

L^∞ -BMO bounds for pseudo-multipliers associated with the harmonic oscillator

Continuidad L^∞ -BMO para pseudomultiplicadores asociados con el oscilador armónico

DUVÁN CARDONA

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

ABSTRACT. In this note we investigate some conditions of Hörmander-Mihlin type in order to assure the L^∞ -BMO boundedness for pseudo-multipliers of the harmonic oscillator. The H^1 - L^1 continuity for Hermite multipliers also is investigated.

Key words and phrases. Harmonic oscillator, Pseudo-multiplier, Hermite expansion, Littlewood-Paley theory, BMO.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 81Q10.

RESUMEN. En esta nota se investigan condiciones de tipo Hörmander-Mihlin para garantizar la continuidad L^∞ -BMO de pseudomultiplicadores asociados con el oscilador armónico. También se estudia la continuidad de tipo H^1 - L^1 para multiplicadores de Hermite.

Palabras y frases clave. Oscilador armónico, pseudomultiplicador, expansión de Hermite, teoría de Littlewood-Paley, BMO.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to investigate the boundedness from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for pseudo-multipliers associated with the harmonic oscillator (see *e.g.* S. Thangavelu [20, 21]). As it was observed by M. Ruzhansky in [16], from the point of view of the theory of pseudo-differential operators, pseudo-multipliers would be the special case of the symbolic calculus developed in M. Ruzhansky and N. Tokmagambetov [22, 17] (see also Remark 2.2). Let us consider the (Hermite operator) quantum harmonic oscillator $H := -\Delta_x + |x|^2$,

(where Δ_x is the standard Laplacian) which extends to an unbounded self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is a well known fact, that the Hermite functions¹ ϕ_ν , $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, are the L^2 -eigenfunctions of H , with corresponding eigenvalues satisfying: $H\phi_\nu = (2|\nu| + n)\phi_\nu$. The system $\{\phi_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$, which is a subset of the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, provides an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So, the spectral theorem for unbounded operators implies that

$$Hf(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} (2|\nu| + n)\widehat{f}(\phi_\nu), \quad f \in \text{Dom}(H), \quad (1)$$

where $\widehat{f}(\phi_\nu)$ is the Fourier-Hermite transform of f at ϕ_ν , which is given by

$$\widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\phi_\nu(x)dx. \quad (2)$$

If $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the complement of a subset of zero Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n , the pseudo-multiplier associated with a function $m : G \times \mathbb{N}_0^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$Af(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m(x, \nu)\widehat{f}(\phi_\nu)\phi_\nu(x), \quad x \in G, \quad f \in \text{Dom}(A). \quad (3)$$

In this sense we say that A is the pseudo-multiplier associated to the function m , and that m is the symbol of A . In this paper the main goal is to give conditions on m in order that A can be extended to a bounded operator from L^∞ to BMO. The problem of the boundedness of pseudo-multipliers is an interesting topic in harmonic analysis (see *e.g.* J. Epperson [9], S. Bagchi and S. Thangavelu [1], D. Cardona and M. Ruzhansky [16] and references therein). The problem was initially considered for multipliers of the harmonic oscillator

$$Af(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m(\nu)\widehat{f}(\phi_\nu)\phi_\nu(x), \quad f \in \text{Dom}(A).^2 \quad (4)$$

Indeed, an early result due to S. Thangavelu (see [19, 20]) states that if m satisfies the following discrete Marcinkiewicz condition

$$|\Delta_\nu^\alpha m(\nu)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\nu|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad |\alpha| \leq \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1, \quad (5)$$

where Δ_ν is the usual difference operator, then the corresponding multiplier $T_m : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ extends to a bounded operator for all $1 < p < \infty$.

¹Each Hermite function ϕ_ν has the form $\phi_\nu := \prod_{j=1}^n \phi_{\nu_j}(x_j) = (2^{\nu_j} \nu_j! \sqrt{\pi})^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_{\nu_j}(x_j) e^{-\frac{1}{2}x_j^2}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, and $H_{\nu_j}(x_j) := (-1)^{\nu_j} e^{x_j^2} \frac{d^{\nu_j}}{dx_j^{\nu_j}} (e^{-x_j^2})$ denotes the Hermite polynomial of order ν_j .

² $\text{Dom}(A) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |m(\nu)\widehat{f}(\phi_\nu)|^2 < \infty\}$ is a dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, note that $\{\phi_\nu\}_\nu \subset \text{Dom}(A)$, and consequently $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \overline{\text{span}\{\phi_\nu\}_\nu} \subset \overline{\text{Dom}(A)}$.

In view of Theorem 1.1 of S. Blunck [2] (see also P. Chen, E. M. Ouhabaz, A. Sikora, and L. Yan, [7, p. 273]), if we restrict our attention to spectral multipliers $A = m(H)$, the boundedness on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, can be assured if m satisfies the Hörmander condition of order s ,

$$\|m\|_{l.u.H^s} := \sup_{r>0} \|m(r\cdot)\eta(|\cdot|)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{r>0} r^{s-\frac{n}{2}} \|m(\cdot)\eta(r^{-1}|\cdot|)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty, \tag{6}$$

where $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ and $s > \frac{n+1}{2}$, for all $p \in [p_0, \frac{p_0}{p_0-1}]$, for some $p_0 \in (1, 2)$. If $|\nu| = \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n$, for spectral pseudo-multipliers

$$Ef(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m(x, 2|\nu| + n) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu(x), \quad f \in \text{Dom}(E), \tag{7}$$

under one of the following conditions

- J. Epperson [9]: $n = 1$, E bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$|\Delta_\nu^\gamma m(x, 2\nu + 1)| \leq C_\gamma (2\nu + 1)^{-\gamma}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 5, \tag{8}$$

- S. Bagchi and S. Thangavelu [1]: $n \geq 2$, E bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$|\Delta_\nu^\gamma m(x, 2|\nu| + 1)| \leq C_\gamma (2|\nu| + 1)^{-\gamma}, \quad 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq n + 1, \tag{9}$$

the operator E extends to an operator of weak type $(1, 1)$. This means that $E : L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits a bounded extension (we denote by $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the weak L^1 -space³). In view of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation Theorem it follows that E extends to a bounded linear operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for all $1 < p \leq 2$.

We can note that in the previous results the L^2 -boundedness of pseudo-multipliers is assumed. The problem of finding reasonable conditions for the L^2 -boundedness of spectral pseudo-multipliers, was proposed by S. Bagchi and S. Thangavelu in [1]. To solve this problem, it was considered in [16], the following Hörmander conditions,

$$\|m\|_{l.u.,H^s} := \sup_{r>0, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} r^{(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\langle x \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[m(y, \cdot)\psi(r^{-1}|\cdot|)](x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} < \infty, \tag{10}$$

$$\|m\|_{l.u.,\mathcal{H}^s} := \sup_{k>0} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\langle x \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(y, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} < \infty, \tag{11}$$

defined by the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} and the inverse Fourier-Hermite transform \mathcal{F}_H^{-1} . More precisely, the Hörmander condition (10) of order $s > \frac{3n}{2}$, uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, or the condition (11) for $s > \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{12}$, uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, guarantee

³Which consists of those functions f such that $\|f\|_{L^{1,\infty}} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot \text{meas}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) < \infty$.

the L^2 - boundedness of the pseudo-multiplier (21). As it was pointed out in [16], in (10) we consider functions m on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, but to these functions we associate a pseudo-multiplier with symbol $\{m(x, \nu)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$. On the other hand (see Corollary 2.3 of [16]), if we assume the condition,

$$|\Delta_\nu^\alpha m(x, \nu)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\nu|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq \rho, \quad (12)$$

for $\rho = [3n/2] + 1$, then the pseudo-multiplier in (21) extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and for $\rho = 2n + 1$ we have its $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness for all $1 < p < \infty$. Now, we record the main theorem of [16]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let us assume that $2 \leq p < \infty$. If $A = T_m$ is a pseudo-multiplier with symbol m satisfying (10), then under one of the following conditions,*

- $n \geq 2$, $2 \leq p < \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $p = \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2(n+3)}$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1} < p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n-2} \leq p < \infty$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n-1}{2} + n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n = 1$, $2 \leq p < 4$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{3}{2}$,
- $n = 1$, $p = 4$, $s > s_{1,4} := 2$,
- $n = 1$, $4 < p < \infty$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,

the operator T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $1 < p \leq 2$, under one of the following conditions

- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+5} \leq p \leq 2$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n+2} \leq p \leq \frac{2(n+3)}{n+5}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $1 < p \leq \frac{2n}{n+2}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n-1}{2} + n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n = 1$, $\frac{4}{3} \leq p < 2$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{3}{2}$,
- $n = 1$, $1 < p < \frac{4}{3}$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,

the operator T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, in general:

- for every $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$ and every n , the condition $s > \frac{3n}{2}$ implies the L^p -boundedness of T_m .

If the symbol m of the pseudo-multiplier T_m satisfies the Hörmander condition (11), in order to guarantee the L^p -boundedness of T_m , in every case above we can take $s > s_{n,p} - \frac{1}{12}$. Moreover, the condition $s > \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{12}$ implies the L^p -boundedness of T_m for all $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$.

Now we present our main result. We will provide a version of Theorem 1.1 for the critical case $p = \infty$. Because in harmonic analysis the John-Nirenberg class BMO (see [15]) is a good substitute of L^∞ , we will investigate the boundedness of pseudo-multipliers from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a continuous linear operator such that its symbol $m = \{m(x, \nu)\}_{x \in G, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$ (see (20)) satisfies one of the following conditions,*

(CI): *m satisfies the Hörmander-Mihlin condition*

$$\|m\|_{l.u., H^s} := \sup_{r>0, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} r^{(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\langle x \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[m(y, \cdot) \psi(r^{-1}|\cdot|)](x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} < \infty, \tag{13}$$

where $s > \max\{\frac{7n}{4} + \varkappa, \frac{n}{2}\}$, and \varkappa is defined as in (43),

(CII): *m satisfies the Marcinkiewicz type condition,*

$$|\Delta_\nu^\alpha m(x, \nu)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\nu|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad |\alpha| \leq [7n/4 - 1/12] + 1. \tag{14}$$

Then the operator $A = T_m$ extends to a bounded operator from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now, we will discuss some consequences of our main result.

Remark 1.3. In relation with the results of Epperson [9] and Bagchi and Thangavelu [1] mentioned above, Theorem 1.2 implies that under one of the following conditions,

- $n = 1$, $|\Delta_\nu^\gamma m(x, 2\nu + 1)| \leq C_\gamma (2\nu + 1)^{-|\gamma|}$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2$,
- $n \geq 2$, $|\Delta_\nu^\gamma m(x, 2|\nu| + n)| \leq C_\gamma (2|\nu| + n)^{-|\gamma|}$, $0 \leq |\gamma| \leq [7n/4 - 1/12] + 1$,

the spectral pseudo-multiplier

$$Ef(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m(x, 2|\nu| + n) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu(x), \quad f \in \text{Dom}(E) \tag{15}$$

extends to a bounded operator from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 1.4. For $n = 1$, Theorem 1.1 implies that the symbol inequalities

$$|\Delta_\nu^\gamma m(x, \nu)| \leq C_\gamma (1 + \nu)^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 2, \quad (16)$$

are sufficient conditions for the $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -boundedness of pseudo-multipliers with $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$, and also under the estimates

$$|\Delta_\nu^\gamma m(x, \nu)| \leq C_\gamma (1 + \nu)^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 3, \quad (17)$$

we obtain the $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -boundedness of T_m for all $p \in (1, 4/3) \cup (4, \infty)$. However, we can improve the conditions on the number of derivatives imposed in (17) to discrete derivatives up to order 2 in order to assure the $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -boundedness of T_m for all $4/3 \leq p < \infty$. Indeed, from Theorem 1.2, the hypothesis (16) implies the boundedness of T_m from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ and also its $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -boundedness for $4/3 \leq p < \infty$, in view of the Stein-Fefferman interpolation theorem applied to the L^2 - L^2 and L^∞ - BMO boundedness results.

Remark 1.5. Let us consider a multiplier T_m of the harmonic oscillator. Theorem 1.2 assures that under one of the following conditions,

(CI): m satisfies the Hörmander-Mihlin condition

$$\|m\|_{l.u., H^s} := \sup_{r>0} r^{(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\langle x \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[m(\cdot)\psi(r^{-1}|\cdot|)](x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty, \quad (18)$$

where $s > \max\{\frac{7n}{4} + \varkappa, \frac{n}{2}\}$, and \varkappa is defined as in (43),

(CII): m satisfies the Marcinkiewicz type condition,

$$|\Delta_\nu^\alpha m(\nu)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\nu|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad |\alpha| \leq [7n/4 - 1/12] + 1, \quad (19)$$

the operator T_m extends to a bounded operator from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, the duality argument shows the boundedness of T_m from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For certain spectral aspects and applications to PDE of the theory of pseudo-multipliers we refer the reader to the works [6, 3, 4, 5] and [19]. This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 introduces the necessary background of harmonic analysis that we will use throughout this work. Finally, in Section 3 we prove our main theorem.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Pseudo-multipliers of the harmonic oscillator

To motivate the definition of pseudo-multipliers we will prove that these operators arise, for example, as bounded linear operators on the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let us consider the set $G := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \phi_\nu(z) \neq 0, \text{ for all } \nu\}$, and let $A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a continuous linear operator. Then, the function $m : G \times \mathbb{N}_0^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,⁴ defined by*

$$m(x, \nu) := \phi_\nu(x)^{-1} A \phi_\nu(x), \quad x \in G, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad (20)$$

satisfies the property

$$Af(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m(x, \nu) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu(x), \quad x \in G, f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (21)$$

Proof. Let us assume that A is a continuous linear operator $A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Because, for every $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $\phi_\nu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{Dom}(A)$, define for every $x \in G$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the function

$$m(x, \nu) := \phi_\nu(x)^{-1} A \phi_\nu(x). \quad (22)$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let us consider its Hermite series

$$f = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu. \quad (23)$$

Because $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \sum_{\nu} |\widehat{f}(\phi_\nu)|^2 < \infty$, by Simon Theorem (see Theorem 1 of B. Simon [18]), the series

$$f_N = \sum_{|\nu| \leq N} \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu, \quad N \in \mathbb{N} \quad (24)$$

converges to f in the topology of the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Because, $A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is a continuous linear operator, we have that Af_n converges to Af in the topology of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Consequently, we have proved that

$$Af = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) A \phi_\nu. \quad (25)$$

By observing that $m(x, \nu) := \phi_\nu(x)^{-1} A \phi_\nu(x)$, we obtain the identity,

$$Af(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m(x, \nu) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu(x), \quad x \in G, f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

So, we end the proof. □

⁴The symbol m is defined a.e. $(x, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Indeed, note that $D = \{z : \phi_\nu(z) = 0 \text{ for some } \nu\}$ is a countable set, has zero measure and that m is defined on $G \times \mathbb{N}_0^n$, where $G = \mathbb{R}^n - D$.

Remark 2.2. It is a well known fact that several classes of pseudo-differential operators

$$T_\sigma f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x\xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (26)$$

are continuous linear operators on the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For example, if σ is a tempered and smooth function (*i.e.* that $\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ satisfies $\int |\sigma(x, \xi)|(1+|x|+|\xi|)^{-\kappa} dx d\xi < \infty$ for some $\kappa > 0$) then $T_\sigma : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, extends to a continuous linear operator. More interesting cases arise with pseudo-differential operators with symbols σ in the Hörmander classes, or with more generality, in the Weyl-Hörmander classes (see L. Hörmander [13, 14]). From Theorem 2.1 we have that continuous pseudo-differential operators on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ also can be understood as pseudo-multipliers of the harmonic oscillator.

2.2. Functions of bounded mean oscillation BMO.

We will consider in the following two subsections the necessary notions for introducing the BMO and H^1 spaces. For this, we will follow Fefferman and Stein [11]. Let f be a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^n . Then f is of bounded mean oscillation (abbreviated as $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$), if

$$\sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q| dx := \|f\|_* < \infty, \quad (27)$$

where the supremum ranges over all finite cubes Q in \mathbb{R}^n , $|Q|$ is the Lebesgue measure of Q , and f_Q denote the mean value of f over Q , $f_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(x) dx$. It is a well known fact that $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{BMO}$. Moreover $\ln(|x|) \in \text{BMO}$. The class of functions of bounded mean oscillation, modulo constants, is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$, defined above. According to the John-Nirenberg inequality, $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if the inequality

$$|\{x \in Q : |f(x) - f_Q| > \alpha\}| \leq e^{-\frac{C\alpha}{\|f\|_*}} |Q|, \quad (28)$$

holds true for every $\alpha > 0$. For understanding the behaviour of a function $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it can be checked that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{1 + |x|^{n+1}} dx < \infty. \quad (29)$$

Moreover, a function $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if and only if (29) holds and

$$\iint_{|x-x_0|<\delta; 0<t<\delta} t |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx dt \lesssim \delta^n, \quad (30)$$

for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\delta > 0$. Here, $u(x, t)$ is the Poisson integral of f defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ by (see Fefferman [10]),

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x - y)f(y)dy, \quad P_t(x) := \frac{c_n t}{(t^2 + |x|^2)^{(n+1)/2}}. \quad (31)$$

2.3. The space H^1

The Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{D})$, $0 < p < \infty$, were first studied as part of complex analysis by G. H. Hardy [12]. An analytic function F on the disk \mathbb{D} is in $H^p(\mathbb{D})$, if

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < \infty. \quad (32)$$

For $1 < p < \infty$, we can identify $H^p(\mathbb{D})$, with $L^p(\mathbb{T})$, where \mathbb{T} is the circle. This identification does not hold, however, for $p \leq 1$. Unfortunately, these results cannot be extended to higher dimensions using the theory of functions of several complex variables. So, let us introduce the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let R_1, \dots, R_n , be the Riesz transform on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$R_j f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|\xi| > \varepsilon} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} \xi_j / |\xi| \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad f \in \text{Dom}(R_j), \quad (33)$$

where $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi x \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$, is the Fourier transform of f at ξ . Then, $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of those functions f on \mathbb{R}^n , satisfying,

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|R_j f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (34)$$

The main remark in this subsection is that the dual of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Fefferman and Stein [11]). This can be understood in the following sense:

- (a) If $\phi \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\Phi : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\phi(x)dx$, admits a bounded extension on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (b) Conversely, every continuous linear functional Φ on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ arises as in (a) with a unique element $\phi \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The norm of ϕ as a linear functional on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equivalent to the BMO norm. Important properties of the BMO and the H^1 norm are the following,

$$\|f\|_* = \sup_{\|g\|_{H^1}=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)dx \right|, \quad \|g\|_{H^1} = \sup_{\|f\|_{BMO}=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)dx \right|. \quad (35)$$

For our further analysis we will use the following fact (see Fefferman and Stein [11, pag. 183]): if $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\int \phi(x)dx = 1$, let us define

$$u^{+,f}(x) := \sup_{t>0} |\phi_t * f(x)| = \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_t(x-y)f(y)dy \right|, \quad \phi_t(x) = t^{-n}\phi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right). \quad (36)$$

Then, $u^{+,f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_t * f(x)$, *a.e.x*, and there exist positive constants A and B satisfying

$$A\|f\|_{H^1} \leq \|u^{+,f}\|_{L^1} \leq B\|f\|_{H^1}. \quad (37)$$

The duals of the $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces, $0 < p < 1$, are Lipschitz spaces. This is due to P. Duren, B. Romberg and A. Shields [8] on the unit circle, and to T. Walsh [23] in \mathbb{R}^n .

2.4. The Hörmander-Mihlin condition for pseudo-multipliers

As we mentioned in the introduction, if m is a function on \mathbb{R}^n , we say that m satisfies the Hörmander condition of order $s > 0$, if

$$\|m\|_{l.u.H^s} := \sup_{r>0} \|m(r\cdot)\eta(|\cdot|)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{r>0} r^{s-\frac{n}{2}} \|m(\cdot)\eta(r^{-1}|\cdot|)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty, \quad (38)$$

where $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the usual Sobolev space of order s . Indeed, we also can use the following formulation for the Hörmander-Mihlin condition,

$$\|m\|_{l.u.H^s} := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|m(2^j|\cdot|)\eta(\cdot)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|m(\cdot)\eta(2^{-j}|\cdot|)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty. \quad (39)$$

In particular, if we choose $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ with compact support in $[1/2, 2]$, and we assume that m has support in $\{\xi : |\xi| > 2\}$, we have that $m(\cdot)\eta(2^{-j}|\cdot|) = 0$ for $j \leq 0$. So, for a such symbol m , we have

$$\|m\|_{l.u.H^s} := \sup_{j \geq 1} \|m(2^j|\cdot|)\eta(\cdot)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{j \geq 1} 2^{j(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|m(\cdot)\eta(2^{-j}|\cdot|)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty. \quad (40)$$

Because we define multipliers by associating to T_m the restriction of m to \mathbb{N}_0^n , we always can split $T_m = T_0 + S_m$, where T_0 has symbol supported in $\{\nu : |\nu| \leq 2\}$ and the pseudo-multiplier S_m has symbol supported in $\{\nu : |\nu| > 2\}$. We will apply the Hörmander condition to S_m in order to assure its L^∞ -BMO boundedness, and later we will conclude that T_m is L^∞ -BMO bounded, by observing that the L^∞ -BMO boundedness of T_0 is trivial. This analysis will be developed in detail in the next section, in the context of pseudo-multipliers by employing the Hörmander type condition

$$\|m\|_{l.u.H^s} := \sup_{j \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{j(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|m(x, \cdot)\eta(2^{-j}|\cdot|)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty, \quad (41)$$

for s large enough which follows from (13).

3. L^∞ -BMO continuity for pseudo-multipliers

In this section we present the proof of our main result. The main strategy in the proof of Theorem 1.2 will be a suitable Littlewood-Paley decomposition of the symbol together with some suitable estimates for the operator norm of pseudo-multipliers associated to each part of this decomposition. Our starting point is the following lemma. We use the symbol $X \lesssim Y$ to denote that there exists a universal constant C such that $X \leq CY$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\phi_\nu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ be a Hermite function. Then, there exists $\varkappa \leq -1/12$, such that*

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{\text{BMO}} \lesssim |\nu|^\varkappa. \tag{42}$$

Proof. By using that $L^\infty \subset \text{BMO}$, we have $\|\phi_\nu\|_{\text{BMO}} \lesssim \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^\infty}$. Now, from Remark 2.5 of [16] we can estimate $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |\nu|^{-1/12}$ which implies the desired estimate. Indeed, if

$$\varkappa := \inf\{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : \|\phi_\nu\|_{\text{BMO}} \lesssim |\nu|^\omega\}, \tag{43}$$

we have that $\varkappa \leq -1/12$. \(\checkmark\)

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We will prove that if m satisfies the condition (CI), then $A = T_m$ can be extended to a bounded operator from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let us consider the operator

$$\mathcal{R} := \frac{1}{2}(H - n), \tag{44}$$

where H is the harmonic oscillator on \mathbb{R}^n , and let us fix a dyadic decomposition of its spectrum: we choose a function $\psi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\psi_0(\lambda) = 1$, if $|\lambda| \leq 1$, and $\psi(\lambda) = 0$, for $|\lambda| \geq 2$. For every $j \geq 1$, let us define $\psi_j(\lambda) = \psi_0(2^{-j}\lambda) - \psi_0(2^{-j+1}\lambda)$. Then we have

$$(45) \quad \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \psi_l(\lambda) = 1, \text{ for every } \lambda > 0.$$

Let us consider $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will decompose the symbol m as

$$m(x, \nu) = m(x, \nu)(\psi_0(|\nu|) + \psi_1(|\nu|)) + \sum_{k=2}^\infty m_k(x, \nu), \quad m_k(x, \nu) := m(x, \nu) \cdot \psi_k(|\nu|). \tag{46}$$

Let us define the sequence of pseudo-multipliers $T_{m(j)}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, associated to every symbol m_j , for $j \geq 2$, and by T_0 the operator with symbol $\sigma \equiv m(x, \nu)(\psi_0 + \psi_1)$. Then we want to show that the operator series

$$T_0 + S_m, \quad S_m := \sum_k T_{m(k)}, \tag{47}$$

satisfies

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty, \text{BMO})} \leq \|T_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty, \text{BMO})} + \sum_k \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty, \text{BMO})}, \quad (48)$$

where the series in the right hand side converges. Because, $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for every j , $T_{m(j)}$ has symbol with compact support, $T_{m(j)} : L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded, and consequently $T_{m(j)}f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, because $T_{m(j)}f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we will estimate its BMO norm $\|T_{m(j)}f\|_*$. By using that every symbol m_k has variable ν supported in $\{\nu : 2^{k-1} \leq |\nu| \leq 2^{k+1}\}$, we have

$$T_{m(k)}f(x) = \sum_{2^{k-1} \leq |\nu| \leq 2^{k+1}} m_k(x, \nu) \phi_\nu(x) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Consequently,

$$\|T_{m(k)}f\|_* \leq \sum_{2^{k-1} \leq |\nu| \leq 2^{k+1}} \|m_k(\cdot, \nu) \phi_\nu(\cdot)\|_* |\widehat{f}(\phi_\nu)|. \quad (49)$$

From (35) and by using the Fourier inversion formula we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|m_k(\cdot, \nu) \phi_\nu(\cdot)\|_* &= \sup_{\|\Omega\|_{H^1}=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m_k(x, \nu) \phi_\nu(x) \Omega(x) dx \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|\Omega\|_{H^1}=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi\nu \cdot \xi} \widehat{m}_k(x, \xi) d\xi \phi_\nu(x) \Omega(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|\Omega\|_{H^1}=1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{m}_k(x, \xi)| d\xi \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_\nu(x)| |\Omega(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the condition $s > n/2$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{m}_k(x, \xi)| d\xi \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\widehat{m}_k(x, \xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2s} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (50)$$

Consequently, we claim that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{m}_k(x, \xi)| d\xi \leq C \|m\|_{l.u.H^s} \times 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})}. \quad (51)$$

Indeed, if $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) := \psi_0(\lambda) - \psi_0(2\lambda)$, then $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{m}_k(x, \xi)| d\xi &\lesssim \|m_k(x, \cdot)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|m(x, \cdot) \tilde{\psi}(2^{-k}|\cdot|)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \|m(x, \cdot)\|_{l.u.H^s} \times 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \leq \|m\|_{l.u.,H^s} \times 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|m_k(\cdot, \nu)\phi_\nu(\cdot)\|_* &\leq \|m\|_{l.u., H^s} \times 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \times \sup_{\|\Omega\|_{H^1}=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_\nu(x)| |\Omega(x)| dx \\ &= \|m\|_{l.u., H^s} \times 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \times \sup_{\|\Omega\|_{H^1}=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{sig}(\Omega(x)) |\phi_\nu(x)| |\Omega(x)| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{sig}(\Omega(x)) = -1$, if $\Omega(x) < 0$, and $\text{sig}(\Omega(x)) = 1$, if $\Omega(x) \geq 0$. By the duality relation (35) and by using that

$$\| \text{sig}(\Omega(x)) |\phi_\nu(x)| \|_{\text{BMO}} \leq 2 \| |\text{sig}(\Omega(x)) | \phi_\nu(x) | \|_{\text{BMO}} = 2 \| |\phi_\nu(x)| \|_{\text{BMO}}, \tag{52}$$

we conclude that

$$\|m_k(\cdot, \nu)\phi_\nu(\cdot)\|_* \lesssim \|m\|_{l.u., H^s} 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \sup_{\|\Omega\|_{H^1}=1} \|\phi_\nu\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\Omega\|_{H^1}.$$

Returning to the estimate (49), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{m(k)}f\|_* &\leq \sum_{2^{k-1} \leq |\nu| \leq 2^{k+1}} \|m\|_{l.u., H^s} 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\phi_\nu\|_{\text{BMO}} |\widehat{f}(\phi_\nu)| \\ &\leq \sum_{2^{k-1} \leq |\nu| \leq 2^{k+1}} \|m\|_{l.u., H^s} 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\phi_\nu\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^1} \|f\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the analysis above implies the following estimate for the operator norm of $T_{m(k)}$, for all $k \geq 2$,

$$\|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \lesssim \sum_{2^{k-1} \leq |\nu| \leq 2^{k+1}} \|m\|_{l.u., H^s} 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\phi_\nu\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^1}.$$

By using Lemma 2.2 of [16] we have $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |\nu|^{\frac{n}{4}}$. Additionally, the inequality (42)

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{\text{BMO}} \lesssim |\nu|^\varkappa$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n))} &\lesssim \sum_{2^{k-1} \leq |\nu| \leq 2^{k+1}} 2^{k(\frac{n}{4}+\varkappa)} \times \|m\|_{l.u., H^s} \times 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \\ &\asymp 2^{kn} \times 2^{k(\frac{n}{4}+\varkappa)} \times \|m\|_{l.u., H^s} \times 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using that T_0 is a pseudo-multiplier whose symbol has compact support in the ν -variables, we conclude that T_0 is bounded from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|T_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|m\|_{L^\infty}.$$

This analysis allows us to estimate the operator norm of T_m as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n))} &\leq \|T_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \sum_k \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ &\lesssim \|m\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s - \frac{7n}{4} - \varkappa)} \|m\|_{l.u.H^s} \\ &\leq C(\|m\|_{L^\infty} + \|m\|_{l.u.H^s}) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $s > \frac{7n}{4} + \varkappa$, for some $\varkappa \leq -1/12$. So, we have proved the L^∞ -BMO boundedness of T_m . In order to end the proof we only need to prove that, under the condition (CII), the operator T_m is bounded from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. But, if m satisfies (CII), then it also does to satisfy (CI), in view of the inequality,

$$\|m\|_{l.u.H^s} \lesssim \sup_{|\alpha| \leq [7n/4 - 1/12] + 1} (1 + |\nu|)^{|\alpha|} \sup_{x, \nu} |\Delta^\alpha m(x, \nu)|, \quad (53)$$

for $s > 0$ satisfying, $\frac{7n}{4} - \frac{1}{12} < s < [7n/4 - 1/12] + 1$, (see Eq. (2.29) of [16]).

Remark 3.2. According to the proof of Theorem 1.2, if T_m satisfies the condition (CI), then we have

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C(\|m\|_{L^\infty} + \|m\|_{l.u.H^s}). \quad (54)$$

On the other hand, if we assume (CII), the operator norm of T_m satisfies

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \sup_{|\alpha| \leq [7n/4 - 1/12] + 1} (1 + |\nu|)^{|\alpha|} \sup_{x, \nu} |\Delta^\alpha m(x, \nu)|. \quad (55)$$

✓

Acknowledgements. I would like to thanks Professor Michael Ruzhansky for several discussions on the subject.

References

- [1] S. Bagchi and S. Thangavelu, *On Hermite pseudo-multipliers*, J. Funct. Anal. **268** (2015), no. 1, 140–170.
- [2] S. Blunck, *A Hörmander-type spectral multiplier theorem for operators without heat kernel*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. **5** (2003), no. 2, 449–459.
- [3] D. Cardona, *A brief description of operators associated to the quantum harmonic oscillator on Schatten-von Neumann classes*, Rev. Integr. Temas Mat. **36** (2018), no. 1, 49–57.

- [4] ———, *L^p -estimates for a Schrödinger equation associated with the harmonic oscillator.*, Electron. J. Differential Equations (2019), no. 20, 1–10.
- [5] ———, *Sharp estimates for the Schrödinger equation associated to the twisted Laplacian*, Rep. Math. Phys. (to appear), arXiv:1810.02940.
- [6] D. Cardona and E. S. Barraza, *On nuclear l_p multipliers associated to the harmonic oscillator*, Perspectives from Developing Countries, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, Springer, Imperial College London, UK, 2016. M. Ruzhansky and J. Delgado (Eds), 2019.
- [7] P. Chen, E. M. Ouhabaz, A. Sikora, and L. Yan, *Restriction estimates, sharp spectral multipliers and endpoint estimates for Bochner-Riesz means*, J. Anal. Math. **129** (2016), 219–283.
- [8] P. Duren, B. Romberg, and A. Shields, *Linear functionals on h^p spaces with $0 < p < 1$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **238** (1969), 32–60.
- [9] J. Epperson, *Hermite multipliers and pseudo-multipliers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), no. 7, 2061–2068.
- [10] C. Fefferman, *Characterizations of bounded mean oscillation*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1971), 587–588.
- [11] C. Fefferman and E. Stein, *h^p -spaces of several variables*, Acta Math **129** (1972), 137–193.
- [12] G. H. Hardy, *The mean value of the modulus of an analytic function*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **14** (1914), 269–277.
- [13] L. Hörmander, *Pseudo-differential operators and hypo-elliptic equations*, Proc. Symposium on Singular Integrals, Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1967), 138–183.
- [14] ———, *The analysis of the linear partial differential operators vol. iii.*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [15] F. John and L. Nirenberg, *On Functions of Bounded Mean Oscillation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. (1961), 415–426.
- [16] M. Ruzhansky and D. Cardona, *Hörmander condition for pseudo-multipliers associated to the harmonic oscillator*, arXiv:1810.01260.
- [17] M. Ruzhansky and N. Tokmagambetov, *Nonharmonic analysis of boundary value problems without WZ condition*, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. **12** (2017), 115–140.
- [18] B. Simon, *Distributions and their hermite expansions*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971), 140–148.

- [19] S. Thangavelu, *Multipliers for hermite expansions*, *Revist. Mat. Ibero.* **3** (1987), 1–24.
- [20] ———, *Lectures on Hermite and Laguerre Expansions*, *Math. Notes*, vol. 42, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [21] ———, *Hermite and special Hermite expansions revisited*, *Duke Math. J.* **94** (1998), no. 2, 257–278.
- [22] N. Tokmagambetov and M. Ruzhansky, *Nonharmonic analysis of boundary value problems*, *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **12** (2016), 3548–3615.
- [23] T. Walsh, *The dual of $\mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ for $p < 1$* , *Can. J. Math.* **25** (1973), 567–577.

(Recibido en septiembre de 2019. Aceptado en enero de 2020)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC, AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS
GHENT UNIVERSITY
KRIJGSLAAN 281,
GHENT, BELGIUM
e-mail: `duvanc306@gmail.com`, `duvan.cardonasanchez@ugent.be`