

DIFFUSION LIMITS OF CONDITIONED  
CRITICAL GALTON-WATSON PROCESSES

by

Bernhard MELLEIN \*

**RESUMEN.** Un proceso crítico de Galton-Watson con  $[xn+o(n)]$  partículas iniciales se sujeta a la condición de tener  $[yn+o(n)]$  partículas en el tiempo  $n$ , para ciertos valores  $x, y \geq 0$ . Se obtienen entonces leyes y difusiones en el límite cuando  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**ABSTRACT.** A critical Galton-Watson process initiated by  $[xn+o(n)]$  particles is conditioned on having the size  $[yn+o(n)]$  at time  $n$ , for some  $x, y \geq 0$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  limit laws and limiting diffusions are obtained.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $Z_n$  be the number of particles at time  $n$  in a critical Galton-Watson process (GW) and  $f$  the generating function of its offspring distribution. Assume  $f''(1-) = 2\alpha < \infty$ .

Lamperti and Ney (1968) have proved that the finite-di-

---

\* Research carried out at Johannes Gutenberg Universität, Mainz, Federal Republic of Germany.

mensional joint distributions of  $\{\frac{1}{\alpha n} Z_{[nt]}; 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ , conditioned on  $Z_0 = [\alpha n + o(n)]$  and  $Z_n > 0$ , converge, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to those of a diffusion process  $\{Y_{LN,x}(t); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  with initial state  $x \geq 0$ . Imposing the further condition  $Z_{[nc]} = 0$ ,  $c > 1$ , i.e. conditioning on extinction in the interval  $(n, cn]$ , Esty (1976) obtained a limiting diffusion  $\{Y_{E,x,c}(t); 0 \leq t \leq c\}$  which in turn has been shown to converge, as  $c \searrow 1$ , to another one, which we will denote by  $\{Y_{E,x}(t); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ . Letting  $c \searrow 1$  might be thought of as conditioning on extinction 'at' time  $n$ .

In this paper we shall show that  $\{\frac{1}{\alpha n} Z_{[nt]}; 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ , conditioned on  $Z_0 = [\alpha n + o(n)]$  and  $Z_n = [\alpha y n + o(n)]$ , converges in finite-dimensional distributions, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to a diffusion process  $\{Y_{x,y}(t); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ . It turns out that  $\{Y_{E,x}(t)\}$  and  $\{Y_{x,0}(t)\}$  coincide (in distribution) and that  $\{Y_{LN,x}(t)\}$  may be obtained from  $\{Y_{x,y}(t)\}$  by randomization, treating  $y$  as a random variable having the distribution of  $Y_{LN,x}(1)$ . Transition density, infinitesimal mean and variance of  $\{Y_{x,y}(t)\}$  are given explicitly in Section 3.

In Section 4 we deduce some limit laws from the general results of Section 3. Finally, in the last section we treat the case of a critical Galton-Watson process with immigration (GWI).

To prove our results we apply local limit theorems (Kesten, Ney and Spitzer (1966), Mellein (1982)) and therefore we have to impose the hypotheses under which they hold. To be precise, we shall confine ourselves to a critical aperiodic GW  $\{Z_n\}$  with  $E Z_1^2 \log Z_1 < \infty$ .

**2. Preliminaries.** In what follows  $x, y, v, t_0, t_1, \dots$  are real numbers with  $x \geq 0$ ,  $y \geq 0$ ,  $v > 0$  and  $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots$ . We set  $d_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and define for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_k^+$

$$= \{(y_1, \dots, y_k) | y_1 > 0, \dots, y_k > 0\},$$

$$v f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k; t_1, \dots, t_k; x, y; t_0, t_{k+1}) = (1)$$

$$(1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{t_{k+1}-t_0}{d_1 d_{k+1}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x+x_1}{d_1} - \frac{y+x_k}{d_{k+1}} + \frac{x+y}{t_{k+1}-t_0}\right\} (I_{v-1}\left\{\frac{2}{t_{k+1}-t_0}\sqrt{xy}\right\})^{-1} \\ \quad \cdot I_{v-1}\left\{\frac{2}{d_1}\sqrt{xx_1}\right\} I_{v-1}\left\{\frac{2}{d_{k+1}}\sqrt{yx_k}\right\} \prod_{r=2}^k h_v(x_{r-1}, x_r; d_r), \\ \hspace{15em} \text{if } x > 0, y > 0. \\ \left(\frac{t_{k+1}-t_0}{d_1}\right)^v \frac{(\sqrt{x_1/y})^{v-1}}{d_{k+1}} I_{v-1}\left\{\frac{2}{d_{k+1}}\sqrt{yx_k}\right\} \prod_{r=2}^k h_v(x_{r-1}, x_r; d_r) \\ \quad \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{x_1}{d_1} - \frac{y+x_k}{d_{k+1}} + \frac{y}{t_{k+1}-t_0}\right\} \hspace{1em} \text{if } x = 0, y > 0. \\ \left(\frac{t_{k+1}-t_0}{d_{k+1}}\right)^v \frac{(\sqrt{x_k/x})^{v-1}}{d_1} I_{v-1}\left\{\frac{2}{d_1}\sqrt{xx_1}\right\} \prod_{r=2}^k h_v(x_{r-1}, x_r; d_r) \\ \quad \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{x+x_1}{d_1} - \frac{x_k}{d_{k+1}} + \frac{x}{t_{k+1}-t_0}\right\} \hspace{1em} \text{if } x > 0, y = 0. \\ \left(\frac{t_{k+1}-t_0}{d_1 d_{k+1}}\right)^v \frac{(\sqrt{x_1 x_k})^{v-1}}{\Gamma(v)} \exp\left\{-\frac{x_1}{d_1} - \frac{x_k}{d_{k+1}}\right\} \prod_{r=2}^k h_v(x_{r-1}, x_r; d_r), \\ \hspace{15em} \text{if } x = 0, y = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $h_v(v, w; t) = \frac{1}{t} \exp\left\{-\frac{v+w}{t}\right\} I_{v-1}\left(\frac{2}{t}\sqrt{vw}\right)$ ,  $v, w, t > 0$  and  $I_d$  denotes the Bessel function of order  $d$  with purely imaginary argument.

**REMARK 1.** The function  $f_k(\cdot, \dots, \cdot; t_1, \dots, t_k; x, y, t_0, t_{k+1})$  is a  $k$ -dimensional density on  $\mathbb{R}_k^+$ . This is easily seen by an induction argument (consult e.g. Watson (1952) for the evaluation

of the integral arising for  $k = 1$ ). The densities which result in the cases  $k = 1$ ,  $xy = 0$ , are well-known:  $v f_1(\cdot, t_1; 0, 0; t_0, t_2)$  is a gamma density with parameters  $((t_2 - t_0)/d_1 d_2, v)$  and  $v f_1(\cdot, t_1; 0, y; t_0, t_2)$  (as well as  $v f_1(\cdot, t_1; x, 0; t_0, t_2)$ ) a randomized gamma density (see. e.g. Feller (1971, p.58); start with a gamma density with parameters  $(\frac{t_2 - t_0}{d_1 d_2}, v + m)$  and take  $m$  as an integer-valued random variable subject to a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\frac{y d_1}{d_2(t_2 - t_0)}$  to get the stated density).

We introduce some more notation. For  $0 \leq s < 1$ ,  $0 < t < 1 - s$  let

$$v g_y(v, w; s, t) =$$

$$= \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1-s}{t(1-s-t)} \right)^v \frac{w^{v-1}}{\Gamma(v)} \exp\left\{ -\frac{w(1-s)}{t(1-s-t)} \right\}, & \text{if } v = y = 0. \\ \left( \frac{1-s}{t} \right)^v \frac{1}{1-s-t} \left( \frac{w}{y} \right)^{(v-1)/2} I_{v-1} \left\{ \frac{2}{1-s-t} \sqrt{yw} \right\} \exp\left\{ -\frac{yt^2 + w(1-s)^2}{t(1-s)(1-s-t)} \right\}, & \text{if } v = 0, y > 0. \\ \left( \frac{1-s}{1-s-t} \right)^v \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{w}{v} \right)^{(v-1)/2} I_{v-1} \left( \frac{2}{t} \sqrt{vw} \right) \exp\left\{ -\frac{v(1-s-t)^2 + w(1-s)^2}{t(1-s)(1-s-t)} \right\}, & \text{if } v > 0, y = 0 \\ \frac{1-s}{t(1-s-t)} \frac{I_{v-1} \left( \frac{2}{1-s-t} \sqrt{yw} \right) I_{v-1} \left( \frac{2}{t} \sqrt{vw} \right)}{I_{v-1} \left( \frac{2}{1-s} \sqrt{yv} \right)} \exp\left\{ -\frac{yt^2 + v(1-s-t)^2 + w(1-s)^2}{t(1-s)(1-s-t)} \right\} & \text{if } y > 0, v > 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$v c_y(s, z) = \begin{cases} 2 - v - \frac{2z}{1-s} + \frac{2\sqrt{yz}}{1-s} \frac{I_{v-2} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{zy}}{1-s} \right)}{I_{v-1} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{zy}}{1-s} \right)} & \text{if } y > 0, z > 0. \\ v - \frac{2z}{1-s} & \text{if } y = 0, z \geq 0. \\ v & \text{if } y > 0, z = 0. \end{cases}$$

For further reference we state the following lemma. The cumbersome but routine calculations involved in its proof are omitted.

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $0 \leq s < 1$ ,  $0 < t < 1-s$ . Then for  $v \geq 0$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{|w-v| > \delta, w \geq 0} (w-v)^2 {}_v g_y(v, w; s, t) dw = 0 \quad \text{for all } \delta > 0$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty (w-v) {}_v g_y(v, w; s, t) dw = {}_v c_y(s, v),$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty (w-v)^2 {}_v g_y(v, w; s, t) dw = 2v.$$

**3. The limiting diffusion in the GW case.** Let  $Q_n(i, j)$  be the  $n$ -step transition probability of  $\{Z_n\}$  and assume  $Q(1, 1) < 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=2}^\infty Q(1, j) j^2 \log j < \infty$  and  $\text{g.c.d.}\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid Q(1, k) > 0\} = 1$ ,  $Q = Q_1$ . Then (see Mellein (1982)).

$$Q_n(i, j) \xrightarrow[\substack{j, n \rightarrow \infty, \quad i \geq 1 \\ \frac{j}{n}, \frac{i}{n} \text{ remain bounded}}]{\frac{1}{\alpha n} \exp\left\{-\frac{i+j}{\alpha n}\right\} \sqrt{i/j} I_1\left(\frac{2}{\alpha n} \sqrt{ij}\right)} \quad (2)$$

This local limit theorem will be the basic tool in the proof of Lemma 2, together with the following estimates which are due to Kesten, Ney and Spitzer (1966)

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} Q_n(i, j) \leq \text{Min}^{-2} \quad (3)$$

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} Q_n(i, j) \leq M'(in)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4)$$

To facilitate the statement of Lemma 2 we set  $Y_n(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha n} Z_{[nt]}$ ,

$n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , denote by  $\nu_k^F$  the  $k$ -dimensional distribution function corresponding to the density  $\nu_k^f$  defined in (1) and put

$S = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid Q_n(1, k) > 0 \text{ for some } k = k(n) \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We remark that  $S = \mathbb{N}$  if  $Q(1, 1) > 0$  and that by the aperiodicity of  $\{Z_n\}$  there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $S \supset \{m, m+1, \dots\}$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $r$  and  $s$  be intervalvalued functions with  $r(n) = o(n)$ ;  $s(n) = o(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $s(n) \geq 1$  if  $x = 0$ ,  $r(n) \in S$  if  $y = 0$ . Then for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $(z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \mathbb{R}_k^+$*

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{k,n}(z_1, \dots, z_k; t_1, \dots, t_k; x, y; t_0, t_{k+1}) \\ &= P(Y_n(t_1) \leq z_1, \dots, Y_n(t_k) \leq z_k \mid Z_{[nt_0]} = [\alpha x n] + s(n), Z_{[nt_{k+1}]} = [\alpha y n] + r(n)) \\ & \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^F_k(z_1, \dots, z_k; t_1, \dots, t_k; x, y; t_0, t_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the sake of simplicity we shall drop from here on (sometimes) the square brackets with the understanding that  $zn$ , etc. means  $[zn]$ , etc. Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \min(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} & S_n(z_1, \dots, z_k; \varepsilon) \\ &= \sum_{j_k \in \mathbb{N}} \dots \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{N}} P(Z_{nt_1} = j_1, \dots, Z_{nt_k} = j_k \mid Z_{nt_0} = \alpha x n + s(n), Z_{nt_{k+1}} = \alpha y n \\ & \quad + r(n)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & T_n(z_1, \dots, z_k; \varepsilon) \\ &= F_{k,n}(z_1, \dots, z_k; t_1, \dots, t_k; x, y; t_0, t_{k+1}) - S_n(z_1, \dots, z_k; \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

$$R_n(j) = \frac{Q_{nd_1}(\alpha x n + s(n), j)}{Q_{n(t_{k+1}-t_0)}(\alpha x n + s(n), \alpha y n + r(n))} \quad (5)$$

and write

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{k,n}(z_1, \dots, z_k; t_1, \dots, t_k; x, y; t_0, t_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{j_k=1}^{\alpha n z_k} \dots \sum_{j_1=1}^{\alpha n z_1} R_n(j_1) \prod_{r=2}^k Q_{nd_r}(j_{r-1}, j_r) Q_{nd_{k+1}}(j_k, \alpha y n + r(n)). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We break the proof into several steps.

(a) There are constants  $L$  and  $N$  such that  $R_n(j) < L$  for all  $j \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq N$ .

Applying (3) we get an upper bound for the numerator on the right side of (5) while lower bounds for the denominator in (5) may be obtained by inspection of its asymptotic behavior. This may be deduced from (2) in the cases  $x \geq 0$ ,  $y > 0$ ;  $x \geq 0$ ,  $y = 0$ ,  $r(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Concerning the remaining case, i.e.  $x \geq 0$ ,  $y = 0$ , there is a subsequence of  $r(n)$  which remains bounded, we observe that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_n(i, j) & \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} & \frac{i}{\alpha n^2} \theta_j \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{\alpha n}\right\} \\ & S \ni j \text{ fixed} & \\ & \frac{i}{n} \text{ remains bounded} & \end{array}$$

with  $\{\theta_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  the normalized  $(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta_k (Q(1, 0))^k = 1)$  stationary measure of  $\{Z_n\}$  which is bounded away from zero on  $S$ , i.e.

$\theta_j \geq b > 0$  for all  $j \in S$  and  $b$  appropriately chosen. The last fact is an immediate consequence of well-known results of Kesten, Ney and Spitzer (1966).

(b) For sufficiently large  $N$ , as  $\epsilon \searrow 0$ ,

$$F_{k,n}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon; t_1, \dots, t_k; x, y; t_0, t_{k+1}) = o(1),$$

uniformly in  $n \geq N$ :

Substituting estimates for  $Q_{nd_r}(j_{r-1}, j_r)$ ,  $r = 2, \dots, k$  and  $Q_{nd_{k+1}}(j_k, \alpha_{yn+r(n)})$  provided by (4), into (6) (with  $z_1 = \dots = z_k = \varepsilon$ ) and using (a) gives

$$F_{k,n}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon; t_1, \dots, t_k; x, y; t_0, t_{k+1}) \leq M''(\sqrt{\varepsilon})^k$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ .

(c) For sufficiently large  $N$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$T_n(z_1, \dots, z_k; \varepsilon) = o(1),$$

uniformly in  $n \geq N$ :

$T_n(z_1, \dots, z_k; \varepsilon)$  may be written as a sum of the  $2^k - 1$  terms having the form

$$\sum_{j_k=r_k}^{\alpha n s_k} \dots \sum_{j_1=r_1}^{\alpha n s_1} R_n(j_1) \prod_{r=2}^k Q_{nd_r}(j_{r-1}, j_r) Q_{nd_{k+1}}(j_k, \alpha_{yn+r(n)}) \quad (7)$$

with  $(r_i, s_i) = (0, \varepsilon)$  or  $(r_i, s_i) = (\varepsilon, z_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $(r_1, \dots, r_k; s_1, \dots, s_k) \neq (\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon; z_1, \dots, z_k)$ . The asymptotic behaviour ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) of one of the summands appearing in (7) (that with  $(r_1, \dots, r_k; s_1, \dots, s_k) = (0, \dots, 0; \varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon)$ ) is dealt with in (b), that of the remaining terms is checked quite similarly.

(d)  $S_n(z_1, \dots, z_k; \varepsilon)$  may be identified as a Riemann sum, approximated ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) by the integral

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{z_k} \dots \int_{\varepsilon}^{z_1} 2^f(x_1, \dots, x_k; t_1, \dots, t_k; x, y; t_0, t_{k+1}) dx_1 \dots dx_k. \quad (8)$$

We confine ourselves to the case  $xy > 0$ . The cases  $xy = 0$



are handled similarly. With the aid of (2) we get for the sum defining  $S_n(z_1, \dots, z_k; \epsilon)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1+o(1)) \sum_{j_k=\epsilon n}^{\alpha n z_k} \dots \sum_{j_1=\epsilon n}^{\alpha n z_1} \frac{1}{\alpha n d_1} \sqrt{(\alpha x n + s(n))/j_1} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\alpha n d_1}(\alpha x n + s(n) + j_1)\right\} \\
 & \cdot I_1\left(\frac{2}{\alpha n d_1} \sqrt{j_1(\alpha x n + s(n))}\right) \prod_{r=2}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha n d_r} \sqrt{j_{r-1}/j_r} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\alpha n d_r}(j_{r-1} + j_r)\right\} \right\} \\
 & \cdot I_1\left(\frac{2}{\alpha n d_{k+1}} \sqrt{j_k(\alpha y n + r(n))}\right) \frac{I_1\left(\frac{2}{\alpha n d_{k+1}} \sqrt{j_k(\alpha y n + r(n))}\right)}{I_1\left(\frac{2}{\alpha n (t_{k+1} - t_0)} \sqrt{(\alpha x n + s(n))(\alpha y n + r(n))}\right)} \frac{t_{k+1} - t_0}{d_{k+1}} \\
 & \cdot \sqrt{j_k/(\alpha x n + s(n))} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\alpha n d_{k+1}}(j_k + \alpha y n + r(n)) + \frac{\alpha x n + s(n) + \alpha y n + r(n)}{\alpha n (t_{k+1} - t_0)}\right\} \\
 & = (1+o(1)) \sum_{j_k=\epsilon n}^{\alpha n z_k} \dots \sum_{j_1=\epsilon n}^{\alpha n z_1} \frac{t_{k+1} - t_0}{d_1 d_{k+1}} (\alpha n)^{-k} \frac{I_1\left(\frac{2}{d_1} \sqrt{x j_1 / \alpha n}\right) I_1\left(\frac{2}{d_{k+1}} \sqrt{y j_k / \alpha n}\right)}{I_1\left(\frac{2}{t_{k+1} - t_0} \sqrt{xy}\right)} \\
 & \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{d_1}\left(x + \frac{j_1}{\alpha n}\right) - \frac{1}{d_{k+1}}\left(y + \frac{j_k}{\alpha n}\right) + \frac{x+y}{t_{k+1} - t_0}\right\} \\
 & \cdot \prod_{r=2}^k \frac{1}{d_r} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{d_r}\left(\frac{j_{r-1}}{\alpha n} + \frac{j_r}{\alpha n}\right)\right\} I_1\left(\frac{2}{d_r} \sqrt{j_{r-1} j_r / (\alpha n)^2}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

the last expression being a Riemann sum for the integral in (8). The theorem follows from (c) and (d).

We now state our main theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** Assume that the hypotheses of Lemma 2 hold. Then  $\{Y_n(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha n} Z_{[nt]}; 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ , conditioned on  $Z_0 = [\alpha n x] + s(n)$  and  $Z_n = [\alpha n y] + r(n)$  converges in finite-dimensional distributions to a (Markovian) diffusion process

$\{Y_{x,y}(t); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  with  $Y_{x,y}(0) = x$ ,  $Y_{x,y}(1) = y$ . Its respective transition densities are

$$f_y(w, s+t | v, s) = {}_2g_y(v, w; s, t), \quad 0 \leq s < 1, \quad 0 < t < 1-s$$

$$f_x(w, s-t | v, s) = {}_2g_x(v, w; 1-s, t), \quad 0 < s \leq 1, \quad 0 < t < s.$$

For its infinitesimal means  $a_y^+(s, z)$  and  $a_x^-(s, z)$  and its infinitesimal variances  $b_y^+(s, z)$  and  $b_x^-(s, z)$  hold the relations

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty (w-z) f_y(w, s+t | z, s) dw = a_y^+(s, z) = {}_2c_y(s, z), \quad 0 \leq s < 1,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty (w-z) f_x(w, s-t | z, s) dw = a_x^-(s, z) = {}_2c_x(1-s, z), \quad 0 < s \leq 1,$$

$$b_y^+(s, z) = 2z = b_x^-(s, z).$$

The joint density of  $(Y_{x,y}(t_1), \dots, Y_{x,y}(t_k))$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t_k < 1$ , is given by (1) with  $v = 2$ ,  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $t_{k+1} = 1$ .

Proof. The family of distribution functions  ${}_2F_k$  gotten in Lemma 2 satisfies the consistency conditions in Kolmogorov's existence theorem and hence determines a stochastic process. Its properties are immediate from Lemmas 1 and 2 and the special factorized form of the  ${}_2f_k$ .

**REMARK 2.** A simple comparison shows that  $\{Y_{E,x}(t)\}$  and  $\{Y_{x,0}(t)\}$  are equal in distribution, i.e. conditioning on "extinction at time  $n$ " and on "there are  $o(n) \geq 1$  particles at time  $n$ " lead to the same diffusion process.

To establish a relation with the process  $\{Y_{LN,x}(t)\}$  obtained by Lamperti and Ney (1968) we introduce the following Laplace transforms. Let  $0 < t \leq 1$  and  $r$  and  $s$  as in Lemma 2.

$$L_{LN}(\theta, t | x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\exp\{-\frac{\theta}{\alpha_n} Z_{[nt]}\} | Z_0 = [\alpha_n x + s(n)], \quad Z_n > 0)$$

$$L(\theta, t | x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\exp\{-\frac{\theta}{\alpha n} Z_{[nt]}\} | Z_0 = [\alpha x n + s(n)], Z_n = [\alpha y n + r(n)]).$$

LEMMA 3. The Laplace transform  $L_{LN}(\theta, 1 | x)$  has associated density

$$w_x(z) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-z\}, & x = 0 \\ \sqrt{x/z} I_1(2\sqrt{xz}) \frac{\exp\{-(x+z)\}}{1 - \exp\{-x\}}, & x > 0, z > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. See Lamperti and Ney (1968) or check it directly.

THEOREM 2. Let  $0 < t \leq 1$ .

$$L_{LN}(\theta, t | x) = \int_0^\infty L(\theta, t | x, y) w_x(y) dy.$$

Proof. A direct verification is possible (see e.g. Abramowitz and Stegun (1965) for the necessary integral formulas) but there is a nice probabilistic argument. It is

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\exp\{-\frac{\theta}{\alpha n} Z_{nt}\} | Z_0 = \alpha x n + s(n), Z_n > 0) \\ &= E\{E(\exp\{-\frac{\theta}{\alpha n} Z_{nt}\} | Z_0 = \alpha x n + s(n), Z_n > 0) | \frac{Z_n}{\alpha n}\} \\ &= \int_0^\infty E(\exp\{-\frac{\theta}{\alpha n} Z_{nt}\} | Z_0 = \alpha x n + s(n), Z_n = \alpha y n, Z_n > 0) \\ &\quad \cdot dP(\frac{1}{\alpha n} Z_n \leq y | Z_0 = \alpha x n + s(n), Z_n > 0), \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows from Lemma 3.

We mention that the previous statement may easily be generalized to  $k$ -dimensional Laplace transforms.

In the following theorem we list some asymptotic properties of the infinitesimal means of the process  $\{Y_{x,y}(t)\}$  which follow immediately from the corresponding behavior of the Bessel functions involved.

### THEOREM 3.

- (a)  $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} a_y^+(s, y) = 0$
- (b)  $a_y^+(s, z) \sim \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1-s}\sqrt{y} \quad y \rightarrow \infty, z > 0$
- (c)  $a_y^+(s, z) \sim -\frac{2z}{1-s} \quad z \rightarrow \infty$
- (d)  $a_y^+(s, z) \sim \frac{2}{1-s}(\sqrt{zy}-z) \quad s \nearrow 1$
- (e)  $a_y^+(s, z) = a_y^-(1-s, z) .$

REMARK 3. The relations in Theorem 3 may be read like this; e.g. (a) "Large populations which will not vary in size in the long run stagnate instantaneously (on the average)" and (c) "Large populations which will be comparatively small in the future exhibit (on the average) an (instantaneous) linear decrease".

4. Limit laws. In this section we state some limit laws which are special cases of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 4. Let  $0 < t < 1$ . Then  $L(\theta, t|x, y) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (1+\theta t(1-t))^{-2} & \text{if } x = y = 0, \\ (1+\theta t(1-t))^{-2} \exp\left\{\frac{x}{t}(1-t)\left(\frac{1}{1+\theta t(1-t)}-1\right)\right\} & \text{if } x > 0, y = 0, \\ (1+\theta t(1-t))^{-2} \exp\left\{\frac{yt}{1-t}\left(\frac{1}{1+\theta t(1-t)}-1\right)\right\} & \text{if } x = 0, y > 0, \\ (1+\theta t(1-t))^{-1} \exp\left\{\frac{yt^2+x(1-t)^2}{t(1-t)}\left(\frac{1}{1+\theta t(1-t)}-1\right)\right\} \\ \quad \cdot I_1\{2\sqrt{xy}(1+\theta t(1-t))^{-1}\}/I_1(2\sqrt{xy}) & \text{if } x > 0, y > 0. \end{array} \right.$$

REMARK 4. This means that  $\frac{1}{\alpha n} Z_{[nt]}$ , conditioned on

$Z_0 = [\alpha x n] + s(n)$  and  $Z_n = [\alpha y n] + r(n)$ , converges in distribution to a random variable  $Z$  which may be represented by means of the following *independent* random variables as

$$Z = \begin{cases} E + E' & \text{if } x = y = 0, \\ E + E' + E_1 + \dots + E_N & \text{if } x > 0, y = 0, \\ E + E' + E_1 + \dots + E_M & \text{if } x = 0, y > 0, \\ E + E_1 + \dots + E_S + E'_1 + \dots + E'_T & \text{if } x > 0, y > 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $E, E', E_1, E_2, \dots, E'_1, \dots$  are exponential random variables with mean  $t(1-t)$ ,  $N, M$  and  $S$  are Poisson random variables with parameters  $\frac{x}{t}(1-t)$ ,  $\frac{y}{1-t}$  and  $\frac{yt^2 + x(1-t)^2}{t(1-t)}$  respectively, and  $T$  has the probability generating function  $b_{x,y}(z) = I_1(2z\sqrt{xy})/I_1(2\sqrt{xy})$  with the notable properties

$$b_{x,y}^{(2r)}(0) = 0, r = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} b_{x,y}(z) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} b_{x,y}(z) = z.$$

**5. The limiting diffusion in the GWI case.** Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a critical GWI and  $P_n(i, j)$  its  $n$ -step transition probability function. Assume that its immigration distribution  $\{P(0, k) | k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  satisfies  $0 < P(0, 0) < 1$  and  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} P(0, k) k \log k < \infty$ . As to its offspring distribution  $\{Q(1, k) | k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  we suppose that the hypotheses at the beginning of Section 3 hold. Under these assumptions Mellein (1982) obtained a local limit theorem for  $P_n(i, j)$ , corresponding to (2), which may be used to prove the following theorem.

As in Section 3 we define  $S' = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} | P_n(0, k) > 0 \text{ for some } n = n(k) \in \mathbb{N}\}$  which obviously satisfies  $S' \supset \{0, m, m+1, \dots\}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)Q(1,k)$  (as in the previous sections) and  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kP(0,k)$ .

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $s$  and  $r$  be integervalued functions with  $s(n) = o(n)$ ;  $r(n) = o(n)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $s(n) \geq 0$  if  $x = 0$  and  $r(n) \in S'$  if  $y = 0$ . Then the following statements hold. The finite-dimensional distributions of  $\{\frac{1}{\alpha n} X_{[nt]}; 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ , conditioned on  $X_0 = [\alpha x n] + s(n)$  and  $X_n = [\alpha y n] + r(n)$  converge to those of a (Markovian) diffusion process  $\{W_{x,y}(t); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  with  $W_{x,y}(0) = x$ ,  $W_{x,y}(1) = y$ ,

*infinitesimal means*

$$I_{a_y}^+(s,z) = \gamma_y^c(s,z), \quad 0 \leq s < 1,$$

$$I_{a_y}^-(s,z) = \gamma_x^c(1-s,z), \quad 0 < s \leq 1,$$

*infinitesimal variances*

$$I_{b_y}^+(s,z) = 2z = I_{b_x}^-(s,z) \quad 0 < s < 1$$

*and transition densities*

$$I_{f_y}^+(w,s+t|v,s) = \gamma_y^g(v,w;s,t), \quad 0 \leq s < 1, 0 < t < 1-s$$

$$I_{f_x}^-(w,s-t|v,s) = \gamma_x^g(v,w;1-s,t), \quad 0 < s \leq 1, 0 < t < s.$$

The joint density of  $(W_{x,y}(t_1), \dots, W_{x,y}(t_k))$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t_k < 1$  is given by (1) with  $v = \gamma$ ,  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $t_{k+1} = 1$ .

**REMARK 5.** It is easy to deduce from the foregoing theorem the following limit law. Let  $xy > 0$ . Conditional on  $X_0 = [\alpha x n] + s(n)$  and  $X_n = [\alpha y n] + r(n)$ ,  $\frac{1}{\alpha n} X_{[nt]}$  converges in distribution to the random variable

$$G + E_1 + \dots + E_N + E_1' + \dots + E_M'$$

with

G a gamma r.v. with parameters  $(\frac{t}{1-t}, \gamma)$ ,

N a Poisson r.v. with parameter  $\frac{yt^2 + x(1-t)^2}{t(1-t)}$ ,

M a r.v. with probability generating function

$$b_{\gamma, x, y}(z) = \frac{1}{I_{\gamma-1}(2\sqrt{xy})} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! \Gamma(\gamma+k)} (\sqrt{xy})^{2k+\gamma-1} z^{2k},$$

$E_1, E_2, \dots, E'_1, E'_2, \dots$  exponential random variables with mean  $t(1-t)$  and all previous random variables being independent.

**REMARK 6.** a) It is well-known that the limit distribution ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) of  $\frac{1}{\alpha n} Z_{[nt]}$ , conditioned on  $Z_0 = 1$ ,  $Z_n > 0$  and of  $\frac{1}{\alpha n} X_{[nt]}$  coincide in the case  $t = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ , i.e. the effect (on the limit law of  $Z_n/\alpha n$ ) of conditioning on non-extinction is the same as immigrating at an average rate of  $\alpha$ . But this is no longer true if  $t < 1$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\exp\{-\frac{\theta}{\alpha n} Z_{[nt]}\} | Z_0 = 1, Z_n > 0) = ((1+\theta t)(1+\theta t(1-t)))^{-1}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\exp\{-\frac{\theta}{\alpha n} X_{[nt]}\} | X_0 = 1) = (1+\theta t)^{-\gamma}.$$

b) A comparison of Theorem 1 and 5 shows that the case  $\gamma = 2$  plays an outstanding rôle. If  $\{X_n\}$  and  $\{Z_n\}$  are initiated in the same manner and behave similarly at time  $n$  then they are indistinguishable (in distribution) at intermedium times if the immigration rate equals  $2\alpha$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** The contents of the present paper are a part of my doctoral thesis, carried out under the guidance of Prof. Wolfgang J. Bühler (Mainz). I thank Prof. Bühler for his help and encouragement.



## REFERENCES

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I., *Handbook of Mathematical functions*. Dover, New York, (1965).
- [2] Esty, W., *Diffusion limits of critical branching processes conditioned on extinction in the near future*. J. Appl. Prob. 13, (1976) 247-254.
- [3] Feller, W., *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications 2*. (2nd ed.), Wiley, New York, (1971).
- [4] Kesten, H., Ney P., and Spitzer, F., *The Galton-Watson process with mean one and finite variance*. Theory Prob. Appl. 11, (1966) 513-540.
- [5] Lamperti, J. and Ney, P., *Conditioned branching processes and their limiting diffusions*. Theory Prob. Appl. 13 (1968) 128-139.
- [6] Mellein, B., *Local limit theorems for the critical Galton-Watson process with immigration*. Rev. Col. Mat. Vol. 16, (1982) 31-56.
- [7] Watson, G.N., *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*. Cambridge University Press, (1952).

\* \*

Departamento de Matemáticas  
 Universidad de los Andes  
 Apartado Aéreo 4976  
 Bogotá, COLOMBIA

(Recibido en diciembre de 1981)