# **Nonderogatory directed windmills**

### **Molinos de viento dirigidos no derogatorios**

## JUAN RADA<sup>a</sup>

## Universidad de Los Andes, Mérida, Venezuela

ABSTRACT. A directed graph  $G$  is nonderogatory if its adjacency matrix  $A$  is nonderogatory, i.e., the characteristic polynomial of *A* is equal to the minimal polynomial of *A*. Given integers  $r \geq 2$  and  $h \geq 3$ , a directed windmill  $M_h(r)$ is a directed graph obtained by coalescing  $r$  dicycles of length  $h$  in one vertex. In this article we solve a conjecture proposed by Gan and Koo  $([3])$ :  $M_h(r)$  is nonderogatory if and only if *r =* 2.

*Key words and phrases.* Nonderogatory matrix, characteristic polynomial of directed graphs, directed windmills.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C50.

R e su m e n . Un grafo dirigido *G* es no-derogatorio si su matriz de adyacencia *A* es no-derogatoria, es decir el polinomio característico de *A* es igual al polinomio minimal de *A*. Dados enteros  $r \geq 2$  y  $h \geq 3$ , el molino de viento dirigido  $M_h(r)$ es un grafo dirigido que se obtiene por medio de la coalescencia de *r* diciclos de longitud *h* en un vértice. En este artículo resolvemos una conjetura propuesta por Gan y Koo  $([3])$ :  $M_h(r)$  es no-derogatorio si, y sólo si,  $r = 2$ .

*Palabras y frases clave,* matriz no-derogatoria, polinomio característico de grafos dirigidos, molinos de viento dirigidos.

#### **1. Introduction**

A digraph (directed graph)  $G = (V, E)$  is defined to be a finite set V and a set  $E$  of ordered pairs of elements of  $V$ . The sets  $V$  and  $E$  are called the set of vertices and arcs, respectively. If  $(u, v) \in E$  then *u* and *v* are adjacent and *(u, v)* is an arc starting at vertex *u* and terminating at vertex *v.*

Let  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  denote the space of square matrices of order *n* with entries in C. Suppose that  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$  is the set of vertices of *G*. The adjacency matrix of *G* is the matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  whose entry  $a_{ij}$  is the number of arcs starting

**aFinancial support was received from CDCHT-ULA, Project No. C-13490505B.**

#### **62 JUAN RADA**

at  $u_i$  and terminating at  $u_j$ . The characteristic polynomial of  $G$  is denoted by  $\Phi_G(x)$  (or simply  $\Phi_G$ ) and it is defined as the characteristic polynomial of the adjacency matrix *A* of *G*, i.e.,  $\Phi_G(x) = |xI - A|$ , where *I* is the identity matrix.

The monic polynomial of least degree which annihilates *A* is called the minimal polynomial of *G* and is denoted by  $m_G(x) = m_G$ ; it divides every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{C} [x]$  such that  $f(A) = 0$ . In particular, by the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem,  $m_G(x)$  divides  $\Phi_G(x)$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_G(x)$  and  $m_G(x)$  have the same roots.

A digraph *G* is nonderogatory if its adjacency matrix *A* is nonderogatory, i.e., if  $\Phi_G(x) = m_G(x)$ ; otherwise, *G* is derogatory. For example, dipaths  $P_n$ , dicycles  $C_n$ , difans  $F_n$  and diwheels  $W_n$  are classes of nonderogatory digraphs. These classes of digraphs have been studied by Gan, Lam and Lim ([2],[4] and [5]). More recently ([3]), Gan and Koo considered the problem of determining when the directed windmills are nonderogatory.

Let *h*, *r* be integers such that  $h \geq 3$  and  $r \geq 2$ . A directed windmill  $M_h(r)$ is the directed graph with  $r(h - 1) + 1$  vertices obtained from the coalescence of *r* dicycles of length *h* in one vertex (see Figure 1).



**FIGURE 1.** The directed windmill  $M_h(r)$ : *r* copies of the dicycle  $C_h$ .

Gan and Koo showed that  $M_3(r)$  is nonderogatory if and only if  $r = 2$ . Moreover, they conjectured that for every  $h \geq 3$ 

 $M_h(r)$  is nonderogatory  $\Leftrightarrow r = 2$ .

In this paper we show that this conjecture is true.

**Volumen 42, Número 1, Año 2008**

#### 2**. N onderogatory directed windmills**

Recall that a linear directed graph is a digraph in which each indegree and each outdegree is equal to 1 (i.e. it consists of cycles). The coefficient theorem for digraphs  $([1,$  Theorem 1.2) relates the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial with the structure of the digraph.

Theorem 2.1. *Let*

$$
\Phi_G(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} x + a_n
$$

be the characteristic polynomial of the digraph G. Then for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ 

$$
a_i = \sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}_i} (-1)^{p(L)},
$$

*where*  $\mathcal{L}_i$  *is the set of all linear directed subgraphs L of G with exactly i vertices; p (L) denotes the number of components of L.*

**Lemma 2.2.** *The characteristic polynomial of*  $M_h(r)$  *is* 

$$
\Phi_{M_h(r)} = x^{r(h-1)+1} - rx^{r(h-1)+1-h} = x^{r(h-1)+1-h} \left[ x^h - r \right].
$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1.  $\Box$ 

Let *G* be a directed graph and  $A = (a_{ij})$  its adjacency matrix. By a walk of length *k* in *G* we mean a sequence of vertices  $v_0v_1 \cdots v_k$  in which each  $(v_{i-1}, v_i)$ is an arc of *G.* It is well known that the number of walks of length *k* between two vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  of *G* is  $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ , the entry *ij* of the power matrix  $A^k$  ([1, Theorem 1.9]).

**Theorem 2.3.**  $M_h(r)$  *is nonderogatory if and only if*  $r = 2$ .

*Proof.* The characteristic polynomial of  $M_h(2)$  is

$$
\Phi_{M_h(2)}=x^{h-1}\left(x^h-2\right).
$$

Let  $f(x) = x^{h-2} (x^h - 2)$  and  $A = (a_{ij})$  the adjacency matrix of  $M_h (2)$ . From the structure of  $M_h$  (2) it can be easily seen that  $a^{(2h-2)}_{h+1,h} = 1$  and  $a^{(h-2)}_{h+1,h} = 0$ . Consequently  $f(A) \neq 0$ , which implies that  $\Phi_{M_h(2)} = m_{M_h(2)}$  and  $M_h(2)$  is nonderogatory.

We next show that if  $r \geq 3$  then  $M_h(r)$  is derogatory. For  $i = 1, \ldots, h-1$ , we denote by  $e_i$  the canonical row vector of  $\mathbb{C}^{h-1}$  and  $f_i$  the canonical column vector of  $\mathbb{C}^{h-1}$ . Labeling the vertices of  $M_h(r)$  as shown in Figure 1, the adjacency matrix  $A$  of  $M_h(r)$  has the form

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_1 & e_1 & \cdots & e_1 \\ f_{h-1} & X & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ f_{h-1} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & X & \mathbf{0} \\ f_{h-1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & X \end{pmatrix}
$$

where  $0 \in \mathcal{M}_{h-1}(\mathbb{C})$  is the zero matrix and  $X = (x_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_{h-1}(\mathbb{C})$  is the matrix such that  $x_{i,i+1} = 1$  for  $i = 1, ..., h-2$ , and the rest of the entries of *X* are zero. Set  $Y_1 = X$ ,  $Z_1 = 0$  and for  $j = 2, ..., h-1$  define recursively

$$
Y_j = f_{h+1-j}e_1 + Y_{j-1}X \tag{1}
$$

and

$$
Z_j = f_{h+1-j} e_1 + Z_{j-1} X. \tag{2}
$$

We next show that for every  $j = 1, \ldots, h-1$ 

$$
A^{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_{j} & e_{j} & \cdots & e_{j} \\ f_{h-j} & Y_{j} & Z_{j} & \cdots & Z_{j} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ f_{h-j} & Z_{j} & \cdots & Y_{j} & Z_{j} \\ f_{h-j} & Z_{j} & Z_{j} & \cdots & Y_{j} \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (3)

In fact, this is clear for  $j = 1$ . Assume (3) holds for  $1 \le i \le h - 2$ . Note that

$$
e_i f_{h-1} = 0 \text{ and } e_i X = e_{i+1} . \tag{4}
$$

On the other hand, since  $Xf_j = f_{j-1}$  for every  $j = 2, ..., h-1$  then

$$
Y_i f_{h-1} = f_{h+1-i} e_1 f_{h-1} + Y_{i-1} X f_{h-1} = Y_{i-1} f_{h-2}
$$

and after *i* — 1 steps we deduce

$$
Y_i f_{h-1} = Y_{i-1} f_{h-2} = Y_{i-2} f_{h-3} = \cdots = Y_1 f_{h-i}.
$$

But recall that  $Y_1 = X$  and so

$$
Y_i f_{h-1} = f_{h-(i+1)} \,. \tag{5}
$$

Similarly,

$$
Z_i f_{h-1} = Z_{i-1} f_{h-2} = \cdots = Z_1 f_{h-i},
$$

but  $Z_1 = 0$  implies

$$
Z_i f_{h-1} = 0. \tag{6}
$$

Also we know that

$$
f_{h-i}e_1 + Y_i X = f_{h+1-(i+1)}e_1 + Y_{(i+1)-1}X = Y_{i+1}
$$
 (7)

and

$$
f_{h-i}e_1 + Z_i X = Z_{i+1}.
$$
 (8)

Consequently, it follows from equations (4)-(8) that

$$
A^{i+1} = A^i A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_{i+1} & e_{i+1} & \cdots & e_{i+1} \\ f_{h-(i+1)} & Y_{i+1} & Z_{i+1} & \cdots & Z_{i+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{h-(i+1)} & Z_{i+1} & \cdots & Y_{i+1} & Z_{i+1} \\ f_{h-(i+1)} & Z_{i+1} & Z_{i+1} & \cdots & Y_{i+1} \end{pmatrix},
$$

hence (3) holds for every  $j = 1, \ldots, h - 1$ .

**Volumen 42, Número 1, Año 2008**

On the other hand,

$$
e_{h-1}f_{h-1}=1, e_{h-1}X=0,
$$

$$
Y_{h-1}f_{h-1}=0=Z_{h-1}f_{h-1},
$$

and from repeated use of (1) and the fact that  $X^h = 0$ ,

$$
f_1e_1 + Y_{h-1}X = f_1e_1 + (f_2e_1 + Y_{h-2}X)X
$$
  
=  $f_1e_1 + f_2e_2 + Y_{h-2}X^2 = \cdots$   
= 
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{h-2} f_ke_k + Y_2X^{h-2} = \sum_{k=1}^{h-2} f_ke_k + (f_{h-1}e_1 + Y_1X)X^{h-2}
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{h-2} f_ke_k + f_{h-1}e_1X^{h-2} + X^h = \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} f_ke_k = I.
$$

Similarly, using (2) it can be shown that  $f_1 e_1 + Z_{h-1} X = I$ . It follows from these relations and (3) that

$$
A^{h} = A^{h-1}A = \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & I & \cdots & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & I & \cdots & I \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (9)
$$

where the  $0's$  in the first row are the zero vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^{h-1}$ , the  $0's$  in the first column are the zero column vectors of  $\mathbb{C}^{h-1}$  and  $I \in \mathcal{M}_{h-1}(\mathbb{C})$  is the identity.

Relation (9) implies that for every integer  $k \geq 2$ 

$$
A^{kh} = \begin{pmatrix} r^k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & r^{k-1}I & \cdots & r^{k-1}I \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & r^{k-1}I & \cdots & r^{k-1}I \end{pmatrix} = rA^{(k-1)h}.
$$
 (10)

Now consider the polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  defined as

$$
g\left(x\right) = x^{rh-r-h}\left(x^{h}-r\right) ,
$$

we will show that  $g(A) = 0$ . To see this, note that since  $r \geq 3$  and  $h \geq 3$ , by the division algorithm, we can find integers  $q \ge 2$  and  $0 \le s \le h-1$  such that

$$
r h - r = q h + s \, .
$$

From relation (10) we deduce that

$$
A^{rh-r} = A^{qh+s} = rA^{(q-1)h+s} = rA^{qh+s-h} = rA^{rh-r-h}
$$

which implies  $g(A) = 0$  and so  $M_h(r)$  is derogatory.

#### **66 JUAN RADA**

### **References**

- **[1] C v e t k o v ic , D., D o o b , M., a n d S a c h s, H.** *Spectra of graphs.* **Academic Press, New York, 1980.**
- **[2] G a n , C . Some results on annihilatingly unique digraphs. M.Sc. Thesis, Univesity of Malaya, 1995. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.**
- **[3] G a n , C., a n d K o o , V. On annihilating uniqueness of directed windmills. In** *Proceedings of the ATCM* **(2002), ATCM. Melaka, Malaysia.**
- **[4] L a m , K.** *On digraphs with unique annihilating polynomial* **Ph.D. Thesis, University of Malaya, 1990. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.**
- [5] LAM, K., AND LIM, C. The characteristic polynomial of ladder digraph and an annihilating uniqueness theorem. *Discrete Mathematics 151* (1996), 161-167.

**(Recibido en octubre de 2007. Aceptado en febrero de 2008)**

**DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES 5 1 0 1 M é r i d a , V e n e z u e l a** *e-mail:* juanrada@ula.ve