

BERGMAN SPACES FOR THE SOLUTIONS OF LINEAR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

by

Maher M.H. MARZUQ

§1. Introduction. The operator Re (taking the real part of a complex function in one complex variable) transforms the class of analytic functions into the class of harmonic functions. Since harmonic functions are the solutions of Laplace's equation, it is natural to ask whether similar possibilities exist for relating solutions of more general partial differential equations to holomorphic functions. Bergman [1] established various integral operators which associate the solutions of linear elliptic partial differential equations in two real variables with holomorphic functions of one complex variable.

Let $L(U)$ be a second linear partial differential equation given by

$$L(U) = U_{xx} + U_{yy} + a(x,y)U_x + b(x,y)U_y + c(x,y)U = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

where a, b, c are real analytic functions in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Now,

continue a, b, c into entire functions in \mathbb{C}^2 . Consider the following transformations of \mathbb{C}^2 into itself:

$$\begin{aligned} z &= x+iy \\ z^* &= x-iy \end{aligned} \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

(z, z^* are complex conjugates if and only if x, y are real). Then (1.1) becomes

$$L(U) = U_{zz^*} + AU_z + BU_{z^*} + CU = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $B = \bar{A}$, $U(z, z^*) = U(x, y)$ and A, B, C are entire functions in two complex variables [1, p.6]. It is known that

$$U(z, z^*) = c_2(z, z^*, g)$$

is a solution of (1.2), where c_2 is the Bergman c_2 -integral operator and g is a given holomorphic function in one complex variable z [1, p.13]. Solutions of equation (1.2) can be written as an infinite series:

$$\begin{aligned} U(z, z^*) &= c_2(g) \\ &= \exp\left[-\int_0^{z^*} A(z, \zeta^*) d\zeta^*\right] \left[g(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q^{(n)}(z, z^*)}{2^{2n} B(n, n+1)} \int_0^z (z-\zeta)^{n-1} g(\zeta) d\zeta\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Here

$$Q^{(n)}(z, z^*) = \int_0^{z^*} P^{(2n)}(z, z^*) dz^*,$$

where $P^{(2n)}$ are holomorphic functions satisfying certain recursion relations [1, p.15, Equation 5]. Also, it is assumed that $g(0) = 0$, and $B(n, n+1)$ is a beta function.

In the rest of the paper D, E and F are positive constants which depend on the indicated parameters only and not on any

function which may appear in a particular formula. The constants are not necessarily the same on any two occurrences.

§2. Bergman spaces for solution of linear partial differential equations.

Let Δ^N denote the unit polydisc with center at the origin, and $T^N = \{w \in \mathbb{T}^N : |w_j| = 1, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ be the *Bergman-Silov boundary*. For $z \in \mathbb{T}^N$, let $dz = dz_1 \dots dz_N$ and for $w \in T^N$, $w_j = \exp(i\theta_j)$, let $dm_N(w) = (2\pi)^{-N} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_N$. Given $r = (r_1, \dots, r_N)$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}^N$, denote by rz the N -tuple $(r_1 z_1, \dots, r_N z_N)$. A function f , holomorphic on Δ^N , is said to be in $H^p(\Delta^N)$, $0 < p < \infty$, if

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\int_{T^N} |f(rw)|^p dm_N(w) \right)^{1/p}$$

is bounded independently of r for all $r \in I^N = [0, 1) \times \dots \times [0, 1)$. The function f is said to be in the *Bergman space* $A^p(\Delta^N)$ ($0 < p < \infty$), if

$$\|f\|_{A^p(\Delta^N)} = \left(\int_0^1 r_N dr_N \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 M_p^p(r, f) r_1 dr_1 \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Yang [4, Theorem 5.1, p.96] proved that the Bergman c_2 -integral operator preserves the H^p property, i.e. the c_2 -operator maps $H^p(\Delta^1)$ into $H^p(\Delta^2)$ for $(1 \leq p < \infty)$.

We extend Tang's result and prove:

THEOREM. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ if $g \in A^p(\Delta^1)$, then $c_2(g) = U(z, z^*) \in A^p(\Delta^2)$, where c_2 is the integral operator given by (1.3).

Proof. First assume $g(0) = 0$. Then $U(z, z^*)$ is given by

(1.3). Since $A(z, \zeta^*)$ is an entire in \mathbb{T}^2 , it is bounded in Δ^2 , so that

$$\left| \exp\left(-\int_0^{z^*} A(z, \zeta^*) d\zeta^*\right) \right| \leq D. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $z = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}$, $z^* = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$, $\zeta = se^{i\theta_1}$. Then by (2.1) and (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} |U(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2})| &\leq D \left[|g(r_1 e^{i\theta_1})| + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n(z, z^*) \int_0^{|z|} |z-\zeta|^{n-1} |g(se^{i\theta_1})| ds \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_n(z, z^*) = \frac{Q^{(n)}(z, z^*)}{2^{2n} B(n, n+1)}.$$

Now $|z-\zeta| < r_1 < 1$, so that

$$|U(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2})| \leq D \left[|g(r_1 e^{i\theta_1})| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n(z, z^*) \int_0^{r_1} |g(se^{i\theta_1})| ds \right].$$

It can be shown that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n(z, z^*)$ is an entire function in \mathbb{T}^2 , [4], therefore it is bounded in Δ^2 and

$$|U(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2})| \leq D \left[|g(r_1 e^{i\theta_1})| + E \int_0^{r_1} |g(se^{i\theta_1})| ds \right].$$

Since

$$(a+b)^p \leq 2^p (a^p + b^p), \quad a \geq 0, b \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < p < \infty, \quad (2.2)$$

[2], then

$$|U(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2})|^p \leq D_p \left[|g(r_1 e^{i\theta_1})|^p + E^p \left(\int_0^{r_1} |g(se^{i\theta_1})| ds \right)^p \right].$$

Integrate with respect to θ_1, θ_2 over $[0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$, then take

the p^{th} root of both sides and use (2.2). This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{T^2} |U(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2})|^p d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq D_p \left[\left(\int_0^{2\pi} |g(r_1 e^{i\theta_1})|^p d\theta_1 \right)^{1/p} + E \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{r_1} |g(se^{i\theta_1})| ds \right)^p d\theta_1 \right)^{1/p} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Minkowski's inequality in continuous form on the second term of the right side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{T^2} |U(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2})|^p d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq D_p \left[\left(\int_0^{2\pi} |g(r_1 e^{i\theta_1})|^p d\theta_1 \right)^{1/p} + E \int_0^{r_1} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |g(se^{i\theta_1})|^p d\theta_1 \right)^{1/p} ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the mean of g is monotone nondecreasing, we have

$$\left(\int_{T^2} |U(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2})|^p d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right)^{1/p} \leq D_p \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |g(r_1 e^{i\theta_1})|^p d\theta_1 \right)^{1/p}$$

Now, raising both sides to the p^{th} power, multiplying by r_1, r_2 and integrating with respect to r_1, r_2 over $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\|U\|_{AP(\Delta^2)} \leq D_p \|g\|_{AP(\Delta^1)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Secondly, suppose that $g(0) = a \neq 0$. Set $G(z) = g(z) - a$. Then $c_2(g) = c_2(G) + c_2(a)$, since c_2 is a linear operator, where

$$c_2(a) = \exp \left[- \int_0^{z^*} A(z, \zeta^*) d\zeta^* \right] \left[a + a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(z, z^*) \right]$$

and

$$h_n(z, z^*) = \frac{Q^{(n)}(z, z^*) z^n}{2^{2n} B(n, n+1)}.$$

But $h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n$ converges uniformly in every compact subset of \mathbb{C}^2 , [4, Lemma 5.1, p.94]; therefore, h is an entire function in \mathbb{C}^2 . Thus, for $(z, z^*) \in \Delta^2$,

$$|h| \leq F.$$

Hence by using (2.1) we get

$$|c_2(a)| \leq D|a|[1+D]. \quad (2.4)$$

But for $g \in A^p(\Delta)$ ($p > 0$), we have

$$|g(z)| \leq \frac{\|g\|_{A^p(\Delta)}}{(1-|z|^2)^{2/p}}, \quad |z| < 1$$

[3, p.476 Equation 17] and in particular for $z = 0$

$$|a| = |g(0)| \leq \|g\|_{A^p(\Delta)}, \quad (2.5)$$

so that (2.4) and (2.5) give

$$|c_2(a)| \leq D\|g\|_{A^p(\Delta)}. \quad (2.6)$$

Thus (2.3) and (2.6) give the theorem.

COROLLARY. If $g \in A^p(\Delta^1)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then the c_2 -operator is a bounded linear transformation from $A^p(\Delta^1)$ to $A^p(\Delta^2)$.

Proof. From the proof of the theorem, there exists a constant D depending on p only such that

$$\|U\|_{A^p(\Delta^2)} \leq D_p \|g\|_{A^p(\Delta^1)}.$$

* *

REFERENCES

- [1] Bergman, S., *Integral Operators in the Theory of Linear Partial Differential Equations*, Second revised printing, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. 23, Springer-Verlag, N.Y., 1969.
- [2] Duren, P.L., *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, N.Y., 1970.
- [3] Kabaila, V., *On the functions of class H^p_D and interpolation problems*, Litovsk. Mat. Sb. 10(1970), 471-490 (in Russian).
- [4] Yang, S.N., *Biholomorphic Mappings and H^p Spaces on Bounded Symmetric Domains*, Ph.D. Thesis, SUNY at Buffalo 1976.

*

Department of Mathematics
Kuwait University
P.O. Box 5969
KUWAIT.

(Recibido en Noviembre de 1983).