# On the co-completeness of the category of Hausdorff uniform spaces

## SERAFÍN BAUTISTA JANUARIO VARELA

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá

ABSTRACT. A construction of colimits in the category of Hausdorff uniform spaces is carried out by means of a family of pseudometrics. Since this is not a topological category, its co-completeness can not be ensured by the standard procedures. A careful revision of the usual arguments is then required to this end.

Key words and phrases. Hausdorff uniform spaces, colimits, co-completeness. 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 18A30. Secondary 18B99.

# 1. Uniformities in terms of pseudometrics

For many purposes, the appropriate generalization of the concept of a metric space is that of a Hausdorff uniform space, and not merely that of a uniform space. The latter could rather be viewed as a generalization of the notion of a pseudometric space. We focus our attention in this paper on the category  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$  of Hausdorff uniform spaces and uniformly continuous maps, to establish the existence of colimits. Although all topological categories are known to be co-complete, and the category Unif of uniform spaces and uniformly continuous maps is such while  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$  is not, the construction of colimits in this latter category deviates somewhat from the standard procedures followed for the former. First we examine some basic and preliminary facts.

A collection  $\mathcal{D}$  of pseudometrics on a set X (with values in the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ ) defines a uniformity on X by means of the subbasis of entourages  $\{V_d^{\epsilon}: d \in \mathcal{C}_d^{\epsilon}: d \in \mathcal{C}_d^{\epsilon}: d \in \mathcal{C}_d^{\epsilon}\}$ 

- $\mathcal{D}, \varepsilon > 0$ , where  $V_d^{\varepsilon} = \{(x,y) \in X \times X : d(x,y) < \varepsilon\}$ . This uniformity is known to be the coarsest on X such that each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  is uniformly continuous for the product uniformity on  $X \times X$  and the usual additive uniformity on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- 1.1. **Definition.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a uniform space. The collection  $\mathcal{C}_X$  of all finite uniformly continuous pseudometrics on  $X \times X$  is called the *total caliber* of  $(X, \mathcal{U})$ .

The following two well known results can be found in various textbooks on General Topology. For instance, in [6] pages 183 and 188.

- **1.2. Theorem.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a uniform space and  $d: X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a finite pseudometric. Then d is uniformly continuous if and only if  $V_d^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{U}$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
- 1.3. Theorem. Every uniformity on X is generated by its total caliber.

The next preliminary result is due to R. De Castro, see [4].

- **1.4.** Theorem. Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$  be uniform spaces with respective total calibers  $\mathcal{C}_X$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y$ , and let  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ . The following assertions are equivalent:
  - (i) f is uniformly continuous.
  - (ii) There exists a unique function  $\ell \colon \mathcal{C}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $d \longmapsto d_{\ell}$ , such that  $d(f(x), f(y)) = d_{\ell}(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
  - (iii) There exists a function  $k: \mathcal{C}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $d \longmapsto d_k$ , such that  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d_k(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
- Proof. (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii) Assume that f is uniformly continuous and let d be a pseudometric in  $\mathcal{C}_Y$ . Then the map  $d': X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $(x,y) \longmapsto d'(x,y) = d(f(x),f(y))$  is readily seen to be a pseudometric, and since f is uniformly continuous, for a given  $V_d^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{V}$  there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $(x,y) \in U$  implies  $(f(x),f(y)) \in V_d^{\varepsilon}$ . Thus  $U \subseteq V_{d'}^{\varepsilon}$ , and then  $V_{d'}^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{U}$ . Theorem 1.2 then implies that d' is uniformly continuous, and consequently an element of the total caliber  $\mathcal{C}_X$ . The conclusion in item (ii) is then obtained by taking  $d_{\ell} = d'$  for each  $d \in C_Y$ .
- (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iii) If  $\ell$  is as specified in (ii), the choice  $k = \ell$  also satisfies (iii).
- (iii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i) Assume  $k: \mathcal{C}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X$  is as specified in item (iii) in the statement of the theorem. Given  $V_d^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{V}$ , take  $V_{d_k}^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $(x,y) \in V_{d_k}^{\varepsilon}$  implies  $(f(x), f(y)) \in V_d^{\varepsilon}$ , then f is uniformly continuous.

### 2. A construction

- **2.1. Definition.** Given a uniform space (Z, W), an arbitrary set X and a surjective map  $h: Z \longrightarrow X$ , the quotient uniformity on X by h is the uniformity  $\{U \subseteq X \times X : (h \times h)^{-1}(U) \in W\}$ .
- **2.2. Definition.** Let  $(X_i)_{i\in I}$  be a family of disjoint uniform spaces, and for each  $i\in I$ , call  $\mathcal{U}_i$  the uniformity of  $X_i$ . The sum uniformity on  $Z=\bigcup_{i\in I}X_i$  is the uniformity  $\{V\subseteq Z\times Z: \text{for each }i\in I,\ V\cap (X_i\times X_i)\in \mathcal{U}_i\}$ .
- 2.3. Remark. As a point of reference and comparison, recall that the colimit of the direct system  $((X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (f_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda_1})$  in Unif, where  $\Lambda$  is a directed set and  $\Lambda_1$  is the set  $\{(\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda \colon \alpha \leq \beta\}$ , is defined in a similar manner as to that in the category Set. That is, as the uniform space  $(Z/R, \mathcal{U})$ , where Z is the disjoint union of the spaces  $X_{\alpha}$  equipped with the sum uniformity, R is the equivalence relation given by  $x_{\alpha}Rx_{\beta} \iff \exists \gamma \geq \alpha, \beta$  such that  $f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_{\alpha}) = f_{\beta\gamma}(x_{\beta})$ , and  $\mathcal{U}$  is the quotient uniformity on Z/R by the canonical map  $\phi: Z \longrightarrow Z/R$ ,  $x_{\alpha} \longmapsto \overline{x}_{\alpha}$ . Nevertheless, in the case of the category of Hausdorff uniform spaces the construction has to be modified somewhat, as shown below.

Denote by  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$  the category of Hausdorff uniform spaces and uniformly continuous maps, and by  $((X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (f_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda_1})$ , a direct system in  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$  denote the total caliber of the uniform space  $(X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha})$ , and for a given uniformly continuous function  $f_{\alpha\beta} \colon X_{\alpha} \longrightarrow X_{\beta}$ , let the unique map  $\ell \colon \mathcal{C}_{\beta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$  such that  $d_{\beta}(f_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha}), f_{\alpha\beta}(y_{\alpha})) = (d_{\beta})_{\ell}(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha})$  be denoted by  $\ell_{\alpha\beta}$ .

**2.4. Theorem.** The direct system  $((X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (f_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda_1})$  in  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$  has a colimit.

*Proof.* The construction is carried on in the next four steps.

(1) Let  $Z = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_{\alpha}$  be the disjoint union of the family  $(X_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  and let  $C = \overline{\lim} C_{\alpha}$  be the projective limit of the system  $C_{\alpha}$  with maps  $\ell_{\alpha\beta}$ ; that is, let

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \mathbf{d} = (d_{m{lpha}})_{m{lpha} \in m{\Lambda}} \in \prod_{m{lpha} \in m{\Lambda}} \mathcal{C}_{m{lpha}} \colon (d_{m{eta}})_{m{\ell}_{m{lpha}m{eta}}} = d_{m{lpha}} ext{ for each } (m{lpha}, m{eta}) \in m{\Lambda}_1 
ight\}.$$

Consider on Z a collection of subbasic entourages  $\mathcal{B} = \{W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon} : \varepsilon > 0, \ \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C}\},\$  where

$$W^{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{d}} = \{(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}) \in Z \times Z \ : \ \exists \gamma \in \Lambda \text{ s. t. } \gamma \geq \alpha, \beta \ \& \ (f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_{\alpha}), f_{\beta\gamma}(y_{\beta})) \in V^{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{d}_{\gamma}}\}.$$

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C}$  we have  $\Delta_Z \subseteq W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/2} \circ W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/2} \subseteq W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $(W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon})^{-1} = W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a subbasis for a uniformity  $\mathcal{W}$  on Z such that all the canonical injections  $j_{\alpha} \colon X_{\alpha} \longrightarrow Z$  are uniformly continuous. Furthermore,

the uniformity  $\mathcal{W}$  on Z is coarser than the sum uniformity. In fact,  $V_{d_{\alpha}}^{\varepsilon} \subseteq W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon} \cap (X_{\alpha} \times X_{\alpha})$ , and  $V_{d_{\alpha}}^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

(2) Define on Z the equivalence relation

$$x_{\alpha} R y_{\beta} \iff (\forall \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C})(\forall \varepsilon > 0)((x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}) \in W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon}).$$

(3) Let X = Z/R and  $\phi: Z \longrightarrow X$ ,  $x_{\alpha} \longmapsto \overline{x}_{\alpha}$ , be the quotient map. The collection  $\{(\phi \times \phi)(W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\epsilon}) : W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is a subbasis of entourages for a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  on X. It is apparent that the map  $\phi$  is uniformly continuous with respect to the uniformities  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  above.

The uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  is in general strictly coarser than the quotient uniformity on X by  $\phi$ . In fact, if  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  then  $\bigcap_{j \in J} W_{\mathbf{d}_j}^{\varepsilon} \subseteq W$  for some collection in  $\mathcal{C}$  indexed by a finite set J and some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . But  $(\phi \times \phi)(\bigcap_{j \in J} W_{\mathbf{d}_j}^{\varepsilon}) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in J} (\phi \times \phi)(W_{\mathbf{d}_j}^{\varepsilon})$ , and it may well happen that these two sets are different. Then, it can not be guaranteed that  $(\phi \times \phi)(W)$  contains a finite intersection of sets of the form  $(\phi \times \phi)(W_{\mathbf{d}_j}^{\varepsilon})$ .

We claim that  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is a Hausdorff space. Suppose that  $(\overline{x}_{\alpha}, \overline{y}_{\beta}) \in (\phi \times \phi)(W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/3})$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Now, since for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists  $(u_{\theta}, v_{\xi}) \in W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/3}$  such that  $\overline{x}_{\alpha} = \overline{u}_{\theta}$ ,  $\overline{y}_{\beta} = \overline{v}_{\xi}$ , so that  $(x_{\alpha}, u_{\theta}) \in W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/3}$ ,  $(y_{\beta}, v_{\xi}) \in W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/3}$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C}$  we have that  $(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}) \in W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon}$ , and therefore  $\overline{x}_{\alpha} = \overline{y}_{\beta}$ .

(4)  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is the sought colimit. In fact, define for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $\tau_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \longrightarrow X$ ,  $x_{\alpha} \longmapsto \overline{x}_{\alpha}$ . The map  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is a morphism in  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$ , because  $\tau_{\alpha} = \phi \circ j_{\alpha}$ . But since  $x_{\alpha} R f_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha})$ , it follows that  $\tau_{\beta} \circ f_{\alpha\beta} = \tau_{\alpha}$  if  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

Given a second inductive cone for the given system, say for instance  $((Y, \mathcal{V}), (\sigma_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \longrightarrow Y)_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$ , we claim that  $\psi : X \longrightarrow Y$ ,  $\overline{x}_{\alpha} \longmapsto \sigma_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha})$ , is a morphism in  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$  (necessarily unique) such that for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $\psi \circ \tau_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\alpha}$ . Indeed:

(a)  $\psi$  is a well defined map. To see this, let  $\mathcal{C}_Y$  be the total caliber of  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$ . The uniform continuity of  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  and Theorem 1.4 above imply that for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  there exists  $q_{\alpha} : \mathcal{C}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ ,  $d \longmapsto d_{q_{\alpha}}$ , such that for each  $d \in \mathcal{C}_Y$  and for each  $x_{\alpha}$ ,  $y_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}$ ,  $d(\sigma_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}), \sigma_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha})) = d_{q_{\alpha}}(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha})$ . Hence, it follows that for each  $d \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ , each  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_1$  and each  $(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}) \in X_{\alpha} \times X_{\alpha}$ ,

$$\begin{split} d_{q_{\alpha}}(x_{\alpha},y_{\alpha}) &= d(\sigma_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}),\sigma_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha})) = d(\sigma_{\beta}(f_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha})),\sigma_{\beta}(f_{\alpha\beta}(y_{\alpha}))) \\ &= d_{q_{\beta}}(f_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha}),\ f_{\alpha\beta}(y_{\alpha})) = (d_{q_{\beta}})\ell_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha},y_{\alpha}). \end{split}$$

Thus, for every  $d \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ ,  $d_{q_{\alpha}} = d_{\ell_{\alpha\beta} \circ q_{\beta}}$ ; that is,  $q_{\alpha} = \ell_{\alpha\beta} \circ q_{\beta}$  for each  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_1$ .

Taking into account that  $C = \varprojlim C_{\alpha}$ , there exists a unique map  $\varphi \colon C_Y \longrightarrow C$ ,  $d \longmapsto \varphi(d) = (d_{q_{\alpha}})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ , such that, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $\pi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi = q_{\alpha}$ .

Assume that  $\overline{x}_{\alpha} = \overline{y}_{\beta}$ . Given  $d \in \mathcal{C}_{Y}$ , take  $\mathbf{d} = \varphi(d)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  with  $\gamma \geq \alpha, \beta$  such that  $(f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_{\alpha}), f_{\beta\gamma}(y_{\beta})) \in V_{d_{q\gamma}}^{\varepsilon}$ . Hence,

$$(\forall d \in \mathcal{C}_Y)(\forall \varepsilon > 0) \big( \ (\sigma_\alpha(x_\alpha), \sigma_\beta(y_\beta)) = (\sigma_\gamma \times \sigma_\gamma) (f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_\alpha), f_{\beta\gamma}(y_\beta)) \in V_d^\varepsilon \big).$$

Now, since  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$  is Hausdorff and  $\{V_d^{\varepsilon} : \varepsilon > 0, d \in \mathcal{C}_Y\}$  is a basis of entourages of  $\mathcal{V}$ , it follows that  $\psi(\overline{x}_{\alpha}) = \sigma_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) = \sigma_{\beta}(y_{\beta}) = \psi(\overline{y}_{\beta})$ . Thus,  $\psi$  is a well defined map, as claimed.

(b)  $\psi$  is a morphism of  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Let  $V_d^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} &(\psi\times\psi)^{-1}\big(V_d^\varepsilon\big)\\ &= \{(\overline{x}_\alpha,\overline{y}_\beta)\ :\ (\psi\times\psi)(\overline{x}_\alpha,\overline{y}_\beta)\in V_d^\varepsilon\}\\ &= \{(\phi\times\phi)(x_\alpha,y_\beta)\ :\ (\sigma_\alpha(x_\alpha),\sigma_\beta(y_\beta))\in V_d^\varepsilon\}\\ &= \big\{(\phi\times\phi)(x_\alpha,y_\beta)\ :\ (\exists\gamma\geq\alpha,\beta)\big[\big(\sigma_\gamma(f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_\alpha)),\sigma_\gamma(f_{\beta\gamma}(y_\beta))\big)\in V_d^\varepsilon\big]\big\}\\ &= \big\{(\phi\times\phi)(x_\alpha,y_\beta)\in X\times X\ :\ (\exists\gamma\geq\alpha,\beta)\big[\big(f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_\alpha),f_{\beta\gamma}(y_\beta)\big)\in V_{q_\gamma(d)}^\varepsilon\big]\big\}\\ &= \big\{(\phi\times\phi)(x_\alpha,y_\beta)\in X\times X\ :\ (x_\alpha,y_\beta)\in W_{\varphi(d)}^\varepsilon\big\}= (\phi\times\phi)(W_{\varphi(d)}^\varepsilon)\in \mathcal{U}. \end{split}$$

 $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$  has a closely related category in which the construction of colimits is straightforward. Let  $\mathcal{P}met_{\mathcal{H}}$  be the category whose objects are sets endowed with a family of pseudometrics  $(X,(d_i)_{i\in I})$  such that x=y if  $d_i(x,y)=0$  for each  $i\in I$ , and, given a second object  $(Y,(d_j)_{j\in J})$ , a morphism is a pair of functions  $(f,k):X\times J\longrightarrow Y\times I,\ (x,j)\longmapsto (f(x),j_k)$ , such that  $d_j(f(x),f(y))\leq d_{j_k}(x,y)$  for each  $x,y\in X$ .

**2.5. Theorem.** A direct system  $((X_{\alpha}, (d_{i_{\alpha}})_{i_{\alpha} \in I_{\alpha}})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (f_{\alpha\beta}, k_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda_1})$  in  $\mathcal{P}met_{\mathcal{H}}$  has a colimit

Proof. Let  $Z = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_{\alpha}$  be the disjoint union of the family  $(X_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ . Let I be the limit of the inverse system  $((I_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (k_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda_1})$  in the category Set. For each  $i \in I$  consider the pseudometric  $\delta_i : Z \times Z \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, (x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}) \longmapsto \inf_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \{d_{i_{\gamma}}(f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_{\alpha}), f_{\beta\gamma}(y_{\beta})) : \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma\}$ . Let R be the equivalence relation on Z defined by  $x_{\alpha} R y_{\beta}$  if  $\delta_i(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}) = 0$  for each  $i \in I$ .

If X = Z/R then  $\overline{\delta}_i : X \times X \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $(\overline{x}_{\alpha}, \overline{y}_{\beta}) \longmapsto \delta_i(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta})$  is a well defined pseudometric on X for each  $i \in I$ , as it can be easily verified. Consequently,  $(X, (\overline{\delta}_i)_{i \in I})$  is an object of  $\mathcal{P}met_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  define  $\tau_{\alpha} \colon X_{\alpha} \longrightarrow X$  by  $x_{\alpha} \longmapsto \overline{x}_{\alpha}$ . Then  $(\tau_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha})$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{P}met_{\mathcal{H}}$ , and  $((X, (\overline{\delta}_{i})_{i \in I}), ((\tau_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}) \colon X_{\alpha} \times I \longrightarrow X \times I_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$  is an inductive cone which is the colimit of the given direct system. The separation property of the objects in  $\mathcal{P}met_{\mathcal{H}}$  is necessary to establish the universal property of this cone.

Let Calib be the category whose objects  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  are sets endowed with a family of pseudometrics coinciding with the total caliber of the underlying uniformity. Given a second object  $(Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$ , a morphism is a pair of functions  $(f,\ell): X \times \mathcal{C}_Y \longrightarrow Y \times \mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $(x,d) \longmapsto (f(x),d_\ell)$ , such that  $d(f(x),f(y)) = d_\ell(x,y)$  for each  $x, y \in X$ .

2.6. Proposition. The category Unif is equivalent to Calib.

Proof. Let  $F: Unif \longrightarrow Calib$  be the functor defined by  $F(X, \mathcal{U}) = (X, \mathcal{C}_X)$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is the total caliber of the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ , and by  $F(f) = (f, \ell)$ :  $X \times \mathcal{C}_Y \longrightarrow Y \times \mathcal{C}_X$ , where  $\ell$  is as in Theorem 1.4., if  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  is a uniformly continuous map. Then F is readily seen to be full, faithful and isomorphismdense. Hence, the asserted equivalence of categories (see [2]) follows.

#### References

- JIŘÍ ADÁMEK ET AL., Abstract and Concrete Categories. The Joy of Cats, Wiley & Sons, 1989.
- [2] SERAFÍN BAUTISTA, Co-completez de Categorías de Espacios Uniformes y Procesos de Lacalización, Tesis de Maestría, Programa de Posgrado en Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional, Bogotá, 1995.
- [3] NICOLAS BOURBAKI, General Topology, Addison-Wesley, 1966.
- [4] RODRIGO DE CASTRO, Localización en Campos de Espacios Uniformes, Tesis de Maestría, Programa de Posgrado en Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional, Bogotá, 1985.
- [5] RODRIGO DE CASTRO & JANUARIO VARELA, Localization in bundles of uniform spaces, Rev. Colombiana Mat. 24 (1990), 103-112.
- [6] JOHN KELLY, General Topology, Van Nostrand, 1955.
- [7] SAUNDERS MAC LANE, Categories for the Working Mathematician, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [8] STEPHEN WILLARD, General Topology, Addison-Wesley, 1970.

(Recibido en agosto de 1996; revisado en agosto de 1997)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA
BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA

e-mail: sebadi@matematicas.unal.edu.co e-mail: jvarela@gaitana.interred.net.co