

ON THE DEGREE OF SIMULTANEOUS APPROXIMATION BY MODIFIED BERNSTEIN POLYNOMIALS

by

S.P. SINGH, G. PRASAD, M.K. TIWARI

Abstract. Recently we proved some approximation theorems on the r th derivative of a Lebesgue integrable function by the corresponding r th derivative of modified Bernstein polynomials, Publ.Inst.Math., 87 (51) (1986). In the present paper we improve an estimate of our earlier paper and compare it with the corresponding known results.

§1. Introduction. For a Lebesgue integrable function $f \in L^1[0,1]$, Derriennic [2] studied a new kind of positive linear operator $\{L_n\}$ of order n as defined by

$$(L_n f)(x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

AMS Subject Classification (1984): 41A86

Key words and phrases: Lebesgue integrable functions, positive linear operators, modulus of continuity, sup-norm.

and modifies the Bernstein polynomials $\{B_n\}$,

$$(B_n \delta)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \delta\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad \delta \in C[0,1]. \quad (1.2)$$

In our recent paper [3], we gave some theorems on the approximation of the r th derivative of a given function δ by the corresponding r th derivative of the operators (1.1). The object of the present paper is to sharpen a result of that earlier paper ([8], Theorem 3.2).

§2. Preliminary Lemmas. In this section we give some lemmas which are useful in proving the main results of section 3.

LEMMA 2.1. For $r < n$, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_n^{(r)} \delta)(x) &= ((n+1)!n!/(n-r)!(n+r)!) \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} P_{n-r,k}(x) \\ &\quad \int_0^1 P_{n+r,k+r}(t) \frac{d^{(r)}\delta(t)}{dt^r} dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. It follows from Derriennic ([2], page 334).

LEMMA 2.2. For all $t, x_0 \in [a, b]$ and $\delta > 0$, one obtains

$$\int_x^t \lceil |y - y_0| / \delta \rceil dy \leq ((t-x)^2 / 2\delta + (|t-x|) / 2 + \delta / 8), \quad (2.2)$$

where the symbol $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ indicates the ceiling of the number.

Proof. It follows from Anastassiou ([1], page 264).

LEMMA 2.3. For $n > r$, let

$$T_{n-r, m-r}(x) = m! / (m-r)! \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} p_{n-r,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n+r,k+r}(t) (t-x)^{m-r} dt, \quad (2.3)$$

then we get the following relation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((n+m+2)(m-\nu+1)/(m+1))T_{n-\nu, m-\nu+1}(x) \\
 &= (m+1)(1-2x)T_{n-\nu, m-\nu}(x) + 2mx(1-x)T_{n-\nu, m-\nu-1}(x) + \dots + x(1-x)T'_{n-\nu, m-\nu}(x),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

with

$$T_{n-\nu, 0}(x) = \nu!/(n+\nu+1). \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. It follows from the paper [3].

LEMMA 2.4. For $n > \nu$, one gets

$$L_n^{(\nu)}(t-x)(x) = (n!(n+1)!/(n-\nu)!(n+\nu+2)!)(1-2x), \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (L_n^{(\nu)}(t-x)^2)(x) \\
 &= ((n+1)!(n+1)!/(n-\nu)!(n+\nu+3)!)\{2x(1-x) + (\nu+1)(\nu+2)(1-2x)^2/(n+1)\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. It follows from (2.1), (2.3) to (2.5).

LEMMA 2.5. For $n > \nu$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, one gets

$$(L_n^{(\nu)}(t-x)^2)(x) \leq d(n, \nu)/(n+\nu+2) \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$d(n, \nu) = \begin{cases} (\nu+1)(\nu+2)/(n+1) & \text{if } n > 2\nu^2 + 6\nu^3 \\ 1/2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. We see from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (L_n^{(\nu)}(t-x)^2)(x) &\leq (1/(n+\nu+2))\{2x(1-x) + (\nu+1)(\nu+2)(1-2x)^2/(n+1)\}, \\
 &= (1/(n+\nu+2))A(n, x, \nu) \quad (\text{say}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the maximum values of $A(n, x, \nu)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ are $d(n, \nu)$.

§3. Main results.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $f \in C^{(r+1)}[0, 1]$ and let $w(f^{(r+1)}; \cdot)$ be the moduli of continuity of $f^{(r+1)}$. Then for $n > r$, ($r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_n^{(r)} f - f^{(r)}\| &\leq ((r+1)/(n+r+2)) \|f^{(r+1)}\| \\ &+ w(f^{(r+1)}; 1/\sqrt{n+r+2}) \cdot (1/\sqrt{n+r+2}) \dots \\ &\dots \left(\frac{d(n, r)}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{d(n, r)}}{2} + \frac{1}{8} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $d(n, r)$ has been defined in (2.9) and norm $\|\cdot\|$ stands for sup-norm over $[0, 1]$.

Proof. Following [4], we write that

$$f^{(r)}(t) - f^{(r)}(x) = (t-x) f^{(r+1)}(x) + \int_x^t \{f^{(r+1)}(y) - f^{(r+1)}(x)\} dy$$

Now on applying (2.1) to the above and using the inequality of Anastassion ([1], page. 251).

$$|f^{(r+1)}(y) - f^{(r+1)}(x)| \leq w(f^{(r+1)}; \delta) \lceil |y-x|/\delta \rceil$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &|(L_n^{(r)} f)(x) - f^{(r)}(x)| \\ &\leq |f^{(r+1)}(x)| \cdot |(L_n^{(r)}(t-x))(x)| + w(f^{(r+1)}; \delta) |L_n^{(r)}(\int_x^t \lceil \dots |y-x|/\delta \rceil dy)(x)| \\ &\leq |f^{(r+1)}(x)| \cdot |(L_n^{(r)}(t-x))(x)| + w(f^{(r+1)}; \delta) \left\{ \frac{(L_n^{(r)}(t-x)^2)(x)}{2\delta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sqrt{(L_n^{(r)}(t-x)^2)(x)}}{2} + \delta/8 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Be choosing $\delta = 1/\sqrt{n+r+2}$ and using the results (2.6) and (2.8), we get the required result (3.1). This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3.2. If $f \in \text{Lip}_M^{(\alpha)}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$; $M > 0$,

then we have

$$\|L_n^{(\kappa)} \delta - \delta^{(\kappa)}\| \leq ((\kappa+1)/(\kappa+n+2)) \|\delta^{(\kappa+1)}\| + \dots \quad (3.2)$$

$$\dots + M(n+\kappa+2)^{-(\alpha+1)/2} \left\{ \frac{d(n,\kappa)}{2} + \dots + \frac{\sqrt{d(n,\kappa)}}{2} + \frac{1}{8} \right\}$$

THEOREM 3.3. If, in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, $\delta \in C^{(\kappa+2)}[0,1]$, then we have

$$\|L_n^{(\kappa)} \delta - \delta^{(\kappa)}\| \leq ((\kappa+1)/(n+\kappa+2)) \|\delta^{(\kappa+1)}\| \quad (3.3)$$

$$+ (\|\delta^{(\kappa+2)}\|/(n+\kappa+2)) \left\{ \frac{d(n,\kappa)}{2} + \dots + \frac{\sqrt{d(n,\kappa)}}{2} + \frac{1}{8} \right\}.$$

Proof. From the definition of $w(\delta^{(\kappa+1)}; \delta)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w(\delta^{(\kappa+1)}; \delta) &= \sup_{|x-y| \leq \delta} |\delta^{(\kappa+1)}(y) - \delta^{(\kappa+1)}(x)| \\ &= \sup_{|x-y| \leq \delta} |y-x| \cdot |\delta^{(\kappa+2)}(\xi)|, \quad x \leq \xi \leq y \\ &\leq \delta \sup_{|x-y| \leq \delta} |\delta^{(\kappa+2)}(\xi)| \\ &\leq \delta \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} |\delta^{(\kappa+2)}(\xi)| < \delta \|\delta^{(\kappa+2)}\| \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By using (3.4) in (3.1), we get the required result (3.5). This completes the proof.

§4. Remarks.

[A] The estimate (3.1) is sharper than the following corresponding estimate [3],

$$\|L_n^{(\kappa)} \delta - \delta^{(\kappa)}\| \leq ((\kappa+1)/(n+\kappa+2)) \|\delta^{(\kappa+2)}\|$$

$$+ (1/\sqrt{n}) \{ \sqrt{\lambda} + (\lambda_\kappa/2) \} \dots w(\delta^{(\kappa+1)}; 1/\sqrt{n}),$$

where $\lambda = 1 + (\kappa/2)$.

[B] By putting $\gamma = 0$ in the estimate (3.1), we get

$$\|L_n \delta - \delta\| \leq (\|\delta'\|/n+2) + (1/\sqrt{n+2})\{(d(n,0)/2) + (\sqrt{d(n,0)}/2) + \dots + \frac{1}{8}\} (d'; 1/\sqrt{n+2}),$$

where

$$d(n,0) = \begin{cases} 2/(n+1) & \text{if } n > 3 \\ 1/2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We note here that the estimate (4.1) is sharper than the following estimate ([5], page 27),

$$\|L_n \delta - \delta\| < (\|\delta'\|/(n+2)) + (1/\sqrt{n})((2\sqrt{2}+1)/4)\omega(\delta'; 1/\sqrt{n}).$$

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to Prof. O.P. Varshney, University of Roorkee, India for constant inspiration.

*

REFERENCES

- [1] Anastassiou, G.A., *A study of positive linear operators by the method of moments, one dimensional case.* J. Approx. Theory 45 (1985), 247-270.
- [2] Derriennic, M.M., *Sur l'approximation de fonctions intégrables sur [0,1] par les polynômes de Bernstein modifiés.* J. Approx. Theory 31 (1981), 325-343.
- [3] Singh, S.P. and Prasad, G., "On approximation by modified Bernstein polynomials". Publication de l'Institut Mathématique (Beograd), 37 (51) (1985), In press.
- [4] Singh, S.P. and Varshney, O.P., *A note on convergence of positive linear operators.* J. Approx. Theory 39 (1983), 386-388.
- [5] Singh, S.P., "Some problems on approximation of functions by positive linear operators". Ph.D. Thesis, Roorkee, 1982.

*

Department of Mathematics
Garhwal University, Srinagar
U.P., India 246174.

Department of Mathematics
University of Roorkee
U.P., India 247667.

(Recibido en Diciembre de 1987).