

## A GENERALIZATION OF FUBINI'S THEOREM

### FOR BANACH ALGEBRA-VALUED MEASURES

by

Diómedes BARCENAS and T.V. PANCHAPAGESAN\*

**RESUMEN.** Se demuestra una generalización del teorema de Fubini para medidas vectoriales en álgebras de Banach, en el caso en que la función a integrar toma también valores en el álgebra.

**ABSTRACT.** The present paper gives a generalization of Fubini's theorem when the function  $f$  and the vector measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  of bounded variation assume values in a Banach algebra.

Fubini's theorem for Bochner integrals with values in a Banach space has been known for a long time (see Hille and Phillips [5]). The object of the present work is to treat a generalization of this theorem when the function  $f$  and the

\* Supported by C.D.C.H. Projects C-80-149 and 150 of Universidad de los Andes, Mérida, Venezuela.

vector measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  of bounded variation assume values in a Banach algebra. When the Banach algebra is commutative and the range of  $f$  is bounded, this generalization reduces to the theorem of Fubini for this case.

**§1. Preliminaries.** In this section we give some definitions and results from the literature on the theory of integration with respect to Banach algebra-valued measures of bounded variation.

$X \neq \{0\}$  will denote in the sequel a Banach algebra (real or complex) with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , which is not assumed to have an identity. Unless otherwise mentioned,  $X$  is not commutative.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of a set  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow X$  is called a *measure* if  $\mu$  is countably additive in  $\Sigma$  with respect to the norm topology of  $X$ .  $\mu$  is called a *measure of bounded variation* when  $\sup_{E \in \Sigma} |\mu|(E) < \infty$ , where  $|\mu|$  denotes the variation of  $\mu$ . As  $|\mu|$  is countably additive in the  $\sigma$ -ring  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mu$  is of bounded variation if and only if  $|\mu|(E) < \infty$  for all  $E \in \Sigma$ .

If  $v: \Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a positive measure,  $v^*(E) = \inf\{v(F): E \subset F \in \Sigma\}$  is an outer measure on the hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring  $H(\Sigma)$  generated by  $\Sigma$ . Let  $M_v = \{E \in H(\Sigma): E$  is  $v^*$ -measurable}. Let  $\tau(v) = \{E \subset \Omega: E \cap A \in M_v \text{ for every } A \in M_v\}$ . The members of  $\tau(v)$  are called  $v$ -measurable sets. It is known that  $\tau(v)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $M_v$  and hence containing  $\Sigma$  (vide p.70 [2]).

The set function  $v^*: \tau(v) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , defined by

$$v^*(E) = \sup_{\substack{A \subset E \\ A \in M_v}} v^*(A)$$

$A \in E$

$A \in M_v$

is a positive measure and extends  $\nu^*$  from  $M_\nu$  to  $\tau(\nu)$ . The sets  $E \in \tau(\nu)$  with  $\nu^*(E) = 0$  are called  $\nu$ -negligible. The notion of *almost everywhere* with respect to  $\nu$  is defined in terms of  $\nu$ -negligible. Also we shall denote  $\nu^*$  by  $\nu$  on  $\tau(\nu)$ .

A function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is called  $\Sigma$ -simple if it admits a representation of the form

$$f(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{E_i}(w)$$

where  $x_i \in X$ ,  $E_i \in \Sigma$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It is true that

$$N(f) = \{w: f(w) \neq 0\} \in \Sigma.$$

(Vide Remarks p.83, [2]).

**DEFINITION 1.1.** A function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is called  $|\mu|$ -measurable, where  $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow X$  is a measure of bounded variation, if there exists  $N \in \tau(|\mu|)$ ,  $N|\mu|$ -negligible, such that there exists a sequence  $(s_n)$  of  $\Sigma$ -simple  $X$ -valued functions converging to  $f$  pointwise in  $\Omega \setminus N$ , i.e.  $s_n \rightarrow f$   $|\mu|$ -a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

As  $\mu$  is of bounded variation,  $|\mu|^*$  is bounded in  $H(\Sigma)$  and, hence,  $|\mu|^*$  is bounded in  $\tau(|\mu|)$ . Therefore,  $\Omega$  is  $|\mu|$ -integrable in the sense of Definition 6, p.75 of [2]. Consequently, by Theorem 2, p.99 of [2], a function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  which is  $|\mu|$ -measurable in the sense of Definition 4, p.89 of [2] is  $|\mu|$ -measurable in the sense of our Definition 1.1. Conversely, as a  $\Sigma$ -simple  $X$ -valued function  $s$  is clearly  $|\mu|$ -measurable in the sense of [2], by Theorem 1, p.94, of [2], we obtain that a function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  which is  $|\mu|$ -measurable in the sense of Definition 1.1 is  $|\mu|$ -measurable in the sense of [2]. Thus we have:

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let  $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow X$  be a measure of bounded variation,  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is  $|\mu|$ -measurable in the sense of Definition 1.1 if and only if it is  $|\mu|$ -measurable in the sense of [2].

The theory of integration in §8 of [2] can be simplified to some extent as we have  $\mu$  defined on the  $\mu$ -ring  $\Sigma$ .

For a  $\Sigma$ -simple function  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{E_i}$ ,  $x_i \in X$ ,  $E_i \in \Sigma$ , we define

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i \cap E) x_i, \quad E \in \Sigma \cup \{\Omega\}.$$

It is clear that

$$|\int_E f d\mu| \leq \int_E \|f\| d|\mu|. \quad (1)$$

DEFINITION 1.3. Let  $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow X$  be a measure of bounded variation. If  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is  $|\mu|$ -measurable, then we say that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable if there exists a sequence  $(s_n)$  of  $\Sigma$ -simple  $X$ -valued functions such that

- i)  $s_n \rightarrow f$   $|\mu|$ -a.e. in  $\Omega$ ;
- ii)  $\int_{\Omega} \|s_n - s_m\| d|\mu| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$

Then by (1), for  $E \in \Sigma$ ,  $\{\int_E s_n d\mu\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  and it is therefore convergent in  $X$ . By Proposition 8 and 9, §7 of [2],  $\int_E f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E s_n d\mu$  is well defined for  $E \in \Sigma \cup \{\Omega\}$ .

$L(\mu, X)$  will denote the collection of all  $X$ -valued  $\mu$ -integrable functions. From (i) and (ii) in Definition 1.3, it follows that  $\|f\|$  in  $|\mu|$ -integrable (in classical sense) if  $f$  is so, and that

as  $(\Omega, \Sigma, |\mu|)$  is a finite measure space.

Using the equivalence relation  $f \sim g$  if  $\{x: f(x) \neq g(x)\}$  is  $|\mu|$ -negligible, one sees that  $L_1(\mu, X) = \mathcal{L}(\mu, X)/\sim$  is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_{\Omega} \|f\| d|\mu|.$$

**PROPOSITION 1.4.** *Let  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  be  $|\mu|$ -measurable. If  $\|f\| \in \mathcal{L}(|\mu|, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mu, X)$  and*

$$\int_{\Omega} \|f\| d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \|f\| d|\mu|.$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\|f\|$  is  $|\mu|$ -measurable. By Proposition 1.2 and by Theorem 2, p.99 of [2], there exists a sequence  $(s_n)$  of  $\Sigma$ -simple  $X$ -valued functions such that

i)  $\|s_n(w)\| \leq \|f(w)\|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } w \in \Omega$

ii)  $s_n \rightarrow f \quad |\mu|$ -a.e.

Then by Theorem 3, p.136 of [2] (which applies here),  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mu, X)$  and  $\int_{\Omega} \|f\| d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \|f\| d|\mu|$ .

**§2. Product measures with values in  $X$ .** Throughout this section we shall assume that  $\mu_i: \Sigma_i \rightarrow X$  are measures of bounded variation for  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $\Sigma_i$  are  $\sigma$ -rings of subsets of  $\Omega_i \neq \emptyset$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\sup_{E \in \Sigma_i} |\mu_i|(E) = M_i$  is finite for  $i =$

1,2. In this section, using auxiliary functions  $h_E$  and  $h^E$ ,  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ , we prove the existence and uniqueness of the product measures  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  and  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$  on  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ , such that

$$(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(A \times B) = \mu_1(A)\mu_2(B)$$

and

$$(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t(A \times B) = \mu_2(B)\mu_1(A)$$

for  $A \in \Sigma_1$  and  $B \in \Sigma_2$ . It is also true that  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  and  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$  are of bounded variation in  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . We define the functions  $h_E: \Omega_1 \rightarrow X$  and  $h^E: \Omega_2 \rightarrow X$  as follows:

$$h_E(w_1) = \mu_2\{w_2 \in \Omega_2: (w_1, w_2) \in E\}, \quad w_1 \in \Omega_1$$

and

$$h^E(w_2) = \mu_1\{w_1 \in \Omega_1: (w_1, w_2) \in E\}, \quad w_2 \in \Omega_2.$$

Since  $E_{w_1} = \{w_2 \in \Omega_2: (w_1, w_2) \in E\} \in \Sigma_2$  and  $E^{w_2} = \{w_1 \in \Omega_1: (w_1, w_2) \in E\} \in \Sigma_1$  because  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ , the functions  $h_E$  and  $h^E$  are well defined.

**LEMMA 2.2.** Let  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . Then  $h_E: \Omega_1 \rightarrow X$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable and  $h^E: \Omega_2 \rightarrow X$  is  $|\mu_2|$ -measurable.

*Proof.* We shall prove the result for  $h_E$ . In a similar manner the result for  $h^E$  can be proved.

Let  $E = A \times B$ ,  $A \in \Sigma_1$ ,  $B \in \Sigma_2$ . Then, in this case,  $h_E = \mu_2(B)\chi_A$  which is clearly  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable. Consequently, if

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \times B_i, \quad A_i \in \Sigma_1, \quad B_i \in \Sigma_2, \quad (A_i \times B_i) \cap (A_j \times B_j) = \emptyset, \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

then it is clear that

$$h_E(w_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_2(B_i) \chi_{A_i}(w_1)$$

which is a  $\Sigma_1$ -simple  $X$ -valued function and hence is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable. Therefore, if  $R$  is the ring generated by  $\{A \times B : A \in \Sigma_1, B \in \Sigma_2\}$ , then  $h_E$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable for each  $E \in R$ .

Let  $M = \{E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 : h_E \text{ is } |\mu_1| \text{-measurable}\}$ . Then by the foregoing argument,  $R \subset M$ . Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a monotonic sequence in  $M$  with  $E = \lim_n E_n$ . Then  $\{(E_n)_{w_1}\}$  is monotonic with  $E_{w_1} = \lim_n (E_n)_{w_1}$ . Since  $\mu_2$  is a vector measure, which is countably additive in  $\Sigma_2$ , it follows that

$$h_E = \mu_2(E_{w_1}) = \lim_n \mu_2((E_n)_{w_1}) = \lim_n h_{E_n}.$$

As  $E_n \in M$ , then  $h_{E_n}$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now by Proposition 1.2 and by Theorem 1, p.94 of [2],  $\lim_n h_{E_n} = h_E$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable. Hence  $E \in M$  and consequently, by Theorem B, §8 of [4], we have that  $M = S(R) = \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . That is,  $h_E$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable for each  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ .

**COROLLARY 2.3.** Let  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . If  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset X$  and  $s: \Omega_1 \rightarrow X$  is given by

$$s(w_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{E_i}(w_1) x_i$$

then  $s$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable. Similarly  $t: \Omega_2 \rightarrow X$ , given by

$$t(w_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{E_i}(w_2) x_i,$$

is  $|\mu_2|$ -measurable.

*Proof.* Let  $f_i(w_1) = h_{E_i}(w_1)x_i$ ,  $w_1 \in \Omega_1$ . As  $h_{E_i}$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable, by Lemma 2.2, exists a sequence  $(s_n)$  of  $X$ -valued  $\Sigma_1$ -simple functions which converges to  $h_{E_i}$   $|\mu_1|$ -a.e. in  $\Omega_1$ . As  $s_n x_i$  is also an  $X$ -valued  $\Sigma_1$ -simple function and as  $s_n x_i \rightarrow f_i$   $|\mu_1|$ -a.e. in  $\Omega_1$ , it follows that  $f_i$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable. Now by Proposition 1.2 and by corollary 1, p.101 of [2],  $s$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable. By a similar argument we also have that  $t$  is  $|\mu_2|$ -measurable.

**LEMMA 2.4.** For  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ ,  $h_E \in \mathcal{L}(\mu_1, X)$  and  $h^E \in \mathcal{L}(\mu_2, X)$ . If we define

$$\tau_1(E) = \int_{\Omega_1} h_E d\mu_1 \text{ and } \tau_2(E) = \int_{\Omega_2} h^E d\mu_2,$$

then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are  $X$ -valued measures in  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . Further,

$$\tau_1(A \times B) = \mu_1(A)\mu_2(B) \text{ and } \tau_2(A \times B) = \mu_2(B)\mu_1(A)$$

for  $A \in \Sigma_1$  and  $B \in \Sigma_2$ .

*Proof.* For  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ , by Lemma 2.2.,  $h_E$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable and  $h^E$  is  $|\mu_2|$ -measurable. For  $w_1 \in \Omega_1$

$$\|h_E(w_1)\| = \|\mu_2(E_{w_1})\| \leq |\mu_2|(E_{w_1}) \leq M_2$$

and hence  $h_E: \Omega_1 \rightarrow X$  is bounded. As  $\|h_E\|$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable and bounded and  $(\Omega_1, \Sigma_1, |\mu_1|)$  is a finite measure space it follows that  $\|h_E\|$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -integrable and, consequently, by Proposition 1.4,  $h_E$  is  $\mu_1$ -integrable. Similarly,  $h^E$  is  $\mu_2$ -integrable.

Because of the similarity it is enough to prove that  $\tau_1$  is countably additive in  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . Let  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ ,  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ ,  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Then it is obvious that for  $w_1 \in \Omega_1$ ,

left to confirm that  $\{E_i\}_{w_1}$  is a disjoint sequence in  $\Sigma_2$  and that  $E_{w_1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (E_i)_{w_1}$ .

Further, for  $w_1 \in \Omega_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_E(w_1) &= \mu_2(E_{w_1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_2((E_i)_{w_1}) = \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_2((E_i)_{w_1}) \\
 &= \lim_n h \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right]^{(w_1)}. \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also we have that for  $w_1 \in \Omega_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|h \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right]^{(w_1)}\| &= \|\mu_2 \left( \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right)_{w_1} \right)\| \\
 &\leq |\mu_2| \left( \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right)_{w_1} \right) \\
 &\leq |\mu_2|(E_{w_1}) \leq M_2. \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\tilde{h}_E: \Omega_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by  $\tilde{h}_E(w_1) = |\mu_2|(E_{w_1})$ , then from the theory of product measures in the case of positive measures (vide Berberian [1]), we have that  $\tilde{h}_E$  is  $|\mu_2|$ -measurable and bounded by  $M_2$ . Therefore  $\tilde{h}_E$  is  $|\mu_2|$ -integrable, as  $|\mu_2|$  is a finite measure in  $\Sigma_2$ . We rewrite (2) in terms of  $\tilde{h}_E$  as

$$\|h \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right]^{(w_1)}\| \leq \tilde{h}_E(w_1), \quad w_1 \in \Omega_1. \tag{2'}$$

From (1), (2') and the fact that  $\tilde{h}_E \in \mathcal{L}(|\mu_1|, \mathbb{R})$ , we obtain by Theorem 3, p.136 of [2], that

$$\int_{\Omega_1} h_E d\mu_1 = \lim_n \int_{\Omega_1} h \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right] d\mu_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_1} h_{E_i} d\mu_1.$$

i.e.

$$\tau_1(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_1(E_i).$$

Further, for  $A \in \Sigma_1$  and  $B \in \Sigma_2$ , by the definition of the integral of an  $X$ -valued simple function, we have that

$$\tau_1(A \times B) = \int_{\Omega_1} h_{A \times B} d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_1} \mu_2(B) \chi_A d\mu_1 = \mu_1(A) \mu_2(B)$$

and similarly

$$\tau_2(A \times B) = \int_{\Omega_2} \mu_1(A) \chi_B d\mu_2 = \mu_2(B) \mu_1(A). \blacksquare$$

**DEFINITION 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the ring generated by the semi-ring  $\{A \times B : A \in \Sigma_1, B \in \Sigma_2\}$ . We define

$$\mu_1 \times \mu_2 : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X \text{ by } (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_1(A_i) \mu_2(B_i)$$

and

$$(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X \text{ by } (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_2(B_i) \mu_1(A_i),$$

where

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \times B_i), \quad (A_i \times B_i) \cap (A_j \times B_j) = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j, \quad A_i \in \Sigma_1, \\ B_i \in \Sigma_2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

**THEOREM 2.6.**  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  and  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$  are well defined in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Moreover,  $\tau_1$  is the unique extension of  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  as an  $X$ -valued measure to  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . (The extension is also denoted by  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  and is called the product measure of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ ). A similar result holds for  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$  and its extension  $\tau_2$  (which is also denoted by  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$  and called the transpose product measure of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ ). Further,  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  and  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$  are of bounded variation in  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$  and satisfy:

$$\text{From (1)} \quad |\mu_1 \times \mu_2|(E) \leq (|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|)(E)$$

and

$$|(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t|(E) \leq (|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|)(E)$$

for  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4, we have that  $\tau_1(A \times B) = (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(A \times B)$  and  $\tau_2(A \times B) = (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t(A \times B)$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  is the ring generated by  $\{A \times B : A \in \Sigma_1, B \in \Sigma_2\}$ , then  $\tilde{\tau}_1 = \tau_1|_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_2 = \tau_2|_{\mathcal{R}}$  are countably additive in  $\mathcal{R}$  and hence are finitely additive. Hence, if

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \times B_i), \quad (A_i \times B_i) \cap (A_j \times B_j) = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j,$$

$$A_i \in \Sigma_1, \quad B_i \in \Sigma_2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$\tilde{\tau}_1(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\tau}_1(A_i \times B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_1(A_i) \mu_2(B_i).$$

As  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  is well defined on  $E$  and is independent of the representation as a finite disjoint union of measurable rectangles, it follows that  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(E)$  is well defined for  $E \in \mathcal{R}$  and further, as  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2 = \tilde{\tau}_1$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{R}$ . Similarly  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$  is well defined in  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t = \tilde{\tau}_2$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

From Lemma 2.4, it follows that  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  has a countably additive extension  $\tau_1$  and  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$  has a countably additive extension  $\tau_2$  in  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . We shall prove the uniqueness of  $\tau_1$ . Similar arguments will prove the uniqueness of  $\tau_2$ . If  $\tau_1'$  is another countably additive  $X$ -valued extension of  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  in  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ , then for  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $x^* \tau_1'(E) = x^* \tau_1(E)$ ,  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ .

In fact, for

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \times B_i), \quad (A_i \times B_i) \cap (A_j \times B_j) = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$A_i \in \Sigma_1, \quad B_i \in \Sigma_2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\tau_1(E)\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_1(A_i) \mu_2(B_i) \right\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mu_1(A_i)\| \|\mu_2(B_i)\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_1|(A_i) |\mu_2|(B_i) \\
 &= (|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|)(E). \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

From the classical theory of product measures of finite positive measures,  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2| : \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is countably additive and finite (see Theorem 2, p.126 of [1]). As  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring, it follows that

$$\sup_{E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2} (|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|)(E) = M < \infty. \tag{2}$$

Therefore, from (1) and (2) we obtain that

$$\|\tau_1(E)\| \leq M \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Consequently,  $x^* \tau_1$  is a bounded scalar measure in  $\mathcal{R}$  and hence by the uniqueness of the Caratheodory-Hahn extension of bounded scalar measures, we have that  $(x^* \tau_1)(E) = x^* \tau'_1(E)$ ,  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . As  $x^*$  is arbitrary in  $X^*$ , by the Hahn-Banach theorem

$$\tau_1(E) = \tau'_1(E), \quad E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2.$$

This completes the proof of existence and uniqueness of the extension of  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  in  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ .

From (1), we have that

$$R \subset M = \{E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 : |\mu_1 \times \mu_2|(E) \leq (|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|)(E)\}.$$

As  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$  is a finite measure on  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_1$ , by a known argument we see that  $M$  is a monotone class and hence by Theorem B, §8 of Halmos [4],  $M = S(R) = \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . Therefore,

$$\sup_{E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2} |\mu_1 \times \mu_2|(E) \leq M$$

and hence  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  is of bounded variation in  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . The corresponding result for  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$  is proved in a similar manner.

**COROLLARY 2.7.** If  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  commute, in the sense

that  $\mu_1(A)\mu_2(B) = \mu_2(A)\mu_1(B)$ , for  $A \in \Sigma_1$ ,  $B \in \Sigma_2$ , then

$$\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \mu_1 \times \mu_2 = (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t \text{ in } \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2.$$

*Proof.* The result follows from Lemma 2.4 and the uniqueness part of Theorem 2.6.

**§3. A generalized Fubini's theorem.** Let  $\Omega_i$ ,  $\Sigma_i$ ,  $\mu_i$ ,  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be as in §2. Let  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  and  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$  be the product and transpose product measures, respectively. In this section we obtain a generalized Fubini's theorem, giving the relation between the integral with respect to the product (transpose product) measure and a suitable iterated integral, when the function  $f: \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow X$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -measurable and  $\|f\|$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -integrable. Further, when  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  commute and the

range of  $f$  is bounded in  $X$ , this result reduces to the theorem of Fubini for such integrals.

$\Omega$  will denote  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  in the sequel.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  be such that  $f$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -measurable and  $\|f\| \in L(|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|, \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $f$  is  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)$  and also  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$ -integrable.*

*Proof.* Let  $f_{w_1}(w_2) = f(w_1, w_2) = f^{w_2}(w_1)$ . As  $f$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -measurable, there exist a sequence  $(s_n)$  of  $X$ -valued  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ -simple functions and a set  $N$ ,  $(|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|)$ -negligible, such that  $s_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\Omega \setminus N$ . As  $|\mu_1 \times \mu_2|(E) \leq |\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|(E)$  for  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$  by Theorem 2.6, it is clear that  $N$  is  $|\mu_1 \times \mu_2|$ -negligible (vide Proposition 11, p.15 of [2]) and hence  $s_n \rightarrow f$   $|\mu_1 \times \mu_2|$ -a.e.. Therefore, by Definition 1.1,  $f$  is  $|\mu_1 \times \mu_2|$ -measurable. Consequently,  $\|f\|$  is  $|\mu_1 \times \mu_2|$ -measurable. Further,

$$\int_{\Omega} \|f\| d|\mu_1 \times \mu_2| \leq \int_{\Omega} \|f\| d(|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|) < \infty$$

and hence by Proposition 1.4,  $f$  is  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)$ -integrable.

Since the inequality  $|(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t|(E) \leq |\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|(E)$ ,  $E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$  holds by Theorem 2.6, the above argument can be modified to prove that  $f$  is  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t$ -integrable. ■

In the sequel we shall assume that  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -measurable and that  $\|f\|$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -integrable.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $N$  be  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -negligible. Then there exist sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\Omega \setminus N = A \cup B$ , where  $A \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$  and  $B$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -negligible. If the function  $g: \Omega \rightarrow X$  satisfies the relation  $g(w_1, w_2) = f(w_1, w_2) \chi_A$ , then there exist  $C \in \Omega_1$  and  $D \in \Omega_2$ ,  $|\mu_1|$ -negligible and  $|\mu_2|$ -negligible, respectively,*

such that  $g$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -measurable,  $g_{w_1} = f_{w_1}$   $|\mu_1|$ -a.e. and  $g^{w_2} = f^{w_2}$   $|\mu_2|$ -a.e., for  $w_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus C$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_2 \setminus D$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\sup_{E \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2} (|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|)(E) < \infty$ ,  $\Omega \setminus N$  is a  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -integrable set in the sense of Definition 6. p.75 of [2]. Hence by Proposition 12, p.75 of [2], there exist sets  $A \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$  and  $B$ ,  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -negligible, such that  $\Omega \setminus N = A \cup B$ . Therefore,  $g = f \chi_A$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -measurable by Corollary 1, p.101 of [2].

Let  $h = f - g$ . Then  $h(w_1, w_2) = 0$  for  $(w_1, w_2) \in A$  and hence  $h = 0$   $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -a.e. Now, by the classical Fubini's theorem, for  $E \in \tau(|\mu_1|)$ ,  $F \in \tau(|\mu_2|)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{E \times F} \|h\| d(|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|) = \int_E \left[ \int_F \|h_{w_1}\| d|\mu_2| \right] d|\mu_1| \\ &= \int_F \left[ \int_E \|h^{w_2}\| d|\mu_1| \right] d|\mu_2| \end{aligned}$$

where we consider the restrictions of  $|\mu_1|$  and  $|\mu_2|$  in

$$\tau_E(|\mu_1|) = \{G \in \tau(|\mu_1|): G \subset E\}$$

and

$$\tau_F(|\mu_2|) = \{G \in \tau(|\mu_2|): H \subset F\}$$

respectively. Fixing  $F$  and varying  $E$  we obtain that there exists  $C \subset \Omega_1$ ,  $|\mu_1|$ -negligible, such that

$$\int_F \|h_{w_1}\| d|\mu_2| = 0, \text{ for } w_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus C.$$

and now, varying  $F$  in  $\tau(|\mu_2|)$ , we deduce that  $h_{w_1} = 0$   $|\mu_2|$ -a.e. Similarly, we have that  $h^{w_2} = 0$   $|\mu_1|$ -a.e., for  $w_2 \in \Omega_2 \setminus D$ , with  $D$   $|\mu_2|$ -negligible. Hence  $f_{w_1} = g_{w_1}$   $|\mu_1|$ -a.e.,

and  $f^{w_2} = g^{w_2}$   $|\mu_1|$ -a.e., for such  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ .

LEMMA 3.3. There exist sets  $N_i \subset \Omega_i$ ,  $|\mu_i|$ -negligible,  $i = 1, 2$  such that

(i) for  $w_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus N_1$ ,  $\int_{\Omega_2} f_{w_1} d\mu_2$  exists and

(ii) for  $w_2 \in \Omega \setminus N_2$ ,  $\int_{\Omega_1} f^{w_2} d\mu_1$  exists.

*Proof.* As  $f$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -measurable, there exist  $N$   $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -negligible and a sequence  $(s_n)$  of  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ -simple  $X$ -valued functions such that  $s_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\Omega \setminus N$ . By Lemma 3.3, there exist sets  $A$  and  $B$ , with  $A \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$  and  $B$   $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -negligible, such that  $\Omega \setminus N = A \cup B$ . Let  $g = f \chi_A$ , then  $s_n \chi_A \rightarrow g$  in  $\Omega$  and  $t_n = s_n \chi_A$  is  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ -simple. Consequently,

$$g_{w_1}(w_2) = \lim_n (t_n)_{w_1}(w_2), \quad w_2 \in \Omega_2$$

and hence  $g_{w_1}$  is  $|\mu_2|$ -measurable. Similarly,  $g^{w_2}$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable.

Now from Lemma 3.2, it follows that  $\tilde{g}_{w_1} = f_{w_1} \mid_{\mu_2}$   $|\mu_2|$ -a.e. for  $w_1$   $|\mu_1|$ -a.e., and  $g^{w_2} = f^{w_2}$ ,  $|\mu_1|$ -a.e., for  $w_2$   $|\mu_2|$ -a.e. Consequently, by Proposition 1.2 and by Proposition 9, p.91 of [2], we have that  $f_{w_1}$  is  $|\mu_2|$ -measurable and  $f^{w_2}$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable, for  $w_1$   $|\mu_1|$ -a.e. and  $w_2$   $|\mu_2|$ -a.e.

As  $\|f\| \in \mathcal{L}(|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|), \mathbb{R}$ , applying the classical Fubini's theorem to  $\|f\|$ , we obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \|f^{w_2}\| d|\mu_1| < \infty$$

for  $w_2 \in \Omega_2 \setminus \tilde{N}_2$ , with  $\tilde{N}_2$   $|\mu_2|$ -negligible, and

$$\int_{\Omega_2} \|f_{w_1}\| d|\mu_2| < \infty$$

for  $w_1 \in \Omega \setminus \tilde{N}_1$ , with  $\tilde{N}_1$   $|\mu_1|$ -negligible. Consequently, we have, by Proposition 1.4, that  $\int_{\Omega_2} f_{w_1} d\mu_2$  exists for  $w_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus N_1$  and  $\int_{\Omega_1} f^{w_2} d\mu_1$  exists for  $w_2 \in \Omega_2 \setminus N_2$ , where  $N_1 = \tilde{N}_1 \cup C$  and  $N_2 = \tilde{N}_2 \cup D$ ,  $C$  and  $D$  being those given in Lemma 3.2. ■

LEMMA 3.4. With  $N_1, N_2$  as in Lemma 3.3, Let

$$F(w_1) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega_1} f_{w_1} d\mu_2, & w_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus N_1 \\ 0, & w_1 \in N_1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$G(w_2) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega_1} f^{w_2} d\mu_1, & w_2 \in \Omega_2 \setminus N_2 \\ 0, & w_2 \in N_2 \end{cases}$$

Then  $F$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable and  $G$  is  $|\mu_2|$ -measurable.

*Proof.* As  $f$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -integrable by Lemma 3.1, there exists a sequence  $(s_n)$  of  $X$ -valued  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ -simple functions such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|s_n - f\| d(|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|) < \frac{1}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1)$$

and  $s_n \rightarrow f$   $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -a.e. By the classical Fubini's theorem, there exists  $\tilde{N}_n$ ,  $|\mu_1|$ -negligible, such that

$$F_n(w_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} \|(s_n)_{w_1} - f_{w_1}\| d|\mu_2| < \infty, \quad w_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus \tilde{N}_n,$$

$F_n \in \mathcal{L}(|\mu_1|, \mathbb{R})$  and also

$$\int_{\Omega_1} F_n d|\mu_1| = \int_{\Omega} \|s_n - f\| d(|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|) < \frac{1}{n}$$

by (1). Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_1} F_n d|\mu_1| = 0.$$

That is,  $F_n$  tends to 0 in  $|\mu_1|$ -mean and hence by Proposition 1.4, p.130 of [2], there exists a subsequence  $(F_{n_k})$  of  $(F_n)$  such that  $F_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$   $|\mu_1|$ -a.e. Therefore, there exists a set  $N_0$ ,  $|\mu_1|$ -negligible, such that  $F_{n_k}(w_1) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $w_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus N_0$ . Let

$$\tilde{N} = N_1 \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{N}_k \right) \cup N_0,$$

then  $\tilde{N}$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -negligible. For  $w_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus \tilde{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(w_1) - \int_{\Omega_2} (s_{n_k})_{w_1} d\mu_2\| &= \left\| \int_{\Omega_2} \{f_{w_1} - (s_{n_k})_{w_1}\} d\mu_2 \right\| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_2} \|f_{w_1} - (s_{n_k})_{w_1}\| d|\mu_2| \\ &= F_{n_k}(w_1) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In other words, we have that

$$F(w_1) = \lim_k \int_{\Omega_2} (s_{n_k})_{w_1} d\mu_2, \quad |\mu_1| \text{-a.e.} \quad (2)$$

We observe that if

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{E_i}, \quad x_i \in X, \quad E_i \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

so that  $s$  is  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ -simple with values in  $X$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega_2} s_{w_1} d\mu_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_2((E_i)_{w_1}) x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{E_i}(w_1) x_i.$$

Now, by Corollary 2.3, we obtain that  $\int_{\Omega_2} s_{w_1} d\mu_2$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable, and by (2) and Theorem 1, p.94 of [2] we have that  $F$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable. The proof for  $G$  is similar and hence omitted.

**THEOREM 3.5.** (A Generalized Fubini's Theorem). Let  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  be  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -measurable with  $\|f\|_{|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|}$ -integrable. Then there exist  $N_i \in \Sigma_i$  with  $N_i$   $|\mu_i|$ -negligible,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that for  $w_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus N_1$  there exists the integral  $\int_{\Omega_2} f_{w_1} d\mu_2$  and for  $w_2 \in \Omega_2 \setminus N_2$ , there exists the integral  $\int_{\Omega_1} f^{w_2} d\mu_1$ . If  $F: \Omega_1 \rightarrow X$  and  $G: \Omega_2 \rightarrow X$  are defined by

$$F(w_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} f_{w_1} d\mu_2, \quad w_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus N_1, \quad G(w_2) = \int_{\Omega_1} f^{w_2} d\mu_1, \quad w_2 \in \Omega_2 \setminus N_2,$$

then  $F$  is  $\mu_1$ -integrable and  $G$  is  $\mu_2$ -integrable. Further, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} f d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) = \int_{\Omega_1} F d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f_{w_1} d\mu_2 d\mu_1$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} f d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t = \int_{\Omega_2} G d\mu_2 = \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} f^{w_2} d\mu_1 d\mu_2.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4 and by Proposition 9, p.91 of [2],  $F$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable and  $G$  is  $|\mu_2|$ -measurable, and the existence of  $N_i$  with specified properties is guaranteed by Lemma 3.3.

From (1) in the proof of Lemma 3.4, there exists a sequence  $(s_n)$  of  $X$ -valued  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ -simple functions such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|s_n - f\| d(|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|) < \frac{1}{n} \quad (1)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{E_i}, \quad E_i \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, \quad E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

If

$$s(w_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} (s_n)_{w_1} d\mu_2,$$

then

$$s(w_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{E_i}(w_1) x_i.$$

Then by Corollary 2.3,  $s$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable and

$$\begin{aligned} \|s(w_1)\| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|h_{E_i}(w_1)\| \|x_i\| \\ &\leq (\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mu_2((E_i)_{w_1})\| \right) \\ &\leq K \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_2((E_i)_{w_1})| \right) \\ &= K |\mu_2| \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right)_{w_1} \\ &\leq KM_2 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|$ . Hence,  $\|s\|$  is a bounded  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable function and so it is  $|\mu_1|$ -integrable. Consequently, by Proposition 1.4,  $s$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -integrable.

Now we shall prove that  $F$  is  $\mu_1$ -integrable. It is clear that  $\|F-s\|$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -measurable and

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \|F-s\| d|\mu_1| = \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} (f_{w_1} - (s_n)_{w_1}) d\mu_2 d|\mu_1|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \int \int_{\Omega_1 \Omega_2} \|f_{w_1} - (s_n)_{w_1}\| d|\mu_2| d|\mu_1| \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \|f - s_n\| d(|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|) \\
& < \frac{1}{n}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

by the classical Fubini's theorem and by (1).

Let  $t_n(w_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} (s_n)_{w_1} d\mu_2$ . Then, by the foregoing,  $t_n$  is  $\mu_1$ -integrable and consequently, from (2) it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \|F\| d|\mu_1| \leq \int_{\Omega_2} \|F - t_n\| d|\mu_1| + \int_{\Omega_1} \|t_n\| d|\mu_1| < \infty.$$

Therefore,  $\|F\|$  is  $|\mu_1|$ -integrable and hence by Proposition 1.4  $F$  is  $\mu_1$ -integrable.

By a similar argument it follows that  $G$  is  $\mu_2$ -integrable.

**AFFIRMATION 1.** If

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{E_i}, \quad E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j, \quad E_i \subset \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, \quad x_i \in X$$

then

$$\int_{\Omega} s d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) = \int_{\Omega_1 \Omega_2} s_{w_1} d\mu_2 d\mu_1$$

In fact,

$$\int_{\Omega} s d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(E_i) x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_1(E_i) x_i, \tag{3}$$

by Theorem 2.6.

We observe that if  $g: \Omega_1 \rightarrow X$  is  $\mu_1$ -integrable and  $x \in X$  is a fixed vector in  $X$ , then  $gx$  is  $\mu_1$ -integrable and

$$\left( \int_{\Omega_1} g d\mu_1 \right) x = \int_{\Omega_1} g x \, d\mu_1.$$

Using this observation in (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} s \, d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_{\Omega_1} h_{E_i} \, d\mu_1 \right) x_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega_1} h_{E_i} x_i \, d\mu_1 \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n h_{E_i} x_i \right) d\mu_1 \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_1} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_2((E_i)_{w_1}) x_i \right\} d\mu_1 \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{(E_i)_{w_1}} \right) d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} s_{w_1} \, d\mu_2 \, d\mu_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

**AFIRMATION 2.** Let  $s$  be as in Affirmation 1. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} s \, d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t = \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} s^{w_2} \, d\mu_1 \, d\mu_2.$$

In fact, using  $\tau_2$  of Lemma 2.4 in place of  $\tau_1$  in the proof of Affirmation 1, the present affirmation can be proved.

From (2), recalling that  $s = t_n$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega_1} F \, d\mu_1 = \lim_n \int_{\Omega_1} t_n \, d\mu_1 = \lim_n \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} (s_n)_{w_1} \, d\mu_2 \, d\mu_1. \quad (4)$$

Using Affirmation 1 in (4), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\mu_1 = \lim_n \int_{\Omega} s_n d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) = \int_{\Omega} f d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)$$

Similarly, using Affirmation 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\Omega} f d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) - \int_{\Omega} s_n d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \right\| &\leq \int_{\Omega} \|f - s_n\| d(|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|) \\ &< \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using Affirmation 2 we have

$$\int_{\Omega_2} G d\mu_2 = \int_{\Omega} f d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)^t.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**COROLLARY 3.6** (Fubini's Theorem). *In addition, let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be commuting measures. If  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is a bounded  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -measurable function, then  $f$  is  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$ -integrable and*

$$\int_{\Omega} f d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) = \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f_{w_1} d\mu_2 d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} f^{w_2} d\mu_1 d\mu_2.$$

*Proof.*  $\|f\|$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -measurable and bounded. Hence, as  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$  is a finite measure in  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ ,  $\|f\|$  is  $|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$ -integrable and hence by Lemma 3.1,  $f$  is  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$ -integrable. Now by Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 2.7 the conclusion is obtained. ■

It is known that for two complex measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  on  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  respectively,  $|\mu_1 \times \mu_2| = |\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|$  (vide Lemma III. 11.11 of [3]). But this is not generally true for  $X$ -valued measures as we see in the following counter example.

COUNTEREXAMPLE 3.7. Let  $X$  be a commutative Banach algebra with  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $\|x_1 x_2\| < \|x_1\| \|x_2\|$ . Let  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras of subsets of  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  respectively, with  $\Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Omega_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $v_i: \Sigma_i \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be non-negative non trivial measures,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $\mu_i = x_i v_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are  $X$ -valued measures on  $\Sigma_i$  with

$$|\mu_i| = \|x_i\| v_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$|\mu_1 \times \mu_2| = \|x_1 x_2\| (v_1 \times v_2)$$

$$|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2| = \|x_1\| \|x_2\| (v_1 \times v_2).$$

Consequently,  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) = \|x_1 x_2\| (v_1 \times v_2)(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$   
 $= \|x_1 x_2\| v_1(\Omega_1) v_2(\Omega_2) < \|x_1\| \|x_2\| v_1(\Omega_1) v_2(\Omega_2) = (|\mu_1| \times |\mu_2|)(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Berberian, S.K, *Measure and integration*, Chelsea, New York, 1965.
- [2] Dinculeanu, N., *Vector measures*, Pergamon Press, London, 1967.
- [3] Dunford N., and Schwartz, J.T., *Linear Operators*, Part I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [4] Halmos, P.R., *Measure Theory*, New York, 1950.
- [5] Hille, E. and Phillips, R.S., *Functional Analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Public., 1957.

\* \*

Departamento de Matemática

Facultad de Ciencias

Universidad de los Andes

Mérida - VENEZUELA.

(Recibido en Octubre de 1983).