## Infinite sets of positive integers whose sums are free of powers

## FLORIAN LUCA Mathematical Institute, UNAM, Morelia, MÉXICO

ABSTRACT. In this short note, we construct an infinite set S of positive integers such that for all  $n \geq 1$  and any n distinct elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of S the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$  is not a perfect power.

Key words and phrases. Infinite sets of integers, perfect powers.

 $2000\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ Primary\ 11D61.$ 

Problem 5 proposed at the Fourth Central-American and Carribean Mathematical Olympiad (Mérida, México, July, 2002) asked the contenders to construct an infinite set S of positive integers such that the sum of any number of distinct elements of S is not a perfect square. It is fairly straightforward to check that an example of such a set S is provided by the set of all Fermat numbers  $\{F_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ , where  $F_n:=2^{2^n}+1$  for  $n\geq 0$ . In this note, we show how to construct an infinite set of positive integers S such that for every  $n\geq 1$  and any n distinct elements  $x_1,\ldots,x_n$  of S the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is not a perfect power. For any positive integer k let  $p_k$  be the kth prime number, and let q>1 be any positive integer. The construction of our set S follows somewhat closely the example provided by the set of Fermat numbers mentioned above and is contained in the following:

**Theorem.** For any positive integer m let  $x_m = q^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m} + 1$ . Then, there exists an effectively computable constant  $c_1$  depending only on q, such that the set  $S := \{x_n \mid n \geq c_1\}$  has the property that any sum of some distinct elements of S is not a perfect power.

Proof. Assume that  $t \geq 1$  and that  $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_t$  are such that  $x_{n_1} + \cdots + x_{n_t} = y^l$  for some positive integers y and l with  $l \geq 2$ . Clearly, y > 1 and we may assume that l is prime. We first show that there exists an effectively computable constant  $c_1$  depending only on q such that  $l < c_1$ . We may assume that  $t \geq 2$ , because for t = 1 the above equation reduces to  $q^{p_1p_2\cdots p_{n_1}} + 1 = y^l$  and since  $p_1 = 2$  the above equation is a particular case of the Catalan equation  $x^m + 1 = y^l$  with m even and it is known that this equation has no positive integer solution (x, y, m, l) with l > 1 (see [1]). We also assume that  $n_t \geq 4$ . We write

 $y^{l} = x_{n_1} + \dots + x_{n_t} = q^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n_t}} + z, \tag{1}$ 

where

$$1 \le t \le z \le n_t + \sum_{i=1}^{n_t - 1} q^{p_1 \cdots p_i} < 2n_t q^{p_1 \cdots p_{n_t - 1}} < (\sqrt{q})^{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{n_t}}. \tag{2}$$

Indeed, the right most inequality appearing at (2) above is equivalent to

$$q^{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{n_t - 1} \left(\frac{p_{n_t}}{2} - 1\right)} \ge 2n_t, \tag{3}$$

and since  $n_t \geq 4$  and  $q \geq 2$  the above inequality will be satisfied provided that

$$2^{15(p_{n_t}-2)} \ge (2n_t)^2,\tag{4}$$

and inequality (4) can be immediately shown to hold by induction on  $n_t \geq 4$ .

At this point, we recall the following result due to Shorey and Stewart (see [3]).

**Lemma.** (Shorey-Stewart, [3]). Let  $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a sequence of positive integers such that there exists a positive integer q>1 and a constant  $\delta$  with  $0<\delta<1$  such that the inequality

 $0 < |u_n - q^n| < q^{\delta n} \tag{5}$ 

holds for all positive integers n. Then, there exists a computable constant  $c_2$  depending only on q and  $\delta$  such that if  $u_n = y^k$  with y and k integers and |y| > 1, then  $k < c_2$ .

In fact, Shorey and Stewart proved a version of the above Lemma for case in which  $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a non-degenerate linearly recurrent sequence whose characteristic equation has one simple dominant root, but their argument can be easily modified to yield the above Lemma (see [2], for example).

From formula (1), inequality (2), and the above Lemma, we get that there exists a constant  $c_2$  depending only on q such that  $l < c_2$ . Set  $c_1 := c_2$  and assume that  $n_1 > c_1$  holds in formula (1). In this case,  $n_t > n_1 > c_1$ , and since

l is a prime, it follows that  $l = p_i$  with some  $i < n_t$ . With  $N := \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{n_t}}{p_i}$ , equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$z = q^{Np_i} - y^{p_i} = (q^N - y)(q^{N(p_i - 1)} + q^{N(p_i - 2)y} + \dots + y^{p_i - 1}).$$
 (5)

From inequality (2), we get that  $q^N - y > 0$  and

$$q^{Np_i/2} > z = (q^N - y)(q^{N(p_i-1)} + q^{N(p_i-2)y} + \dots + y^{p_i-1}) > q^{N(p_i-1)},$$

$$\frac{p_i}{2} > p_i - 1,$$

which is a contradiction. The Theorem is therefore proved.

V

One may ask if the dual statement to our Theorem is also true, i.e., whether there exist infinite sets S of positive integers such that for all  $n \geq 1$  and any distinct elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of S the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is a perfect power. The answer here is no.

**Proposition.** There does not exist an infinite set of positive integers S such that for all  $n \ge 1$  and any n distinct elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of S the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a perfect power.

*Proof.* Assume that there exists such a set. Let p be any fixed prime. Then, infinitely many of the elements of S are in the same congruence class modulo p. Discarding the remaining elements of S, we may assume that all the elements of S are in the same congruence class modulo p. We label the elements of S as  $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n < \cdots$ . For any  $i \ge 1$ , let

$$y_i := \sum_{s=1}^{p} x_{(i-1)p+s}.$$
 (5)

That is,  $y_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_p$ ,  $y_2 = x_{p+1} + x_{p+2} + \cdots + x_{2p}$ , etc. Clearly,  $y_i$  is a multiple of p for all  $i \geq 1$  and the set  $S' := \{y_i \mid i \geq 1\}$  has the same property that the set S has, namely all the sums of some distinct elements of S' are perfect powers. The above argument shows that we may assume that all the elements of S are multiples of p.

Let  $i \geq 1$  be arbitrary. Since both  $x_i$  and  $x_1 + x_i$  are perfect powers, it follows that the equation

 $x_1 = u^m - v^n$ (6)

has infinitely many positive integer solutions (u, v, m, n) with  $\min(m, n) \geq 2$ and p divides both u and v. With  $x_1$  fixed and variables u, v, m, n in the above equation (6), the fact that p divides u and v implies that there exists a constant  $c_3$  (depending only on p and  $x_1$ ) such that  $\min(m,n) < c_3$ . In particular,

the star was said to

equation (6) has infinitely many positive integer solutions (u, v, m, n) with v > 1 and  $2 \le \min(m, n) < c_3$ , which contradicts a classical result from exponential diophantine equations (see, Theorem 12.2 in [4], for example).

A somewhat more direct proof of the above Proposition can be achieved via a direct application of Faltings's Theorem. The above Proposition shows that if S is a set of positive integers such that all the sums of some distinct elements of S is a perfect power, then the cardinality of S is finite. We suspect that the cardinality of S is uniformly bounded, that is that there exists an absolute constant  $c_4$  such that if S is a set of positive integers having the property that all the sums of some distinct elements of S is a perfect power, then the cardinality of S is bounded by  $c_4$ , and we leave this as a conjecture for the reader.

## References

- [1] C. Ko, On the diophantine equation  $x^2 = y^n + 1$ ,  $xy \neq 0$ , Sci. Sinica 14, 457–460.
- [2] F. Luca, Distinct digits in base b expansions of linear recurrence sequences, Quaest. Math. 23, 389-404.
- [3] T. N. SHOREY & C. L. STEWART, Pure powers in recurrence sequences and some related diophantine equations, J. Number Theory 27, 324-352.
- [4] T. N. SHOREY & R. TIJDEMAN, Exponential Diophantine Equations, Cambridge U. Press, 1986.

(Recibido en septiembre de 2002)

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNAM
AP. POSTAL 61-3 (XANGARI), CP 58 089
MORELIA, MICHOACÁN
MEXICO

e-mail: fluca@matmor.unam.mx