

# Hölder-continuous solution for a nonlinear elasticity system

GILBERTO PÉREZ P.

Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia, Tunja

LEONARDO RENDÓN A.

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper the Cauchy problem for a non-linear elasticity system in Lagrange coordinates is considered. Using the method of null viscosity we prove the existence of Hölder-Continuous solutions for the non-linear elasticity system  $v_t - u_x = 0$ ,  $u_t - \sigma(v)_x = 0$ .

**Keywords and phrases.** Hölder-Continuous solutions, Cauchy problem, Riemann invariants.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary: 35B40. Secondary: 35L65.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the hyperbolic system of conservation laws

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - u_x &= 0 \\ u_t - \sigma(v)_x &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$  and the initial conditions

$$(v(x, 0), u(x, 0)) = (v_0(x), u_0(x)), \tag{2}$$

where  $v_0(x)$  and  $u_0(x)$  are measurable bounded functions,  $u$  represents the speed,  $v$  represents the tension and  $\sigma(v)$  the force in transverse sections (compression).

We assume the following consistence conditions:

$$\sigma(v) \in C^2(-\infty, \infty), \tag{3}$$

$$\sigma'(v) > k > 0, \sigma''(v) > 0. \quad (4)$$

The system (1) can be written in the general form

$$\mathbf{u}_t + F(\mathbf{u})_x = 0 \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (v(x, t), u(x, t))$  and  $F(v, u) = (-u, -\sigma(v))$ .

A weak solution to (5) is a measurable function  $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (v(x, t), u(x, t))$  such that  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $F(\mathbf{u})$  are in  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^2)$  and

$$\int_{t>0} \int \left[ \mathbf{u} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + F(\mathbf{u}) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right] dx dt + \int_{t=0} \mathbf{u}_0 \phi dx = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty); \mathbb{R}).$$

The eigenvalues of the system (1) are

$$\lambda_2 = \sqrt{\sigma'(v)}, \quad \lambda_1 = -\sqrt{\sigma'(v)} \quad (6)$$

and

$$z = u + \int_{v_1}^v \sqrt{\sigma'(s)} ds, \quad w = u - \int_{v_1}^v \sqrt{\sigma'(s)} ds \quad (7)$$

are the corresponding Riemman invariants, (see [12]) and  $v_1$  is a constant.

From the assumptions on  $\sigma(v)$ , we have

$$u_w = \frac{1}{2}, \quad u_z = \frac{1}{2}, \quad v_w = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sigma'(v)}}, \quad v_z = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sigma'(v)}}, \quad (8)$$

$$\lambda_{1w} = \lambda_{2z} = \frac{\sigma''(v)}{4\sigma'(v)} > 0, \quad \lambda_{1z} = \lambda_{2w} = -\frac{\sigma''(v)}{4\sigma'(v)} < 0. \quad (9)$$

Then the system (1) is genuinely non linear and strictly hyperbolic.

For studies of (1) using Young measure and compensated compactness the reader is referred to [4], [9] and [11]. Here we use a variant of the standard viscosity method introduced in [7].

The smooth solution is obtained by replacing the system

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - u_x &= \varepsilon v_{xx} \\ u_t - \sigma(v)_x &= \varepsilon u_{xx} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} w_t + \lambda_2 w_x &= \varepsilon w_{xx} \\ z_t + \lambda_1 z_x &= \varepsilon z_{xx}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with initial values

$$(w(x, 0), z(x, 0)) = (w_0(x), z_0(x)). \quad (12)$$

We will show that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  the problem (11), (12) has a bounded solution  $(w^\varepsilon, z^\varepsilon)$  and we prove that there is a subsequence  $(v^{\varepsilon_k}, u^{\varepsilon_k})$  converging uniformly to a pair of functions  $(v, u)$ . Then we show that  $(v, u)$  is a Hölder-continuous solution of the problem (1), (2).

Differentiating (11) with respect to  $x$  and taking  $w_x = r$   $y$   $z_x = s$ , we obtain the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} r_t + \lambda_2 r_x + (\lambda_{2w} r + \lambda_{2z} s) r &= \varepsilon r_{xx}, \\ s_t + \lambda_1 s_x + (\lambda_{1w} r + \lambda_{1z} s) s &= \varepsilon s_{xx} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This paper is organized in the following way: in Section 2 we find the local solution and the *a priori* approximations for the solution the Cauchy problem (11), (12). In Section 3 we find the global solution for problem (11), (12) and in section 4 we find the Hölder-continuous solution of the problem (1), (2).

## 2. Solutions by the viscosity method

**2.1. Local Solution.** We consider the set

$$\mathbf{U} = \{ (w, z) : c_1 - \gamma \leq w \leq c_2 + \gamma, c_3 - \gamma \leq z \leq c_4 + \gamma, |w_x| \leq 2M, |z_x| \leq 2M \}$$

where  $\gamma = \int_{v_1 - \delta}^0 \sqrt{\sigma'(v)} dv$ ,  $\delta$  is a small positive constant. We choose the norm  $\|f\| = \|f\|_\infty + \|f_x\|_\infty$  for  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $(w, z) \in \mathbf{U}$  we define

$$T \begin{pmatrix} w(x, t) \\ z(x, t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w^0(x, t) + \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\lambda_2 w_y(y, s) G(x - y, t - s) dy ds \\ z^0(x, t) + \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\lambda_1 z_y(y, s) G(x - y, t - s) dy ds \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $G(x, t)$  is the heat kernel and

$$w^0(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x - y, t) w_0(y) dy, \quad z^0(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x - y, t) z_0(y) dy.$$

It can be shown that  $T(\mathbf{U}) \subseteq \mathbf{U}$  and that  $T$  is a contraction in some strip  $R_\tau = (-\infty, \infty) \times [0, \tau]$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\sigma'(v) > k > 0$ ,  $\sigma''(v) > 0$  and  $w_0(x), z_0(x)$  are  $C^1(\mathbb{R})$  functions satisfying:*

$$c_1 \leq w_0(x) \leq c_2, \quad c_3 \leq z_0(x) \leq c_4,$$

$$|w_{0x}(x)| \leq M, \quad |z_{0x}(x)| \leq M.$$

*Then there exists a smooth solution for the Cauchy problem (11), (12) in some region  $R_\tau = (-\infty, \infty) \times [0, \tau]$ . This solution satisfies*

$$c_1 - \gamma \leq w \leq c_2 + \gamma, \quad c_3 - \gamma \leq z \leq c_4 + \gamma,$$

$$|w_x(x, t)| \leq 2M, \quad |z_x(x, t)| \leq 2M.$$

*Proof.* For  $(w, z) \in \mathbf{U}$  we have that

$$c_1 - \gamma \leq w \leq c_2 + \gamma, \quad c_3 - \gamma \leq z \leq c_4 + \gamma, \quad -c_2 - \gamma \leq -w \leq -c_1 + \gamma$$

where  $c_3 - c_2 - 2\gamma \leq z - w \leq c_4 - c_1 + 2\gamma$ , that is,

$$c_3 - c_2 - 2\gamma \leq 2 \int_{v_1}^v \sqrt{\sigma'(s)} ds \leq c_4 - c_1 + 2\gamma.$$

Hence, there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$|\lambda_2|, |\lambda_1| \leq c.$$

Let us call  $W$  and  $Z$  the first and the second components of  $T \begin{pmatrix} w(x, t) \\ z(x, t) \end{pmatrix}$  respectively. Thus  $c_1 - \gamma \leq W \leq c_2 + \gamma$ ,  $c_3 - \gamma \leq Z \leq c_4 + \gamma$ .

To show that  $|W_x| \leq 2M$ ,  $|Z_x| \leq 2M$  in a strip  $(-\infty, \infty) \times [0, \tau]$  for some appropriate  $\tau$ , we observe that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G_x(x - y, t - s)| dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t - s}}$$

and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_x(x - y, t) w_0(y) dy = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x - y, t) w_{0y}(y) dy.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |W_x| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_x(x - y, t) w_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\lambda_2 w_y(y, s) G_x(x - y, t - s) dy ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_x(x - y, t) w_0(y) dy \right| + \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |-\lambda_2 w_y(y, s)| |G_x(x - y, t - s)| dy ds \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G_x(x - y, t)| |w_{0y}(y)| dy + 2Mc \int_0^t |G_x(x - y, t - s)| ds \leq M + \frac{4Mc}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{t}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $|W_x| \leq 2M$  in  $(-\infty, \infty) \times [0, \tau]$  with  $\tau = \frac{\pi}{(4Mc)^2}$ . The inequality  $|Z_x| \leq 2M$  is obtained in a similar way.  $\square$

**2.2. A priori estimates.** The next lemma contains the *a priori* approximation that we need to establish the global existence of smooth solutions for the Cauchy problem (11), (12).

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\sigma(v)$  satisfy (3) and (4). Let  $w_0(x)$  and  $z_0(x)$  be bounded in the  $C^1(\mathbb{R})$  space and satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &\leq w_0(x) \leq c_2, & c_3 &\leq z_0(x) \leq c_4, \\ 0 &\leq w_{0x}(x) \leq \bar{M}, & 0 &\leq z_{0x}(x) \leq \bar{M}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

*If  $(w(x, t), z(x, t))$  is a smooth solution of (11), (12) defined in the strip  $(-\infty, \infty) \times [0, T]$ , with  $0 < T < \infty$ , and  $\lambda_{1w}, \lambda_{1z}, \lambda_{1w}, \lambda_{2z}$  are bounded in*

$(-\infty, \infty) \times [0, T]$  then

$$c_1 \leq w(x, t) \leq c_2, \quad c_3 \leq z(x, t) \leq c_4, \quad (15)$$

$$0 \leq w_x(x, t) \leq \bar{M}, \quad 0 \leq z_x(x, t) \leq \bar{M}. \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* The proof of (15) is similar to that of the linear case presented in [10]. To show that  $0 \leq w_x(x, t) \leq \bar{M}$ , let us introduce the transformation,

$$r = \left( \bar{r} - \frac{M}{L^2} (x^2 + cLe^t) \right) e^{\beta t} \quad (17)$$

where  $c, \beta, L$  are positive constants and  $M$  is a bound for  $r$  and  $s$  over the set  $\mathbb{R} \times (0, t)$ . ( $M$  can be obtained from the local existence solution).

From (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \left( \bar{r}_t - \frac{M}{L^2} cLe^t \right) e^{\beta t} + \left( \bar{r}_t - \frac{M}{L^2} (x^2 + cLe^t) \right) \beta e^{\beta t}, \\ \lambda_2 r_x &= \left( \lambda_2 \bar{r}_x - \frac{2Mx}{L^2} \right) e^{\beta t}, \\ (\lambda_{2w} r + \lambda_{2z} s) r &= (\lambda_{2w} r + \lambda_{2z} s) \left( \bar{r} - \frac{M}{L^2} (x^2 + cLe^t) \right) e^{\beta t}, \\ \varepsilon r_{xx} &= \varepsilon \left( \bar{r}_{xx} - \frac{2M}{L^2} \right) e^{\beta t}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing these identities in the first equation of (13), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \left( \bar{r}_{xx} - \frac{2M}{L^2} \right) &= \bar{r}_t - \frac{M}{L^2} cLe^t + \left( \bar{r} - \frac{M}{L^2} (x^2 + cLe^t) \right) \beta \\ &\quad + \lambda_2 \left( \bar{r}_x - \frac{2Mx}{L^2} \right) + (\lambda_{2w} r + \lambda_{2z} s) \left( \bar{r} - \frac{M}{L^2} (x^2 + cLe^t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \bar{r}_{xx} - \frac{2M}{L^2} \varepsilon &= \bar{r}_t - \frac{M}{L^2} cLe^t + \beta \bar{r} - \frac{M}{L^2} (x^2 + cLe^t) \beta + \lambda_2 \bar{r}_x \\ &\quad - \frac{2Mx}{L^2} \lambda_2 + (\lambda_{2w} r + \lambda_{2z} s) \bar{r} - \frac{M}{L^2} (\lambda_{2w} r + \lambda_{2z} s) (x^2 + cLe^t), \\ \bar{r}_t + \lambda_2 \bar{r}_x - \varepsilon \bar{r}_{xx} &+ (\beta + \lambda_{2w} r + \lambda_{2z} s) \bar{r} \\ &= \frac{M}{L^2} (cLe^t + 2x\lambda_2 - 2\varepsilon) + \frac{M}{L^2} (\beta + \lambda_{2w} r + \lambda_{2z} s) (x^2 + cLe^t). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Also from (17)

$$\bar{r}(x, 0) = r(x, 0) + \frac{M}{L^2} (x^2 + cL) > 0. \quad (19)$$

Since  $w_{0x} = r(0, x)$  and  $0 \leq w_{0x} \leq \bar{M}$  then

$$\bar{r}(\pm L, t) = r(\pm L, t) e^{-\beta t} + \frac{M}{L^2} (L^2 + cLe^t) > 0, \quad (20)$$

because  $M$  is a bound of  $r$  over  $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$ .

From (18), (19) and (20) we have that

$$\bar{r}(x, t) > 0 \text{ over } (-L, L) \times (0, T). \quad (21)$$

In fact, if (21) does not hold at a point  $(x, t)$  in  $(-L, L) \times (0, T)$ , let  $\bar{t}$  be the minimum upper bound of the  $t$  values of  $t$  such that  $\bar{r} > 0$ ; then due to continuity we see that  $\bar{r} = 0$  at some points  $(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) \times (-L, L)$ .

Thus  $\bar{r}_t \leq 0$ ,  $\bar{r}_x = 0$  and  $-\varepsilon r_{xx} \leq 0$  in  $(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$ ; then

$$\bar{r}_t + \lambda_2 \bar{r}_x - \varepsilon \bar{r}_{xx} \leq 0 \text{ in } (\bar{x}, \bar{t}). \quad (22)$$

But, if we choose  $\beta, c$  large enough such that

$$\beta + \lambda_{2w} r + \lambda_{2z} s > 0, \quad cLe^t + 2x\lambda_2 - 2\varepsilon > 0, \quad (23)$$

over  $(-L, L) \times (0, T)$ , equation (22) contradicts (18). Therefore (21) is proved. Then for all points  $(x_0, t_0)$  in  $(-L, L) \times (0, T)$ ,

$$r(x_0, t_0) > -\frac{M}{L^2} (x_0^2 + cLe^{t_0}) e^{\beta t_0}, \quad (24)$$

which gives the required estimate for  $r$  when  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . We can obtain the estimate  $s \geq 0$  in a similar way.

From  $r \geq 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$  and using (13) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} r_t + \lambda_2 r_x &\leq \varepsilon r_{xx}, \\ s_t + \lambda_1 s_x &\leq \varepsilon s_{xx}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Then  $w_x(x, t) \leq \bar{M}$ ,  $z_x(x, t) \leq \bar{M}$  are obtained from both of the inequalities above.  $\square$

### 3. Global Solutions

Choosing  $w(x, T)$ ,  $z(x, T)$  as initial data at time  $t = T$  and using the *a priori* approximations, we see that the Cauchy problem (11), (12) has a smooth solution in  $(-\infty, \infty) \times [T, 2T]$ . Repeating this process, we have a global solution. Thus, the local existence in Lemma 1, and the *a priori* approximation of Lemma 2, yield the next global existence result.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\sigma(v)$  satisfy (3), (4) and let  $w_0(x)$ , and  $z_0(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &\leq w_0(x) \leq c_2, \quad c_3 \leq z_0(x) \leq c_4, \\ 0 &\leq w_{0x}(x) \leq M, \quad 0 \leq z_{0x}(x) \leq M, \end{aligned}$$

*then the Cauchy problem (11), (12) has a unique global smooth solution that satisfies (15) and (16).*

Now, we will give the  $w_t$  and  $z_t$  approximations. Let  $X = w_t$ ,  $Y = z_t$  then

$$\begin{aligned} X|_{t=0} &= w_t|_{t=0} = \varepsilon w_{xx} - \lambda_2 w_x|_{t=0}, \\ Y|_{t=0} &= z_t|_{t=0} = \varepsilon z_{xx} - \lambda_1 z_x|_{t=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Differentiating the first equation of (11) with respect to  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\lambda_2 w_x)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial w_{xx}}{\partial t}, \\ X_t + \frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial t} \cdot w_x + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial w_x}{\partial t} &= \varepsilon X_{xx}, \\ X_t + \left( \frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial w} \cdot \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \right) w_x + \lambda_2 X_x &= \varepsilon X_{xx}, \\ X_t + (\lambda_{2w} X + \lambda_{2z} Y) w_x + \lambda_2 X_x &= \varepsilon X_{xx}.\end{aligned}\quad (27a)$$

Similarly

$$Y_t + \lambda_1 Y_x + (\lambda_{1z} Y + \lambda_{1w} X) z_x = \varepsilon Y_{xx}. \quad (27)$$

**Lemma 4.** *If  $(w_0(x), z_0(x))$  satisfy the hypotheses in Theorem 3,  $w_0(x), z_0(x)$  are of class  $C^2(\mathbb{R})$  and*

$$|X_0(x)| \leq M \text{ and } |Y_0(x)| \leq M \quad (28)$$

then

$$|X(x, t)| \leq M e^{\lambda T} \text{ and } |Y(x, t)| \leq M e^{\lambda T} \quad (29)$$

where  $\lambda = \max\{0, \sup_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} (\lambda_{2z} - \lambda_{2w}) w_x, \sup_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} (\lambda_{1w} - \lambda_{1z}) z_x\}$ .

*Proof.* We consider the transformation

$$\begin{aligned}-X &= \left( \bar{X} + M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) e^{\lambda t}, \\ Y &= \left( \bar{Y} + M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) e^{\lambda t},\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

with  $c, N$  positive constants and  $N$  is the  $(w_t, z_t)$  upper bound over  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]$ . Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned}-X_t &= \left( \bar{X}_t + \frac{NcLe^t}{L^2} \right) e^{\lambda t} + \left( \bar{X} + M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) \lambda e^{\lambda t}, \\ -X_x &= \left( \bar{X}_x + \frac{2xN}{L^2} \right) e^{\lambda t}, \quad -X_{xx} = \left( \bar{X}_{xx} + \frac{2N}{L^2} \right) e^{\lambda t},\end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_{2w} X + \lambda_{2z} Y =$$

$$\left[ \lambda_{2w} \left( \bar{X} + M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) + \lambda_{2z} \left( \bar{Y} + M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) \right] e^{\lambda t}.$$

Replacing these identities in the equation in (27a), it is obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \bar{X}_{xx} + \frac{2N}{L^2} \varepsilon = & \bar{X}_t + \frac{NcLe^t}{L^2} + \left( \bar{X} + M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) \lambda + \\ & \left[ \lambda_{2w} \left( \bar{X} + M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) + \lambda_{2z} \left( -\bar{Y} - M - \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) \right] w_x \\ & + \lambda_2 \left( \bar{X}_x + \frac{2xN}{L^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon X_{xx} + \frac{2N}{L^2} \varepsilon = & \bar{X}_t + \lambda_2 \bar{X}_x + \frac{NcLe^t}{L^2} + \frac{2xN}{L^2} \lambda_2 + (\lambda_{2w} \bar{X} - \lambda_{2z} \bar{Y}) w_x \\ & + \lambda \bar{X} + \left[ \lambda_{2w} \left( M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) - \lambda_{2z} \left( M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) \right] w_x \\ & + \left( M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon X_{xx} = & \bar{X}_t + \lambda_2 \bar{X}_x + (\lambda_{2w} \bar{X} - \lambda_{2z} \bar{Y}) w_x \\ & + \lambda \bar{X} + (cLe^t + 2\lambda_2 x - 2\varepsilon) \frac{N}{L^2} \\ & + [\lambda + (\lambda_{2w} - \lambda_{2z}) w_x] \left( M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Similarly, the second equation in (27) is transformed into

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon Y_{xx} = & \bar{Y}_t + \lambda_1 \bar{Y} + (\lambda_{1z} \bar{Y} - \lambda_{1w} \bar{X}) z_x \\ & + \lambda \bar{Y} + (cLe^t + 2\lambda_1 x - 2\varepsilon) \frac{N}{L^2} \\ & + [\lambda + (\lambda_{1z} - \lambda_{1w}) z_x] \left( M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Also

$$\bar{X}_0(x) = -X_0(x) - M - \frac{cLN}{L^2} < 0, \quad \bar{Y}_0(x) = -Y_0(x) - M - \frac{cLN}{L^2} < 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\bar{X}(\pm L, t) < 0, \quad \bar{Y}(\pm L, t) < 0, \quad (34)$$

provided we choose  $c$  large enough such that  $cL + 2\lambda_2 x - 2\varepsilon > 0$  and  $cL + 2\lambda_1 x - 2\varepsilon > 0$  over  $(-L, L) \times [0, T]$ , then in a similar way to the proof in Lemma 2,



we can obtain from (31) - (34) that

$$\bar{X}(x, t) < 0, \quad \bar{Y}(x, t) < 0 \text{ over } (-L, L) \times [0, T].$$

If  $L \rightarrow \infty$  in (30), we have

$$X \geq -e^{\lambda t} M, \text{ and } Y \leq e^{\lambda t} M \text{ in } (-\infty, \infty) \times [0, T]$$

To obtain inequalities

$$X \leq e^{\lambda t} M, \quad Y \geq -e^{\lambda t} M$$

the transformations given in (27) are changed by the transformations

$$X = \left( \bar{X} + M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) e^{\lambda t}, \quad -Y = \left( \bar{Y} + M + \frac{N(x^2 + cLe^t)}{L^2} \right) e^{\lambda t}.$$

□

#### 4. A Hölder Continuous solution

**Lemma 5.** *If the hypotheses of lemma (4) are satisfied, then*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq M, \quad |v(x, t)| \leq M, \quad (35)$$

$$|u_x(x, t)| \leq M, \quad |v_x(x, t)| \leq M, \quad (36)$$

$$|u_t(x, t)| \leq M(T), \quad |v_t(x, t)| \leq M(T) \quad (37)$$

where  $M, M(T)$  are independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* This proof is based on the estimates (15), (16), (29) and the assumptions (3) and (4) on  $\sigma(v)$ .

To prove (35), we add the inequalities in (15) getting,  $c_1 + c_2 \leq 2u \leq c_3 + c_4$ . Then there exists  $M$  such that  $|u(x, t)| \leq M$ .

Using again (15), we have  $c_3 - c_2 \leq z - w \leq c_4 - c_1$ . Thus

$$c_3 - c_2 \leq 2 \int_{v_1}^v \sqrt{\sigma'(s)} ds \leq c_3 - c_1,$$

then there exists  $M$  such that  $|v(x, t)| \leq M$ .

To show (36) we see that  $w_x + z_x = 2u_x$  and using (16) it is obtained that  $0 \leq u_x \leq \bar{M}$ . This gives  $|u_x(x, t)| \leq M$ .

Also, from (16),  $-\bar{M} \leq -w_x \leq 0$  and  $0 \leq z_x \leq \bar{M}$ , which implies  $-\bar{M} \leq z_x - w_x \leq \bar{M}$ , from where

$$\frac{-\bar{M}}{2\sqrt{\sigma'(v)}} \leq \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \leq \frac{\bar{M}}{2\sqrt{\sigma'(v)}},$$

but  $\sigma'(v) > k > 0$ , then  $|v_x| \leq M$ . To prove (37) we use (29) and argue as we did in the proof of (36), which implies the existence of  $M(T)$  such that  $|u_t(x, t)| \leq M(T), |v_t(x, t)| \leq M(T)$ . □

Let  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0. Let  $(v^{\varepsilon_n}, u^{\varepsilon_n})$  be the corresponding solution to (10) given by Theorem (3). By Lemma (5)  $(v^{\varepsilon_n}, u^{\varepsilon_n})$  is bounded in  $W^{1,\infty}((-\infty, \infty) \times [0, T])$ . By the compactness of the imbedding immersion  $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \rightarrow C(\bar{\Omega})$ , it has a subsequence  $\{v^{\varepsilon_n}, u^{\varepsilon_n}\}$  over each bounded region  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$  that converges uniformly to a pair of Hölder-continuous functions  $(v(x, t), u(x, t))$ .

Let us see that the limit function  $(v, u)$  is the solution for the Cauchy problem (1), (2).

Multiplying both sides of (11) by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} w_v & w_u \\ z_v & z_u \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sigma'(v)}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sigma'(v)}} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - u_x &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{\sigma'(v)}} (z_{xx} - w_{xx}), \\ u_t - \sigma(v)_x &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (z_{xx} + w_{xx}). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Multiplying both sides of (38) by  $\phi$ ,  $\phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ , and integrating over the set  $(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty))$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t \geq 0} \int (v\phi_t - u\phi_x) dx dt + \int_{t=0} v_0 \phi dx &= 0, \\ \int_{t \geq 0} \int (u\phi_t - \sigma(v)\phi_x) dx dt + \int_{t=0} u_0 \phi dx &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

If we assume the data to be smooth, we get:

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\sigma(v)$  be such that  $\sigma'(v) > k > 0$ ,  $\sigma''(v) > 0$ , and let  $(w_0(x), z_0(x))$  be defined by (7) which satisfy  $c_1 \leq w_0(x) \leq c_2$ ,  $c_3 \leq z_0(x) \leq c_4$ . If  $w_0(x)$ ,  $z_0(x)$  are bounded in  $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  and non-decreasing, then the Cauchy problem (1), (2) has a global Hölder-continuous solution  $(v, u)$ . That is a  $(v, u)$  satisfies (39).*

**Acknowledgments:** The first author was partially supported by Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia (Tunja). The second author was partially supported by Universidad Nacional de Colombia (Bogotá) and FAPERJ through the grants E-26/150.587/2003. The authors would like to thank professor Yunguang Lu for his valuable comments on a previous version of this paper.

## References

- [1] R. A. ADAMS, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academies Press Inc., 1975.
- [2] K. N. CHUEH, C. C. CONLEY & J. SMOLLER, *Positively Invariants for System of Linear Diffusion Equations*, Ind. Univ. Math. J. **26**, 373-392, 1977

- [3] R. J. DIPERNA, *Convergence of Approximate Solutions to Conservations Laws*, Arch. Rat., Mch. Anal. **82** (1983), 27–70.
- [4] F. N. HERMANO, *Compacidade Compensada Aplicada às Leis Conservação*, 19 Coloquio Brasileiro de Matemática.
- [5] D. HOFF & J. SMOLLER, *Solutions in Large for Certain Nonlinear Parabolic System*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, **2** no 3 (1985), 213–235.
- [6] P. D. LAX, *Hyperbolic Systems of Conservations Laws II*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10**, 1957.
- [7] YUN-GUANG LU, *The Global Hölder-Continuous Solution of Isentropic Gas Dynamics*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburg Sect. **123** (1993), 231–238.
- [8] YUN-GUANG LU, *The Global Hölder-Continuous Solution of nonstrictly Hyperbolic System*, J. Partial Differential Equations, (1994), 132–142.
- [9] YUN-GUANG LU, *Hyperbolic conservation laws and the compensated compactness method*, Chapman & Hall/CRC. 2003.
- [10] O. A. LADYSENSKAYA, V. SOLONNIKOV & N. N. URALTSEVA, *Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., 1968.
- [11] D. SERRE, *Systems of Conservation Laws*, Vols. 1,2, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1999, 2000
- [12] J. SMOLLER, *Shock Waves and Reaction-Diffusion Equations*, ed. Springer Verlag, 1983.

(Recibido en noviembre de 2004)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD PEDAGÓGICA Y TECNOLÓGICA DE COLOMBIA  
TUNJA, COLOMBIA

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA  
BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA

