Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas Vol. XXI (1987), págs. 5-12

## ON THE CONVEX SUM OF CERTAIN UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS AND THE IDENTITY FUNCTION

by

## E. P. MERKES

Abstract. If g(z) is analytic, univalent, and convex in the unit disk  $E = \{|z| < 1\}, g(0) = 0, g'(0) = 1$ , we extend some knownresults about the classification of  $H_{\lambda}(z) = \lambda z + (1-\lambda)g(z)$  when  $\lambda \ge 0$ . In particular, it is proved  $H_{\lambda}$  is starlike in E for each  $\lambda \in [0,1]$  when g''(0) = 0.

§1. Introduction. Let S be the class of analytic univalent functions  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  in the unit disk  $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ . Let K, S<sup>\*</sup>, and C denote the subclasses of S whose members are respectively convex, starlike, and close-to-convex in E. The conjecture [4] that  $\frac{1}{2}(f+g) \in S^*$  whenever f, g are in K was proved false by MacGregor [5] and by Trimble [9]. If, however, f and g are in K and f''(0) = g''(0) = 0, then Hallenbeck and Ruscheweyh [3] used a result of Styer and Wright [8] to prove  $\frac{1}{2}(f+g) \in S^*$ .

Trimble [9] considered convex combinations  $H_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda g(z)$ , where  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $g \in K$ , and showed  $H_{\lambda}$  is always in S<sup>\*</sup> provided  $\lambda \ge 2/3$  but  $H_{\lambda}$  need not be starlike for  $0 < \lambda < 2/3$ . Later, Chichra and Singh [1] found subclasses of S such that  $H_{\lambda} \in S^*$  for all  $0 < \lambda < 1$  whenever g is in

5

the subclass. In this paper, we extend a number of these results.

**THEOREM 1.** If  $g \in K$ , then  $H_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda g(z)$  is in C for  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 4/3$  and for each  $\lambda \notin [0,4/3]$  there is a  $g \in K$ such that  $H_{\lambda} \notin S$ . Furthermore,  $H_{\lambda}$  is starlike of order  $\sigma = (1-3|1-\lambda|)/(2-2|1-\lambda|)$  provided  $\lambda \in [2/3,4/3]$ , and for each  $\lambda \notin [2/3,4/3]$  there is a  $g \in K$  such that  $H_{\lambda} \notin S^*$ .

This theorem extends the result in [9] to the inverval  $-\infty < \lambda < \infty$  and it improves the known starlike order of the family  $\{H_{\lambda} = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda g : 0 < \lambda < 1, g \in K\}$  to  $\sigma$ , which we prove is best possible.

THEOREM 2. If  $g \in K$  and  $0 < a \leq \sqrt{13} \sqrt{13} - 46$ , then  $H_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda g(az)/a$  is in  $S^{*}$  for all  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ . The upper bound on a is best possible.

If f and g in K, then MacGregor [5] has proved that  $(1-\lambda)f(bz)/b + \lambda g(bz)/b$  is in S<sup>\*</sup> for  $0 < b \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$ . This upper bound is best possible.

For  $\alpha > -1$ , set  $g_{\alpha}(z) = z + (\alpha+1)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n/(\alpha+n)$ . In [1] it is proved that for  $g \in K$  the function  $H_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda(g_1^*g)(z)$ , where  $g_1^*g$  is the Hadamard product, is in  $S^*$  when  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . More generally, we prove

**THEOREM 3.** If  $g \in K$ , then  $H_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda(g_{\alpha}*g)(z)$ is in S\* when  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $-1 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

We suspect not all  $H_{\lambda}$  are in S<sup>\*</sup> for  $\alpha > 1$  although we have been unable to prove this result. We do prove that  $H_{\lambda} \notin S^*$  for  $\alpha$  sufficiently large when  $0 < \lambda < 2/3$ .

Styer and Wright [8] proved, for f and g odd functions in K,  $(1-\lambda)f + \lambda g$  need not be in S<sup>\*</sup> when 0 <  $\lambda$  < 1,  $\lambda \neq 1/2$ . In our case, however, we have

THEOREM 4. If  $g \in K$  and g''(0) = 0, then  $H_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)z$ 

+  $\lambda g(z)$  is in S<sup>\*</sup> for each  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ .

The authors interest in these problems was stimulated by Chapter 5 in A.W. Goodman's recent volumes [2] on univalent functions. The first volume serves as a references for the definitions of the classes discussed in this paper.

§2. Proof of Theorem 1. Consider the function  $h_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda z/(1-z) = z[1+(\lambda-1)z]/(1-z)$ . Since  $h_{\lambda}(0) = h_{\lambda}(1/(1-\lambda)) = 0$ , we have  $h_{\lambda} \notin S$  whenever  $|1-\lambda| > 1$ . Furthermore,  $h'_{\lambda}(z) = 0$  when  $z = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}}$  so  $h_{\lambda} \notin S$  if  $\lambda > 4/3$ . Since for  $z \notin E$ 

$$\frac{z h_{\lambda}(z)}{h_{\lambda}(z)} = \frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{(\lambda-1)z}{1+(\lambda-1)z}, \qquad (1)$$

we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{2h_{\lambda}'(z)}{h_{\lambda}(z)} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{|\lambda-1|}{1-|\lambda-1|} = \frac{1-3|\lambda-1|}{2(1-|\lambda-1|)} = \sigma \geq 0,$$

provided  $|\lambda - 1| \leq 1/3$ . Thus,  $h_{\lambda} \in S^*$  for all (complex)  $\lambda$  in  $|\lambda - 1| \leq 1/3$ .

This order of starlikeness  $\sigma$  cannot be improved. Indeed if  $-\pi < \arg(\lambda-1) < \pi$ , set  $\alpha = -\arg(\lambda-1)$  and  $z = -e^{i\alpha}$  to obtain

$$\frac{z h_{\lambda}(z)}{h_{\lambda}(z)} = \frac{1 + e^{-i\alpha}}{2 + 2\cos\alpha} - \frac{|\lambda - 1|}{1 - |\lambda - 1|}$$

The real part of this expression is  $\sigma$ . For  $2/3 \le \lambda \le 1$ , set  $z = e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta \ne 0$ . Then  $\text{Re}\{e^{i\theta}h'_{\lambda}(e^{i\theta})/h_{\lambda}(e^{i\theta})\}$  tends to  $\sigma$  as  $\theta \rightarrow 0$ .

For  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-z)h_{\lambda}'(z)\right\} \ge \lambda \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{1-z}\right\} + (1-\lambda) \operatorname{Re}(1-z) \ge \lambda/2,$$

and hence  $h_{\lambda} \in C$ . Since  $S^* \subset C$ , we also have  $h_{\lambda} \in C$  when  $|\lambda - 1| \leq 1/3$ .

Since the Hadamard product of  $g \in K$  and a function in C or in S<sup>\*</sup> is respectively in C or in S<sup>\*</sup> [7], the general result of Theorem 1 is a consequence of our special case. In fact, we have slightly more than is stated, namely,  $(1-\lambda)z + \lambda g(z)$  is in S<sup>\*</sup> for all  $g \in K$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is a complex number in  $|\lambda-1| \leq 1/3$ .

§3. Proof of Theorem 2. We begin by finding the radius of starlikeness of the family  $h_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda z/(1-z)$  where  $0 < \lambda < 2/3$ . This is equivalent to finding  $r = \min r_{\lambda}$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 2/3$ , where  $r_{\lambda}$  is the modulus of the smallest zero of Re{z  $h'_{\lambda}(z)/h_{\lambda}(z)$ }. For a fixed  $\lambda$ , this real part is zero at  $z = re^{i\theta}$  if by (1)

$$V = V(r,\mu,t) = 1 + \mu^2 r^2 (2+r^2) - (1+3\mu)(1+\mu r^2)rt + 4\mu r^2 t^2 = 0 ,$$

where  $\mu = 1 - \lambda(-1/3 < \mu < 1)$  and  $t = \cos\theta$ . By completing the square,

$$V \ge 1 + \mu^2 r^2 (2 + r^2) - (1 + 3\mu)^2 (1 + \mu r^2)^2 / 16\mu$$
 (2)

and equality holds if  $t = t(r,\mu) = (1+3\mu)(1+\mu r^2)/8\mu r$ . Since, for fixed  $\mu$ , t is a decreasing function of r, we have  $t(r,\mu)$ >  $t(1,\mu) = (1+3\mu)(1+\mu)/8\mu$  and the latter is less than unity for  $1/3 < \mu < 1$ . Thus, for each  $\mu$  in (1/3,1) there is a range of r,  $r_0 < r < 1$ , such that  $t = t(r,\mu) < 1$ . In this range, equality holds in (2) and the right hand side of (2) is

$$V(r,\mu,t(r,\mu)) = (1-\mu)\{(4+s-(4-s)\mu r^2)(4-s-(4+s)\mu r^2)\}/32$$

where  $s = \sqrt{2(1-\mu)/\mu} = \sqrt{2\lambda/(1-\lambda)}$ . Since  $\mu < 1$  and r < 1, the last expression is zero if and only if  $\mu r^2 = (4-s)/(4+s)$ , that is,

$$\mathbf{r}^{2} = \mathbf{r}_{\lambda}^{2} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \frac{4 - \sqrt{2\lambda}/(1-\lambda)}{4 + \sqrt{2\lambda}/(1-\lambda)}$$

The minimum with respect to  $\lambda$  of  $r_{\lambda}^2$  occurs when  $\lambda = (5 - \sqrt{13})/9$ 

and  $r^2 = a^2 = 13\sqrt{13}-46$  for this value of  $\lambda$ .

We have proved that  $h_{\lambda}(az)/a$  is starlike for  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ . The Hadamard product of this function with a g = K is therefore in  $S^*$  [7]. This product is  $H_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda g(az)/a$  and the first part of Theorem 2 is proved. The function  $h_{\lambda}$  with  $\lambda = (5-\sqrt{T3})/9$  is used to prove the sharpness part of the theorem.

For a fixed  $\lambda \in (0,2/3)$  we also have that the Hadamard product of  $g \in K$  and  $h_{\lambda}(r_{\lambda}z)/r_{\lambda}$ , where  $r_{\lambda}$  is determined from (3), is starlike in E. Thus,  $(1-\lambda)z + \lambda g(r_{\lambda}z)/r_{\lambda}$  is in S<sup>\*</sup> for all  $g \in K$ .

§4. Proof of Theorem 3. There is an elementary sufficient condition for  $H_{\lambda} = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda f(z)$  to be in S<sup>\*</sup> for  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$  when  $f \in S^*$ .

**LEMMA.** If  $f \in S^*$  and  $Re\{f'+f/z\} \ge 0$  for  $z \in E$ , then  $H_{\lambda} \in S^*$ .

For, if  $\mu = \lambda/(1-\lambda)$ ,  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zH_{\lambda}'}{H_{\lambda}} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{1+\mu f'}{1+\mu f/z} = \frac{(1+\mu \operatorname{Re}(f'+f/z)+\mu^2 |f/z|^2 \cdot \operatorname{Re}(zf'/f)}{|1+\mu f/z|^2} > 0$$

for all  $z \in E$  and  $\mu$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \infty$ , when  $f \in S^*$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\{f'+f/z\} \ge 0$  in E.

It is known [6] that for  $\alpha > -1$  the function

$$g_{\alpha}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+n} z^n = (\alpha+1) \int_0^1 \frac{z}{1-zt} t^{\alpha} dt$$

is starlike of order 1/2 (and in fact convex when  $\alpha \geqslant 0)$  in E. Furthermore, in E

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{g_{\alpha}(z)}{z} = (\alpha+1) \int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Re} \{\frac{1}{1-zt}\} t^{\alpha} dt \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since  $z g'_{\alpha} + \alpha g_{\alpha} = (\alpha+1)z/(1-z)$ , we have

9

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{g' + \frac{g_{\alpha}}{z}\right\} = (\alpha+1)\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1-z} + (1-\alpha)\operatorname{Re} \frac{g_{\alpha}}{z} \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

when  $\alpha \leq 1$ . By the lemma, we conclude  $h_{\lambda} = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda g_{\alpha}$  is in S<sup>\*</sup> for  $\lambda \in [0,1]$  whenever  $\alpha \in [-1,1]$ . Theorem 3 now follows since, for such  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$ ,  $H_{\lambda} = h_{\lambda}^* g$  is in S<sup>\*</sup> for all  $g \in K$  [7].

Since  $g_{\alpha}(z) \rightarrow z/(1-z)$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  (uniformly on compact subsets of E), we have for fixed  $\lambda$  that  $h_{\lambda}(z,\alpha) = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda g_{\alpha}(z) \rightarrow (1-\lambda)z + \lambda z/(1-z)$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . Since in §2 we prove the limit function is starlike if and only if  $|\lambda-1| \leq 1/3$ , the functions  $h_{\lambda}(z,\alpha)$  are not starlike for all  $\alpha > -1$  when  $|\lambda-1| > 1/3$ .

§5. Proof of Theorem 4. Let  $K_0 = \{g \in K : g''(0) = 0\}$ . It is known [3] that  $\{w : |w| < \pi/4\} \in g(E)$  and  $|Im(g/z)| \leq \pi/4$  for  $z \in E$  when  $g \in K_0$ .

Suppose  $g \in K_0$  and g is analytic in  $|z| \leq 1$ . A tangent vector to the convex analytic curve  $w = g(e^{i\theta})$ ,  $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$  at  $\theta = 0$  is g(1) + ig'(1). Thus, g(1) + g'(1) is an outward normal to this curve. Since  $\operatorname{Re}(g/z) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  in E [2,Vol.I, p.135] we have  $\operatorname{Re} g(1) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $|\operatorname{Im} g(1)| \leq \pi/4$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be the points of  $|w| = \pi/4$  such that  $T_1 - g(1)$  and  $T_2 - g(1)$  are tangent to this circle. It follows that  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  are in the right half plane  $\operatorname{Re} w \geq 0$  and arg g'(1) is between arg  $T_1$  and arg  $T_2$ . This implies  $\operatorname{Re} g'(1) \geq 0$ . Since, for  $0 < |z_0| < 1$ , we have  $g(z_0 z)/z_0 \in K_0$  whenever  $g \in K_0$ , we have shown that  $\operatorname{Re} g'(z) \geq 0$  for  $z \in E$  whenever  $g \in K_0$ . By the lemma, it follows that  $H_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)z + \lambda g(z)$  is in  $S^*$ 

## REFERENCES

 Chichra, P. and Singh, R., Convex sum of univalent functions, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 14 (1972), 503-507. MR 47 #7014.

10

[2] Goodman, A.W., Univalent Functions, I and II, Mariner Publishing Co., Inc., Tampa, Florida, 1983.

- [3] Hallenbeck, D.J. and Ruscheweyh, S., Subordination by convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1975), 191-195. MR 51 #10603.
- [4] Hayman, W.K., Research problems in function theory, Anthlone Press [University of London], 1967. MR 36 #359.
- [5] MacGregor, T.H., The univalence of a linear combination of convex mappings, London Math. Soc., 44 (1969), 210-212. MR 38 # 4665.
- [6] Ruscheweyh, S., New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1975), 109-115. MR 51 #3418.
- [7] Ruscheweyh, S. and Sheil-Small, T., Hadamard product of schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg conjecture, Comment. Math. Helv. 48 (1973), 119-135. MR 48 #6393.
- [8] Styer, D. and Wright, D., On the valence of the sum of two convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 37 (1973), 511-516. MR 47 #2049.
- [9] Trimble, S., The convex sum of convex functions, Math. Z., 109 (1969), 112-114. MR 39 #7085.

Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, USA.

(Recibido en junio de 1986).