

FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON CERTAIN CLASS OF CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

by

José Luiz CORRÊA CAMARGO

Abstract. The objective of this paper is to introduce the concepts of a H -contractive mapping and a κ -ultimately contractive mapping on cartesian product of two metric spaces, and to show that, under certain restrictions, such mappings have a fixed point.

§1. Introduction. According to Nadler [2], on their own words, a number of mathematicians have investigated the problem of determining what kinds of mappings defined on the cartesian product of two spaces have fixed points (for an historical survey see [6]).

In the present paper we introduce the concepts of H -contractive mapping and κ -ultimately contractive mapping and show that, with certain restrictions, such mappings have fixed points on the cartesian product of two metric spaces. Throughout this paper (X, d_1) and (Y, d_2) will denote metric spaces and P_1 and P_2 will be the natural projection of cartesian product $X \times Y$ onto X and Y , respectively. Further, " \mathcal{D} " will denote the metric product on $X \times Y$ given by

$$\mathcal{D}((x, y), (\hat{x}, \hat{y})) = (d_1^2(x, \hat{x}) + d_2^2(y, \hat{y}))^{1/2} \text{ for all } (x, y), (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \text{ in } X \times Y$$

For the sake of completeness, we give the following definitions.

DEFINITION 1. Let (X, d_1) and (Y, d_2) be metric spaces and let f be a mapping from $X \times Y$ into $X \times Y$. We say that f is a *H-contractive mapping on $X \times Y$* if there exists a function $H: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $H(0) = 0$ and

$$(I) \quad \mathcal{D}(f(x, y), f(\hat{x}, \hat{y})) \leq d_1(x, \hat{x}) + H(d_2(y, \hat{y})) \text{ for all } (x, y), (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \text{ in } X \times Y.$$

DEFINITION 2. [5]. A mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *nonexpansive mapping* if and only if $d_2(h(x), h(\hat{x})) \leq d_1(x, \hat{x})$ for all x, \hat{x} in X .

DEFINITION 3. [4]. A subset K of a metric space (M, d) is said to have the *fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings* if, whenever $h: K \rightarrow K$ is nonexpansive mapping, then h has a fixed point in K .

REMARK 1. There are interesting results about the fixed point property for nonexpansive mapping (see, for example, [1], [3] or [4]).

§2. Main results. First we shall consider the proof of the following fixed point theorem for H-contractive mapping:

THEOREM 1. Let (X, d_1) be a metric space with the fixed point property for nonexpansive self-maps. Let (Y, d_2) be a metric space and suppose that there exists a function θ mapping Y in the nonnegative real numbers, such that $\theta(y) \geq \theta(y_0)$ for some $y_0 \in Y$ and all $y \in Y$. Suppose also that $f: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ is a H-contractive mapping satisfying the following condition:

- (i) If $\bar{x} \in X$, $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ with $y_1 \neq y_2$ and $f(\bar{x}, y_1) = (\bar{x}, y_2)$, then $\theta(y_2) < \theta(y_1)$.

Then f has a fixed point in $X \times Y$.

Proof. If $y \in Y$, then let $f_y: X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$f_y(x) = P_1 \circ f(x, y)$ for all x in X . We claim that f_y is non-expansive mapping for each y in Y . In fact, let y be an arbitrary element of Y and let x and \hat{x} be any two points of X . Since P_1 is a nonexpansive mapping and by assumption f is H -contractive we conclude that

$$d_1(f_y(x), f_y(\hat{x})) = d_1(P_1 \circ f(x, y), P_1 \circ f(\hat{x}, y)) \\ \leq \mathcal{D}(f(x, y), f(\hat{x}, y)) \leq d_1(x, \hat{x}) + H(d(y, y)) = d_1(x, \hat{x}),$$

so that f_y is indeed a nonexpansive mapping. Since (X, d_1) has the fixed point property for nonexpansive self maps, we conclude that given $y \in Y$, there exists x in X such that $f_y(x) = x$. We now define a multi-valued mapping on Y as follows: For y in Y we define $F(y) = \{x \in X: f_y(x) = x\}$. Let us define other multi-valued mapping on Y as follows:

$$G(y) = \{P_2 \circ f(x, y): x \in F(y)\} \text{ for all } y \text{ in } Y.$$

By assumption (Y, d_2) is a metric space and $\theta: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ a function such that $\theta(y) \geq \theta(y_0)$ for some y_0 in Y and all y in Y . We can observe that $G(y)$ is not empty for all y in Y , once the same occur with $F(y)$. Consequently, there exists y_2 in $G(y_0)$ and \bar{x} in $F(y_0)$ such that $y_2 = P_2 \circ f(\bar{x}, y_0)$. But $\bar{x} \in F(y_0)$ implies that $\bar{x} = f_{y_0}(\bar{x}) = P_1 \circ f(\bar{x}, y_0)$ and so we conclude that $f(\bar{x}, y_0) = (\bar{x}, y_2)$. We can observe that, by the assumption above, we have $\theta(y_2) \geq \theta(y_0)$. Now, let's suppose that $y_0 \neq y_2$. Then by condition (i) of the hypothesis we obtain $\theta(y_2) < \theta(y_0)$. This however leaves to a contradiction. Hence $y_0 = y_2$ and since $f(\bar{x}, y_0) = (\bar{x}, y_0)$ we obtain the affirmation of the theorem. Q.E.D.

The following examples serve to illustrate Theorem 1.

a) Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < m < p$ and let n be an integer number $n \geq 2$. We consider $X = Y = I^n$, where I is the closed interval $[m, p]$ and we suppose $f: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ be the mapping defined by

$f(x, y) = (y_0, x)$ for all (x, y) in $X \times Y$, where
 $y_0 = (m, m, \dots, m) \in X$.

Let $\theta: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by $\theta(y) = \max_n \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ for all $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$. Since I^n is bounded closed convex subset of a Hilbert space, we conclude by Browder's theorem [3] that $X = I^n$ has the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings. Furthermore the above function θ has a minimum value on the compact I^n , which is given by $\theta(y_0) = m$. We have also that the condition (i) of hypothesis is satisfied. In fact, let's suppose that $f(x, y) = (x, \hat{y})$ where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in I^n$, with $y \neq \hat{y} = (\hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_n)$. Then by definition of f we get $y_0 = x = \hat{y} \neq y$. Hence, there exists an integer number, $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\hat{y}_j \neq y_j$. But $y_0 = \hat{y}$ implies that $\hat{y}_j = m$ and so $m \neq y_j$. Thus, since $m \leq y_j \leq p$ we have $m < y_j \leq p$. On the other hand, $\theta(\hat{y}) = \theta(y_0) = m$ and $\theta(y) \geq y_j$. Consequently we obtain $\theta(\hat{y}) < \theta(y)$. We observe also that f is a H -contractive mapping on $X \times Y$ since for all functions $H: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying $H(0) = 0$, we have $D(f(x, y), f(\hat{x}, \hat{y})) \leq d_1(x, \hat{x}) + H(d_2(y, \hat{y}))$ for all (x, y) and (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) in $X \times Y$. Therefore we have showed that all assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Finally, we observe that $P = (y_0, y_0)$ is the unique fixed point of f .

b) Let (X, d_1) be an arbitrary metric space with the fixed point property for nonexpansive self-maps and let (Y, d_2) be the real interval $[0, 1]$ with the usual metric. We define $f: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ by $f(x, y) = (x, \kappa y)$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \kappa < 1$ and all (x, y) in $X \times Y$. Putting $H(t) = \kappa t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, it is easy to see that f is H -contractive mapping. We consider $\theta: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ an arbitrary increasing and continuous function. Then $\theta(0) \leq \theta(y)$ for all y in $[0, 1]$. Furthermore, we observe that, if $\bar{x} \in X$, $y_1, y_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $y_1 \neq y_2$. and $f(\bar{x}, y_1) = (\bar{x}, y_2)$, then $\kappa y_1 = y_2 \neq y_1$ and so $0 < y_2 < y_1$.

Hence, since θ is an increasing function we have $\theta(y_2) < \theta(y_1)$.

Consequently, all assumption of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. We observe also that $(x,0)$ is a fixed point of f for all $x \in X$.

Our next theorem is closely related to the following result of Nadler's ([2], p.584).

PROPOSITION 1. *Let (X, d_1) be a complete metric space, let (Y, d_2) be a metric space with the fixed point property and let f be a function from $X \times Y$ into $X \times Y$.*

(1) *if f is uniformly continuous on $X \times Y$ and a contraction mapping in the first variable then f has a fixed point.*

REMARK 2. We recall that a function $f: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ is said to be a *contraction mapping in the first variable* if and only if for each y in Y , there is a real number $a(y)$, $0 \leq a(y) < 1$ such that

$$D(f(x,y), f(\hat{x}, y)) \leq a(y) \cdot D((x,y), (\hat{x}, y)) \text{ for all } x, \hat{x} \text{ in } X. \quad [2]$$

Our objective here is to show that the conditions on f in Proposition 1 can be relaxed if we strengthen the condition on Y . Before proceeding to the detailed discussion of our next result, we introduce a special class of H -contractive mappings.

DEFINITION 4. Let (X, d_1) and (Y, d_2) be a metric spaces. A mapping $f: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ is called κ -ultimately contractive on $X \times Y$ if there is a positive real number $\kappa < 1$ such that for all $(x, y), (\hat{x}, \hat{y})$ in $X \times Y$.

$$D(f(x,y), f(\hat{x}, \hat{y})) \leq \kappa d_1(x, \hat{x}) + (1-\kappa) d_2(y, \hat{y}).$$

REMARK 3. Nonexpansive mappings contain all κ -ultimately contractive mappings as a proper subclass. Furthermore, if f is a κ -ultimately contractive mapping, then f is H -contractive mapping with H given by $H(t) = (1-\kappa)t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

THEOREM 2. Let (X, d_1) and (Y, d_2) be metric spaces and let $f: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ be a mapping such that f is κ -ultimately contractive on $X \times Y$. Assume that (X, d_1) is complete and let (Y, d_2) be a space with the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. If $y \in Y$, then let $f_y: X \rightarrow X$ be the mapping defined by $f_y(x) = P_1 \circ f(x, y)$ for all $x \in X$.

Since f is κ -ultimately contractive mapping on $X \times Y$ we conclude that $\mathcal{D}(f(x, y), f(\hat{x}, y)) \leq \kappa \mathcal{D}((\hat{x}, y), (x, y))$ for all $x, \hat{x} \in X$ and $y \in Y$. It follows that for each $y \in Y$, f_y is a contraction mapping of X into X . Since (X, d_1) is complete it follows by the wellknown Banach's contraction mapping principle that for each $y \in Y$, the mapping f_y has one and only one fixed point in X .

Let $F: Y \rightarrow X$ be given by $F(y)$ is the unique fixed point of f_y . First it will be shown that the mapping F is nonexpansive. Let y_1 and y_2 be arbitrary elements in Y and let $F(y_1) = x_1$ and $F(y_2) = x_2$. Then, $F(y_1) = x_1 = f_{y_1}(x_1) = P_1 \circ f(x_1, y_1)$ and $F(y_2) = x_2 = f_{y_2}(x_2) = P_1 \circ f(x_2, y_2)$.

Consequently we have

$$d_1(F(y_1), F(y_2)) = d_1(P_1 \circ f(x_1, y_1), P_1 \circ f(x_2, y_2)) \leq \mathcal{D}(f(x_1, y_1), f(x_2, y_2))$$

so that $d_1(F(y_1), F(y_2)) \leq \kappa d_1(x_1, x_2) + (1-\kappa)d_2(y_1, y_2)$, because f is κ -ultimately contractive. Hence using $x_1 = F(y_1)$ and $x_2 = F(y_2)$ we obtain $d_1(F(y_1), F(y_2)) \leq d_2(y_1, y_2)$, that is, F is a nonexpansive mapping. Now let $G: Y \rightarrow Y$ be the mapping defined by $G(y) = P_2 \circ f(F(y), y)$ for each $y \in Y$. Next, it will be shown that G is also a nonexpansive mapping. To this end, we observe that, if y_1 and y_2 are arbitrary elements in Y , then we have

$$d_2(G(y_1), G(y_2)) = d_2(P_2 \circ f(F(y_1), y_1), P_2 \circ f(F(y_2), y_2))$$

$$\leq \mathcal{D}(d(F(y_1), y_1), d(F(y_2), y_2))$$

and since f is κ -ultimately contractive and F is nonexpansive, we get

$$d_2(G(y_1), G(y_2)) \leq \kappa d_1(F(y_1), F(y_2)) + (1-\kappa)d_2(y_1, y_2)$$

$$\leq \kappa d_1(y_1, y_2) + (1-\kappa)d_2(y_1, y_2) = d_1(y_1, y_2),$$

that is, G is nonexpansive. Consequently, since Y has the fixed point property for nonexpansive mapping, there is a point w in Y such that $G(w) = w = P_2 \circ f(F(w), w)$. But $f_w(F(w)) = F(w) = P_1 \circ f(F(w), w)$ and so it follows that $f(P) = P$, where $P = (F(w), w)$.

Now we shall complete the proof demonstrating that the fixed point of f is unique. Let $P = (x, y)$ and $Q = (\hat{x}, \hat{y})$ be two fixed points of f . Since f is κ -ultimately contractive, $d_1(x, \hat{x}) = d_2(y, \hat{y})$ and $\sqrt{2}d_1(x, \hat{x}) \leq d_1(x, \hat{x})$. Consequently, if $P \neq Q$, then, we have a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem. Q.E.D.

In the following examples all assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled.

EXAMPLE 1. Let X be a Hilbert space and Y a convex closed and bounded subset of X , with $0 \in Y$. Let $S(x) = \kappa \cdot x$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \kappa < 1$ and all $x \in X$. Let $T(y) = (1-\kappa)y$ for all $y \in Y$. Putting $f(x, y) = (S(x), T(y))$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ one can easily show that f is κ -ultimately contractive. We observe that $P = (0, 0)$ is the unique fixed point of f .

EXAMPLE 2. Let X be a nonempty convex, closed and bounded subset of H , where H is a real Hilbert space. Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \kappa < 1$ and let u be a fixed element of X . Let $f(x, y) = (u, \kappa x + (1-\kappa)y)$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

It is easy to see that f is κ -ultimately contractive and $P = (u, u)$ is the unique fixed point of f .

Finally we observe that in Theorem 1, if f is a κ -ultimately contractive mapping, then the fixed point of f is unique.

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank the Referee for his helpful comments.

I also wish to thank for its hospitality the Department of Mathematics of the "University of California, Santa Barbara", where a part of the present paper was completed.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Kirk, W.A., *Fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings*, *Fixed Point Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 886. Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1981, 484-505.
- [2] Nadler, S.B., *Sequences of Contractions and Fixed Points*, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, Vol.27, N° 3 (1968), 579-585.
- [3] Ray, W.O., *The Fixed Point Property and Unbounded Sets in Hilbert Space*, *Transaction of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 258, N° 2, April 1980, 531-537.
- [4] Reich, S., *The Fixed Point Property for Nonexpansive Mappings*, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 83(1976), 266-268.
- [5] Smart, D.R., *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [6] Van der Walt, T., *Fixed and Almost Fixed Points*, *Mathematisch Centrum*, Amsterdam. Holland, 1963.

*

Departamento de Matemática
I.T.A. Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica
C.T.A. Centro Técnico Aeroespacial
São José dos Campos, S.P., Brasil.
CEP: 12225.

(Recibido en Agosto de 1985).