Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas  $Vol. XXI (1987), p\bar{a}gs. 285-300$ 

# SA - **ENTROPIES**

by

#### Siegfried WEBER

*Dedicado al profesor Henri Yerli, a quien conoci como gran docente y amigo.*

**Resumen.** Aquellas entroptas H que se caracteriza por la propiedad que  $H(P*Q) = H(P) S H(Q)$  para una operación S de semigrupo arquimediano se expresarán como entropias aditivas. Se considerará especialmente la familia con respecto a las operaciones  $S_1(x,y) = x+y+\lambda xy$ . Casi todas las extropias conocidas de la 11teratura resultan casos particulares. Se deriva un teorema de código y se construye entropías condicionales.

**Abstract.** Those entropies H which are characterized by the property that  $H(P*Q) = H(P) S H(Q)$  for an Archimedean semigroup operation *S* will be expressed by additive entropies. The family with respect to the operations  $S_{\lambda}(x,y)$  =  $x+y+\lambda$  xy will be considered. Almost all entropies known from the literature become special cases. A coding theorem is derived and conditional entropies are constructed.

**Introduction.** Hartley (1928) was the first to introduce a measure of entropy. The generalization by Shannon (1948) is the entropy most used in many applications. Both entropies H are additive in the sense that

where P\*Q is the product of the finite probability distributions P and Q. Rényi (1961) introduced a family of additive entropies of ordera, including Shannon's and Hartlex's entropies for  $\alpha + 1$  and  $\alpha + 0$  respectively. Under additional assumptions it was shown that Renyi's entropies are the only additive ones, see e.g. Dar6czy (1964).

Later on other entropies have been suggested, e.g.by Aczel and Dar6czy (1963), Kapur (1967), Havrda and Charvat (1967), Dar6czy (1970), Arimoto (1971), Rathie (1971a), Sharma and Mittal (1975), and Boekee and Van der Lubbe (1980). All these entropies have on property in common, namely

$$
H(P^{\star}Q) = H(P)S_1 H(Q), \qquad (2)
$$

where

$$
S_1(x,y) = x+y+\lambda xy \tag{3}
$$

with the parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  depending on the entropy-parameters.

The indices of diversity of Gini (1912), considered also by Simpson (1949), and of Me Intosh (1967) have property (2) also. Both are non additive.

Every  $S_i$  is a strict Archimedean semigroup operation on a certain interval  $[0, M] \subset [0, \infty]$  and therefore, see Aczel (1949), additively generated, i.e.

$$
S_{\lambda}(x,y) = h_{\lambda}^{-1}(h_{\lambda}(x) + h_{\lambda}(y)). \qquad (4)
$$

It follows immediately that the compositions  $h_1$  off are additive entropies.

This is the crucial step: reducing the entropies H to additive entropies  $h_{\lambda} \circ H$ , we can obtain results concerning H by means of the corresponding ones for  $h_{\lambda}$  oH. As an example for this procedure, Campbell's (1965) coding theorem can be rewritten. It is not necessary to give the proof for each of the special entropies mentioned above, as has been

done e.g. by Boekee and Van der Lubbe (1980) for one case. We obatin the same result and more rapidly.

Also conditional entropies can be constructed using the underlying structure of the unconditional measure given by  $S_1$ . This approach is quite different from the construction of conditional entropies which are based on some generalized mean value property known from the current literature. In a forthcoming paper the author will discuss and compare these concepts. Some of these results were presented in Weber (1985).

Tha aim of the present paper is not to enlarge the number of "mostly formal generalizations ... popping up almost daily in the literature", as has been criticized by Aczel (1984) in his survey. But in contrast to this I will stress the common property (2) and show that it is sufficient to consider only very few (classes of) entropies.

in t

 $1. S_{\lambda}$ -entropies. Considering entropies H for finite probability distributions

$$
P = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)
$$

we will suppose as minimal conditions that

0 = H(0, ...,0,1,0, ...,0)  $\leq H(P) \leq H(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}) =: H_{\text{max}}$  . (5)

Furthermore we suggest to look at entropies with the following property.

1.1. DEFINITION. A function H with property (5) will be called an *additively generated entropy* or briefly S-en*tropy* iff for any product  $P*Q:=$   $(p_i q_k)$ ,  $i = 1,...,n$ ,  $k =$ 1,...,m, of distributions  $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$  and  $Q = (q_1, \ldots, q_m)$ 

### $H(P*Q) = H(P) S H(Q)$  (6)

where *S* is a continuous binay operation on [O,M], which is associative, (strictly) increasing in each argument, continuos and has 0 as a unit, and where M has to be, chosen with

M ~ sup H(P) P

The +-entropies are usually called *additive* entropies.

The properties equired on *S* are more or less natural except that of "increasing", but this, together with the others, leads to the following representation, essentially due to Aczél (1949), in the modified form given by Ling (1965).

1.2. THEOREM. *A binary operation S on* [O,M] *has the properties listed in* 1.1. *if and only if'there exists an increasing and continuius function* h: [O,M] + [0,00] *with*  $h(0) = 0$  *and*  $h(M) = \infty$  *so that* 

$$
S(x,y) = h^{-1}(h(x) + h(y)) \cdot 1001305 \cdot (7)
$$

inediasaib villida

*Furthermore,* h *~s unique up to a positive factor.*

This last property justifies the notation "additively generated entropy" used in the definition, where usually h will be called "additive generator" of *S.*

1.3. EXAMPLE. For any 
$$
\lambda \in (-1, \infty)
$$
,  
\n
$$
S_{\lambda}(x, y) := x+y+\lambda xy
$$
\nS(1)

gives a binary operation  $S<sub>1</sub>$  on  $[0, M]$  with the properties listed in 1.1 and where

$$
M := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{-\lambda} & \text{if } f \quad -1 < \lambda < 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } f \quad \lambda \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{if } f \quad \lambda \geq 0
$$

The additive generators  $h_{\lambda}$  of  $S_{\lambda}$  can be written e.g. in the form

$$
h_{\lambda}(x) = c \frac{\log(1+\lambda x)}{\log(1+\lambda)}
$$
 with any  $c > 0$ , (8)

including by continuous extension

$$
h_0(x) = c \cdot x \tag{9}
$$

In the following we will use the symbol log always for the logarithm to the base 2.

# 1.4. EXAMPLES. The following are all additive entropies.

**a)** 
$$
H_0(P) := \log n
$$
 (10)

gives Hartley's (1928) entropy H<sub>o</sub>.

**b)** 
$$
H_1(P) := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log p_i
$$
 (11)

gives Shannon's (1948) entropy  $H_1$ .

c) 
$$
H_{\alpha}(P) := \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \cdot \log \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}, \alpha \neq 1,
$$
 (12)

define the family of Rényi's (1961) entropies  $_{\rm H_{\alpha}}$  of order  $\alpha$ , including  ${\tt H}_{_{\bigodot}}$  and, by continuous extension,  ${\tt H}_{_{\bigodot}}$ 

**d**) 
$$
H_{\alpha, \beta}(P) := \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \cdot \log \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{n} , \quad \alpha \neq 1,
$$
 (13)

define a family of entropies  $\frac{H}{\alpha,\beta}$ , introduced by Aczél and Dar6czy (1963) and also considered by Kapur (1967). This family generalizes Renyi's family, i.e.

$$
\qquad \qquad \text{where} \qquad H_{\alpha,1} \equiv H_{\alpha}
$$

and includes by continuous extension

$$
H_{1, \beta}(P) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\beta} \cdot \log p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\beta}}
$$
 (14)

The representation theorem 1.2 leads immediately to the following characterizacion of all S-entropies.

1.5. THEOREM. *Every S-entropy can be written as function*

$$
h^{-1} \circ H \tag{15}
$$

 $of$  an additive entropy H, where h is some additive generator *of s.*

Applying this characterization theorem to 1.4 we obtain the following. This is because our calculus and

 $1.6$ . **EXAMPLES.** Let  $h_{\lambda}$  and  $S_{\lambda}$  as in example 1.3. Then *the following are all S<sub>A</sub>-entropies*  $\log(1+\lambda)$ 

$$
\mathbf{a}) \qquad \qquad h_{\lambda}^{-1}(H_{\alpha,\beta}(P)) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \left\{ \left( \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{\log(1+\lambda)}{\log(1-\alpha)}} - 1 \right\} \qquad (16)
$$

including by continuous extension the cases  $\alpha = 1$  or  $\lambda = 0$ respectively. Setting in (a)  $\beta = 1$  we obtain the entropies:

$$
h_{\lambda}^{-1}(H_{\alpha}(P)) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\log(1+\lambda)}{C(1-\alpha)}} - 1 \right\}, \qquad (17)
$$

introduced by Sharma and Mittal (1975), including

$$
h_{\lambda}^{-1}(H_1(P)) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \left\{ (1+\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{C_1} \sum_{i=1}^n P_i \log P_i} -1 \right\} \xrightarrow{[1 \text{ and } 1 \text{ or } 0 \text{ or } 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ or } 0 \text
$$

On the other hand, setting in (a) and (b) respectively  $\lambda = 2^{1-\alpha}$  – 1, c = 1, we are led to the entropies

c) 
$$
\hat{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(P) := \frac{1}{2^{1-\alpha}-1} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\beta}} - 1 \right\}
$$
 (19)

*290*

b)

introduced by Rathie (1971a), and respectively

$$
\mathbf{d}) \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{\alpha}(P) \qquad := \qquad \frac{1}{2^{1-\alpha}-1} \cdot \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{n}}{2} \\ \frac{\mathbf{n}}{2} & \frac{\mathbf{n}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{n}}{\alpha} \\ \frac{\mathbf{n}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\} \tag{20}
$$

introduced by Havrda and Charvat (1967) and also considered by  $Dar6czy(1970)$ .

Both families contain by continuous extension the corresponding additive entropies, i.e.

$$
\hat{H}_{1,8} = H_{1,8}^{10} \cdot \frac{10}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \
$$

Furthermore, setting in (b)  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\lambda = 2$   $\alpha$  , 1, c obtain the entropies: noranalys assumptions vd  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ As as res that  $\alpha$  is remained (17) and (23) are not

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{erf1} \quad & \text{if } \alpha \text{ if } \alpha
$$

considered by Arimoto (1971) and Boekee and Van der Lubbe  $(1980)$ , which includes

given by 
$$
z = 2^{\delta(1-\alpha)^2} - 1
$$
,  $\mathbf{H}$  m,  $\mathbf{H}$  blyection. More precisely,

In their papers we find  $\tilde{\rm H}_{\alpha}^{\rm u}$  with a factor  $\frac{1}{1+\alpha}$  instead of In their papers we find  $H_{\alpha}$  with a factor  $\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$  instead of<br> $\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$  which corresponds to the choice of  $\lambda = \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$ ,  $c = \frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \alpha$ .  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}$  - 1 It is interesting to note that, except for po <sup>s</sup> i <sup>t</sup> i ve constants,  $\hat{H}_{2}$  is the index of Gini (1912)/Simpson (1949) and  $\tilde{H}_{2}$  is the index of McIntosh (1967), both have been applied as indices of diversity of populations.

**1.7. COUNTEREXAMPLE.** The modified version of (14),  $n_1$ <sup> $\beta$ </sup> log  $p_1$ <sup>316</sup> for  $\beta$  *I* 1, introduced by Rathie  $i \stackrel{L}{=} 1$ <sup>P</sup>i (1971b), is not additively generated

s2. A coding theorem for S -entropies. Suppose that we have

ong as point finish this paragraph with a remark of caution. In their original paper, Sharma and Mittal presented their entropies in another form than  $(17)$ , namely  $18 - 24$  which

$$
\frac{1}{2^{1-\gamma}-1} \cdot \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\alpha}} - 1 \right\}.
$$
 (22)

For  $c = 1$ , the transformation  $\lambda = 2^{1-\gamma}$ -1 shows the equivalence between (17) and (22). Sometimes one can find still another form, see Aczel (1978):

$$
\frac{1}{2^{\delta(1-\alpha)}-1} \cdot \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha} \right)^{\delta} - 1 \right\} . \tag{23}
$$

We can see that, for  $c = 1$ , formulae (17) and (23) are no equivalent, any more, if we include the entropies for  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\delta = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  by continuous extension, as we have always done in this paper. The reason for this is that the application:

$$
\mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\times} (1-, \infty)
$$
  
( $\alpha, \delta$ )  $\longmapsto (\alpha, \lambda)$ ,

given by  $\lambda = 2^{\delta(1-\alpha)}$  - 1, is not a biyection. More precisely,

$$
(1, \delta) \longmapsto (1, 0) \quad \text{for all } \delta.
$$

In terms of entropies this means that for  $\alpha$  + 1: (17) reduces to  $h_{\lambda}^{-1}(H_1(P)$ , but (23) reduces to  $H_1(P)$  for all  $\delta$ .

**§2. A coding theorem for**  $S_{\lambda}$ **-entropies.** Suppose that we have n message letters  $\mathbf{x_i}$  with probability  $\mathbf{p_i}$  :=  $\mathbf{P}\{\mathbf{x_i}\}$  which start a memoryless source and are encoded. for simplicity, by 2 symbols. Let us denote the resulting codeword length by  $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then we can use the caracterization theorem 1.5 to obtain coding theorems for a whole family of entropies provided that we have a coding theorem for one member of that family. As an example for this procedure we look at the special case 1.6 (b) which leads to the following.

**2.1. THEOREM.** For each entropy  $H^{\lambda}_{\alpha}$  : =  $h_1^{-1} \circ H_{\alpha}$  (in (17)) of a source there exists an uniquely decipherable code such  $that:$ 

$$
H_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \leq L \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}, \lambda \right\} \leq (1+\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} H_{\alpha}^{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \left\{ (1+\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 1 \right\} \qquad (24)
$$

with equality at the left side if and only if

$$
\mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} & \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \\ \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} & \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} & \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \end{array} \right. \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} & \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)} \end{array} \right\}
$$

and where

$$
L(t, \lambda) := \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \cdot 2^{t \cdot n_i} \right) \frac{\log(1+\lambda)}{c \cdot t} - 1 \right\} \tag{25}
$$

is an average codeword length.

[18] Proof. Campbell (1965) proved the corresponding result:

$$
H_{\alpha} \leq L \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right) \leq H_{\alpha} + 1_{\text{HClH (1 HCl)} \text{ (1 HCl)} \text{ (1 HCl)} \text{ (1 HCl)} \tag{26}
$$

for Rényi's entropies  $H_{\alpha}$  in (12) and the average codeword length:

$$
L(t) := \frac{1}{t} \cdot \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ z_{\perp}^{t \cdot n_i} \right).
$$
 (27)

Applying  $h_1^{-1}$  from (8) to (26) and using (25) and (27) we are led to

$$
H_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \leqslant L\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}, \lambda\right) \leqslant h_{\lambda}^{-1}(h_{\lambda}(H_{\alpha}^{\lambda}) + 1).
$$

The rest follows by (8). Of the serious leading and the state

2.2. COROLLARY. For the entropies (20) and (21) respectively we obtain the results corresponding to  $(24)$ :

$$
a) \circ \circ \circ \circ \theta_{\alpha} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{h}{i}\right)^{n} P_{i}}{n} \cdot \frac{2^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \cdot n} i}{\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{1-\alpha}{\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}}}{\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{n} \cdot \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{1-\alpha}{\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{n} \cdot \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}}}
$$

 $899.7$ 

b) 
$$
\tilde{H}_{\alpha} \leqslant \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i \cdot 2^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} + n_i}}{2^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} - 1}} < 2^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \tilde{H}_{\alpha} + 1
$$
 (29)

The latter formula is essentially theorem 11 of Boekee and *Van* der Lubbe (1980) except that their entropy dif fers from  $\tilde{H}_{\alpha}$  by a factor as explained in 1.6.

Let us remark that the average codeword lengths from (25) are strictly monotone and continuous functions of:

$$
K(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \t2^{t \cdot n_i}
$$
 (30)

which can be interpreted as *average* exponential coding cost. More precisely:

$$
L(t,\lambda) = h_{\lambda}^{-1} \left( \frac{\log K(t)}{t} \right) , \qquad (31)
$$

including by continuous extension:

$$
L(0,\lambda) = h_{\lambda}^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \cdot n_i \right)
$$
 (32)

which is a function of the average *linear* coding cost

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{p_i} \cdot \mathbf{n_i} \quad (33)
$$

§3. **Conditional** entropies. The defining property (6) for S-entropies can be rewritten as

$$
H(P,Q) = H(P) S H(Q) \quad \text{for independent } P, Q. \tag{34}
$$

If we want to preserve this structure for the general situation, we are led to the following construction of a conditional entropy I am proposing in this paper.

3.1. DEFINITION. Let H be a S-entropy in the sense of 1.1. Then we define a conditional entropy *H(Q/P)* as solution of:

$$
H(P,Q) = H(P) S H(Q/P).
$$
 (35)

The representation theorem 1.2 leads immediately to

 $3.2.$  THEOREM. For all  $P$  with  $H(P) < M$ , the condition*al entropy H(Q/P)* is *uniquely determined by:*

$$
H(Q/P) = h^{-1}(h \circ H(P, Q) - h \circ H(P))
$$
, (36)

*where* h is *any additive generator of* S. *Furthermore:*

$$
H(Q/P) = H(Q) \quad for \quad independent \quad P, \quad Q. \tag{37}
$$

Using the usual notation

$$
(P, Q) = (p_{ik}) = (p_i - q_{k/i}), \quad i = 1, ..., n; k = 1, ..., m
$$

for the joint distribution, we obtain by 1.4 and 1.6 the corresponding special cases. We will point out only a few cases.

### 3.3. EXAMPLES.

 $(T\Sigma)$ 

**a**) For Rényi's (additive) entropies H $_{\alpha}$  from (12) we obtain

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
$$

This formula (38) appears in Rényi (1960, formula (35)). But in general it is used there as conditional entropy an expression where the weights  $p_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathsf{w}}/(\frac{\mathsf{p}}{\mathsf{j}}\, p_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathsf{w}})$  in (38) are replaced by  $p_i$ , see Rényi (1960, formula (24)). The formula  $(24)$ 

b) For the entropies  $\widehat{\textbf{H}}_{\alpha}$  from (20) we obtain

$$
\hat{H}_{\alpha}(Q/P) = \frac{1}{2^{1-\alpha} \cdot 1} \cdot \left\{ \frac{i \sum_{k} p_{i}^{\alpha} \cdot q_{k/1}^{\alpha}}{\sum_{j} p_{j}^{\alpha}} - 1 \right\} \tag{39}
$$

This formula (39) differs from that obtained by the construction given by Daróczy (1970, formula (5.4)), which can be written as

$$
\hat{H}_{\alpha}(Q/P) \sum_{j} p_j^{\alpha}.
$$

**c)** For the entropies  $H_\alpha$  from (21) we obtain

$$
\widetilde{H}_{\alpha}(Q/P) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}-1} \cdot \left\{ \left( \frac{\sum_{i} p_i^{\alpha} q_{i}^{\alpha} / i}{\sum_{j} p_j^{\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 1 \right\} \quad (40)
$$

The formula (40) is different from the two proposed by Boekee and Van der Lubbe (1980) and denoted by

$$
{}^{t}H_{\alpha}(Q/P) = \frac{\sum_{i} p_{i} (\sum_{k} q_{k/i}^{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 1}{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}
$$
 (41)

$$
{}^{\prime\prime}H_{\alpha}(Q/P) = \frac{\left(\sum_{i} P_{i} \sum_{k} q_{k/i}^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 1}{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \tag{42}
$$

The essential difference is not in the factor, but in the different manner in which the  $p^{+}_{\mathbf{i}}$ s are used as weights.

Concluding remark. In the present paper the author considered additively generated entropies, i.e. those which have (6) as a basic property. This is reasonable because a lot of entropies is of that type. In view of this property, the proposed definition of a conditional entropy (35) seems to be quite natural, especially since this construction ensures the important property that means and the second

 $H(Q|P) = H(Q)$  for independent *P*, *Q*. (37)

For many situations another property is also important,  $name1y$ :

$$
H(Q|P) \leq H(Q) \quad \text{for all} \quad P, \ Q. \tag{43}
$$

This is equivalent to the property 

 $h \circ H(P,Q) \leq h \circ H(P) + h \circ H(Q)$  for all P, Q.  $(44)$ 

For the entropies H in (17) the composed entropies  $h \circ H = H_{\infty}$ belong to Rényi's family, for which it is known that (44) holds only for  $\alpha = 1$ .

On the other hand, other constructions for conditional entropies do not fulfill the first important property (37). This dilemma will be discussed in authors forthcoming paper mentioned in the introduction, using several mean value properties.

Acknowledgement. The author likes to thank the referee for some useful comments.

## REFERENCES



[7] Campbell, L.L. (1965), A coding theorem and Rényi's entropy, Information and Control 8, 423-429.

[8] Daróczv, Z., (1964), Über Mittelwerte und Entropien vollständiger Wahrscheinlichkeitsverteilungen, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 15, 203-210.

[9] Daróczy, Z., (1970), Generalized information functions, Information and Control 16, 36-51.

- [10] Gini, C., (1912), Variabilita e mutabilita, Studi Economico-Giuridici della Facolta di Giurisprudenza dell Universita di Cagliari, a III, parte II.
- [11] Hartley, R.V., (1928), Transmission of information, Bell System Techn. J. 7, 535-563.
- [12] Havrda, J., and Charvat, F., (1967), Quantification method of classification processes, concept of  $structural \alpha-entropy$ , Kybernetika 3, 30-35.
- [13] Kapur, J.N., (1967), Generalized entropy of order  $\alpha$  and type  $\beta$ , The mathematical Seminar 4, 78-94.
- [14] Ling, C.H., (1965), Representation of associative func-
- tions, Publ. Math. Debrecen 12, 189-212.<br>[15] Mc Intosh, R.P., (1967), An index of diversity and the<br>relation of certain concepts to diversity, Ecology 48, 392-404.
- [16] Rathie, P.N., (1971a), A generalization of the non-additive measures of uncertainty and information and their axiomatic characterizations, Kybernetika 7,  $125 - 132$ .
- [17] Rathie, P.N., (1971b), On some new measures of uncertainty, inaccuracy and information and their chanactenizations, Kybernetika 7, 394-403.
- [18] Rényi, A., (1960), Some fundamental questions of infor-<br>mation theory, MTA III, Oszt. Közl. 10, 251-282.
- [19] Rényi, A., (1961), On measure of entropy and information, Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp., Math. Statist. Prob.  $N^2$  1, 547-561.
- [20] Shannon, C.E., (1948), A mathematical theory of communication, Bell System Tech. J. 27, 378-423 and 623-656.
- [21] Sharma, B.D. and Mittal, D.P., (1975), New non-additive measures of entropy for a discrete probability distribution, J. Math. Sci., Delhi, 10, 28-40.
- [22] Simpson, E.H., (1949), Measurement of diversity, Nature 163, 688.
- [23] Weber, S., (1984), Teoría de probabilidad e información-Temas especiales con aplicaciones, Universidad de los Andes, Departamento de Matemáticas, Bogotá, Colombia.
- [24] Weber, S., (1985), Conditional entropies a discussion of different concepts, in: B. Bouchon (Ed.), Jour-<br>nees Remoises "Analyse de problemes decisionnels dans un environnement incertain et imprecis", nod bis no Reims, France, 165-180.

[6] Boekee, D.E. and Van der Lubbe, J.C.A. (1980), Int R-

ch iorind bus meatomatoluly thusbase salles in

trol 19, 181-194

Fachbereich Mathematik Johannes Gutenberg-Universität D-6500 Mainz Alemania Federal de la contrata de

(1) This paper contribution of contemporary of the t

(Recibido en mayo de 1985, la versión revisada en febrero de 1987).