

Some new results on common fixed points in certain topological spaces

M. AAMRI
D. EL MOUTAWAKIL

Faculty of Sciences Ben M'sik, Casablanca, MOROCCO

ABSTRACT. The main purpose of this paper is to give some common fixed point theorems in F -type topological spaces.

Keywords and phrases. Common fixed point, F -type topological spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 47H10.

1. Introduction

In [1], Caristi proved that a selfmapping T of a complete metric space (X, d) has a fixed point if there exists a lower semi-continuous function $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$d(x, Tx) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(Tx), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

This result was frequently used to prove existence theorems in fixed point theory. However, it is not hard to see that if the graph of T is closed and T satisfies the above inequality for arbitrary function ϕ , then T will have a fixed point x^* such that x^* is the limit of the sequence (x_n) defined by

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in X, \\ x_{n+1} = Tx_n. \end{cases}$$

To support this remark, we give the following example. Let $X = [0, +\infty[$. Define T and ϕ by

$$Tx = \frac{1}{2}x, \quad \phi(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1[, \\ 2x & \text{if } x \in [1, +\infty[. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $|x - Tx| = \frac{1}{2}x$ and

$$\phi(x) - \phi(Tx) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1[\\ \frac{3}{2}x & \text{if } x \in [1, 2[\\ x & \text{if } x \in [2, +\infty[. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$|x - Tx| \leq \phi(x) - \phi(Tx) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

It is easy to see that T has a closed graph and the function ϕ is not lower semi-continuous at 1 but $T0 = 0$.

On the other hand, Fang [4] introduced the concept of F -type topological space and gave a characterization of the kind of spaces. The usual metric spaces, Hausdorff topological vector spaces, and Menger probabilistic metric spaces are all the special cases of F -type topological Spaces. Furthermore, Fang established a fixed point theorem in F -type topological spaces which extends Caristi's theorem in the following way:

Theorem 1.1 (Fang). *Let (X, θ) be a sequentially complete F -type topological space generated by the family $\{d_\lambda, \lambda \in D\}$. Let $k : D \rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ be a nonincreasing function and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a lower semi-continuous function. Let T be a selfmapping of X such that*

$$d_\lambda(x, Tx) \leq k(\lambda)[\phi(x) - \phi(Tx)], \forall \lambda \in D, \forall x \in X.$$

Then T has a fixed point in X .

The aim of this paper is to give some common fixed point theorems in F -type topological spaces. To do this, we first recall the definition of this space as given in [4].

Definition 1.1 (Fang). A topological space (E, θ) is said to be F -type topological space if it is Hausdorff and for each $x \in E$, there exists a neighborhood base $F_x = \{U_x(\lambda, t) / \lambda \in D, t > 0\}$, where $D = (D, <)$ denotes a directed set such that:

- (F₁) If $y \in U_x(\lambda, t)$, then $x \in U_y(\lambda, t)$;
- (F₂) $U_x(\lambda, t) \subset U_x(\mu, s)$ for $\mu < \lambda, t \leq s$;
- (F₃) $\forall \lambda \in D, \exists \mu \in D$ such that $\lambda < \mu$ and $U_x(\mu, t_1) \cap U_y(\mu, t_2) \neq \emptyset$ implies $y \in U_x(\lambda, t_1 + t_2)$;
- (F₄) $E = \cup_{t>0} U_x(\lambda, t), \forall \lambda \in D, \forall x \in E$.

On the other hand, it is proved in [4] that for each F -type topological space (E, θ) , there exists a family $M = \{d_\lambda, \lambda \in D\}$ of quasi-metrics on E satisfying:

- (1) $d_\lambda(x, y) = 0 \forall \lambda \in D$ iff $x = y$;
- (2) $d_\lambda(x, y) = d_\lambda(y, x) \forall \lambda \in D$;
- (3) $d_\lambda(x, y) \leq d_\mu(x, y)$ for $\lambda < \mu$;
- (4) $\forall \lambda \in D, \exists \mu \in D$ such that $\lambda < \mu$ and $d_\lambda(x, y) \leq d_\mu(x, z) + d_\mu(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in E$ such that $\theta_M = \theta$.

For more details we refer to [4].

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, θ) be a sequentially complete F -type topological space generated by the family $\{d_\lambda, \lambda \in D\}$. Let $k : D \rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ be a nonincreasing function and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function. Let T and S be two selfmappings of X with sequentially complete graphs such that $TX \subset SX$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{d_\lambda(Sx, Tx), d_\mu(Tx, STx), d_\beta(Sx, TSx)\} \\ & \leq \max\{k(\lambda), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}[\phi(Sx) - \phi(Tx)], \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for all $(\lambda, \mu, \beta) \in D^3$, for all $x \in X$. Then T and S have a common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_0 = Sx_1$. Choose $x_2 \in X$ such that $Tx_1 = Sx_2$. In general, choose $x_n \in X$ such that $Tx_{n-1} = Sx_n$. Let $(\lambda, \mu, \beta) \in D^3$. From (1), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} d_\lambda(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) &= d_\lambda(Sx_n, Tx_n) \leq \max\{k(\lambda), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}[\phi(Sx_n) - \phi(Tx_n)] \\ &\leq \max\{k(\lambda), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}[\phi(Sx_n) - \phi(Sx_{n+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

For all $(\lambda, \mu, \beta) \in D^3$, we consider the nonnegative real sequence (a_n) defined by

$$a_n = \max\{k(\lambda), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}\phi(Sx_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It is easy to see that (a_n) is nonincreasing and bounded below by 0. Hence it is a convergent sequence. On the other hand, for all $\lambda \in D$, there exists $\lambda_1 \in D$ such that $\lambda \prec \lambda_1$ and

$$d_\lambda(Sx_n, Sx_{n+m}) \leq d_{\lambda_1}(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) + d_{\lambda_1}(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+m}).$$

For this λ_1 , there exists $\lambda_2 \in D$ such that $\lambda_1 \prec \lambda_2$ and

$$d_{\lambda_1}(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+m}) \leq d_{\lambda_2}(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) + d_{\lambda_2}(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+m}).$$

Continuing in this fashion, there exists $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{m-1}) \in D^{m-1}$ such that $\lambda \prec \lambda_1 \prec \lambda_2 \prec \dots \prec \lambda_{m-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d_\lambda(Sx_n, Sx_{n+m}) &\leq d_{\lambda_1}(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) + d_{\lambda_2}(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) + \dots \\ &\quad + d_{\lambda_{m-1}}(Sx_{n+m-1}, Sx_{n+m}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_\lambda(Sx_n, Sx_{n+m}) &\leq \max\{k(\lambda_1), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}[\phi(Sx_n) - \phi(Sx_{n+1})] + \\ &\quad \max\{k(\lambda_2), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}[\phi(Sx_{n+1}) - \phi(Sx_{n+2})] + \dots + \\ &\quad \max\{k(\lambda_{m-1}), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}[\phi(Sx_{n+m-1}) - \phi(Sx_{n+m})]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since the function k is nonincreasing, we have

$$d_\lambda(Sx_n, Sx_{n+m}) \leq \max\{k(\lambda), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}[\phi(Sx_n) - \phi(Sx_{n+m})]$$

which implies that (Sx_n) is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is sequentially complete, there exists $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = u$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = u.$$

We shall show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} STx_n = u$. Let $\mu \in D$. There exists $\mu_1 \in D$ such that $\mu \prec \mu_1$ and

$$d_\mu(STx_n, u) \leq d_{\mu_1}(STx_n, Tx_n) + d_{\mu_1}(Tx_n, u).$$

In view of (1), for all $\mu \in D$ we have

$$d_\mu(Tx_n, STx_n) \leq a_n - a_{n+1}$$

which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\mu(Tx_n, STx_n) = 0 \forall \mu \in D$. Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} STx_n = u$. Similarly, we show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T Sx_n = u$. Now we can show that u is a common fixed point of T and S . We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} STx_n = u$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = u$. Therefore since the graph of S is sequentially closed, we conclude that $Su = u$. On the other hand, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T Sx_n = u$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = u$. Therefore since the graph of T is sequentially closed, we obtain $Tu = u$. \square

Setting $\lambda = \mu = \beta$ and $S = Id_X$, we have the following result which gives a generalization of our earlier remark.

Corollary 2.1. *Let (X, θ) be a sequentially complete F -type topological space generated by the family $\{d_\lambda, \lambda \in D\}$. Let $k : D \rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ be a nonincreasing function and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function. Let T be a selfmapping of X such that*

- (1) $d_\lambda(x, Tx) \leq k(\lambda)[\phi(x) - \phi(Tx)], \forall \lambda \in D, \forall x \in X;$
- (2) T has a sequentially closed graph.

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Taking $\lambda = \mu = \beta$ and $T = Id_X$, we get the following result.

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, θ) be a sequentially complete F -type topological space generated by the family $\{d_\lambda, \lambda \in D\}$. Let $k : D \rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ be a nonincreasing function and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function. Let S be a surjective selfmapping of X such that:*

- (1) $d_\lambda(x, Sx) \leq k(\lambda)[\phi(Sx) - \phi(x)], \forall \lambda \in D, \forall x \in X;$
- (2) S has a sequentially closed graph.

Then S has a fixed point in X .

In the setting of metric space, we have the following

Corollary 2.3. *Let T and S be two selfmappings of a complete metric space (X, d) . Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function such that:*

- (1) $\max\{d(Sx, Tx), d(Tx, STx), d(Sx, TSx)\} \leq \phi(Sx) - \phi(Tx), \forall x \in X;$
- (2) $TX \subset SX;$

(3) T and S have a sequentially closed graphs.

Then T and S have a common fixed point in X .

Proof. Take an arbitrary directed set D and let

$$d_\lambda(x, y) = d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad \forall \lambda \in D.$$

Taking $k(\lambda) = 1$ for all $\lambda \in D$, it is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and the conclusion follows from this theorem immediately. \square

As an example let $X = [0, +\infty[$ and consider $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ defined as follows:

$$Sx = \begin{cases} \tan x & \text{if } x \in [0, \pi/2[, \\ x & \text{if } x \in [\pi/2, +\infty[\end{cases}$$

and $Tx = \arctan x, \quad \forall x \in X$.

It is easy to see that T and S have closed graphs and $TX \subset SX$. Furthermore

$$|Sx - Tx| = \begin{cases} \tan x - \arctan x & \text{if } x \in [0, \pi/2[, \\ x - \arctan x & \text{if } x \in [\pi/2, +\infty[; \\ |Sx - TSx| = \begin{cases} \tan x - x & \text{if } x \in [0, \pi/2[, \\ x - \arctan x & \text{if } x \in [\pi/2, +\infty[\end{cases}$$

and

$$|Tx - STx| = x - \arctan x \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Therefore

$$\max\{|Sx - Tx|, |Tx - STx|, |Sx - TSx|\} = \begin{cases} \tan x - \arctan x & \text{if } x \in [0, \pi/2[, \\ x - \arctan x & \text{if } x \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, +\infty[. \end{cases}$$

Consider the function ϕ defined on X by

$$\phi(x) = 2x.$$

We have

$$\phi(Sx) - \phi(Tx) = \begin{cases} 2(\tan x - \arctan x) & \text{if } x \in [0, \pi/2[, \\ 2(x - \arctan x) & \text{if } x \in [\pi/2, +\infty[. \end{cases}$$

Subsequently, we have

$$\max\{|Sx - Tx|, |Tx - STx|, |Sx - TSx|\} \leq \phi(Sx) - \phi(Tx), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Therefore all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are verified and $T0 = S0 = 0$.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, θ) be a Hausdorff sequentially complete topological vectorial space and $\{U_\lambda, \lambda \in D\}$ be a balanced neighborhood base of 0 in X . Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function and $k : D \rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ be a nonincreasing function. Suppose further that two mappings $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$(1) \psi(x) = \phi(Sx) - \phi(Tx) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in X;$$

(2) for all $x \in X$ and for all $(\lambda, \mu, \beta) \in D^3$

$$\begin{cases} Tx - Sx & \in \max\{k(\lambda), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}\psi(x)U_\lambda, \\ Sx - TSx & \in \max\{k(\lambda), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}\psi(x)U_\mu, \\ Tx - STx & \in \max\{k(\lambda), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}\psi(x)U_\beta. \end{cases}$$

(3) $TX \subset SX$.

(4) T and S have a sequentially closed graphs.

Then T and S have a common fixed point in X .

Proof. As in [4], we define a partial order on D as follows:

$$\lambda \prec \mu \iff U_\mu \subset U_\lambda.$$

Then X is an F -type topological space generated by the family $\{d_\lambda : \lambda \in D\}$ where

$$d_\lambda(x, y) = \inf\{t > 0 | x - y \in tU_\lambda\}, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \forall \lambda \in D.$$

Therefore $\forall (\lambda, \mu, \beta) \in D^3$ and $\forall x \in X$, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{d_\lambda(Sx, Tx), d_\mu(Tx, STx), d_\beta(Sx, TSx)\} \\ \leq \max\{k(\lambda), k(\mu), k(\beta)\}[\phi(Sx) - \phi(Tx)] \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows immediately from Theorem 2.1. \square

3. Applications

Let (D_1, \prec_{D_1}) and (D_2, \prec_{D_2}) be directed sets.

Theorem 3.1. Let (E, θ_1) (resp. (F, θ_2)) be a sequentially complete F -type topological space generated by the family $\{d_\lambda, \lambda \in D_1\}$ (resp. $\{d_\mu, \mu \in D_2\}$). Let $v : E \rightarrow F$ be a function with sequentially closed graph. Let $k_1 : D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k_2 : D_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be two nonincreasing functions. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be two arbitrary functions. Let T and S be selfmappings of E with sequentially closed graphs such that $TE \subset SE$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{d_{\lambda_1}(Sx, Tx) + d_{\mu_1}(v(Sx), v(Tx)), d_{\lambda_2}(Sx, TSx) \\ + d_{\mu_2}(v(Sx), v(TSx)), d_{\lambda_3}(Tx, STx) + d_{\mu_3}(v(Tx), v(STx))\} \\ \leq \max\{k_1(\lambda_1), k_1(\lambda_2), k_1(\lambda_3)\}[\phi(Sx) - \phi(Tx)] \\ + \max\{k_2(\mu_1), k_2(\mu_2), k_2(\mu_3)\}[\psi(v(Sx)) - \psi(v(Tx))], \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in E$ and for all $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \in D_1^3 \times D_2^3$. Then T and S have a common fixed point in E .

Proof. We define on $D = D_1 \times D_2$ a relation " \prec_D " as follows: $(\lambda_1, \mu_1) \prec_D (\lambda_2, \mu_2) \iff \lambda_1 \prec_{D_1} \lambda_2$ and $\mu_1 \prec_{D_2} \mu_2$. For all $(\lambda, \mu) \in D$, we consider the function $\psi_{\lambda, \mu} : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

$$\psi_{\lambda, \mu}(x, y) = d_\lambda(x, y) + d_\mu(v(x), v(y)).$$

Next we show that $\psi_{\lambda,\mu}$ is a quasi-metric on E :

- (1) $\psi_{\lambda,\mu}(x, y) = 0 \implies d_\lambda(x, y) = 0 \implies x = y$.
- (2) $\psi_{\lambda,\mu}(x, y) = \psi_{\lambda,\mu}(y, x)$, $\forall (\lambda, \mu) \in D$.
- (3) Let $(\lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta) \in D_1^2 \times D_2^2$ such that $(\lambda, \mu) \prec_D (\alpha, \beta)$. Then, $\forall (x, y) \in E^2$, $d_\lambda(x, y) \leq d_\alpha(x, y)$ and $d_\mu(v(x), v(y)) \leq d_\beta(v(x), v(y))$. Hence $\psi_{\lambda,\mu}(x, y) \leq \psi_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y)$.
- (4) Let $(\lambda, \mu) \in D_1 \times D_2$. Then, $\exists(\alpha, \beta) \in D_1 \times D_2$, such that $(\lambda, \mu) \prec_D (\alpha, \beta)$, $d_\lambda(x, y) \leq d_\alpha(x, z) + d_\alpha(z, y)$ and $d_\mu(v(x), v(y)) \leq d_\beta(v(x), v(z)) + d_\beta(v(z), v(y))$. Therefore, $\forall(\lambda, \mu) \in D_1 \times D_2$, $\exists(\alpha, \beta) \in D_1 \times D_2$, such that $(\lambda, \mu) \prec_D (\alpha, \beta)$ and $\psi_{\lambda,\mu}(x, y) \leq \psi_{\alpha,\beta}(x, z) + \psi_{\alpha,\beta}(z, y)$, $\forall(x, y, z) \in E^3$.

Now we show that E , generated by the family $\{\psi_{\lambda,\mu} : (\lambda, \mu) \in D\}$ and which we denote by E' , is sequentially complete. Let (x_n) be a cauchy sequence of E' . Then (x_n) (resp. $v(x_n)$) is a cauchy sequence in (E, θ_1) (resp. in (F, θ_2)), which implies that there exists $(x, y) \in E \times F$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x \in E$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(x_n) = y$. As the function v has a closed graph, we have $v(x) = y$. So, (x_n) converges in E' to x . Therefore E' is sequentially complete.

Next, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{k_1(\lambda_1), k_1(\lambda_2), k_1(\lambda_3), k_2(\mu_1), k_2(\mu_2), k_2(\mu_3)\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{k_1(\lambda_1), k_1(\lambda_2), k_1(\lambda_3)\}, \max\{k_2(\mu_1), k_2(\mu_2), k_2(\mu_3)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{k_1(\lambda_1), k_2(\mu_1)\}, \max\{k_1(\lambda_2), k_2(\mu_2)\}, \max\{k_1(\lambda_3), k_2(\mu_3)\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\psi_{\lambda_1,\mu_1}(Sx, Tx), \psi_{\lambda_2,\mu_2}(Sx, TSx), \psi_{\lambda_3,\mu_3}(Tx, STx)\} \\ & \leq \max\{k(\lambda_1, \mu_1), k(\lambda_2, \mu_2), k(\lambda_3, \mu_3)\}[f(Sx) - f(Tx)] \end{aligned}$$

where $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k : D_1 \times D_2 \rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ are defined by

$$f(x) = \phi(x) + \psi(v(x)), \quad \forall x \in E$$

and

$$k(\lambda, \mu) = \max\{k_1(\lambda), k_2(\mu)\}, \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in D_1 \times D_2.$$

It is clear that the function k is nonincreasing. In view of the Theorem 2.1, the conclusion follows immediately. □

When $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda$, $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu$ and $S = Id_E$ (resp. $T = Id_E$), we get the following results.

Corollary 3.1. *Let (E, θ_1) (resp. (F, θ_2)) be a sequentially complete F -type topological space generated by the family $\{d_\lambda, \lambda \in D_1\}$ (resp. $\{d_\mu, \mu \in D_2\}$). Let $v : E \rightarrow F$ be a function. Let $k_1 : D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k_2 : D_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be two*

nonincreasing functions. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be two arbitrary functions. Let T be a selfmapping of E such that:

- (1)
$$d_\lambda(x, Tx) + d_\mu(v(x), v(Tx)) \leq k_1(\lambda)[\phi(x) - \phi(Tx)] + k_2(\mu)[\psi(v(x)) - \psi(v(Tx))],$$

$$\forall x \in E, \forall (\lambda, \mu) \in D_1 \times D_2;$$
- (2) T and v have sequentially closed graphs.

Then T has a fixed point.

Corollary 3.2. Let (E, θ_1) (resp. (F, θ_2)) be a sequentially complete F -type topological space generated by the family $\{d_\lambda, \lambda \in D_1\}$ (resp. $\{d_\mu, \mu \in D_2\}$). Let $v : E \rightarrow F$ be a function. Let $k_1 : D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k_2 : D_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be two nonincreasing functions. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be two arbitrary functions. Let S be a surjective selfmapping of E such that:

- (1)
$$d_\lambda(x, Sx) + d_\mu(v(x), v(Sx)) \leq k_1(\lambda)[\phi(Sx) - \phi(x)] + k_2(\mu)[\psi(v(Sx)) - \psi(v(x))],$$

$$\forall x \in E, \forall (\lambda, \mu) \in D_1 \times D_2;$$
- (2) S and v have a sequentially closed graphs.

Then S has a fixed point.

References

- [1] J. CARISTI, *Fixed point theorems for mapping satisfying inwardness conditions*, Trans. Amer. Math. soc, **215** (1976), 241–251.
- [2] J. CARISTI, *Fixed point theory and inwardness conditions*, Applied Nonlinear Analysis, Proc. 3rd Int. Conf., Arlington, Texas 1978 (1979), 479–483.
- [3] ZHANG SHI-SHENG, CHEN YU-QING & GUO JIN-LI, *Ekeland's variational principle and Caristi's fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric space*, Acta Math, Appl, Sinica **7**(1991), 217–228.
- [4] JIN-XUAN-FANG, *The variational principle and fixed point theorems in certain topological spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and applications **202** (1996), 398–412.

(Recibido en julio de 2001, revisado por el autor en noviembre de 2002)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS
 FACULTY OF SCIENCES BEN M'SIK
 CASABLANCA- MOROCCO
 e-mail: D.Elmouttawakil@Math.net