Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas Volumen XXVII (1993) págs. 127–130

# THE NEED OF IMPATIENCE FOR GENERAL EXISTENCE THEOREMS FOR EQUILIBRIA AND PARETO OPTIMA IN MATHEMATICAL ECONOMIC SYSTEMS

# H. G. TILLMAN

ABSTRACT. We consider a Mathematical Economic System (M.E.S.) of Arrow-Debreu type,

$$\mathcal{E} = \{X^i, \succeq i, a^i, , Y^j, \alpha_{ij}\}, X^i, Y^j \subset X = l^{\infty}(E),$$

where  $E = E(\tau_0)$  is a locally convex  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space. We assume E barreled, later on we assume E a reflexive Banach Latice.

**Example.**  $l^p$ ,  $L^p(\mu), 1 \le p \le \infty$  Hilbert spaces.

Question: Which topologies  $\tau$  in X are suitable for Economic Models?

#### §1. MYOPIC ECONOMIC AGENTS

# Let : ......

 $l^{\infty}(E) = \{z = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n \dots), \{z_n\} \text{ bounded in } E(\tau_0)\}.$ 

 $z = (z_0, \ldots, z_n, 0 \ldots) + (0, \ldots, 0, z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}, \ldots) = u z^{(n)} + z^{(n)}$  $z^{(n)} = n - \text{tail of } z.$ 

**Definition 1.1.**  $\succeq$  is myopic iff  $x \succ z$  implies  $x \succ z + w^{(n)}$  for  $n > n_0(x, z, w)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A topology  $\tau$  in  $X = l^{\infty}(E)$  is myopic iff all  $\tau$ -continuous preferences are myopic.

**Example 1.3.** Let E be a Banach space,

$$\begin{split} \tau_{\infty} &: \|z\| := \sup_{n} \|z_{n}\|, \text{ topology of uniform convergences,} \\ \beta &: \|z\|_{\lambda} := \sup_{n} \|\lambda_{n} z_{n}\|, \ \lambda \in c_{0}, \text{ strict topology,} \\ \tau_{\pi} &: \|z\|_{n} := \sup(\|z_{0}\|, \dots, \|z_{n}\|), \text{ product topology,} \end{split}$$

then  $\beta$ ,  $\tau_{\pi}$  are myopic,  $\tau_{\infty}$  is not myopic.

**Definition 1.4.** A topology  $\tau$  in X is regular, if  $i_n : E \to X(\tau) = l^{\infty}(E)$ ,  $x \mapsto (0, \ldots, 0, x, 0, \ldots)$  is continuous.

 $\tau_{\infty}, \beta, \tau_{\pi}$  are regular.

Proposition 1.5.

a)  $\tau$  is myopic  $\iff z^{(n)} \stackrel{\tau}{\to} 0$  for any  $z \in X$ .

b) There exist a strongest regular and myopic topology  $\tau_{SM}$  on  $X = l^{\infty}(E)$ , E a locally convex space.

### Theorem 1.6.

a)  $X(\tau_{SM})' =: X' = l_b^1(E') = \{u = (u_n) \mid ||u||_B = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \sup_{x \in B} |u_n(x)| < 0$ 

 $\infty$  for each bounded  $B \subset X(\beta)' = X'$  for all Banach and Fréchet spaces E.

b)  $\tau_{\infty} \supset \tau_{MA} \supset \tau_{SM} \supset \beta \supset \sigma = \sigma(X, X'), \tau_{MA} \supset \tau_{MA}(X, X') = Makey-topology.$  All these topologies have the same class of bounded sets.

c)  $\tau'_1$  defined by  $|u|_B$ , B bounded in E, is the strong topology  $\tau_b$  on X'.

d)  $\ddot{X}'' = (X\tau_b = l_e^i nfty(E'') = \{x = (x_n), x_n \in E'', equicontinuous\}.$ 

e) X'' = X if E is a reflexive, barreled space,  $\sigma(X, X') = \sigma(X'', X')$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** If E is a reflexive Banach lattice, then  $\tau_{MA} = \tau_{SM} = \beta$ ,  $\tau_{MA}$  the Mackey topology  $\tau_{MA}(X, X')$ .

Theorem 1.8 (N. Flügel, 1989. Diplomarbeit, Münster).  $\tau_{MA} = \tau_{SM}$  for all barreled spaces E.

**Corollary 1.9.**  $\tau_{\infty} \supset \tau \supset \sigma$  implies  $\tau$  is myopic iff  $\tau$  is admissible for the duality  $(X, X') \leftrightarrow X(\tau)' = X' = l_b^1(E')$ .

If  $\mathcal{E} = \{X^i, \succeq i, a^i, Y^j, \alpha_{ij}\}$  is a Private Ownership Economic System  $X^i, Y^j \subset X = l^{\infty}(E)$  we use the

# Definition 1.10.

a)  $(x^i, y^j)$  is a state of  $\mathcal{E}$  if  $x^i \in X^i, y^j \in Y^j$  for all i, j.

b) A state  $(x^i, y^j)$  is attainable iff  $\sum x^i = \sum y^j + \sum a^i$  (demand = supply on all markets).

c) A state  $(x^i, y^j)$  is individual rational iff  $x^i \succeq_i a^i$  for all i.

d) A state  $(x^i, y^j)$  is Pareto-optimal if it is attainable and for all attainable states  $(\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{y}^j)$  it holds:  $\forall \tilde{x}^i \succeq_i x^i$  implies  $\tilde{x}^i \sim_i x^i$ .

128

e) A state  $(x_*^i, y_*^j)$  is an equilibrium at prices  $p_*$ , if (1)  $y_*^j$  maximizes  $p_* \cdot y$ in  $Y^j$ . (2)  $x_*^i$  maximizes  $\succeq_i$  in the budget set  $B^i(p_*)$ :  $B^i(p_*) := \{x \in X^i :$  $p \cdot x \leq w^i(p) \equiv p \cdot a^i + \sum \alpha_{ij} \sup p \cdot y, y \in Y^j\}$  (3)  $(x_*^i, y_*^j)$  is attainable :  $\sum x_*^i = \sum y_*^j + \sum a^i$ ) (4)  $p_* \neq 0$ .

f) A state  $(x_*^i, y_*^i)$  is a quasiequilibrium at  $p_*$  if (1), (3), (4) are true and (2')  $x_*^i$  maximizes  $\succeq_i$  on the budget set  $B^i(p_*)$  or

$$w^i(p_*) := min(p_* \cdot x, x \in X^i)$$
 and  $x^i_* \in B^i(p_*)$ .

g) If  $w^i(p_*) = min(p \cdot x, x \in X^i)$  we say: consumer *i* is in the minimum – wealth situation.

§2. EXISTENCE THEOREMS (ARAUJO (1985) FOR  $E = \mathbb{R}$ )

For the Mathematical Economic System  $\mathcal{E}$  we assume :

- A0 E is a reflexive Banach Lattice,  $X^i = X_+ = l^{\infty}(E), a^i \in X_+$ .
- A1  $\succeq_i$  is total and transitive (= preference relation)
- A2  $(\tau)$ :  $\succeq_i$  is  $\tau$  continuous:  $M^i_+(x) = \{x \in X^i : z \succeq_i x\}$  and  $M^i_-(x) = \{x \in X^i : x \succeq_i z\}$  are  $\tau$ -closed sets.

A5  $\succeq_i$  is convex:  $M^i_+(x)$  is a convex set for all x, i.  $B(\tau)$ :

(i)  $0 \in Y^j, Y^j$  is  $\tau$ -closed, convex (or  $\overline{Y} = \sum Y^j$  is a  $\tau$ -closed, convex set).

(ii)  $Y^{j} \cap \{X_{+} - \sum_{v \neq j} Y^{v} - a\}$  is  $\tau$ -bounded,  $a = \sum a^{i}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $\tau_{MA} \supset \tau_{SM} \supset \tau_1, \tau_2 \supset \sigma$ , every M.E.S. that satisfies  $A0, A1, A2(\tau_1), A5, B(\tau_2)$  has individual, rational, Pareto-optimal states  $(x_*^i, y_*^i)$ .

The existence of equilibria needs some more assumptions:

 $\begin{array}{l} A4^* : \forall i \exists z_0^i \in X^i : z_0^i \leq a^i, \, \forall x \in \hat{X}^j : x + \lambda z_0^i \succ_j xj = 1, 2, \ldots, k. \, \, (z_0^i \text{ is universally desired, includes "non-station"}). \end{array}$ 

P :  $\exists y_0^j \in Y^j : s_0 = \sum y_0^j + \sum a^i = y_0 + a >> 0 \text{ and } \exists \lambda_0 \ge 1 : \sum x^i = \sum y^j + a \le \lambda_0 s_0$  for all attainable states  $(x^i, y^j)$ . (z >> 0 means  $p \cdot z > 0$  for all positive linear functionals  $0 \ne p \in X'$ 

$$\mathbf{M} : x \geq z \to x \succeq iz, i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $\tau_{MA} \supset \tau_{SM} \supset \tau_1, \tau_2 \supset \sigma$ , every M.E.S. that satisfies  $A0, A1, A2(\tau_1), A4^*, A5, B(\tau_2), P, M$  has an equilibrium  $(x_*^i, y_*^j, p_*)$  where  $p_*$  is a continuous, positive linear functional on a subspace  $L \subset X$  which contains all attainable  $x^i, y^j$  and L is a Banach sublattice of X.

Idea of Proof. Aproximation by finite dimensional subsystems

 $\mathcal{E}_F = \{F \cap X^i, \succeq_i, a^i, F \cap Y^j, \alpha_{ij}\}, F \ni a^i, z_0^i, y_0^j$ 

with a basis of positive elements. The Debreu's Existence Theorem of 1962 can be applied so that  $\mathcal{E}_F$  has a quasiequilibrium  $(x_F^i, y_F^j, p_F)$ . M implies  $p_F \ge 0$ .

A4\* implies  $(x_F^i, y_F^j)$  is an equilibrium at  $p_F$ .  $B(\tau_2)$  implies:  $\{y_F^j\}_F$  is  $\tau_2$ -bounded; hence,  $\sigma$ -bounded and  $\sigma$ -relative compact.

 $\{\sum x_F^i\}_F$  is  $\tau_2$ -bounded; therefore,  $\beta$ -bounded, and so, implies  $\{x_F^i\}_F$  is  $\beta$ -bounded since  $0 \leq x_F^i \leq \sum x_F^i$  and  $\beta$  is a solide topology.  $\{\sum x_F^i\}$  is bounded implies  $\sigma$ -bounded and  $\sigma$ -relative compact. There exist accumulation points  $(x_*^i, y_*^i)$  of  $(x_F^i, y_F^j)$ .  $X^i$  is convex,  $\tau_1$ - closed, therefore  $\sigma$ -closed and  $x_*^i \in X^i$ ;  $Y^j$  is  $\tau_2$ -closed, convex, therefore  $\sigma$ -closed and  $y_*^j \in Y^j$ ;  $(x_*^i, y_*^j)$  is an attainable state.

There exists a  $\sigma$ -bounded and  $\sigma$ -closed, solide, absolutely convex set B, that contains all attainable  $x_*^i, y_*^j$  and supplies  $\sum y^j + a = s$ .  $L = X_B = \bigcup_n B$  is a Banach lattice with B as unit ball.

Normalization of the price vector  $p_F : p_F(s_0) = 1, ||p_F|| \le \lambda_0$ . By Alaoglu:  $p_F \mapsto p_*$  pointwise on L;  $p_*(s_0) = \lim p_F(s_0) = 1, ||p_*|| \le \lambda_0$ . Maximality of  $x_*^i$  and  $y_*^j$  with respect to  $p_*$  can be proved as in Araujo's case  $E = \mathbb{R}$ .

# §3. INVERSE RESULTS

We assume  $\tau_{\infty} \supset \tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2 \supset \sigma = \sigma(X, X')$ , but  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  not necessarily myopic. If  $\tau_1$  or  $\tau_2$  is not myopic we can construct counterexamples of M. E. S.'s, such that all conditions of Theorem 2.1 resp 2.2 are satisfied, but individual rational, Pareto-Optimal states do not exist. We get the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** If E is a reflexive B-lattice with separable dual E',  $\tau_1$ and  $\tau_2$  are locally convex topologies on  $X = l^{\infty}(E), \tau_{\infty} \supset \tau_1, \tau_2 \supset \sigma$ , such that every M. E. S. that satisfies A0, A1, A2( $\tau_1$ ), A5, B( $\tau_2$ ) has an individual rational, Pareto-optimal state, then  $\tau_{MA} = \tau_{SM} \supset \tau_1, \tau_2; \tau_1, \tau_2$  are myopic.

**Theorem 3.2.** If E is a reflexive separable B-lattice with separable dual E',  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  locally convex topologies on  $X = l^{\infty}(E)$ , such that every M. E. S. that satisfies A0, A1, A2( $\tau_1$ ), A4\*, A5, B( $\tau_2$ ), P, M, has an equilibrium, then  $\tau_{MA} = \tau_{SM} \supset \tau_1, \tau_2; \tau_1, \tau_2$  are myopic topologies.

"Impatience", as formalized by *myopicity*, of the economic agents is necessary for general existence results.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Brown-Lewis, Myopic Economic Agents, Econometrica 49 (1981), 359-368.
- 2. Araujo, Lack of Pareto optimal allocations in economics with infinitely many comodities; The need of impatience, Econometrica 53 (1985), 395-461.
- 3. Aliprantis-Brown-Burkinshaw, Positive Operators, Academic Press, 1985.
- 4. Debreu, Mathematical Economics. 20 Papers of Gerard Debreu, Cambridge, 1986. Paper-back edition: 1986.

UNIVERSITY OF MÜNSTER - GERMANY