suppose that in ddition to (1) and (2) of 1.02 the following condition is verified: I four a forth love a fort

 α) There exists a full subset $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma^{\mathsf{b}}(\pi)$ such $that$ $To \in \Gamma(\pi)$ for all of ^r,

Define a map Ω = Ω _T: E + E' given by Ω e = T(T(e))e, for each e E. Observe that $\pi^*_{\circ} \Omega = \pi$ and Ω is linear on each fiber.

1.06. <u>Lemma</u>. The map Ω_{T} is continuous.

Proof. Fix $e_o \in E$ and let $x_o = \pi(e_o)$. Since is full there is a $\sigma_o \in \Gamma_1$ with $\sigma_o(x_o) = e_o$.

Put σ_o^{\bullet} = T σ_o ; by hypothesis σ_o^{\bullet} C $\Gamma^{\text{D}}(\pi^{\ast})$. Now take $e \in E$ with $x \equiv \pi(e)$. Then $\pi^*(\Omega_{\pi}e) = x$ and more over, if $M > \sup \|T(x)\|$, we have: xc ^X

 $\|\Omega_{\Upsilon}e - \sigma_o^{\prime}(x)\| = \|T(x)e - T(x)\sigma_o(x)\| = \|T(x)(e - \sigma_o(x))\|$

$$
< M \parallel e - \sigma_o(x) \parallel ,
$$

showing that for arbitrary E > 0, if e is in the M^{-1} ϵ -tube around σ then Ω_{T} e is in the ϵ -tub around $\sigma_{\mathbf{o}}$. We conclude that Ω_{T} is continuous

that Now we will prove that the situation described at the beginning of this section holds in this case also.

 $1.07.$ Lemma. For each $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$ we have $\tau \sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi^*)$.

Proof, Since we know that $\mathsf{To} \in \Sigma^{\mathsf{b}}(\pi^*)$ we only have to prove that $To: X + E'$ is continuous. Bu this follows form the relation $T\sigma = \Omega_{T}$ oo becaus σ and Ω _T are continuous. \bullet

1.08. **Remark**. If $T: \Gamma^b(\pi) \to \Gamma^b(\pi^*)$ is a bound ed $\mathbb{C}^{b}(x)$ -linear operator then it is decomposable: $T = \{T(x)\}_{x \in Y}$, so that (1), (2) and (3) of 1.02 hold. Also (*) is satisfied with $\Gamma_{\bf 1}$ = $\Gamma^{\rm D}(\pi)$. Thus

 (a) if $a \sin \theta$; $\cos \theta$ if $a \sin^2 \theta$ and $b \sin^2 \theta$

99

the map Ω_{η} : $E \rightarrow E'$, e \rightarrow (To)($\pi(e)$) where $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathsf{b}}(\pi)$ is such that $\sigma[\pi(e))] = e$, is continuous. Furthermore, $\pi_{0}^{s} \Omega_{T} = \pi$, and it is trivial to check that $\Omega_{_{\rm T}}$ is linear on each fiber, i.e. if it access $e_9e' \in E_v$, $x \in X_s$ and $\lambda \in C_s$ then $\Omega_{\pi}(\lambda e + e') =$ λ . $\Omega_{\mathbf{T}}$ e $+$ $\Omega_{\mathbf{T}}$ e'. Annual kolonia kontra termesek kolonia kontra termesek k

When X is compact we can prove the following converse: Suppose that $\Omega: E \rightarrow E'$ is such that $\pi_0^{\dagger}\Omega$ = π and it is also continuous on E and linear on each fiber. For each $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$ = $\Gamma(\pi)$ let T_{Ω} σ = Ω \circ σ : X + E^{*}. Then T_{Ω} σ is continuous and π 'o T_{Ω} = π 'o $(\Omega_{\circ} \sigma)$ = $(\pi$ 'o $\Omega)$ o σ = π o σ = $1_{\chi^{\circ}}$ so that $T_{\Omega} \sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathsf{b}}(\pi^{\bullet}) = \Gamma(\pi^{\bullet})$ and we have defined a map $T_{\Omega}:\Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi^*)$. Given $a \in C^{b}(X) = C(X)$ and σ , τ $\Gamma(\pi)$ we have

 $\lceil \Omega_{0}(\text{aof}+T) \rceil(x) = \Omega(\text{a}(x)\sigma(x)+T(x)) = \text{a}(x)\Omega\sigma(x)$ + $\Omega \tau(x) = (a \cdot \Omega \circ \sigma + \Omega \circ \tau)(x)$,

for all $x \in X$, proving that T_Q is $C(X)$ -linear.

We claim that T_{Ω} is continuous. It will be enough to show that it is continuous at $\sigma = 0$, the zero-section of π . Note $T0 = 0$ = the zerosection of π^* , thus it is continuous. Now fix ϵ > 0 and take $x \in X$; since Ω is continuous at $O(x) \in E$, there exists a neighborhood $V(x)$ of x in X and a $\delta(x) > 0$ such that if $e \in T_{\delta(x)}(0) \cap E_{V(x)}^{(*)}$ then $\Omega e \in T_{\epsilon}(0')$. Since X $(*)$ The notation is that of $[3]$. 100

is compact there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$, n finite, with $X = V_1 \cup ... \cup V_n$, where $V_i = V(x_i)$; let $\delta_i = \delta(x_i)$ and $\delta = \min{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n}$. Now suppose $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$ is such that $\|\sigma\| < \delta$. Given $x \in X$, say $x \in V_{i}$, since $\|\sigma(x)\| < \delta \leq \delta_{i}$ we have $\delta(x) \in \mathcal{T}_{\delta_{i}}(0)$ $\bigcap E_{V}$, so that $\Omega \sigma(x) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{0}^{\dagger})$, i.e. $\|(T_{\mathbf{0}}\sigma)(x)\| \leq \epsilon$. Then $||T_{\Omega} \sigma|| = \sup_{x \in X} ||(T_{\Omega} \sigma)(x)|| \le \varepsilon$. We have proved that $\|\sigma\| < \delta$ implies, $\|\tau_{\Omega}\sigma\| \leq \epsilon$, thus τ_{Ω} is continuous.

It is easy to check that the processes just described are inverse of each other, i.e. if $\psi = \Omega_c$ then $S = T_{ab}$, and conversely.

Note that if the field under consideration are fields of Hilbert spaces then these assertions are equivalent:

(i) For all $\sigma, \tau \in \Gamma(\pi), \langle \sigma | \tau \rangle = \langle \tau \sigma | \tau \tau \rangle$,

(ii) Each $T(x)$ is a unitary operator of E_{x} into E_{ν} .

(iii) The map Ω_{π} is unitary on each fiber, i.e. if $\pi(e) = \pi(f)$ then $\langle e | f \rangle = \langle \Omega e | \Omega f \rangle$.

1.09. Lemma. Suppose (E_i, π_i, X) , i = 1,2,3 are fields with x compact Hausdorff:

(a) $I_0 \Omega_i : E_i \to E_{i+1}$, i = 1,2 with $\pi_{i+1} \circ \Omega_i = \pi_i$,

 $i = 1, 2$ are continuous and linear on each fiber, $\Omega = \Omega_2 \circ \Omega_1$ has similar properties, and $T_{\Omega} = T_{\Omega_2} \circ T_{\Omega_1}$.

(b) $16 \t T_i: \Gamma(\pi_i) \to \Gamma(\pi_{i+1})$, i = 1,2, are bound ed $C(X)$ -linear maps then $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ is such and Ω_T = Ω_{T_2} \circ $\Omega_{T_1 \cap \Omega}$, and and Ω (\circ) \mathbb{T} \ni (x) of a set of Ω

Proof. (a) Clearly $\pi_3 \circ \Omega = \pi_1$ and Ω is continuous and linear on fibers. Moreover, for each $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi_1)$ and each $x \in X$:

 $[\tau_{\Omega_2}(\tau_{\Omega_1}\sigma)](x) = \Omega_2[(\tau_{\Omega_1}\sigma)(x)] = \Omega_2[\Omega_1\sigma(x)]$ = $\Omega \sigma(\mathbf{x})$ = $(T_{\Omega} \sigma)(\mathbf{x})$. $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$

 (b) Similar to (a) \blacksquare

sta roliversbuenos sahan blait adr ti radr eron

sialds of Hibart apaces then these assessed are 1.10. Given a field $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ of normal spaces and continuous function $f:Y \rightarrow X$ we will construct, in a natural way, a new field with base space Y. (1.) We start by defining a subspace E^{f} of the topolo gical space $Y \times E$, $E^f = \{(y,e): f(y) = \pi(e)\}\$. Note that $E^f = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (\{y\} \times E_{f(y)})$, this union beingdisjoint. . GOIOO a sties seds (3) E a (0) F 11

Let p_1 and p_2 be the projections of $Y \times E$ onto Y and E respectively. Define $\pi^f = p_1 | E^f$:
 $E^f \rightarrow Y$ and $f^{\pi} = p_2 | E^f$: $E^f \rightarrow E$. The diagram

" APORTE THE TIME THE TIME AT (a)

is a pull-back in the category of topological spaces. The function π^f is a continuous open surjec tion and so (E^{f}, π^{f}, Y) is a fiber structure; for each $y \in Y$ the stalk E_{y}^{f} above y is $\{y\} \times E_{f}(y)$. The map $E_{f(y)} \rightarrow E_{y}^{f}$, e \rightarrow (y,e), is a homeomor-
phism and we consider on E_{y}^{f} the unique structure of normed spaces making this map into an isomorphism; in particular, we have $\|(y,e)\| = \|e\|$ for all (y,e) in $E^{\tilde{f}}$. If π is a field of Hilbert spaces we define

 $\langle (y,e) | (y,e') \rangle = \langle e | e' \rangle$

for any $((y,e),(y,e'))\subset E^{f} \vee E^{f}$. Note that if $Y = X$ and If = $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{x}}$ then $(\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{f}}, \pi^{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x})$ and $(\mathbf{E}, \pi, \mathbf{x})$ are na turally "isomorphic".

Now let o be a continuous section of π ; since $\pi \circ (\pi \circ \sigma)$ = $(\pi \circ \sigma) \circ f = 1_x \circ f = f \circ 1_y$, the pull-pack pro perty provides a unique continuous map σ^f : Y + E such that: $\pi^f \circ \pi^f = 1_\gamma$, i.e. σ^f is a continuous section of π^f , and $f^{\pi} \circ \sigma^f = \sigma \circ f$. These equations can be rewritten as $p_1[\sigma^f(y)] = y$ and $p_2[\sigma^f(y)] =$ $\sigma[f(v)]$, for all $y \in Y$, yielding on explicit expres sion for σ^f , namely $\sigma^f(y) = (y, \sigma[f(y)])$, for all y coy's a plast s at (W) (o) " dilw X al mago W

We observe that $\|\sigma^f(y)\| = \|\sigma[f(y)]\|$ for all $y \in Y$ and so $\|\sigma^f\| \leq \|\sigma\|$ for each $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$. If f is surjective then $\|\sigma^f\| = \|\sigma\|$. Then $\sigma^f \in \Gamma^b(\pi^f)$ whenever $\sigma\in\Gamma^{\mathsf{b}}(\pi)$ and the set Γ^{f} = $\{\sigma^{\mathsf{f}}:\sigma\in\Gamma^{\mathsf{b}}(\pi)$ is a subset of $\Gamma^{\textsf{b}}(\pi)$

1.11. Lemma. The subset Γ^f is a full set of *lboundedl continuous sections of* π^f

Proof. Take (y,e) \in E^f. Since $e\in E$ and $\Gamma^{\rm b}(\pi)$ is full there is a $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$ with $\sigma[\pi(\mathbf{e})]$: e. But $\pi(e)$ = $f(y)$ and so $\sigma[f(y)]$ = e. Thu $\sigma^{f}(y) = (y, \sigma[y(y)]) = (y, e)$

1.12. Corollary. The fiber structure (E^{f}, π^{f}, Y) *~~ a 6~eld 06 topol09~cal ~pace~.*

Proof. The lemma shows that condition (1) of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (page 2) is satisfied. \blacksquare

1.13. Lemma. The *bield* π^f is actually a *bield* 06 *normed* spaces.

Proof. We will only check condition (2) of $[1]$ $(p, 4)$. Take $\alpha_o = (y_o, e_o) \in E^f$. An arbitrary neig borhood of α_o is of the form $V = (V_1 \times V_2) \cap E^1$ where $V_{\bf 1}$ is an open neighborhood of $y_{\bf o}$ in Yeand $V^{}_{2}$ = $^{\circ\prime}$ _E(σ) \cap E_W (σ \in Γ (π) with σ [π ($e^{}_{\rm o}$)] = e_o and W open in X with $\pi(e_0) \in W$) is a basic neigh-

borhood of e_0 in E. Since $f(y_0) = \pi(e_0) \in W$ there exists a neihborhood V_1^{\dagger} of y_o with $f(V_1^{'}) \subset W$. Without loss of generality we may suppose $V_1' = V_1$. Let us prove that $(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\sigma^f) \cap E_{V_1}^f)$ $\subseteq V$. Take $\alpha = (y_{s}e)$ in the intersection on the left; in particular $\alpha \in E$ and thus $\pi(e) = f(y)$. Since $\alpha \in E_{V_1}^f$ then $y = \pi^f(\alpha) \in V_1$ which implies $\pi(e) = f(y) \in W$, and so $e \in E_W$. On the other hand $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}}(\sigma^{\mathbf{f}})$ so that $\|\mathbf{e}-\sigma[\pi(\mathbf{e})]\| = \|\mathbf{e}-\sigma[f(y)]\| =$ $\|(y,e)-(y,\sigma[f(y)])\| = \|\alpha-\sigma^f(y)\| = \|\alpha-\sigma^f[\pi^f(\alpha)]\| < \epsilon$ that is $e \in \mathcal{T}_{\epsilon}(\sigma)$. Thus $e \in \mathcal{T}_{\epsilon}(\sigma) \cap E_{W_{\epsilon}}$ and we have $\alpha = (y, e) \in V_1 \times V_2$ (and also $\alpha \in E^{\uparrow}$), so that $\sigma \in V$.

Finally we note that $\sigma^f \in \Gamma(\pi^f)$ and $\sigma^f[\pi^f(\alpha_0)]$ = $\sigma^f(y_o) = (y_o, \sigma[f(y_o)]) = (y_o, \sigma[\pi(e_o)]) = (y_o, e_o) = \alpha$. This com pletes the verification of the condition mentioned at the beginning.

1.14. Proposition. If Y is compact then the closed $c^{b}(\overline{Y})$ -submodule of $\Gamma^{b}(\pi^{f})$ generated by Γ^{f} coincides with $r^{b}(\pi^{f})$.

Proof. Since r^f is full we can use an argument entirely analogous to the used in [3], 3.12. .

 \mathbb{R} 1.15. Definition. The field (E^{f}, π^{f}, Y) of normed spaces constructed above is called the pullback field determined by the pair π , f.

 1.16 . Proposition. I_0 (E, π , X) *is* a *hield* of *Hilbert spaces and* $f: Y + X$ *is a continuous map* the *pull-back field determine by n and* f *is* also a *field* of Hilbert spaces.

on $E \vee E$ (resp. $E^f \vee E^f$) and let P: $E^f \vee E^f \rightarrow E \vee V$ Proof. Let I (resp. J) be the inner product be the continuous map obtained by restriction and corestriction of $f^{\pi} \times f^{\pi}$. Then $J = I \circ P$, thus it is $(\text{continuous. } \|\cdot\| \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon + 1)$

Let H (resp. H') be a module over a ring A (resp. A') and let φ : $A + A'$ be a ring homomor phism. A map $T: H \rightarrow H'$ is said to be φ -*linear* if for each a *in* ^A and *a,* T *in* H:

(i) T(O+T) = *Ta* + TT, and

 $(i i)$ $T(a\sigma) = \varphi(a)(T\sigma)$.

When $A = A'$ and $\varphi = 1$ we say " $A-\ell \ln a \pi$ " instead of $"1_A-1$ inear".

. Now let (E, π, X) be a field of normed spaces, f: $X' + X$ be a continuous map and (E^{f}, π^{f}, X') the pull-back field determined by π and f . The func tion $\varphi : c^b(x) \to c^b(x^*)$ given by $\varphi(a) = a$ of for each a \in C^b(X) is a C^{*}-algebra homomorphism. Let us consider the map

 $\Delta = \Delta_{\pi}^{\mathbf{f}}\colon \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi^{\mathbf{f}})$

defined by $\Delta \sigma = \sigma^{\hat{f}}$ for each $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\hat{D}}(\pi)$. Note that $\Delta[\Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)] = \Gamma^{\mathbf{f}}$.

1.17. Proposition. (11 *The map* 6 *i~ ~-linea~. Tn pa~tieula~ it i~ linea~.*

(2) $\|\Delta\sigma\| \leq \|\sigma\|$ *for all* $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathsf{D}}(\pi)$. Thus Δ *is bounded* and \mathbb{A} \mathbb{R} \leqslant **1.** \mathbb{R} \leqslant \mathbb{R} \leqslant

 (3) *If* π *is a field of Hilbert spaces then* $\langle \Delta \sigma | \Delta \tau \rangle$ $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{A})$, for all $\mathbf{G},\mathbf{T}\in\Gamma^{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{T})$.

Proof. Verification of (1) and (3) is purely computational; (2) was observed before.

In the same context as above, suppose that (E', n',X') is another given field of normed spaces over X' and let S: $\Gamma^{D}(\pi^{f})$ + $\Gamma^{D}(\pi^{f})$ be a bounded $C^{D}(X^{\dagger})$ -linear map, then the map

enitab nao ew 'K p

$$
T = So\Delta: \Gamma^{D}(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma^{D}(\pi')
$$

Swollot as

is such that:

 (a) T is φ -linear and bounded.

wys - briderio

(b) If the fields involved are fields of Hilbert spaces and if $\langle S\xi|S\eta\rangle = \langle \xi|\eta\rangle$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma^{\text{b}}(\pi^{\text{f}})$, then $\langle \tau \sigma | \tau \tau \rangle = \Psi(\langle \sigma | \tau \rangle)$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$.

Conversely, take a bounded φ -linear map S: $\Gamma^D(\pi)$ $\bigl(\pi^{\circ} \bigr)$. We claim there exists a bounded $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{b}}(X^{\bullet})$.

find o = (k) o empras bas ('x

linear map $s:\Gamma^b(\pi^f) \rightarrow \Gamma^b(\pi^+)$ such that $T = So\Delta$. In order to prove this we need some preliminary facts.

1.18. Lemma. For each $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$ and each $\sigma(x) = 0$ implies $T\sigma(f^{-1}(x)) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and pick $\sigma_0 \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$, $\mathbf{x}_0 \in X$ and $y_o \in Y$ with $\sigma_o(x_o) = 0$ and $f(y_o) = x_o$; the lemma claims that $T\sigma_o(y_o) = 0$. Take $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\texttt{T0}\| \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $\|\texttt{0}\| \leq \delta$ and choose $\|\texttt{0}\|$ $a \in C^D(X)$ with $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $a(x_0) = 1$ and \cap $\|\mathsf{a}\sigma_{\mathsf{o}}\| \leq \delta$ (cf. proof 1.03); thus $\|\mathsf{T}[\mathsf{a}\sigma_{\mathsf{o}}]\| \leqslant \varepsilon$. But since $\varphi(a)(y_o) = a(f(y_o)) = a(x_o) = 1$, then $||T\sigma_{0}(y_{0})|| = ||\Psi(a)(y_{0})T\sigma_{0}(y_{0})|| = ||T[a\sigma_{0}](y_{0})|| \le$ $||T[a\sigma_o]|| < \epsilon$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, $T\sigma_{0}(y_{0}) = 0.$

1.19. Corollary. For each $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$, $\sigma | f(x^*) = 0$ $implies$ $T = 0.$

For fixed $x' \in X'$ we can define a map $S(x')$: E_{x}^{f} , $+ E_{x}^{f}$, as follows: Given $\alpha = (x', e) \in$ E_{v}^{f} , pick $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\text{b}}(\pi)$ with $\sigma[f(x^{\prime})] = e$ (note that that $\pi(e) = f(x')$) and then write $S(x')\alpha = \tau\sigma(x')$. how a militarian hold this was a real that

In order to check that S(x') is well defined put $x = f(x')$ and assume $\sigma_1(x) = \sigma_2(x) = e$. If $\sigma = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2$ then $\sigma(x) = 0$ and then $\tau \sigma(x') = 0$ by Lemma 1.18. Thus $T\sigma_1(x') = T\sigma_2(x')$.

Note. That for fixed $\sigma \in \Gamma^b(\pi)$, $S(x^{\dagger})\sigma^f(x^{\dagger}) =$ $TO(x'),$ for all $x' \in X'.$

1.20. Lemma. (1) For each $x' \in X'$, $S(x'')$ is a bounded linear operator of E_x^f , into E_y^r , .

(2) $||S(x^{\dagger})|| \le ||T||$, for all $x^{\dagger} \in X'$.

Proof. An easy computation shows that S(x') is linear, so it is enough to prove (2). Keeping the notation as above, suppose e = $\sigma(x) \neq 0$. By 1.01 (i) we can pick $a \in C^b(X)$ with $0 \le a \le 1$, $a(x)=0$ and $\|\alpha\| = \|\sigma(x)\| = \|\alpha\| = \|\alpha\|$). Since $(a\sigma)(x) =$ $a(x)$ $\sigma(x)$ = e we have $S(x')\alpha = T(a\sigma)(x')$ and thus $||S(x^*)\alpha|| \le ||T[a\sigma]|| \le ||T|| ||a\sigma|| = ||T|| ||\alpha||$ for any $\alpha \in E_{\mathbf{v}}^f$, Hence $\|\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}^{\dagger})\| \leq \|\mathbf{T}\|$, for all $\mathbf{x}^{\dagger} \in \mathbf{X}^{\dagger}$.

This Lemma is the first step toward the application of the process discussed 1.05 to the family $\{S(x'')\}_{x'\in X!}$. Now, if we take $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma^f = \{\sigma^f:$ $\sigma \in \Gamma^D(\pi)$ then Γ_1 is full (Lemma 1.11) and moreover, if for each $\xi \in \Gamma^b(\pi^f)$ we define $S\xi \in \Sigma^b(\pi^r)$ by letting $S\xi(x') = S(x')\xi(x')$ for all $x' \in X'$ then, by the Note before 1.20 we have $S\sigma^f = T\sigma \in$ $\Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi^{\mathbf{v}})$ for all $\sigma^{\mathbf{f}}$ in $\Gamma_{\mathbf{1}},$ i.e. condition (*) of 1.05 holds for $S = {S(x')}_{x \in X}$.

According to 1.05 and Lemma 1.07 we conclude that the function $S: \xi \rightarrow S\xi$ is a bounded $C^D(X^+)$. linear map of $\Gamma^{b}(\pi^{f})$ into $\Gamma^{b}(\pi^{r})$ with $\|S\| = \sup_{x \to \infty}$

 $\|S(x')\|$. Since $T\sigma = S\sigma^f = S[\Delta\sigma]$, for all $\sigma\in\Gamma^{\mathbf{b}}(\pi)$, we obtain T = So Δ and this proves our claim. (1) Fot stx dons not (1) .smmsd . 09.1

1.21. Remark. Assuming X¹ compact, if S': $\Gamma^{\rm b}(\pi^{\rm f})$ + $\Gamma^{\rm b}(\pi^{\rm f})$ is another bounded $\Gamma^{\rm c}(X^{\rm f})$ linear map with $T = S' \circ \Delta$ then S and S' coincide on $\Delta[\Gamma^b(\pi)] = \Gamma^f$ and then Proposition 1.14 implies they are equal, in this case we will denote S by T_f . Thus we have a commutative diagram:

If moreover $<$ T σ [|]T τ > = φ ($<$ σ | τ >) for all σ , τ in $\Gamma^{\text{D}}(\pi)$ then τ_{f} satisfies $\langle \tau_{\text{f}} \xi | \tau_{\text{f}} n \rangle$ = $\langle \xi | n \rangle$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(\pi^f)$.

For X and X' compact we have canonical bi-N Lad, anittel vd jections between:

wick adjugg .nar

(a) All bounded φ -linear maps T: $\Gamma(\pi)$ + $\Gamma(\pi^+)$ (b) All bounded $C(X^*)$ -linear maps $S: \Gamma(\pi^f) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi^*)$ (c) All continuos maps Ω : E^{f} \rightarrow E^{\prime} which are limear on each fiber and such that $\pi' \circ \Omega = \pi^f$.

The correspondence between (b) and (c) is 110

obtained applying the discussion in 1.05 to the fields (E^{f}, π^{f}, X') and (E', π', X') .

§2. The category of fields of Hilbert spaces. The pull-back fiel allows us to relate two fields on different base spaces; we use this approach to define morphism between fields. Although our considerations partially carryon to fields of normed spaces, we restrict ourselves to fields of Hilbert spaces with compact Hausdorff base space. First we need some additional properties of pull-back $fields$

 $2.01.$ Given a field (E,π,X) and two continuous maps f' : $X'' + X'$ and $f: X' + X$, we can construct first the pull-back $(E^{\tilde{\bf f}},{\boldsymbol \pi}^{\tilde{\bf f}},{\boldsymbol X}^*)$ determined by ${\boldsymbol \pi}_{\boldsymbol y}{\bf f}$ and then the pull-back $((E^{f})^{f^{\prime}}$, $(\pi^{f})^{f^{\prime}}$ X") deand then the pull-B
termined by $\pi^{\mathbf{f}}$, f'

 W_{σ} (3)

2.01. Lemma. The *lange nectangle* in the above $d\angle$ *agram* \angle *b a* pul *l*-back behind the can \angle *dentify* the δ *ield* ((E ^f)^{f'}, (π ^f)^{f'}, X") *with* (E ^g, π ^g,X" where $g = f \circ f'$; the map identifying $(E^{f})^{f'}$ with

IX * "X: I gam accurizace a bea

1 1

 $E^{f \circ f'} = E^{g}$ being $(x'', (x', e))$ + (x'', e) .

Proof. The first assertion is a general fact about pull-backs. The rest follows.

2.02. Lemma. In the same setting as above, the following diagram commutes:

Thus we can write $\Delta_{f}^{\pi^f} \circ \Delta_f^{\pi} = \Delta_{f \circ f}^{\pi}$.

Proof. Given $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$ and $x'' \in X''$ then $[(\Delta_{f}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\tau} \circ \Delta^{\pi}) \sigma](\mathbf{x}^{\pi}) = [\Delta_{f}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\tau} (\Delta^{\pi} \sigma)](\mathbf{x}^{\pi})$ = $(x'', (\Delta_{\tau}^{\pi} \sigma) [f'(x'')]) = (x'', (f'(x''), \sigma [f \circ f'(x'')]))$ $\forall (x'', \sigma[g(x'')]) = (\Delta_{\sigma}^{\pi} \sigma)(x'').$

Given two fields (E_i, π_i, X) , i = 1,2, over X and a continuous map $f:\stackrel{\circ}{X}$ + X, let (E_i^f, π_i^f, X') be the corresponding pull-back and let $\Omega: E_1 + E_2$ be continuous, linear on each fiber and such that $\pi_2 \Omega = \pi_1$. By the pull-back property there exists a unique map $\Omega^{\#}$: $E_1^f + E_2^f$ making the following dia-

Explicitly: $\Omega''(x', e_1) = (x', \Omega e_1)$ for each $(x', e_1) \in E_1^-$. Clearly Ω^{π} is linear on each fiber

Given a bounded C(X)-linear map $\texttt{S}:\Gamma(\pi_1)\!\rightarrow\!\Gamma(\pi_2)$ an application of 1.21 yields a unique bounded $C(X')$ -linear map, call it $S^{\#}$, making the following diagram commutative:

2.03. Lemma. The map $s^{\#}$ is given by $s^{\#} = \tau_{\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}^{\#}}$ and *thus* $\Omega_{\rm s}$ = $\Omega_{\rm s}^{\#}$.

Proof. All we need is to show that $T_{\mu} \circ \Delta$ $^{\pi}$ 1 $\Omega_{\rm S}^{\# \textrm{}}$ f 113

$$
=\Delta^{\pi} \Big[2 \circ S. \quad \text{Take } \sigma \in \Gamma(\pi_1) \quad \text{and} \quad x' \in X' \text{ , arbitrary:}
$$
\n
$$
\Big[T_{\Omega_S^{\#}}(\Delta_f^{\pi_1} \sigma) \Big](x') = \Omega_S^{\#}(\Delta_f^{\pi_1} \sigma)(x') = \Omega_S^{\#}(x', \sigma[f(x')])
$$
\n
$$
= (x', \Omega_S \sigma[f(x')]) = (x', (s\sigma)[f(x')])
$$
\n
$$
= [\Delta_f^{\pi} (s\sigma)](x'), \quad \blacksquare
$$

2.04. Lemma. Let (E_i, π_i, X) , i = 1,2,3, be bields and let $\Omega_i: E_i + E_{i+1}$, i = 1,2, be contin uous maps, linear on each fiber and such that $\pi_{i+1} \circ \Omega_i = \pi_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then 'x'^WR : virleiigx3

$$
(\Omega_2 \circ \Omega_1)^{\#} = \Omega_2^{\#} \circ \Omega_1^{\#}
$$

Proof. Simple computation. because a mexico

2.05. Definition. Let f be the class of all fields $\pi = (E_{\bullet} \pi, X)$ of Hilbert spaces with X compact Hausdorff. A morphism of (E, π, X) f into (E^*, π^*, X^*) f is pair (f, Ω) of continuos maps $f: X' \rightarrow X$ and $\Omega: E^{f} \rightarrow E'$ such that $\pi \circ \Omega = \pi^{f}$, and Q is linear on each fiber. In this case we write

A to scellablicas as

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(f^*,\Omega): (E,\pi,X) \rightarrow (E^*,\pi^*,X^*)
$$

Suppose $(f^{\dagger}, \Omega^{\dagger})$: $(E^{\dagger}, \pi^{\dagger}, X^{\dagger})$ + $(E^{\dagger}, \pi^{\dagger}, X^{\dagger})$ is a other morphism. The map Ω uniquely determines the map $\Omega^{\#}: (E^{f})^{f^{g}} \rightarrow (E^{g})^{f^{g}}$, and identifying

out maked for worker of the to our big the outside of have

 114

 $((E^{f})^{f'},(T^{f})^{f'},X'')$ with (E^{g},T^{g},X'') , $g = f \circ f'$ (Lemma 2.01) we can consider $\Omega^{\#}$: $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ + $(E^{\dagger})^{\mathbf{f}^{\dagger}}$. If we write $\Psi = \Omega^{\bullet} \circ \Omega^{\#}$: $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ + $E^{\prime\prime}$ we obtain the mor phism (g,Ψ) : (E,π,X) + (E'',π'',X'') . Let us define $(f^{\dagger}, \Omega^{\dagger}) \circ (f, \Omega) = (g, \Psi).$

That this composition of morphism is associative can be proved using Lemma 2.04. It follows that f with the morphisms and composition just defined is a category.

2.06. Lemma. Let (f, Ω) : (E, π, X) + (E', π', X') be a morphism and $f': X'' + X$ a continuous function. Then the following diagram commutes:

Proof. Take $\xi \in \Gamma(\pi^f)$ and $x'' \in X''$ arbitrary. Then

 $[\Delta_{f'}^{\pi}(\tau_{\Omega}\xi)](x'')=(x''',\tau_{\Omega}\xi[f'(x'')])=(x''',\Omega\xi[f'(x'')])$ $= \Omega^{\#}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{H}},\xi[\mathbf{f}^{\mathsf{H}}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{H}})]) = \Omega^{\#}[(\Delta_{\mathbf{f}}^{\pi^{\mathsf{f}}},\xi)(\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{H}})] = [\mathbf{T}_{\Omega_{\#}}(\Delta_{\mathbf{f}}^{\pi^{\mathsf{f}}},\xi)](\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{H}})$

2.09. Lemma. Assume that (f, Ω) : (E, π, X) (E^*, π^*, X^*) and (E, Ω^*) : (E^*, π^*, X^*) \rightarrow (E^*, π^*, X^*) are morphism ant that $(g, \psi) = (f', \Omega') \circ (f, \Omega)$. Define $T = T_{\Omega} \circ \Delta_{f}^{\pi}$: $\Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)$, $T^{\dagger} = T_{\Omega} \circ \Delta_{f}^{\pi^{\dagger}}$ (if,) method $\Gamma(\pi^*)$ + $\Gamma(\pi^*)$ and $U = T_{w} \circ \Delta_{g}^{\pi}$: $\Gamma(\pi^*)^{\otimes 2}$ + $\Gamma(\pi^*)$. Then $U = T' \circ T$.

Proof. By the definition of The and T' mand Lemma 2.06 the following diagram commutes: NAME 1881

But $\Delta_{f_1}^{\pi^{\mathsf{T}}} \circ \Delta_f^{\pi} = \Delta_{g_1}^{\pi}$ by 2.02, and T_{Ω} , $\circ T_{\Omega}^{\pi} = T_{\Omega} \circ \Omega_f^{\pi}$ = T_{ψ} by 1.2.4 (a) and the definition of Ψ . Thus $U = T_{\psi} \circ \Delta_{\varrho}^{\pi} = T_{\Omega} \circ T_{\Omega} \# \circ \Delta_{f}^{\pi \frac{f}{r}} \circ \Delta_{f}^{\pi}, \quad \equiv T \circ \circ T \circ \Box \bullet$

The last lemma has a clear functorial character. In order to express it in an apropiate setting it is convenient to inctoduce a category M whose objects are all Hilbert modules with abelian C["]-algebra of scalars. Since we are about to consider Hilbert modules over a not necessarily fixed 0 ["]-algebra we will use the notation (A, H) for an A-Hilbert module H. The identity of A will be denoted 1_A

 2.08 . Definition. A *morphism* o'_h $(A, H) \in \mathcal{M}$ *into* $(A'H')\in \mathcal{M}$ is pair (φ,T) where $\varphi:A + A'$ is a C^* -algebra homomorphism and T: H + is a bounded φ -linear map. If (φ, T) : (A, H) + (A', H') and $(\hat{\Psi}^*$, $T^*)$: (A^*, H^*) + (A^*, H^*) are morphism we define (φ, T) o(φ, T) = (φ, φ, T) .

It is easy to check that these definitions make M into a category. Define a function $\Gamma:~\mathcal{F}~\rightarrow~M$ by sending each $(E,\pi,X)\in\mathcal{F}$ into $\Gamma(E,\pi,X)$ = $(C(X),$ $\Gamma(\pi)$), and each morph f, Ω): (E, π, X) (E^*, π^*, X^*) into the measure (φ, T) : $(C(X), \Gamma(\pi))$ \rightarrow (C(X'), $\Gamma(\pi^*)$), where $\Psi(a)$ = aof for each ac $C(X)$ and $T \rightarrow T_{\Omega} \circ \Delta_F^T$.

2.09 <u>Proposition</u>. The map $\Gamma: \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a $functon.$

Proof. Follows from 2.07

I make I was MILLY . minne to a

Now define a function $\Lambda: M \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ which sends each $(A,H)\in$ M into (A,H) = (E,π,X) , the field associated with the A-module H. Without loss of

generality we may suppose that $H = \Gamma(\pi)^{\frac{d}{d}}$ and on $A = C(X)$. If (φ, T) : $(A, H) + (A^{\circ}, H^{\circ})$ is a morphism in M and $\Lambda(A,H) = (E,\pi,X)$, $\Lambda(A,H^*) =$ (E', π', X') , there is a unique continuous map $f: X^* \rightarrow X$ such that $\psi(a) = a \circ f$ for all $a \in A$. Moreover, there is a unique C(X')-linear map one S = T_f : $\Gamma(\pi^f)$ + $\Gamma(\pi^t)$ such that $T = So\Delta^f_{\pi}$. This S determines a unique $\Omega = \Omega_c$: $E^f + E^e$ with π 'on = π^f , which is continuous and linear on each fiber. Then (f, Ω) : $(E, \pi, X) \rightarrow (E', \pi', X')$ is a morphism in f' ; this is by definition the image () : ("T = '9') of (φ, T) under Λ . $(94) = (1.99) \circ (11.99) \circ (14)$

2.10. Proposition. The map $\Lambda: M \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ is a and shoots to check the $functon.$ M into a datenty . Define a fo

Proof. Take (A,H) (φ,T) (A',H') (φ',T') (A'',H'') in M and let $\Lambda(A,H) = (E,\pi,X)$, etc. ^{bo}Assume $A = C(X)$, $H = \Gamma(\pi)$, $A' = C(X')$, etc. and let $(\varphi, T') \circ (\varphi, T) = (\psi, \nu), \quad i.e. \quad \psi \circ \varphi = \psi$ and (\vee) $T^{\dagger} \circ T = V$. Now put $\Lambda(\varphi, T)^2 = \Lambda(f, \Phi)$, $\Lambda(\varphi^{\dagger}, T^{\dagger}) = 0$ (f', Φ') and $\Lambda(\psi, V) = (g, \psi)$; also put S = T_{ϵ} , $S' = T'_{f}$, and $U = V_{g}$, so that $\Phi = \Omega_{S}$, $\Phi' = \Omega_{S}$, and $\psi = \Omega_{II}$. By definition we have $(f', \Phi') \circ (f', \Phi)$ = $(g, \Phi' \circ \Phi^{\#})$ and thus all we have to show is $\Psi = \Phi^* \circ \Phi^{\#}$, that is $\Omega_{II} = \Omega_{S} \circ \Omega_{S}^{\#}$. But $U = S^* \circ S^{\#}$, where $s^{\#}$ is defined as in the discussion preceding Lemma 2.03. Then by 1.09 (b) and 2.03, $\Omega_{\mathbf{U}}$ = $\Omega_{\mathbf{S}}$, $\circ \Omega_{\mathbf{S}}$ # = $\Omega_{\mathbf{S}}$, $\circ \Omega_{\mathbf{S}}^{\#}$. Boun-A said direct be is a position

2.11. Theorem. The categories M and F are equi valent. presentation", Review (noisteaste

máticas. Il (1979), 1-38

Proof. (a) For each (A, H) M let (E, π, X) = $\Lambda(A, H)$, so that $\Gamma \Lambda(A, H) = (C(X), \Gamma(\pi))$. We have a morphism $\mu = \mu_{(A,H)} : (A,H) \rightarrow \Gamma \Lambda (A,H)$ given by the pair of maps \vee : A + C(X) and \wedge : H + $\Gamma(\pi)$ The funtion $(A,H) \mapsto \mu_{(A,H)}$ is a natural transfor mation of I_M into Γ Λ and since each μ _(A,H) is an isomorphism $([3], 3.12)$ it is an equivalence.

(b) We can also define a natural transformation v of $\Lambda \Gamma$ into $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ as follows. Let (A,H) = $\Gamma(E,\pi,X)$ and $(E',\pi',X) = \Lambda(A,H)$; an arbitrary ele ment of E' is of the form e $e' = \sigma + H$, , where σ $\sigma \in H = \Gamma(\pi)$, define $v_{\pi}: e^{v} \mapsto \sigma(x)$. This maps is an isomorphism; the inverse is defined as follows: given $e \in E$ take $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$ with $\sigma(x) = e$, where $x = \pi(e)$ and put $e \mapsto \sigma + H$, Then v is an equivalence.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

conjunzo C(O) de las curvos cerv

[1] Dauns, J.and Hofmann, K.H.: "Representation of Rings by Sections", Mem.A.M.S.83(1968).

[2] Dixmier, J. and Douady, A.: "Champs continus d'espaces hilbertiens et de C^{*}-algèbres", Bull.Soc.Math.France 91(1963), 227-294.

[3] Takahashi, A.: "Hilbert Modules and their representation", Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas. 13 (1979), 1-38.

与 2018 V ma - 0 0 1 3 2 MB I NO

Visuod Dna Li, felmard [S]

 $Depata*mento de Matemáticas*$ *UYlive~~idad Nacional* de *Colombia Bogot4, V.E., COLOMBIA.*

(Recibido en febrero de 1979).