

## UNIFORM ORDERED SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITIONS

by

T. V. PANCHAPAGESAN

**Resumen.** Introducimos la noción de *UOSD*-multiplicidad de una proyección  $P$  relativa a una medida espectral  $E(\cdot)$  con la *CGS*-propiedad y la comparamos con la noción de multiplicidad introducida por Halmos [2]. También se dan varias caracterizaciones para que una medida espectral tenga la *CGS*-propiedad.

**Abstract.** We introduce the notion of *UOSD*-multiplicity of a projection  $P$  relative to a spectral measure  $E(\cdot)$  with the *CGS*-property and compare it with the notion of multiplicity introduced by Halmos [2]. Also are given some characterizations for a spectral measure to have the *CGS*-property.

In our earlier work [4] we introduced the notion of ordered spectral decomposition (*OSD*, in abbreviation) of a Hilbert space relative to a spectral measure  $E(\cdot)$  and defined the *OSD*-multiplicity of a projection  $P$  commuting with  $E(\cdot)$ . Here we introduce the concepts of uniform *OSD* and *UOSD*-multiplicity and compare the concept of multiplicity in Halmos [2] with the *OSD* and *UOSD*-multiplicities. Also we obtain various characterizations for a spectral measure to have the *CGS*-property.

---

Supported by the C.D.C.H. projects C-S-149, 150 of the Universidad de los Andes.

**§1. Preliminaries.** In this section we fix the terminology and notations and give some definitions and results from the literature which are needed in the sequel.

$\mathcal{S}$  denotes a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set  $X (\neq \emptyset)$ .  $H$  is a (complex) Hilbert space and  $E(\cdot)$  is a spectral measure on  $\mathcal{S}$  with values in projections of  $H$ . The closed subspace generated by a subset  $X$  of  $H$  is denoted by  $[X]$ . For a vector  $x \in H$ ,  $Z(x) = [E(\sigma)x : \sigma \in \mathcal{S}]$ ;  $\sum_{\lambda \in J} \oplus M_\lambda$  denotes the orthogonal direct sum of the subspaces  $M_\lambda$  of some Hilbert space.

$\omega$  is the Von Neumann algebra generated by the range of  $E(\cdot)$  and  $\omega'$  is the commutant of  $\omega$ . If  $\omega' = \sum \oplus \omega' Q_n$  is the type  $I_n$  direct sum decomposition of  $\omega'$ , then the central projections  $Q_n (\neq 0)$  are unique (such that  $\omega' Q_n$  is of type  $I_n$ ) and in the sequel  $Q_n$  will denote these central projections. For  $x \in H$ ,  $[Wx] = [Ax : A \in \omega]$  and, sometimes, also denotes the orthogonal projection with the range  $[Wx]$ . For a projection  $P' \in \omega'$ ,  $C_{P'}$  denotes the central support of  $P'$ . Other terminology in Von Neumann algebras is standard and we follow Dixmier [1].

As was observed in [5] a projection  $P'$  in  $\omega'$  is abelian if and only if  $P'$  is a row projection in the sense of [2] and the column  $C(P')$  generated by  $P'$  as in [2] is the same as  $C_{P'}$ .

**NOTATION 1.1.** Let  $P$  be a projection in  $\omega$ . The multiplicity (respy. uniform multiplicity) of  $P$  in the sense of Halmos [2] will be referred to as its  $H$ -multiplicity (respy.  $UH$ -multiplicity) relative to  $E(\cdot)$ .

As was noted in [5] Theorem 64.4 of Halmos [2] can be interpreted as follows:

**THEOREM 1.2.** A non-zero projection  $F$  in  $\omega$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$  if and only if there exists an orthogonal family  $\{E'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$  of abelian projections in  $\omega'$  such that  $\text{card}(J) = n$ ,  $C E'_\alpha = F$  and  $\sum_{\alpha \in J} E'_\alpha = F$ . In other words,  $F$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$  if and only if  $\omega' F$  is of type  $I_n$ .

Consequently, the following proposition is immediate.

**PROPOSITION 1.3.** A non-zero projection  $P$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$  if and only if  $P \leq Q_n$ .

**DEFINITION 1.4.**  $E(\cdot)$  is said to have the *CGS-property* (i.e. countable generating set property) in  $H$  if there exists a countable set  $X$  in  $H$  such that  $[E(\sigma)x : \sigma \in S, x \in X] = H$ .

Let  $\rho(x) = \|E(\cdot)x\|^2$ . Then  $\rho(x)$  is a finite measure on  $S$ . We say that  $\rho(x_2)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\rho(x_1)$  and write  $\rho(x_2) \ll \rho(x_1)$  (or  $\rho(x_1) \gg \rho(x_2)$ ) if  $\rho(x_1)(\sigma) = 0$  implies  $\rho(x_2)(\sigma) = 0$ .

**DEFINITION 1.5.** Let  $\{x_i\}_{i < N}$ ,  $N \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ , be a countable set of non-zero vectors in  $H$  such that (i)  $H = \sum_1^N \oplus Z(x_i)$  and (ii)  $\rho(x_1) \gg \rho(x_2) \gg \dots$ . Then we say that  $H = \sum_1^N \oplus Z(x_i)$  is an *OSD* of  $H$  relative to  $E(\cdot)$ .

The cardinal number  $N \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\omega\}$  in the above definition is uniquely fixed by  $E(\cdot)$  and is called the *OSD-multiplicity* of  $E(\cdot)$ . If  $P$  is a projection commuting with  $E(\cdot)$  and  $PE(\cdot)$  has the *CGS-property* in  $H$ , then the *OSD-multiplicity* of  $PE(\cdot)$  is called the *OSD-multiplicity* of  $P$ . Besides, it has been shown in [4] that  $E(\cdot)$  has the *CGS-property* in  $H$  if and only if  $H$  has an *OSD* relative to  $E(\cdot)$ .

**§2. UOSD-multiplicity of projections.** We introduce the concepts of *UOSDs* and *UOSD-multiplicity* relative to a spectral measure  $E(\cdot)$  with the *CGS-property* in  $H$  and show that for a projection  $P$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  the *UOSD-multiplicity* and the *UH-multiplicity* are one and the same when  $P$  is countably decomposable in  $\mathcal{W}$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** An *OSD*  $H = \sum_1^N \oplus Z(x_i)$  relative to  $E(\cdot)$  is said to be a *uniform OSD (UOSD)*, in abbreviation) of  $H$  if  $\rho(x_1) \equiv \rho(x_2) \equiv \dots$ , where  $\mu \equiv \nu$  if  $\mu \ll \nu$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$ .

The following proposition is immediate from Theorem 1. (ii) of [4].

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *If  $H$  has a UOSD relative to  $E(\cdot)$ , then all the OSDs of  $H$  relative to  $E(\cdot)$  are UOSDs.*

**DEFINITION 2.3.** *If  $H$  has a UOSD relative to  $E(\cdot)$  then the UOSD-multiplicity of  $E(\cdot)$  is defined to be the same as its OSD-multiplicity. If  $P$  is a projection of  $H$  commuting with  $E(\cdot)$  and if  $PE(\cdot)$  has UOSD-multiplicity  $n$ , then we say that  $P$  has UOSD-multiplicity  $n$  relative to  $E(\cdot)$ .*

The following simple example shows that, in general, the OSD-multiplicity and  $H$ -multiplicity of a projection  $P$  relative to  $E(\cdot)$  are not the same even though  $H$  is finite dimensional.

**EXAMPLE 2.4.** Let  $H = \mathbb{C}^5$ ,  $S = \{\phi, \{\lambda_1\}, \{\lambda_2\}, \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}\}$ ,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  and  $E(\cdot)$  be a spectral measure on  $S$  given by  $E(\{\lambda_1\})H = [e_1, e_2]$  and  $E(\{\lambda_2\})H = [e_3, e_4, e_5]$ , where  $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0,)$ ,  $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0,)$ , etc. Since any maximal orthogonal family of row projections (in the sense of Halmos [2])  $\{E'_\alpha\}$  in  $W'$  with  $\sum E'_\alpha = I$  consists of just two members, the  $H$ -multiplicity of  $I$  is 2. On the other hand, if  $x_1 = e_1 + e_3$ ,  $x_2 = e_2 + e_4$  and  $x_3 = e_5$ , then  $H = \sum_1^3 \otimes Z(x_i)$  is an OSD of  $H$  since  $\rho(x_1) \equiv \rho(x_2) \gg \rho(x_3)$ . Thus the OSD-multiplicity of  $I$  is 3.

The following result is well-known in the theory of Von Neumann algebras, and its proof is indicated also on page 108 of [2]. Using this result we compare the UH-multiplicity and UOSD-multiplicity of a projection.

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Let  $P'$  be an abelian projection in  $W'$ . If the central support  $C_{P'}$  of  $P'$  is countably decomposable in  $W$ , then  $P'$  is cyclic.*

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $P$  be a countably decomposable non-zero projection in  $W'$ . Then  $P$  has UH-multiplicity  $N \leq \omega$  if*

and only if  $P$  has UOSD-multiplicity  $N$  (relative to  $E(\cdot)$ ).

**Proof.** Suppose the UH-multiplicity of  $P$  is  $N \leq \omega$ . Then by Theorem 1.2 there exists an orthogonal family  $\{P_j^1\}_{j \in J}$  of abelian projections in  $W'$  such that  $\text{card. } J = N, \sum_{j \in J} P_j^1 = P$  and  $P = \sum_{j \in J} P_j^1$ . Let  $J = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . By Lemma 2.5 there exists  $x_j \in P_j^1 H$  such that  $P_j^1 = [Wx_j]$ ,  $j \in J$ . Thus  $PH = \sum_{j \in J} [Wx_j] = \sum_{j=1}^N \oplus Z(x_j)$ . Besides, by Theorem 66.2 of [2],  $C(\rho(x_j)) = C[Wx_j] = Cp_j^1 = P$  for all  $j$ . Therefore, by Theorem 65.2 of [2],  $\rho(x_j) \equiv \rho(x_{j'})$  for  $j, j' \in J$ . Hence the condition is necessary.

Conversely, if  $P$  has UOSD-multiplicity  $N$ , then clearly  $N \leq \omega$ . Let  $PH = \sum_{i=1}^N \oplus Z(x_i)$  be an OSD of  $PH$  relative to  $PE(\cdot)$ . Then by Proposition 2.2,  $\rho(x_1) \equiv \rho(x_2) \equiv \dots$ . Consequently, by Theorem 66.2 of [2] we conclude that  $C[Wx_1] = C[Wx_2] = \dots = Q$  (say). Clearly,  $P = \sum_{i=1}^N [Wx_i] \leq Q$ . As  $P \in W$ ,  $[Wx_i] \leq C[Wx_i] = P$  so that  $Q = P$ . Since each  $[Wx_i]$  is an abelian projection in  $W'$  by Theorem 60.2 of [2], from Theorem 1.2 it follows that  $P$  has UH-multiplicity  $N$ .

**§3. Some characterizations of the CGS-property.** In terms of the existence of OSDs and OSRs of  $H$  the CGS-property of a spectral measure  $E(\cdot)$  is characterized in [4]. The following Theorem gives some more characterizations of this property.

**THEOREM 3.1.** Let  $E(\cdot)$  be a spectral measure on  $S$  with values in projections of  $H$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) Every projection of UH-multiplicity  $N$  in  $W$  is countably decomposable in  $W$  and  $n \leq \omega$ .
- (ii) The projections  $Q_n$  are countably decomposable in  $W$  and  $Q_n = 0$  for  $n > \omega$ .
- (iii) Every projection in  $W$  is countably decomposable in  $W$  and has  $H$ -multiplicity  $n \leq \omega$ .
- (iv) Every projection of UH-multiplicity in  $W$  is countably decomposable in  $W'$ .
- (v) The projections  $Q_n$  are countably decomposable in  $W'$ .

- (vi) Every projection in  $W$  is countably decomposable in  $W'$ .  
 (vii) Every non-zero projection of  $UH$ -multiplicity in  $W$  has  $UOSD$ -multiplicity (and hence they are equal).  
 (viii)  $E(\cdot)$  has the CGS-property in  $H$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $Q_n \neq 0$ . Then by Proposition 1.3,  $Q_n$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$ . Therefore, (ii) is immediate from (i).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) If  $P$  is a non-zero projection in  $W$ , then by (ii)  $P = \sum_{n \leq \omega} Q_n P$ . Being  $Q_n$  countably decomposable in  $W$ , it follows that the same is true for  $P$ . Then the  $H$ -multiplicity of  $P = \min \{n: PQ_n \neq 0\} \leq \omega$  by Theorem 64.2 of [2] and by Proposition 1.3.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Let  $P$  be a non-zero projection of  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$ . By (iii),  $n \leq \omega$ . By Theorem 1.2 there exists an orthogonal family  $\{E'_\lambda\}_1^n$  of abelian projections in  $W'$  such that  $P = \sum_1^n E'_\lambda$  and  $CE'_\lambda = P$  for all  $\lambda$ . Now by (iii) and Lemma 2.5 there exist vectors  $x_\lambda$  in  $PH$  such that  $[Wx_\lambda] = E'_\lambda$ . If  $x = \{x_\lambda\}_1^n$ , then clearly  $PH = [Wx]$  so that by Lemma 3.3.9 of [3]  $P$  is countably decomposable in  $W'$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) This is immediate, since  $Q_n$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$  by Proposition 1.3.

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi) Let  $P$  be a non-zero projection in  $W'$ . Then  $P = \sum_{n \leq d_{UH}} PQ_n$  and by (v)  $Q_n$  are countably decomposable in  $W'$ . To prove that  $P$  is countably decomposable in  $W'$ , it suffices to show that  $Q_n = 0$  for  $n > \omega_0$ . If  $Q_n \neq 0$ , as  $Q_n$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$  by Proposition 1.3, there exists an orthogonal family  $\{E'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J_n}$  of abelian projections in  $W'$  such that  $\text{card. } J_n = n$ ,  $Q_n = \sum_{\alpha \in J_n} E'_\alpha$  and  $CE'_\alpha = Q_n$ . As  $Q_n$  is countably decomposable in  $W'$ , it follows that  $J_n$  is countable so that  $n \leq \omega$ . Consequently,  $Q_n = 0$  for  $n > \omega$ .

(vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (vii) Let  $P$  be a non-zero projection of  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$ . By (vi)  $P$  is countably decomposable in  $W'$  and hence in  $W$ . By Proposition 1.3, there exists a unique  $Q_n$  such that  $P \leq Q_n$ . As in the proof of (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi) we note that  $Q_k = 0$  for  $k > \omega_0$  and hence  $n \leq \omega$ . Consequently, by Theorem 2.6, (vii) holds.

(vii)  $\Rightarrow$  (viii) By Proposition 1.3  $Q_n$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$

if  $Q_n \neq 0$ . Then by (vii),  $n \leq \omega$  if  $Q_n \neq 0$  and hence  $Q_n = 0$  for  $n > \omega$ . Again by (vii) as  $Q_n$  has UOSD-multiplicity  $n$  for  $n \in J_0 = \{n: Q_n \neq 0\}$  there exists an orthonormal set  $\{x_{nj}\}_{j=1}^n$  in  $Q_n H$  such that  $Q_n H = [E(\sigma)x_{nj}: \sigma \in S, j = 1, 2, \dots, n]$ . Therefore,  $H = [E(\sigma)x_{nj}, n \in J_0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n]$  and hence (viii) holds.

(viii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By (viii) and by Lemma 3.3.9 of [3]  $\omega'$  is countably decomposable and hence  $\omega$  is countably decomposable. Besides, evidently for every projection  $P$  of  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$  in  $\omega$ ,  $n \leq \omega$ . Thus (i) holds.

#### §4. COMPARISON BETWEEN OSD-MULTIPLICITY AND $H$ -MULTIPLICITY.

Example 2.4 is just a particular case of the following more general result.

**THEOREM 4.1.** Suppose  $E(\cdot)$  has the CGS-property in  $H$ . Let  $P$  be a non-zero projection in  $\omega$  with the  $H$ -multiplicity  $n$  and with the OSD-multiplicity (relative to  $E(\cdot)$ )  $N$ . Then:

- (i)  $n \leq N$ .
- (ii)  $n = N$  if and only if  $P$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$ .
- (iii)  $n = N$  if and only if  $P$  has UOSD-multiplicity  $n$  (relative to  $E(\cdot)$ ).

**Proof.** By Theorem 62.4 of [2] there exists a non-zero projection  $Q$  in  $\omega$  such that  $Q \leq P$  and such that  $Q$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$ . Besides, by Theorem 3.1,  $Q$  is countably decomposable in  $\omega$  and  $n \leq \omega$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.6  $Q$  has the UOSD-multiplicity  $n$  relative to  $E(\cdot)$ . Consequently, by Theorem 5 of [4] the total multiplicity of  $Q$  is  $n$  and therefore,  $n \leq N$ .

(ii) Suppose  $n = N$ . We discuss the following two cases.

**Caso 1.**  $n$  is finite.

By hypothesis, there exists a maximal orthogonal family  $\{E'_\lambda\}_1^n$  of abelian projections in  $\omega'$  such that  $\sum E'_\lambda = P$  for all  $\lambda$ . If  $P$  does not have  $UH$ -multiplicity then by Theorem 2.2  $P \neq \sum_1^n E'_\lambda$ . Now, by Theorem 3.1 (iii) and Lemma 2.5 there

exist vectors  $x_i \in PH$  such that  $E'_i = [wx_i]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then by Theorems 66.2 and 65.2 of [2] we have  $\rho(x_1) \equiv \rho(x_2) \equiv \dots \equiv \rho(x_n)$ . Let  $E' = \sum_1^n E'_i$ . Clearly,  $E' \in \omega'$  and  $E'H = \sum_1^n Z(x_i)$  is a  $UOSD$  of  $E'H$  relative to  $E(\cdot)E'$ . If  $x \in (P-E')H$  and  $x \neq 0$ , then  $[wx] = Z(x) \perp E'H$  and  $C[wx] \subset P = C[wx_1]$ . Consequently, by Theorem 65.2 of [2] we conclude that  $\rho(x) \ll \rho(x_1)$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 1 of [4] there exists an  $OSD$ :  $(P-E')H = \sum_{n+1}^{\omega} Z(x_i)$ ,  $\omega \in NU\{\omega\}$ , of  $(P-E')H$  relative to  $E(\cdot)(P-E')$  so that  $PH = \sum_1^{\omega} Z(x_i)$  is an  $OSD$  of  $PH$  relative to  $E(\cdot)P$ . Thus  $\omega = N$  and  $P$  has  $OSD$ -multiplicity  $N > n$ . This contradiction proves that  $P$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $n$ .

**Caso 2.**  $n$  is infinite.

Due to Theorem 3.1,  $n = \omega$  and  $Q_k = 0$  for  $k > \omega$ . Since  $P = \sum_{\ell \leq \dim H} PQ = \sum_{\ell \leq \omega} PQ_{\ell}$  and since the  $H$ -multiplicity of  $P$  is  $\omega$  and is given by  $\min\{\ell : PQ_{\ell} \neq 0\}$ , we have  $PQ_{\ell} = 0$  for  $\ell \neq \omega$ . Thus  $P \leq Q_{\omega}$  and hence  $P$  has  $UH$ -multiplicity  $\omega$  by Proposition 1.3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Dixmier, J., *Les Algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1969).
- [2] Halmos, P.R., *Introduction to Hilbert space and the Theory of spectral multiplicity*, Chelsea, New York (1957).
- [3] Panchapagesan, T.V., "Introduction to von Neumann algebras", Lecture Notes. To be published in *Notas de Matemática*, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de los Andes, Venezuela.
- [4] Panchapagesan, T.V., "Invariantes unitarias de los operadores normales en espacios de Hilbert separables", *Primeras Jornadas de Análisis*, Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de los Andes, Venezuela, pp. 45-63, (1987)
- [5] Panchapagesan, T.V., *Multiplicity Theory of Projections in Abelian von Neumann Algebras*, *Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas*. Vol. XXII (1988) pp. 37-48.

\*



Departamento de Matemáticas  
Facultad de Ciencias  
Universidad de Los Andes  
Mérida - Venezuela

ON THE RATE OF CONVERGENCE OF HERMITE-FEJER  
POLYNOMIALS TO FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION  
ON THE ZEROS OF CERTAIN JACOBI POLYNOMIALS

(Recibido en Julio de 1988)

by

ROBERTO V. GÓMEZ AND JUAN J. GÓMEZ

Abstract. In this paper we study the rate of convergence of the Hermite-Fejér polynomials  $H_n(f, x)$  for a function  $f$  of bounded variation on  $[-1, 1]$  when the interpolation points are the zeros of the polynomials  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  or when  $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$ . Our main result is that  $H_n(f, x)$  converges to  $f(x)$  uniformly on  $[-1, 1]$  if and only if  $f$  is of bounded variation on  $[-1, 1]$  and the error of the approximation is  $O(1/n)$ .

1. Introduction and Results. Let  $f$  be a function of bounded variation defined on  $[-1, 1]$ . The polynomial  $H_n(f, x)$  of degree  $2n-1$  at most, which interpolates  $f$  at the zeros  $x_{k,n}$ ,  $k=1, \dots, n$ , of the Jacobi polynomial  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ , is defined by

$$H_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_{k,n}) \frac{P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_{k,n})}{P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_{k,n})' P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)}$$

where

$$H_{2n-1}(f, x) = \frac{1}{2} [H_n(f, x) + H_n(f, -x)]$$

