

ON A THEOREM OF MÖBIUS: ELEMENTARY
VARIATIONS ON THE POLYNOMIAL TONALITY

by

Víctor S. ALBIS-GONZALEZ

§1. Introduction. The following theorem which is due to Möbius in the case of the ring of rational integers \mathbb{Z} , is known to be versatile and general in its applications [3, 37; 2, 93]:

Let $\{(d_j, \alpha_j); d_j \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$, $S(m) = \sum_{d_j \equiv 0 \pmod{m}} \alpha_j$ and $S' = \sum_{d_j=1} \alpha_j$. Then $S' = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(m) S(m)$, where μ is the Möbius function.

With its help a good lot of number-theoretic identities and asymptotic formulae can be proved rather easily. Our purpose in this paper is to prove its analog for the ring $\mathbb{F}[X]$ of polynomials in the indeterminate X and coefficients in a finite field \mathbb{F} , with $q = p^s$ ($s \geq 1$) elements, and use it to establish in $\mathbb{F}[X]$ analogs of some known results in the case of the ring \mathbb{Z} .

Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of all monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}[X]$; since $\mathbb{F}[X]$ is a unique factorization domain, the set \mathcal{M} of all its monic polynomials is the free

monoid generated by $P \cup \{1\}$. An *arithmetical function* of $\mathbb{F}[X]$ is any function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For example,

$$\mu(M) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M = 1; \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } M = P_1 \dots P_k, P_i \in \mathcal{P}, \text{ mutually distinct;} \\ 0 & \text{if } P^2 \mid M \text{ for some } P \in \mathcal{P}, \end{cases}$$

is an arithmetical function of $\mathbb{F}[X]$, called the *Möbius function* of $\mathbb{F}[X]$. In the case of the rational integers, this function has a combinatorial character; more precisely, we have the following:

$$\sum_{D \mid M} \mu(D) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } M \neq 1. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Another example of an arithmetical function is the *(absolute) norm of a polynomial*: $n(M) = q^m$, where $m = \deg M$. Clearly n satisfies $n(MN) = n(M) \cdot n(N)$ for any $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$. An arithmetical function f satisfying $f(MN) = f(M) \cdot f(N)$ whenever $(M, N) = 1$, is called *multiplicative*, and *completely multiplicative* if $f(MN) = f(M) f(N)$ for arbitrary $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus n is completely multiplicative, while μ is just multiplicative. If $M = P_1^{e_1} \dots P_k^{e_k}$ is the canonical decomposition of $M \in \mathbb{N}$ in elements of \mathcal{P} , then the following formula is valid for any multiplicative arithmetical function f :

$$\sum_{D \mid M} f(D) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{e_j} f(P_j^i) \right) \quad (2)$$

(where the right-side member equals 1 if $M = 1$). In particular, we have the following identities:

$$\sum_{D \mid M} \mu(D) f(D) = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - f(P_j)), \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sum_{D \mid M} \mu(D) / n(D) = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - n(P_j)^{-1}), \quad (4)$$

or again

$$\vartheta(M) = \sum_{D|M} \mu(D) n(M/D) = n(M) \cdot \sum_{D|M} \mu(D)/n(D), \quad (5)$$

where $\vartheta(M)$, the number of invertible elements of the ring $\mathbb{F}[X]/(M(X))$, is the analogous of the Euler ϑ -function.

Another arithmetical function of interest is

$$\tau(M) = \sum_{D|M} 1 = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\substack{D|M \\ \deg D=j}} 1,$$

the number of divisors in $\mathbb{F}[X]$ of the polynomial $M \in \mathbb{F}[X]$, $\deg M = m$.

If $M = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k}$, $e_i \geq 1$, is the canonical decomposition of M , we obtain from (2) the following identity:

$$\tau(M) = (e_1 + 1) \dots (e_k + 1), \quad (6)$$

and from this the following inequality, for $\varepsilon \leq 1$:

$$\frac{\tau(M)}{n(M)^\varepsilon} = \frac{(e_1+1)}{q^{e_1 f_1 \varepsilon}} \dots \frac{(e_k+1)}{q^{e_k f_k \varepsilon}} < C,$$

for some constant C , where $f_i = \deg p_i$. Indeed, for each i $(e_i + 1)/q^{e_i f_i \varepsilon} \leq (e_i + 1)/2^{e_i f_i \varepsilon} \leq (e_i + 1)/2^{e_i \varepsilon} < 1/\varepsilon \log 2$ since $\varepsilon \log 2 < 1$. On the other hand, $f_i \varepsilon \geq 1$ implies that $q^{e_i f_i \varepsilon} \geq 2^{e_i}$, which in turn implies that $(e_i + 1)/q^{e_i f_i \varepsilon} \leq (e_i + 1)/2^{e_i} \leq 1$. But the number of primes p_i such that $f_i = \deg p_i < 1/\varepsilon$ is finite, say R . Thus

$$\frac{\tau(M)}{n(M)^\varepsilon} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon \log 2} \right)^R = C.$$

Thus we have shown: for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\tau(M) = o(n(M)^\varepsilon) \text{ as } n(M) \rightarrow \infty \quad (7)$$

(Cfr. [3, 44-45]).

In this paper we will make use of the ζ -function of the field $\mathbb{F}(X)$:

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{F}(X)}(s) = \sum_{M \in \mathbb{M}} 1/n(M)^s = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k / q^{ks} = q^{s-1} / (q^{s-1} - 1) \quad (8)$$

which converges absolutely for all $s > 1$.

In §2, we will prove the analog of Möbius theorem in $\mathbb{F}[X]$ and some of its corollaries. In §3 we apply these results to obtain explicit and asymptotic formulae for the generalized θ -functions introduced by Carlitz [1]; in particular, we are able to compute the average order of these θ -functions. Also we present a result totally analogous to the case of integers about the probability that k monic polynomials, taken at random, are relatively prime [3, 49].

§2. Möbius's Theorem in $\mathbb{F}[X]$.

THEOREM. Let $\{(D_j, \alpha_j); D_j \in \mathbb{M}, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$, $S(M) = \sum_{M|D_j} \alpha_j$ and $S' = \sum_{D_j=1} \alpha_j$. Then $S' = \sum_{M \in \mathbb{M}} \mu(M) S(M)$.

Proof. We have $\sum_{M \in \mathbb{M}} \mu(M) S(M) = \sum_{M \in \mathbb{M}} \mu(M) \sum_{M|D_j} \alpha_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\sum_{M|D_j} \mu(M)) = \sum_{D_j=1} \alpha_j = S'$, by virtue of (1).

COROLLARY 1. Let $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathbb{M}$ and let $F: \{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary function. Then for a given $M \in \mathbb{M}$ the following holds:

$$\sum_{(A_j, M)=1} F(A_j) = \sum_{D|M} \mu(D) S(D), \quad (9)$$

where $S(D) = \sum_{D|A_j} F(A_j)$.

Proof. Let us take $D_j = (A_j, M)$ and $\alpha_j = F(A_j)$; then $S' = \sum_{(A_j, M)=1} F(A_j)$ and $S(D) = \sum_{D|(A_j, M)} F(A_j)$; since $S(D) = 0$ if $D \nmid M$, the corollary follows.

A generalization of the above corollary is the following:

COROLLARY 2. Let k be an integer greater than 1, and let $\mathbf{A} = \{(A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)}) ; A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)} \in \mathbb{M}, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$.

If $F: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary function, then

$$\sum_{\text{g.c.d.}(A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)})=1} F((A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)})) = \sum_{D \in \mathbb{M}} \mu(D) S(D), \quad (10)$$

$$\text{where } S(D) = \sum_{D | \text{g.c.d.}(A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)})} F((A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)})).$$

Proof. The corollary follows by taking $D_j = \text{g.c.d.}(A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)})$ and $\alpha_j = F((A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)}))$ in the theorem.

§3. Some applications of Möbius Theorem.

a) The generalized θ -functions. Let r be a non-negative integer and $M \in \mathbb{M}$. With Carlitz [1] let us define $\theta_r(M)$ to be the number of polynomials in \mathbb{M} that are prime to M and of degree r . It is clear that $\theta_0(M) = 1$ for any $M \in \mathbb{M}$. Let us take $\{(D_j, \alpha_j)\}$ where $D_j = (A_j, M)$ and $\alpha_j = 1$, and A_j runs over the set of all polynomials in \mathbb{M} of degree $= r$. Thus $\theta_r(M) = S' = \sum_{D_j=1} 1$ and $S(D) = \sum_{D | D_j} 1 = 0$ if $D \nmid M$ and $S(D) = \sum_{D | A_j} 1$ if $D | M$. That is, if $D | M$ then $S(D)$ is the number of multiples of D whose degree is r ; this number equals q^{r-d} , where $d = \deg D$. The foregoing argument and Möbius theorem establish thus the following property:

PROPOSITION 1. Let $\theta_r(M)$ denote the number of monic polynomials that are prime to M and of degree r . Then

$$\theta_r(M) = q^r \sum_{\substack{D | M \\ 0 \leq \deg D \leq r}} \mu(D) / n(D). \quad (11)$$

If $r \geq \deg M$ we have $\theta_r(M) = q^r \theta(M)/n(M)$. In particular,
 $\theta_r(M) = \theta(M)$ if $r = \deg M$.

The last part of the proposition follows from (5) and the fact that $S(D) = 0$ if $\deg D > r \geq \deg M$.

COROLLARY. We have for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\theta_r(M) = q^r \theta(M)/n(M) + o(n(M)^\varepsilon) \quad (12)$$

as $n(M) \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof of this statement is as follows: (11) can be written as

$$\theta_r(M) = q^r \theta(M)/n(M) - A(r;M),$$

where

$$A(r;M) = q^r \cdot \sum_{\substack{D|M \\ r < \deg D \leq m}} \mu(D)/n(D) \quad \text{and} \quad m = \deg M.$$

Consequently, using (7), we have

$$|A(r;M)| \leq q^r \cdot \sum_{\substack{D|M \\ r < \deg D \leq m}} |\mu(D)| \leq q^r \cdot \sum_{D|M} |\mu(D)| \leq q^r \tau(M) \leq q^r C(n(M)^\varepsilon)$$

which proves the corollary.

As a consequence of (4) the function $\theta_r(M)$ can also be expressed as

$$\theta_r(M) = q^r \cdot \prod_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ P|M}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n(P)}\right) + o(n(M)^\varepsilon)$$

or

$$\theta_r(M) = q^r \cdot \prod_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ P|M}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n(P)}\right) \quad \text{if} \quad \deg M \leq r,$$

formulae which shed some light on that proposed by Carlitz

in [1, 44, (9)], whose meaning is quite difficult to grasp.

If now $\pi(r; M)$ is the number of monic polynomials that are prime to $M \in \mathbb{M}$ and are of degree $\leq r$, it is clear that

$$\pi(r; M) = \theta_0(M) + \theta_1(M) + \dots + \theta_r(M),$$

and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(r; M) &= \sum_{j=0}^r q^j \sum_{\substack{D|M \\ 0 \leq \deg D \leq j}} \mu(D)/n(D) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{\substack{D|M \\ \deg D=j}} \left\{ \frac{q^{r+1-j}-1}{q-1} \right\} \mu(D). \end{aligned}$$

This last expression can be rewritten as follows

$$\frac{q^{r+1}}{q-1} \cdot \sum_{\substack{D|M \\ 0 \leq \deg D \leq r}} \frac{\mu(D)}{n(D)} - \frac{1}{q-1} \cdot \sum_{\substack{D|M \\ 0 \leq \deg D \leq r}} \mu(D),$$

which, in particular, implies that

$$\pi(r; 1) = \frac{q^{r+1}-1}{q-1} = q^r + q^{r-1} + \dots + q + 1,$$

and

$$\pi(r; M) = \frac{q^{r+1}\theta(M)}{(q-1)n(M)} = \frac{q^{r+1}}{q-1} \prod_{P|M} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n(P)}\right) \text{ if } r \geq m = \deg M.$$

More generally,

$$\pi(r; M) = \frac{q^{r+1}\theta(M)}{(q-1)n(M)} - B(r; M),$$

where

$$B(r; M) = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{j=r+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{D|M \\ \deg D=j}} (q^{r+1-j}-1) \mu(D).$$

Since $|q^{r+1-j}-1| < 1$ if $j \geq r+1$, we see that

$$|B(r;M)| \leq \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{j=r+1}^m \sum_{\substack{D|M \\ \deg D=1}} |\mu(D)| \leq \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{D|M} |\mu(D)| \leq \frac{1}{q-1} \tau(M).$$

Thus, using again (7), we obtain the following property:

PROPOSITION 2. If $\pi(r;M)$ denotes the number of monic polynomials of degree $\leq r$ that are prime to M , and if $\varepsilon > 0$, then the following formula holds

$$\pi(r;M) = \frac{q^{r+1} \theta(M)}{(q-1)n(M)} + O(n(M)^\varepsilon) = \frac{q^{r+1}}{(q-1)} \prod_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ P|M}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n(P)}\right) + O(n(M)^\varepsilon) \quad (13)$$

when $n(M) \rightarrow \infty$.

Next we investigate the average order of $\theta_r(M)$. To begin with, let us suppose that $\deg M \leq t$, so that for $\varepsilon > 0$ (12) can be written as

$$\theta_r(M) = q^r \theta(M)/n(M) + O(q^{t\varepsilon}).$$

From this it follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{M \\ 0 \leq \deg M \leq t}} \theta_r(M) = q^r \sum_{\substack{M \\ 0 \leq \deg M \leq t}} \frac{\theta(M)}{n(M)} + O(q^{t\varepsilon}).$$

But

$$\sum_{\substack{M \\ 0 \leq \deg M \leq t}} \theta(M)/n(M) = \sum_{j=0}^t \sum_{\deg M=j} \theta(M)/n(M) = \sum_{j=0}^t q^{-j} \sum_{\deg M=j} \theta(M)$$

Since $\sum_{\deg M=j} \theta(M) = q^j (q^j - q^{j-1})$ [1, 44, (10)], this equation becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{M \\ 0 \leq \deg M \leq t}} \theta(M)/n(M) = (q^{t+1} - 1)/q.$$

Combining (14) and (15) we have

PROPOSITION 3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ the following formula

holds

$$\sum_{\substack{M \\ 0 \leq \deg M \leq t}} \theta_r(M) = q^{r-1}(q^{t+1}-1) + o(q^{t\epsilon}) \quad (16)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, if $\epsilon < 1$,

$$\frac{1}{q^t} \sum_{\substack{M \\ 0 \leq \deg M \leq t}} \theta_r(M) = q^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{t+1}}\right) + o(q^{t(\epsilon-1)})$$

tends to q^r as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which shows that the average order of $\theta_r(M)$ is q^r . If $r > 1$, we also can say that the average order of $\theta_r(M)$ is $\zeta(r)(q^r - q)$ where ζ is the ζ -function of the field $\mathbb{F}(X)$.

b) A probabilistic result. Let $\mathbf{A}_{r,k} = \{(A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)})\}$; $A_i^{(j)} \in \mathbb{M}$, $n(A_i^{(j)}) \leq q^r$; $k \geq 2$; this set has $((q^{r+1}-1)/(q-1))^k$ elements. Let $\mathbf{A}_{r,k}^*$ denote the set of elements of $\mathbf{A}_{r,k}$ satisfying $\text{g.c.d.}(A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)}) = 1$, and let S' be its number. It is clear then that

$$\text{Prob } \mathbf{A}_{r,k}^* = S' / ((q^{r+1}-1)/(q-1))^k \quad (17)$$

represents the probability that k polynomials of degree $\leq r$ are relatively primer. Defining the probability that k elements of \mathbb{M} taken at random are relatively prime as the limit of (17) as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we are able to prove the following:

PROPOSITION 4. The probability that k ($k \geq 2$) monic polynomials taken at random are relatively prime is given by

$$1 / \zeta(k)$$

where $\zeta(k)$ is the ζ -function of the field $\mathbb{F}(X)$.

Proof. The value S' can be computed by means of corollary 2 of the theorem, by taking $D_j = \text{g.c.d.}(A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)})$, and $F((A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_k^{(j)})) = 1$, so that $S' = \sum_{M \in \mathbb{M}} \mu(D)S(D)$, where

$$S(D) = \sum_{D | \text{g.c.d.}(A_1^{(j)}, \dots, A_n^{(j)})} 1 = (q^{r-d})^k$$

if $d = \deg D \leq r$ and $S(D) = 0$ if $\deg D > r$. Thus

$$S' = \sum_{0 \leq \deg D \leq r} \mu(D) (q^{r-d})^k = q^{rk} \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{\deg D=j} \frac{\mu(D)}{n(D)^k}$$

which in turn, using the relations $\sum_{\deg D=j} \mu(D) = 0$ if $j \geq 2$ and $= -q$ if $j = 1$ [1,43], becomes

$$S' = q^{rk} \left(1 - \frac{q}{q^k}\right) = q^{rk} (q^{k-1} - 1) / q^{k-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Prob } A_{r,k}^* = \frac{q^{k-1} - 1}{q^{k-1}} \left(\frac{q^r (q-1)}{q^{r+1} - 1}\right)^k$$

which tends to $(q^{k-1} - 1) / q^{k-1} = \zeta(k)^{-1}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, since $k > 2$.

This result is completely analogous to the one obtained in the case of rational integers (cf. [3, 49]).

The author wishes to express his gratitude to the referee for some helpful suggestions which improved the contents and presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Carlitz, L., *The arithmetic of polynomials in a Galois field*, Amer. J. Math. **54** (1932), 39-50.
- [2] Grosswald, E., *Topics from the Theory of Numbers*, 2nd ed. MacMillan (New York).
- [3] Vinográdov, I.M., *Fundamentos de la teoría de los números*, Mir (Moscú), 1971.

Departamento de Matemáticas y Estadística
 Universidad Nacional de Colombia
 Ciudad Universitaria
 Bogotá, D.E. Colombia.

(Recibido en septiembre de 1986)