

## HYPERBOLIC GEOMETRY IN HYPERBOLICALLY K-CONVEX REGIONS

by

Diego MEJIA and David MINDA<sup>1</sup>

**§I. Introduction.** This paper is the third and final part of a trilogy dealing with the concept of  $k$ -convexity in various geometries. Our first paper [MM<sub>1</sub>] dealt with  $k$ -convexity in euclidean geometry and the second [MM<sub>2</sub>] with  $k$ -convexity in spherical geometry. In this paper we treat the concept of  $k$ -convexity relative to hyperbolic geometry on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ .

We assume that the reader is familiar with both [MM<sub>1</sub>] and [MM<sub>2</sub>]; we frequently omit details of proofs when they are similar to proofs of analogous results in either one of these papers.

---

1. Research partially supported by a National Science Foundation grant (DMS-9008051)

A Jordan region  $\Omega$  in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  is called hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex if the hyperbolic curvature of the boundary is at least  $k$  at each point of  $\partial\Omega$ . This assumes that the boundary of  $\Omega$  is smooth. A definition of hyperbolic  $k$ -convexity that applies to arbitrary regions is given in section III. Our goal is to obtain sharp hyperbolic geometric estimates for various quantities in hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex regions. These estimates lead to sharp distortion and covering theorems (including the Bloch-Landau constant for  $k \geq 2$  and the Koebe set) for the family  $K_h(k, \alpha)$  of normalized ( $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = \alpha$ ) conformal mappings of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  onto hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex regions.

**§II Hyperbolic Geometry.** We begin by recalling some basic facts about hyperbolic geometry on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . The hyperbolic metric is  $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z) |dz| = |dz|/(1 - |z|^2)$ ; it has Gaussian curvature  $-4$ . The group of conformal automorphisms of  $\mathbb{D}$  is

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ T(z) = \frac{e^{i\theta}(z - a)}{1 - \bar{a}z} : \theta \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

The hyperbolic metric is invariant under the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ ; that is,  $T^*(\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z) |dz|) = \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z) |dz|$ , or equivalently,  $|T'|/(1 - |T|^2) = 1/(1 - |z|^2)$  for all  $T \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ . The hyperbolic distance between  $a, b \in \mathbb{D}$  is defined by

$$d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z) |dz|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  which join  $a$  and  $b$ . Moreover, this infimum is actually a minimum and is uniquely attained for the arc  $\delta$  of the circle through  $a$  and  $b$  which is orthogonal to  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . The path  $\delta$  between  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b) = \int_{\delta} \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z) |dz|$$

is called the hyperbolic geodesic joining  $a$  and  $b$ . The explicit formula for the hyperbolic distance is  $d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b) = \text{artanh} |(b-a)/(1-\bar{a}b)|$ . The hyperbolic distance is invariant under the group

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $\mathbb{D}_h(a, r)$  denote the hyperbolic disk with center  $a$  and radius  $r$ . Sometimes it is more convenient to employ a related quantity in place of the hyperbolic distance. Set  $E_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b) = \tanh d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b)$  and note that this quantity is also invariant under the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ .

We shall also make use of the notion of hyperbolic curvature. We briefly recall this concept; for more details the reader should consult ([FO], [M<sub>3</sub>]). Suppose  $\gamma$  is a  $C^2$  curve on  $\mathbb{D}$  with nonvanishing tangent at the point  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ . The hyperbolic curvature of  $\gamma$  at  $a$  is

$$k_h(a, \gamma) = \frac{k(a, \gamma) - (\partial/\partial n) \log \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(a)}{\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(a)}$$

where  $k(a, \gamma)$  is the euclidean curvature of  $\gamma$  at  $a$  and  $n = n(a)$  is the unit normal at  $a$  which makes an angle  $+\pi/2$  with the tangent vector to  $\gamma$  at  $a$ . Note that hyperbolic and euclidean curvature coincide at the origin. In euclidean (spherical) geometry each path of constant positive euclidean (spherical) curvature is a subarc of the boundary of a euclidean (spherical) disk of the appropriate radius. This is no longer true in hyperbolic geometry when  $0 < k \leq 2$  and helps to explain some of the intriguing differences between this paper and our two earlier papers ([MM<sub>1</sub>], [MM<sub>2</sub>]) on  $k$ -convexity in euclidean and spherical geometry. Some of the geometric techniques from the first two papers do not extended to the hyperbolic setting.

**EXAMPLE 1.** We begin by listing three types of paths in  $\mathbb{D}$  with constant hyperbolic curvature. First, suppose  $\gamma$  is the hyperbolic circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{D} : d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, z) = r\}$ , oriented so that the center  $a$  lies on the left-hand side of  $\gamma$ . Then  $k_h(z, \gamma) = 2 \coth(2r) > 2$ . Next, suppose  $\gamma$  is a horocycle, that is, a euclidean circle which is internally tangent to the unit circle. Then  $k_h(z, \gamma) = 2$ . The final case is when  $\gamma$  is a subarc of a euclidean circle which intersects the unit circle at two distinct points; such an arc is sometimes called a hypercycle. If  $\phi$  is the acute angle between  $\gamma$  and the

unit circle, then  $K_h(z, \gamma) = 2 \cos \varphi < 2$ . For more information see [C, p.25]

In fact, every arc of constant hyperbolic curvature in  $\mathbb{D}$  is of one of these three types. This can be established as follows. From [S, Thm. 2.13] it follows that if  $\gamma$  is a path in  $\mathbb{D}$  with constant hyperbolic curvature, then  $\gamma$  also has constant euclidean curvature. In particular,  $\gamma$  is a euclidean circular arc and so is one of the three types considered.

If  $f$  is a locally schlicht function mapping  $\mathbb{D}$  into itself and  $\gamma$  is a path in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then for  $z \in \gamma$ ,

$$k_h(f(z), f \circ \gamma) f^h(z) = k_h(z, \gamma) \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z) + \operatorname{Im} \left( \left[ \frac{2\bar{z}}{1-|z|^2} + \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{2\overline{f(z)}f'(z)}{1-|f(z)|^2} \right] t(z) \right),$$

where  $t(z)$  is the unit tangent to  $\gamma$  at  $z$ . Here  $f^h(z) = |f'(z)|/(1-|f(z)|^2)$  denotes the hyperbolic derivative of holomorphic function mapping  $\mathbb{D}$  into itself.

Now we turn to an issue involving hyperbolic geometry on subregions of the unit disk. For a Hyperbolic region  $\Omega$  in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  it is natural to consider the "hyperbolic density" of the hyperbolic metric in place of the (euclidean) density of the hyperbolic metric. For a hyperbolic region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{D}$ , the hyperbolic density is defined by

$$\nu_{\Omega}(z) = \lambda_{\Omega}(z) |dz| / \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z) |dz| = (1-|z|^2)^{-2} \lambda_{\Omega}(z)$$

The hyperbolic density is a continuous function on  $\Omega$  which is invariant under  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ .

We shall frequently make use of the hyperbolic distance (relative to  $\mathbb{D}$ ) to the boundary of a region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\gamma_{\Omega}(z) = \min\{d_{\mathbb{D}}(z, c) : c \in \partial\Omega\}$ . This is the hyperbolic distance from  $z$  to  $\partial\Omega$  and is clearly invariant under  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ . In some applications our formulas become much simpler if we employ a related quantity

in place  $\gamma_\Omega(z)$ . Define  $\Gamma_\Omega(z) = \tanh \gamma_\Omega(z)$ . This quantity is also invariant under  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ .

We reformulate several basic results for the hyperbolic metric in terms of the hyperbolic density. These results are well-known for the euclidean density of the hyperbolic metric.

**Principle of hyperbolic metric.** Suppose  $\Omega$  is a simply connected subregion of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $f$  is holomorphic on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset \Omega$ . Then  $v_\Omega(f(z)) |f'(z)| \leq \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  with equality if and only if  $f$  is a conformal mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Omega$ .

**Monotonicity property.** Suppose  $\Omega$  and  $\Delta$  are subregions of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\Omega \subset \Delta$ . Then  $v_\Delta(z) \leq v_\Omega(z)$  for  $z \in \Omega$  with equality if and only if  $\Omega = \Delta$ .

**§III. Geometric properties of hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex regions.** In this section we introduce the concept of a hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex subregion of  $\mathbb{D}$  and study some of the basic properties of such regions.

Suppose that  $k > 0$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b) < \text{artanh}(2/k)$ . We first assume  $k > 2$ . Then there are two distinct closed hyperbolic disks  $\overline{D}_1$  and  $\overline{D}_2$  each having hyperbolic radius  $(1/2) \text{artanh}(2/k)$ , such that  $a, b \in \partial \overline{D}_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ); note that  $\partial \overline{D}_j$  has constant hyperbolic curvature  $k$ . Let  $H_k[a, b] = \overline{D}_1 \cap \overline{D}_2$ . The boundary of  $H_k[a, b]$  consists of two closed circular arcs  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , each with constant hyperbolic curvature  $k$ . For  $d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b) = \text{artanh}(2/k)$ , we let  $H_k[a, b]$  be the closed hyperbolic disk with center at the midpoint of the hyperbolic geodesic joining  $a$  and  $b$  and radius  $(1/2) \text{artanh}(2/k)$ . The case  $k = 2$  is similar except that  $\overline{D}_1$  and  $\overline{D}_2$  are replaced by horodisks. When  $0 < k < 2$ , there are two unique arcs of constant hyperbolic curvature  $k$  joining  $a$  and  $b$ . In this situation  $H_k[a, b]$  is the closed Jordan region determined by the union

of these two arcs. This latter definition also applies when  $2 \leq k$  except that we need to specify the shorter arc of constant curvature  $k$  joining  $a$  and  $b$  when  $k > 2$ . We define  $H_0[a, b]$  to be the hyperbolic geodesic between  $a$  and  $b$ . Note that for  $0 \leq k' < k \leq 2 / \tanh(d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b))$ , it follows that  $H_{k'}[a, b] \subset H_k[a, b]$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $k \in [0, \infty)$ . A region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{D}$  is called *hyperbolically  $k$ -convex* if for any pair of points  $a, b \in \Omega$ ,  $d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b) < \operatorname{ar-tanh}(2/k)$  and  $H_k[a, b] \subset \Omega$ .

Clearly, hyperbolically 0-convex is equivalent to hyperbolic convexity, so we shall employ the phrase "hyperbolic  $k$  convexity" only when  $k > 0$  and use "hyperbolic convexity" instead of "hyperbolic 0-convexity". Note that if  $\Omega$  is hyperbolically  $k$ -convex, then it is also hyperbolically  $k'$ -convex for  $0 \leq k' \leq k$ . In particular, a hyperbolically  $k$ -convex region is always hyperbolically convex and simply connected. For each  $k > 2$  a hyperbolic disk of radius  $(1/2) \operatorname{ar-tanh}(2/k)$  is hyperbolically  $k$ -convex but not hyperbolically  $k'$ -convex for any  $k' > k$ . The intersection of a finite number of hyperbolically  $k$ -convex and the union of an increasing sequence of hyperbolically  $k$ -convex regions is again hyperbolically  $k$ -convex.

**LEMMA 0.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a simply connected region in  $\mathbb{D}$ . If at each points  $c \in \partial\Omega$  there is a supporting hyperbolic geodesic for all points of  $\Omega$  in a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $c$ , then  $\Omega$  is hyperbolically convex.*

**Proof.** This proof is adaptation of the proof of the analogous result for euclidean convexity [S]. Let  $a, b \in \Omega$ . We want to show that the hyperbolic geodesic connecting  $a$  and  $b$  is contained in  $\Omega$ . By applying a conformal automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\Omega$  if necessary, we may assume that  $a = 0$ . Then 0 and  $b$  can be joined by a polygonal path  $\Pi$  with (euclidean) straight sides which is contained in  $\Omega$ . Let  $0 = z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, z_{m+1} = b$  be the vertices of  $\Pi$  in the order in which they are met in traversing  $\Pi$  from 0 to  $b$ . We show that the vertices can be removed one at time, so that eventually the polygon, while remaining inside  $\Omega$ , becomes the

straight line  $[0, b]$ , which is also the hyperbolic geodesic connecting  $0$  to  $b$ . Suppose that  $[0, z_k] \subset \Omega$ . We want to show that  $[0, z_{k+1}] \subset \Omega$ . If  $0, z_k$  and  $z_{k+1}$  are collinear, we are done. Suppose  $[0, z_{k+1}] \not\subset \Omega$ . Consider the set of all segments  $[0, p]$ , where  $p$  ranges over  $[z_k, z_{k+1}]$ ; let  $\theta(p)$  denote the angle between  $[0, p]$  and  $[0, z_k]$ . There is a smallest angle  $\theta(p_0)$  such that  $p_0 \in (z_k, z_{k+1})$  and  $[0, p_0]$  contains a point of  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $c \in \partial\Omega \cap [0, p_0]$  be the point in this set that is closest to the origin. Then all points of the closed euclidean triangle  $\Delta$  with vertices  $0, z_k$  and  $p_0$  are in  $\Omega$ , except for  $c$  and possibly other points of  $[c, p_0]$ . But then the point  $c$  fails to have a supporting hyperbolic geodesic locally since the hyperbolic geodesic through  $0$  and  $c$  contains points of  $\Omega$  arbitrarily close to  $c$  and any other hyperbolic geodesic through  $c$  meets the inside of the triangle  $\Delta$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a simply connected region on  $\mathbb{D}$  bounded by a closed  $C^2$  Jordan curve  $\partial\Omega$ . If  $K_h(c, \partial\Omega) \geq k > 0$  for all  $c \in \partial\Omega$ , then  $\Omega$  is hyperbolically  $k$ -convex.*

**Proof.** We begin by showing  $\Omega$  that is hyperbolically convex. By the preceding lemma, it is sufficient to show that there is a locally supporting hyperbolic geodesic at each point  $c \in \partial\Omega$ . We may assume that  $c = 0$ . Then  $k(0, \partial\Omega) = k_h(0, \partial\Omega) > 0$ , so  $k(\zeta, \partial\Omega) > 0$  for all  $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$  in a neighborhood of  $0$ . This implies that  $\Omega$  has a locally supporting euclidean straight line at  $0$  [S, p.46]. This straight line through  $0$  is also a hyperbolic geodesic, so  $\Omega$  has a locally supporting hyperbolic geodesic at  $c$ .

Next, we show that  $\Omega$  is hyperbolically  $k$ -convex. Fix  $a, b \in \Omega$ . Let  $\tau$  be the supremum of all  $t \geq 0$  such that  $H_t[a, b] \subset \Omega$ . Note that  $\tau \leq 2/\tanh d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b)$ . Since  $\Omega$  is hyperbolically convex, we know that  $\tau > 0$ . We want to show that  $\tau > k$ . In case  $\tau = 2/\tanh d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b)$ ,  $\Omega$  contains the closed hyperbolic disk with center at the midpoint of the hyperbolic geodesic joining  $a$  and  $b$  and radius  $(1/2)d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, b)$ . Let  $D$  be the largest hyperbolic disk with the same center that is contained in  $\Omega$ . Then  $\partial D$  meets  $\partial\Omega$  at some point  $c$ . By applying a conformal automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\Omega$  if necessary, we

may assume  $c = 0$ . The comparison principle for euclidean curvature [G,p.28] implies that  $k(0, \partial\Omega) \leq k(0, \partial D)$ , or  $k \leq k_h(0, \partial\Omega) \leq k_h(0, \partial D) < \tau$ . The remaining case is  $\tau < 2/\tanh d_D(a, b)$ . Consider the two arcs  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  of hyperbolic curvature  $\tau$  which bound  $H_\tau[a, b]$ . At least one of these two arcs, say  $\Gamma_1$ , meets  $\partial\Omega$  in some point  $c$ . As before we may assume  $c = 0$ . The comparison principle for euclidean curvature now gives  $k(0, \partial\Omega) \leq k(0, \Gamma_1)$ , so that  $k \leq \tau$ . We need to show strict inequality. Because  $\Omega$  is open, we can select points  $a'$  and  $b'$  in  $\Omega$  so that  $a$  and  $b$  lie strictly between  $a'$  and  $b'$  on the hyperbolic geodesic in  $\Omega$  joining these latter two points. Let  $\tau'$  be defined relative to  $a'$  and  $b'$  in the same manner that  $\tau$  was defined for  $a$  and  $b$ . Then for  $a'$  and  $b'$  near  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively,  $\tau' < \tau$ . Since  $k \leq \tau'$  just as  $k \leq \tau$ , we obtain  $k < \tau$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex region. Then for any  $a \in \Omega$  and  $c \in \Omega$ ,  $H_k[a, c] \setminus \{c\} \subset \Omega$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *If  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex region, then  $\text{int } H_k[c, d] \subset \Omega$  for all  $c, d \in \partial\Omega$ .*

**LEMMA 1.** *Suppose  $D$  is an open hyperbolic disk of radius  $(1/2)\text{artanh}(2/k)$  and  $B$  is an open hyperbolic disk such that  $c \in \partial B \cap \partial D$  and  $B$  and  $\overline{D}$  are externally tangent at  $c$ . If  $d_D(a, c) < \text{artanh}(2/k)$  and  $a \notin \overline{D}$ , then  $H_k[a, c] \setminus \{c\} \cap B \neq \emptyset$ .*

Suppose  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex region. Assume  $a \in \Omega$ ,  $c \in \partial\Omega$  and  $d_D(a, c) = \gamma_\Omega(a)$ , which we assume to be finite. Let  $\delta$  be the hyperbolic geodesic which is tangent to the hyperbolic circle  $C_h(a, r) = \{z: d_D(a, z) = \gamma_\Omega(a)\}$  at  $c$  and let  $H$  be the hyperbolic half-plane determined by  $\delta$  which contains  $a$ . Then the hyperbolic convexity of  $\Omega$  implies that  $\Omega \subset H$ , so that  $H$  is a supporting hyperbolic half-plane for  $\Omega$  at  $c$ . Moreover, if  $k > 0$ , let  $\delta'$  be the unique arc of hyperbolic curvature  $k$  that is tangent to  $C_h(a, r)$  at  $c$  and is contained in  $H \cup \{c\}$ . (There are two arcs of constant



hyperbolic curvature  $k$  tangent to  $C_h(a, r)$  at  $c$ , but just one of these is contained in  $H \cup \{c\}$ ). If  $k > 2$ , then  $\delta'$  is a hyperbolic circle in  $\mathbb{D}$ , while for  $0 \leq k \leq 2$  it meets  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $D$  be the region in  $\mathbb{D}$  which is bounded by  $\delta'$  and contains  $a$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolically  $k$ -convex region. Assume that  $a \in \Omega, c \in \partial\Omega$ , and  $d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, c) = \gamma_{\Omega}(a)$ . If the hyperbolically  $k$ -convex region  $D$  and hyperbolic half-plane  $H$  are as in the preceding discussion, then  $\Omega \subset D$ .*

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolically  $k$ -convex region and  $k > 2$ . Assume that  $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \Omega, c \in \partial\Omega$  and  $d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, c) = \gamma_{\Omega}(a)$ . If  $D$  is the hyperbolic disk with radius  $(1/2)\arctan(2/k)$  that is tangent to the circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{D} : d_{\mathbb{D}}(z, a) = \gamma_{\Omega}(a)\}$  at  $c$  and that does not meet the disk,  $D_h(a, \gamma_{\Omega}(a))$  then  $\Omega \subset D$ .*

**§IV. Lower bound for the hyperbolic density of the hyperbolic metric in a hyperbolically  $k$ -convex region.** We obtain a sharp lower bound for the hyperbolic density of a hyperbolically  $k$ -convex region  $\Omega$  in terms of the hyperbolic distance to the boundary of the region. In our work we distinguish the cases  $k \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq k < 2$ . This distinction is actually very natural. If  $k > 2$ , then  $\Omega$  is bounded in the hyperbolic sense. In this regard, the case  $k > 2$  is the analog of euclidean or spherical  $k$ -convexity where  $k > 0$ . The case  $k = 2$  resembles euclidean or spherical convexity in many regards, while  $0 < k < 2$  seems to have no analog in either euclidean or spherical  $k$ -convexity.

**EXAMPLE 2.** For  $k \geq 0$  we consider some standard hyperbolically  $k$ -convex regions  $D_k$ . For each of these regions we explicitly calculate  $v_k = v_{D_k}$  in terms of  $\Gamma_k = \Gamma_{D_k}$ . In all cases we shall show that  $v_k(z) = 1/g_k(\Gamma_k(z))$ , where

$$g_k(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{4 \arctan \sqrt{\frac{2+k}{2-k}}}{\pi \sqrt{4-k^2}} \frac{1+t^2-kt}{1-t^2} \sin \left[ \frac{\pi \arctan \sqrt{\frac{2+k}{2-k}} \left( \frac{1-t}{1+t} \right)}{\arctan \sqrt{\frac{2+k}{2-k}}} \right], & 0 \leq k \leq 2 \\ \frac{t(2-kt)}{1-t^2}, & 2 \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Note  $g_k$  that is continuous for  $k \geq 0$ , but not analytic since it is not analytic at  $k = 2$ .

We first assume  $k > 2$ . Let  $D_k$  be the hyperbolic disk of radius  $(1/2)\operatorname{artanh}(2/k) = \operatorname{artanh}[(\sqrt{k^2 + 4} - k)/2]$  which is centered at the origin. If  $r$  denotes the euclidean radius of  $D_k$ , then  $k = (1+r^2)/r$ . Also, for  $z$  in  $D_k, \Gamma_k(z) = (r - |z|)/(1-r|z|)$ , or  $|z| = (r - \Gamma_k(z))/(1-r\Gamma_k(z))$ , so that

$$v_k(z) = (1-|z|^2)^2 \frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2} = \frac{r(1 - \Gamma_k^2(z))}{\Gamma_k(z)[2r - (1+r^2)\Gamma_k(z)]} = \frac{(1 - \Gamma_k^2(z))}{\Gamma_k(z)[2 - k\Gamma_k(z)]}.$$

This establishes the result when  $k > 2$ . Because of the invariance under  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$  of the quantities involved, this formula is actually valid for any hyperbolic disk of the same radius.

Next, we assume  $k = 2$ . In this case  $D_2$  is the horodisk  $\{z : |z - 1/2| < 1/2\}$ . The Möbius transformation  $T(z) = i(1+z)/(1-z)$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  conformally the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{w : \operatorname{Im} w > 0\}$  and sends  $D_2$  onto the half-plane  $S = \{w : \operatorname{Im} w > 1\}$ . The invariance of the hyperbolic metric under conformal mappings implies that  $v_2(z) = \gamma_S(T(z))/\lambda_{\mathbb{H}}(T(z))$ . Similarly, since the hyperbolic distance, is invariant under conformal mappings,  $\gamma_2(z) = \lambda_S(T(z))$ , where  $\gamma_S(w)$  denotes the hyperbolic distance, relative to  $\mathbb{H}$ , from  $w$  to  $\partial S$ . Thus, it suffices to express  $\lambda_S/\lambda_{\mathbb{H}}$  in terms of  $\lambda_S$ . Since each vertical line in  $\mathbb{H}$ , is a hyperbolic geodesic and  $\lambda_{\mathbb{H}}(w) = 1/2 \operatorname{Im} w$ , we have for  $w = u + iv \in S$  we have for

$$\gamma_S(w) = \frac{1}{2} \int_1^v \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{2} \log v.$$

Because  $v = \operatorname{Im} w$ , we have  $\operatorname{Im} w = \exp 2\lambda_S(w)$ . Thus,

$$\frac{\lambda_S(w)}{\lambda_H(w)} = \frac{\operatorname{Im} w}{\operatorname{Im}(w) - 1} = \frac{\exp [2\gamma_S(w)]}{\exp [2\gamma_S(w)] - 1};$$

this gives the desired result when  $k = 2$ . In fact, the formula is valid for any horodisk since any two horodisks are equivalent under the group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ .

Finally, we assume  $0 \leq k < 2$ . In this situation the region  $D_k$  is the subregion of  $\mathbb{D}$  which is bounded by an arc of constant hyperbolic curvature  $k$  and defined as follows. The region  $D_k$  is bounded by the arc  $\delta$  of constant hyperbolic curvature  $k$  which passes through  $\pm 1$  and lies in the upper half-plane;  $D_k$  lies below  $\delta$ . Let  $2\varphi \in [0, \pi/2)$  be the angle that  $\delta$  makes with  $(-1, 1)$ . We convert to a conformally equivalent situation. The function  $h(z) = \log[(1+z)/(1-z)]$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  conformally onto  $\Sigma = \{w : |\operatorname{Im} w| < \pi/2\}$  and  $D_k$  onto the substrip  $S = \{w : -\pi/2 < \operatorname{Im} w < 2\varphi\}$ . Because the hyperbolic metric is invariant under conformal mappings,  $v_k(z) = \lambda_S(h(z))/\lambda_\Sigma(h(z))$  and  $\gamma_k(z) = \gamma_S(h(z))$ , where  $\gamma_S(w)$  denotes the hyperbolic distance, relative to  $\Sigma$ , from  $w$  to  $\partial S$ . Thus, in order to express  $v_k$  in terms of  $\gamma_k$  it suffices to express  $\lambda_S/\lambda_\Sigma$  in terms of  $\gamma_S$ . Since  $\lambda_\Sigma(w) = 1/2 \cos(\operatorname{Im} w)$  and

$$\lambda_S(w) = \frac{\pi}{(4\varphi + \pi) \sin \frac{\pi(\pi + 2 \operatorname{Im} w)}{4\varphi + \pi}}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda_S(w)}{\lambda_\Sigma(w)} = \frac{2\pi \cos(\operatorname{Im} w)}{(4\varphi + \pi) \sin \frac{\pi(\pi + 2 \operatorname{Im} w)}{4\varphi + \pi}}.$$

Because each vertical line is a hyperbolic geodesic in  $\Sigma$ , for  $w = u + iv \in S$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_S(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_v^{2\varphi} \frac{dt}{\cos t} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sec 2\varphi + \tan 2\varphi}{\sec v + \tan v} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + \tan \varphi}{1 - \tan \varphi} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + \tan \frac{v}{2}}{1 - \tan \frac{v}{2}} = \gamma_S(0) - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + \tan \frac{v}{2}}{1 - \tan \frac{v}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we get  $\text{Im}w = v = 2 \arctan \tanh[\gamma_S(0) - \gamma_S(w)]$ . Consequently,

$$\gamma_k(z) = \frac{2\pi \cos \{2 \arctan \tanh [\gamma_k(0) - \gamma_k(z)]\}}{(4\varphi + \pi) \sin \frac{\pi \{\pi + 4 \arctan \tanh [\gamma_k(0) - \gamma_k(z)]\}}{4\varphi + \pi}}.$$

From Example 1 we know that  $2\varphi = \arcsin(k/2)$ . Hence,

$$\gamma_k(0) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + \tan \varphi}{1 - \tan \varphi} = \frac{1}{2} \log \sqrt{\frac{2+k}{2-k}}.$$

By making use of this and the identity

$$\tanh(x - y) = \frac{\tanh x - \tanh y}{1 - \tanh x \tanh y},$$

we obtain

$$\tanh(\gamma_k(0) - \gamma_k(z)) = \frac{k \left[ 1 - \Gamma_k^2(z) \right] - 2\sqrt{4 - k^2} \Gamma_k(z)}{2 \left[ 1 - \Gamma_k^2(z) \right] + \sqrt{4 - k^2} \left[ 1 + \Gamma_k^2(z) \right]}.$$

In addition, the identity

$$\arctan x + \arctan y = \arctan \frac{x + y}{1 - xy}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\pi}{4} + \arctan \frac{k \left[ 1 - \Gamma_k^2(z) \right] - 2\sqrt{4 - k^2} \Gamma_k(z)}{2 \left[ 1 - \Gamma_k^2(z) \right] + \sqrt{4 - k^2} \left[ 1 + \Gamma_k^2(z) \right]} = \arctan \sqrt{\frac{2+k}{2-k}} \frac{1 - \Gamma_k(z)}{1 + \Gamma_k(z)},$$

and

$$\varphi - \frac{\pi}{4} = \arctan \frac{2 - \sqrt{4 - k^2}}{k} + \arctan 1 = \arctan \sqrt{\frac{2+k}{2-k}}$$

By putting together all of these facts, we obtain the desired result. Again, this formula is valid for any region conformally equivalent to  $D_k$  under  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex region. Then*

$$v_{\Omega}(z) \geq \frac{1}{g_k(\Gamma_{\Omega}(z))},$$

and equality holds at a point if and only if  $\Omega$  is conformally equivalent to  $D_k$  under a conformal automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Proof.** Now consider any hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex region  $\Omega$ . Fix  $a \in \Omega$ . Select  $c \in \partial\Omega$  with  $\Gamma_{\Omega}(a) = \tanh d_{\mathbb{D}}(a, c)$ . Let  $D$  be the associated hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex region defined just before Proposition 3. Then Proposition 3 implies that  $\Omega \subset D$ ; also  $\Gamma_D(a) = \Gamma_{\Omega}(a)$ . The monotonicity property of the hyperbolic metric yields  $v_{\Omega}(a) \geq v_D(a)$  with equality if and only if  $\Omega = D$ . Because  $\Gamma_D(a) = \Gamma_{\Omega}(a)$ , this inequality in conjunction with the formula for  $v_k(z)$  in Example 2 completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex region,  $f$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset \Omega$ . Then for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,*

$$(1 - |z|^2) f^h(z) \leq g_k(\Gamma_{\Omega}(f(z))).$$

Equality holds at a point if and only if  $\Omega$  is conformally equivalent to  $D_k$  under a conformal automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $f$  is a conformal mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Omega$ .

**Proof.** The principle of hyperbolic metric gives  $v_{\Omega}(f(z)) f^h(z) \leq \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  with equality if and only if  $f$  is a conformal mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Omega$ . The theorem then implies that  $1/g_k(\Gamma_{\Omega}(f(z))) \leq v_{\Omega}(f(z))$  with equality if and only if  $\Omega$  is conformally equivalent to  $D_k$  under an automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ . By combining the two pre-

ceding inequalities and the necessary and sufficient conditions for equality, we obtain the corollary.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $K_h(k, \alpha)$  denote the family of all holomorphic functions  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $f$  is univalent,  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = \alpha$  and  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is hyperbolically  $k$ -convex region in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

For  $k \geq 2$  we make the following observation. If  $f \in K_h(k, \alpha)$  and  $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ , then the preceding corollary with  $z = 0$  produces  $\alpha = |f'(0)| \leq g_k(\Gamma_\Omega(0))$ . Note that  $g_k(t)$  is increasing on the interval  $0 \leq t \leq (\sqrt{k^2 + 4} - k)/2 = r$  and  $g_k(r) = r$ . Because  $\Omega$  is hyperbolically  $k$ -convex, we know that  $\Gamma_\Omega(0) \leq r$ . Therefore,  $\alpha \leq r$  when  $f \in K_h(k, \alpha)$ . Moreover,  $\alpha = r$  if and only if  $f(z) = rz$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.** For  $k \leq 2$ , set  $f_k(z) = \alpha z / (1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha(k - \alpha)z})$ . Then  $f_k \in K_h(k, \alpha)$  since  $f_k(\mathbb{D})$  is a hyperbolic disk of radius  $(1/2) \arctan(2/k)$ . Note that

$$f_k(-1) = -\alpha / \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha(k - \alpha)}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad f_k(1) = \alpha / \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha(k - \alpha)}\right)$$

The largest hyperbolic disk contained in  $f_k(\mathbb{D})$  and centered at the origin has euclidean radius  $\alpha / (1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha(k - \alpha)}) = M_k(\alpha)$ . Note that  $g_k(M_k(\alpha)) = \alpha$ .

For  $0 \leq k < 2$ , we consider another standard map. For  $0 \leq k < 2$  the function  $g_k$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, 1)$  with  $g_k(0) = 0$  and  $g_k(t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$ . Hence, for each number  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  there is unique root  $M_k(\alpha) \in (0, 1)$  of the equation  $g_k(t) = \alpha$ . Let  $\Delta_k$  be the subregion of  $\mathbb{D}$  that contains the origin and is bounded by an arc  $\gamma$  of constant hyperbolic curvature  $k$  which passes through the point  $iM_k(\alpha)$  and with the property that the hyperbolic geodesic in  $\mathbb{D}$  through  $iM_k(\alpha)$  and tangent to  $\gamma$  at this point lies outside  $\Delta_k$ . The arc  $\gamma$  meets  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  in two points. Let  $\delta$  be the hyperbolic geodesic of  $\mathbb{D}$  determined by these two points. Then  $\delta \subset \Delta_k$  and meets the imaginary axis at a point  $-a$ . Let  $F_k(z)$  map  $\mathbb{D}$  conformally onto  $D_k$ . Explicitly,

$$F_k(z) = \tanh \left[ \frac{\arctan \sqrt{\frac{2+k}{2-k}}}{\pi} \log \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) - \frac{i}{2} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{2-k}{2+k}} \right].$$

Let  $T_a(z) = (z - a)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$ . Set  $f_k = T_a \circ F_k \circ T_b$ , where  $b = F_k^{-1}(a)$ . Note that  $T_a$  is a conformal mapping of  $D_k$  onto  $\Delta_k$ . Then  $f_k(0) = 0$ . Also, from  $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z) = \lambda_{\Delta_k}(f_k(z)) |f_k'(z)|$ , we obtain  $1/|f_k'(0)| = \lambda_{\Delta_k}(0)/\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(0)$ . Since  $\Gamma_{\Delta_k}(0) = M_k(\alpha)$ , we have  $1/|f_k'(0)| = 1/g_k M_k(\alpha)$  from Example 2. Hence  $|f_k'(0)| = \alpha$ ,

Now, we determine the Koebe set for the family  $K_h(k, \alpha)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose  $f \in K_h(k, \alpha)$ . Then either  $\{w : |w| \leq M_k(\alpha)\}$  is contained in  $f(\mathbb{D})$  or  $f(z) = e^{-i\theta} f_k(e^{i\theta} z)$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Proof.** Set  $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$  and apply the preceding corollary with  $z = 0$  to obtain

$$\alpha = |f'(0)| \leq g_k(\Gamma_{\Omega}(0)).$$

This yields  $\Gamma_{\Omega}(0) \geq M_k(\alpha)$  with equality if and only if  $\Omega$  is conformally equivalent to  $D_k$  under some conformal automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ . In the case of equality,  $f$  is a conformal mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto a region that is conformally equivalent to  $D_k$  under a conformal automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$  which contains the origin and whose boundary is externally tangent to the circle  $\{w : |w| = M_k(\alpha)\}$ . In this case it is straight forward to check that  $f$  must have the prescribed form.

**§V. The hyperbolic Bloch-Landau constant for the family  $K_h(k, \alpha)$ .** We derive a sharp lower bound for the hyperbolic density of the hyperbolic metric for a hyperbolicly  $k$ -convex region ( $k \geq 2$ ) in terms of a uniform upper bound on  $\gamma_{\Omega}$ . We use an extremal region which is similar to that employed in  $[MM_1]$  and  $[MM_2]$ .

Initially, we suppose  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$  and  $N = \tan \theta$ . Let  $R = \sqrt{N(2-kN)/(k-2N)}$ ;  $R$  is selected so that the circle through  $-R, iN$  and  $R$  has hyperbolic radius  $(1/2) \operatorname{artanh}(2/k) = \operatorname{artanh}[(\sqrt{k^2+4}-k)/2]$ , or equivalently, has hyperbolic curvature  $k$ . Let  $H = H(N) = \operatorname{int}[-R, R]$ . Note that for  $N = (\sqrt{k^2+4}-k)/2$  the set  $H$  is actually a hyperbolic disk. In all cases,  $H$  contains the disk  $\{z : |z| < N\}$ , but no larger disk centered at the origin, and  $H$  is contained in the disk  $D = \{z : |z| < R\}$ . Each of the two circular arcs bounding  $H$  makes an angle  $2\phi$  with the segment  $[-R, R]$ , where  $\phi = \arctan(N/R)$ .

We also introduce a certain collection of "triangular" hyperbolically  $k$ -convex regions. Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(N)$  denote the family of all hyperbolically  $k$ -convex regions that contain the disk  $\{z : |z| < N\}$  and are bounded by three distinct circular arcs each of hyperbolic radius  $(1/2) \operatorname{artanh}(2/k)$  and having the property that the full circles are tangent to  $|z| = N$  and contain  $\{z : |z| < N\}$  in their interior. Each of these circular arcs will meet  $\partial D$  in diametrically opposite points and has euclidean radius  $k' = (1+N^2)/(k-2N)$ . Therefore, each region  $\Delta$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is both hyperbolically  $k$ -convex and euclidean  $k'$ -convex. From [MM<sub>1</sub>, Lemma 2] we obtain the following result.

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $\Delta \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then for  $z \in \Delta$ ,  $v_{\Delta}(z) > (\pi/4\phi) v_D(z) \geq (\pi/4\phi R)$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolically  $k$ -convex region, where  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $n = \max\{\gamma_{\Omega}(z) : z \in \Omega\}$  and  $N = \tanh n$ . Then*

$$v_{\Omega}(z) \geq \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{\frac{k-2N}{N(2-kN)}} \frac{1}{\arctan \sqrt{\frac{N(k-2N)}{2-kN}}}.$$

*Equality holds at a point  $a \in \Omega$  if and only if there is a conformal automorphism  $T$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $\Omega = T(H)$  and  $a = T(0)$ .*

**Proof.** Select  $a \in \Omega$  with  $\Gamma_{\Omega}(a) = N$ . From Proposition 3 we see that



$$\gamma_{\Omega}(a) \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{artanh} \frac{2}{k} = \operatorname{artanh} \frac{\sqrt{k^2 + 4} - k}{2}$$

with equality if and only if  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolic disk with center  $a$  and hyperbolic radius  $(1/2) \operatorname{artanh} (2/k)$ . Hence,  $N \leq (k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4})/2$  with equality if and only if  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolic disk with center  $a$  and radius  $(1/2) \operatorname{artanh} (2/k)$ .

First, suppose  $N = (k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4})/2$ . Then  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolic disk centered at  $a$  and so from Example 2,

$$v_{\Omega}(z) = \frac{1 - \Gamma_{\Omega}^2(z)}{\Gamma_{\Omega}(z) [2 - k \Gamma_{\Omega}(z)]}$$

The right-hand side of this identity is strictly decreasing function of  $\Gamma_{\Omega}(z)$ , so we obtain

$$v_{\Omega}(z) \geq \frac{1 - N^2}{N [2 - kN]}$$

with strict inequality unless  $z = a$ . This is the desired result in this case.

Now, assume that  $0 < N < (k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4})/2$ . We may suppose that  $a = 0$  since all quantities involved are invariant under conformal automorphisms of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $I = \{z : |z| = N \text{ and } z \in \partial\Omega\}$ . The set  $I$  is nonempty and closed. A result of Blaschke [B] for euclidean convexity readily extends to hyperbolic convexity and implies that  $I$  cannot be contained in a closed subarc of the circle  $|z| = N$  with angular length strictly less than  $\pi$ . Now the proof completely parallels that of [MM<sub>1</sub>, Thm.4], so all further details are omitted.

The function

$$h_h(t) = \sqrt{\frac{t(2-kt)}{k-2t}} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{t(k-2t)}{2-kt}}$$

is strictly increasing on  $[0, (k - (\sqrt{k^2 - 4})/2)]$  with maximum value

$$h_k(k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4}/2) = \frac{\pi}{4} (k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4})/2.$$

Hence, for  $\alpha \in [0, (k - (\sqrt{k^2 - 4})/2)]$  the equation  $h_k(t) = \alpha\pi/4$  has a unique solution  $N(\alpha) \in [0, (k - (\sqrt{k^2 - 4})/2)]$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** (Bloch-Landau constant for  $K_h(k, \alpha)$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ). *Let  $f \in K_h(k, \alpha)$  where  $k \geq 2$ . Then either  $f(\mathbb{D})$  contains an open hyperbolic disk with radius strictly larger than  $\operatorname{artanh} N(\alpha)$  or else  $f(z) = e^{-i\psi} F(e^{i\psi} z)$  for some  $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ , where*

$$F(z) = \sqrt{\frac{N(\alpha)(2 - kN(\alpha))}{k - 2N(\alpha)}} \tanh\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{N(\alpha)(2 - kN(\alpha))}{k - 2N(\alpha)}} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$$

belongs to  $K_h(k, \alpha)$  and maps  $\mathbb{D}$  conformally onto  $H(N(\alpha))$ .

**Proof.** Set  $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$  and  $N = \max\{\Gamma_\Omega(z) : z \in \Omega\}$ . If  $N > N(\alpha)$ , then we are done. Assume  $N \leq N(\alpha)$ . Then  $h_k(N) \leq h_k(N(\alpha)) = \alpha\pi/4$ . Since  $\lambda_\Omega(0) = 1/f'(0) = 1/\alpha$ , the theorem with  $z = f(0) = 0$  gives  $1/\alpha \geq \pi/4 h_k(N)$ , or  $h_k(N) \geq \alpha\pi/4$ . Now  $h_k(N) = \alpha\pi/4$ , so  $N = N(\alpha)$ . Thus, equality holds in the theorem at the origin, so  $\Omega$  is just the image under a conformal automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$  of  $H(N(\alpha))$ . Since  $F \in K_h(k, \alpha)$  and maps  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $H(N(\alpha))$ , we conclude  $f(z) = e^{-i\psi} F(e^{i\psi} z)$  for some  $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For  $0 \leq k < 2$ , the Bloch-Landau constant for  $K_h(k, \alpha)$  cannot be determined for all values of  $\alpha$  by our method. However, our method does apply to hyperbolically  $k$ -convex regions when  $0 < k < 2$ , and a restriction is placed on  $N = \max\{\Gamma_\Omega(z) : z \in \Omega\}$ . For  $0 < k < 2$  we required that  $0 < N < k/(2 + \sqrt{4 - k^2})$ . Then if we define the region  $H$  as before, the arcs bounding  $H$  meet the interval  $(-1, 1)$  at the points  $\pm R$ , where  $R = \sqrt{N(2 - kN)/(k - 2N)}$ . Because of the condition on  $N$  we have  $0 < R \leq 1$ . Also, these arcs make the angle  $2\phi$  with the real axis as before. We then proceed as in the case  $k \geq 2$  and we obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.** Suppose  $f \in K_h(k, \alpha)$  where  $0 < k < 2$  and the restriction  $0 < \alpha < (4/\pi) \arctan [k/(2 + \sqrt{4 - k^2})]$ . Then either  $f(\mathbb{D})$  contains an open hyperbolic disk with radius strictly larger than  $\operatorname{artanh} N(\alpha)$  or else  $f(z) = e^{-i\psi} F(e^{i\psi} z)$  for some  $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**§VI. Open Problems.** The analogs of the applications of the reflection principle for the hyperbolic metric that were given in  $[MM_1]$  and  $[MM_2]$  are not given here since the method does not seem to extend to hyperbolic  $k$ -convexity. We list some of these open problems for hyperbolic  $k$ -convexity. First, what are sharp bounds for the hyperbolic curvature (relative to the unit disk) of a hyperbolic geodesic in a hyperbolic  $k$ -convex sub-region of  $\mathbb{D}$ ? Also, determine a sharp upper bound for  $|f''(0)|$  when  $f \in K_h(k, \alpha)$ . Another problem is to determine an analytic characterization of the family  $K_h(k, \alpha)$ . Finally, find the Bloch-Landau constant and the extremal functions for  $K_h(k, \alpha)$  when  $0 \leq k < 2$  when  $\alpha \geq (4/\pi) \arctan [k/(2 + \sqrt{4 - k^2})]$ .

### REFERENCES

- [B] W. BLASCHKE, *Über den grossten Kreis in einer konvexen Punktmenge*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein 23 (1914), 369-374.
- [C] C. CARATHEODORY, *Conformal Representation*, 2nd. ed., Cambridge Uni. Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [FO] B. FLINN and B. OSGOOD, *Hyperbolic curvature and conformal mapping*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 18 (1986), 272-276.
- [G] H.W. GUGGENHEIMER, *Differential Geometry*, Mc-Graw Hill, New York, 1963.
- [MM<sub>1</sub>] D. MEJIA and D. MINDA, *Hyperbolic Geometry in  $k$ -convex regions*. Pacific J. Math. 141 (1990), 333-354.
- [MM<sub>2</sub>] D. MEJIA and D. MINDA, *Hyperbolic geometry in spherically  $k$ -convex regions*, *Computational Methods and*

*Function Theory*, Proceedings, Valparaiso, Chile, ed. by St. Ruscheweyh, E.B. Saff, L. C. Salinas and R. S. Varga, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1435, Springer-Verlag, 1990, pp. 117 - 129.

- [M<sub>1</sub>] D. MINDA, *A Reflection principle for the hyperbolic metric and applications to geometric function theory*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 8 (1987), 129-144.
- [M<sub>2</sub>] D. MINDA, *Applications of hyperbolic convexity to euclidean and spherical convexity*, J. Analyse Math. 49 (1987), 90-105.
- [M<sub>3</sub>] D. MINDA, *Hyperbolic curvature on Riemann surfaces*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 12 (1989), 1-8.
- [S] J.J. STOKER, *Differential Geometry*, Wiley- Interscience, New York, 1969.

Universidad Nacional de Colombia  
 Departamento de Matemáticas  
 Apartado Aéreo 568  
 MEDELLIN - COLOMBIA

Department of Mathematics  
 University of Cincinnati  
 Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0025  
 U. S. A.

(Recibido en Febrero de 1991)