

Bioprospecting in food production: an approximation of the current state in Colombia

La bioprospección en la producción de alimentos: aproximación del estado actual en Colombia

<https://doi.org/10.15446/rfnam.v76n1.101705>

Claudia Cubides¹, Carolina Gutiérrez-Cortés^{1*} and Héctor Suarez²

ABSTRACT

Keywords:

Biodiversity prospection
Biofertilization
Biopreservation
Bioremediation
Environmental pollution

Microbial bioprospecting is the study and classification of microorganisms with industrial value. Different researches world wide are focusing on the study of natural molecules that can be used for medicine, agriculture and the environment, among others. In Colombia, marine bioprospecting has become highly relevant. Also, different universities and institutes are working on the study of the biodiversity and its applications. The aim of this review was to compile the most important laws and decrees related with the use of the resources with commercial purposes. Also, the elucidation of the current state of bioprospecting in Colombia and the principal applications of microorganisms in the food production chain. The special focus of this review is to show the potential use of bioprospection on agricultural development of the country in order to change the conventional practices to eco-friendly process in food production. Also, this review proposes the bioprospecting of lactic acid bacteria as an alternative to use their biomass and metabolites for food preservation.

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:

Bioprospección
Biofertilización
Biopreservación
Biorremediación
Contaminación ambiental

La bioprospección microbiana es el estudio y clasificación de microorganismos con valor industrial. Diferentes investigaciones a nivel mundial se están enfocando en el estudio de moléculas naturales que pueden ser utilizadas para la medicina, la agricultura y el medio ambiente, entre otros. En Colombia, la bioprospección marina ha cobrado gran relevancia. Asimismo, diferentes universidades e institutos están trabajando en el estudio de la biodiversidad y sus aplicaciones. El objetivo de esta revisión fue recopilar las leyes y decretos más importantes relacionados con el uso de los recursos con fines comerciales. Asimismo, la elucidación del estado actual de la bioprospección en Colombia y las principales aplicaciones de los microorganismos en la cadena productiva de alimentos. El enfoque especial de esta revisión es mostrar el uso potencial de la bioprospección en el desarrollo agrícola del país para cambiar las prácticas convencionales a procesos ecológicos en la producción de alimentos. De esta manera, esta revisión propone la bioprospección de bacterias ácido-lácticas como una alternativa para utilizar su biomasa y metabolitos para la conservación de alimentos.

¹ Escuela de Ciencias Agrícolas, Pecuarias y del Medio Ambiente, Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia. Colombia. Pattycg07@gmail.com , carolina.gutierrez@unad.edu.co 

² Instituto de Ciencia y Tecnología de Alimentos, Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Bogotá, Colombia. hsuarezm@unal.edu.co 

*Corresponding author

In recent decades, awareness of has increased for the depletion of natural resources has increased in parallel with the exponential growth of the food industry. For the agricultural sector, achieving high yields in crops production is required to meet the increasing food demand, which influences negatively sustainable production goals (Tyagi *et al.*, 2022). This has resulted in the excessive use of fertilizers and pesticides, which affects the production chain and causes serious environmental problems. Production chain of food depends on the relationship between microbial diversity and plants. For example, microorganisms maintain the soil health in different ways. They participate in the cycling of nutrients and process the waste (not only biological, but also chemical) using their enzymes. Some factors as high levels of toxic molecules (Shuaib *et al.*, 2021) or changes in pH (Zhang *et al.*, 2015) causes the loss of microbial diversity caused by chemical pest control and fertilization. This reduces the possibility to obtain the nutrients and minerals delivered by the microbial metabolism. Therefore, sustainable agriculture that protects the environment is needed, nowadays many researches around the world are studding new ways to produce food using ecofriendly process. They take advantage of existing microbial communities in different ecosystems, studying the microbial role in the biogeochemical cycles and develop natural products for the benefit of food production.

The investigation of the metabolism of microorganisms has shown their ability to contribute in soil and crop remediation processes. Bioprospecting as a tool to search new alternatives for fertilization or biological control of pathogens could minimize the use of chemical substances and in the long term could contribute with preserving the environment. In Colombia, microbial bioprospecting is very important in the environmental, industrial and veterinary medicine sectors, with research focused on the potential of microorganisms (Melgarejo, 2013). The environmental advantages of using microorganisms are evident in agricultural activities. The metabolic products decontaminate soils and degrade polluting residues by improving the structure of soils and promoting nitrogen fixation or solubilizing phosphates (Montenegro *et al.*, 2019). For example, herbicides as Quinclorac have been used in the word, also in Colombia for controlling undesirable grasses in the rice

fields (Shi *et al.*, 2017). Residues of Quinclorac in the soil can cause serious phytotoxicity in sensitive plants and for the recovery of the soil health it can be used techniques such as bioremediation. It has been reported that strains of different genera as *Bacillus megaterium* Q3, *Burkholderia cepacia*, *Bordetella* sp. strain HN36, *Alcaligenes* sp. *Pantoea* sp. QC06 can metabolize this herbicide. Biomass cultured in liquid medium of the isolates strains was applied directly over samples quinclorac-contaminated soil and de biodegradation was performed. Some possible ways to do this transformation are through the reduction of carboxyl, or through dechlorination of quinclorac (Liu *et al.*, 2014). For development of those products, the biomass is produced in bioreactors obtaining homogeneous cell suspensions produced under optimum conditions that must keep the viability after application on field (Tyagi *et al.*, 2011).

Additionally, these microorganisms can protect crops from pests and pathogens since several microbial metabolite's present antimicrobial activities, serving as potential biological controllers. Therefore, bioremediation, biological control, biostimulation, and bionutrition promote more productive, nutritious and healthy crops with fewer negative effects on the environment (Carranza, 2017). Bioprospecting offers possible alternatives through the use of biofertilizers based on microorganisms (microalgae, bacteria or fungi) applied to soil and plants to partially or totally replace synthetic fertilization. These microorganisms perform functions such as decomposition of organic matter, detoxification of pesticides, stimulation of plant growth and development, supplying nutrients to plants and soil, secondary metabolites and production of bioactive compounds such as vitamins and hormones that protect against pathogens (Vimal *et al.*, 2017).

Application of products obtained from isolated strains with biofertilization or bioremediation traits reduce agrochemicals that become environmental pollutants in soil and water as a result of agricultural work (Armenta *et al.*, 2010). Additionally, it can reduce costs for producers by using natural products obtained locally, increasing crop yields and offering the final consumer healthier products free of agrochemical residues, resulting in sustainable agriculture. Likewise, natural

products reduce the application of toxic additives by providing alternatives for food biopreservation. The objective of this review was present some important laws that govern the use and exploitation of genetic resources in Colombia. Also, we elucidate the current state of bioprospecting in Colombia and the principal applications of microorganisms in the food production chain, focusing on agricultural uses of biofertilization and bioremediation and on biopreservation of food.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Different scientific articles were reviewed in the databases of universities such as Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia and Universidad Nacional de Colombia, along with articles in Scopus and search engines such as Google Scholar. Documents available from the Ministry of the Environment and other regulatory bodies were also reviewed. Decrees and laws of different years were reviewed independently of the date. The articles and thesis reviewed belong to a span of 20 years. According with the literature we divide the information in sections that deal with topics like regulations, applications and perspectives of the bioprospecting in Colombia.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bioprospecting or biological prospecting is a systematic process of searching for genes, natural compounds, or organisms in nature that have the potential to develop a product that benefits man (Oyemitan, 2017). Specifically, microbial bioprospecting is defined as the search for microorganisms with desirable characteristics that can be used in various industries to impart commercial value through the development of process and products in sectors such as cosmetics, biotechnology, pharmaceuticals, medicine, food, and agriculture, among others (Duarte and Velho, 2009; Beattie *et al.*, 2010). This activity is based on access, characterization, and transformation for biological and genetic resources, obtaining products that can contribute to the solution of environmental, social, and various types of problems without affecting biodiversity.

Regulations

Because of the effect that resource exploitation has on humanity, bioprospecting has been addressed in international treaties and legal agreements to control biopiracy, which is the use of resources without the

approval of original peoples or obtaining profits without respecting international treaties (Beattie *et al.*, 2010). Access to genetic resources represents a development and conservation issue for the diversity of microorganisms and natural sources.

Once microorganisms have been obtained from different sources, they must be identified to have a detailed record of the different types of microorganisms in each sampled area. Then, a collection of microorganisms must be developed and studied, introducing the most viable alternative to sustainable industrial and agro-industrial processes. The storage site guarantees the conservation of microorganisms for important biological resources and genetic information. When determining areas with microbial diversity, conservation or exploration strategies can be developed, generating greater economic importance for the areas (DNP, 2011).

Colombia has different genebanks; the main one is located in the Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (Agrosavia). Other entities such as the Instituto Colombiano Agropecuario (ICA), Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Instituto de biotecnología at the Universidad Nacional de Colombia, and Instituto Humboldt also have collections of great interest. In Colombia, these banks are divided into three subsystems: animals, plants and microorganisms. The Instituto Humboldt is linked to the Ministry of the Environment and is the regulatory body for the conservation of genetic diversity for different species. Genetic resources are the property of the Colombian state; they are inalienable, imprescriptible and unseizable (Rojas *et al.*, 2016). Access in the form of genes and derived products is regulated by Andean Decision 391, called the Common Regime on Access to Genetic Resources, which includes Peru, Ecuador and Bolivia (Álvarez-Tafur, 2014).

Andean Decision 391 states that the Andean community must guarantee a fair and equitable sharing of benefits derived from the use of resources and associated traditional knowledge, laying the foundation for the recognition and valuation of genetic resources and their derived products, promoting the conservation of diversity, and developing scientific, technological and technical capacities (Álvarez-Tafur, 2014). Table 1 describes some important laws used in Colombia in order to regulate the prospection activities in the country.

Table 1. Laws and decrees related with the bioprospecting activities.

Law	Description
Decree 2811 of 1974	<p>It is the National Code of Renewable Natural Resources and Environmental Protection. Article 9 presents the fundamental principles for the use and exploitation of natural resources. There is mentioned that the exploitation of resources will be protecting the rights of the community, therefore the physical limits must be respected, avoiding the depletion or deterioration of exploited resources. This exploitation must be justified through the urban and rural development of the country.</p>
The Political Constitution of 1991	<p>Chapter 3 in its articles 79 and 80 recognizes the obligation of the state to protect the environment and the resources of its exploitation and commercialization and to generate the necessary laws to ensure this protection. Likewise, the state is committed to planning for the management and use of resources. Article 81 establishes that the government must create rules to regulate the entry and exit of genetic material into the country. Also, establishes that the country's environmental management will be decentralized, democratic and participatory.</p>
Law 99 of 1993	<p>It establishes that biodiversity is a national patrimony and of interest to humanity, therefore it determines the creation of the Ministry of the Environment for the management of the environment and renewable natural resources. That Ministry has as responsibilities the regulations over the recovery, conservation, protection, ordering, management, use and exploitation of renewable natural resources and the environment of the Nation, in order to ensure sustainable development. The foundations of the Colombian environmental policy were established, which stipulates that the economic and social development process of the country will be guided by the universal principles and sustainable development contained in the Rio de Janeiro Declaration of June 1992 on Environment and Development. In addition to mentioning the rights of peoples to live in harmony with nature and use sustainable resources. It emphasizes moorland and sub-moor areas, water sources and aquifer recharge areas as objects of special protection. It also seeks scientific evidence that justifies the exploitation of a resource and establishes that in the event of a significant environmental danger, its use will not be allowed. Non-governmental environmental protection organizations must be created and environmental impact studies must be declared mandatory.</p>
Andean Decision 391 of 1996	<p>The Common Regime on access to genetic resources is approved, establishing that Member Countries exercise sovereignty over their genetic resources and their derivative products, therefore they have the power to determine the conditions of their access. It is also established that each member country will regulate the standards for the conservation and sustainable use of genetic resources and their derivative products, in accordance with the principles and provisions contained in the Convention on Biological Diversity. Likewise, it is established that genetic resources and their derived products are assets or patrimony of the Nation or of the State of each Member Country and are inalienable, imprescriptible and not attachable, without prejudice to the property regimes applicable to the biological resources that contain them, the property on which they are located, or the associated intangible component.</p>

Table 1. Laws and decrees related with the bioprospecting activities.

Law	Description
Decree 3570 of 2011	Establishes the objectives of the Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development as manager of the environment and renewable natural resources, regulator of the environmental planning of the territory. It must define the norms for the recovery, conservation, protection, ordering, management, use and sustainable exploitation of the renewable natural resources and the environment of the Nation. Therefore, it must formulate the national environmental and renewable natural resources policy. It must evaluate the scope of large-scale projects on the environment and sustainability of the nation. Therefore, it must monitor the Regional Autonomous Corporations and intervene in the face of environmental deterioration that may arise from the execution of development activities or projects, as well as from the exploration, exploitation, transport, benefit and use of renewable and non-renewable natural resources. Also, it must order the competent national body for the issuance of environmental licenses under the Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development, the suspension of work or activities when it be necessary.
Decree 1375 and 1376 of 2013	This decree regulates the biological collections in the national territory, the rights and obligations of the holders of the collections and the registration procedure of the same before the Institute of Biological Resources Research "Alexander von Humboldt". The functions of the collections at the service of the nation related to research, care of specimens and loan for academic purposes are established. Provisions are established for the execution of contracts for access to genetic resources with the Institute.
Resolution 1348 of 2014	Contains the regulations related to the collection permits for specimens of wild species of biological diversity for non-commercial scientific research purposes. It establishes who must manage the permits and which the entities that do not require it are. It also decrees that the contract for access to genetic resources and/or derivative products must be carried out to access genetic resources and/or derivative products, for industrial, commercial or biological prospecting purposes, of the specimens collected within the framework of a collection permit.

To avoid biopiracy, Colombia protects its genetic potential through legislation. The Instituto Humboldt is responsible for promoting, coordinating and conducting research on the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. Shaping the national biodiversity inventory and facilitating the efficient and permanent

management of biodiversity information that is useful for the processes of information analysis and the generation of information products. Also, the institute take the decision-making and defines of policies related to activities of access and exploitation of genetic resources in Colombia.




Figure 1. Procedures during a bioprospecting process in search of product development with applications in the food industry.

National and international focus

At the international level, bioprospecting focuses mainly on secondary metabolites because of the economic impact on the pharmaceutical industry. This process has greatly developed this industry and has set guidelines for the innovation and application of technologies using metabolites with characteristics applicable to new products. Additionally, the search for biological molecules with biological activity has revealed the potential for applications in food and uses in different industries and for crop protection through the application of biological inputs. These developments are of great economic and environmental importance for the preservation of life (Melgarejo, 2013). Applications in food production are fundamental because of the magnitude of environmental impacts that agricultural practices and food processing have. In Central and

publications and to increase. Figure 2 shows the results in Scopus using the word “bioprospecting” as search criteria. According to the results, there are currently 2426 publications worldwide on bioprospecting, published up to November of 2022. 64 publications were carried out in Colombia, where records have been kept since 2006. The main area of interest is agriculture, a fundamental part of the food production chain. Pioneering entities for these publications include the Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Universidad de la Sabana, Universidad de Caldas and Universidad de Antioquia.

Bioprospecting in Colombia

Microbial bioprospecting is an expanding topic, where the benefits for economic development and environmental sustainability can be explored. It will be an important component in studies on ecological diversity in this




Figure 2. Analysis of the search results of publications on bioprospecting in Scopus. November 2022.

country, as well as for knowledge on the structure of microbial populations. There are potential applications for the food production chain. Sustainable agricultural production systems can be developed, ensuring conservation of the country’s biological and microbial diversity. Colombia has great diversity in species of fauna, flora and microorganisms, which represent an opportunity for research on microbial bioprospecting.

Research groups specialized in bioprospecting have conducted studies focused on bioactive principles in plants, bacteria, hive products and marine organisms. *Beauveria bassiana* is a fungus that has been used to control the coffee borer and has demonstrated usefulness for the food industry in Colombia (Antia *et al.*, 1990). This form of biological control is still used today and is promoted by the coffee research center

(Cenicafé). Likewise, there are studies on the isolation of volatile substances from vegetables and essential oils, on the identification and characterization of fungi, and on biological control of agricultural pests and diseases (Duarte, 2011), as well as in biopreservation of food. Another biological way to control pathogens are the application of metabolites of some bacteria, for example the bacteriocins is an option for replace synthetic preservatives (Jutinico-Shubach *et al.*, 2020). On the other hand, these studies have contributed to alternatives for the recovery of contaminated areas through bioremediation practices and for reducing the use of agrottoxins applied during the food production in Colombia. INVEMAR (José Benito Vives de Andrés Marine and Coastal Research Institute) has been a pioneering research center for bioprospecting aquatic environments. This institute has 6 megaprojects, including two that are currently focused on bioremediation of contaminated environments. On the other hand, the research group "Studies on the use of Natural Marine Products and Fruits of Colombia" at the Universidad Nacional has as an area of interest that includes marine invertebrates, corals, sponges, algae, microorganisms and some species of plants obtained from marine environments as sources of bioactive compounds. This group has almost 30 research projects for the search and application of bioactive compounds for the cosmetic and health industries. The Bioprospecting Research Group of the Universidad de la Sabana focuses on the search, isolation, characterization, evaluation and scaling at an industrial level of genes, proteins and metabolites in macro and microorganisms obtained in different environments of Colombia. This group has 11 research projects currently underway that are dedicated to the search for bioactive compounds and their modification with biological and industrial potential. The Universidad de Antioquia has two lines of research related to bioprospecting. One of them is called "Ecology of populations, communities and ecosystems" and has the research group "Microbial Ecology and Bioprospecting". In this line, projects have been developed in biological control, molecular biology of fungi, microorganism-habitat interaction, pollinator-plant and microbial decomposition of litter. The second line is "Biotechnology" whose work is based on the use of Colombian biodiversity (plants, animals and microorganisms) with basic and applied studies. Their work is developed in 3 research groups:

Agrobiotechnology, Biotechnology and Biocontrol, and Environmental Microbiology. The center Biolnc belongs to Universidad Icesi works to collaborate with the colombian industrial sector to promote the development of bioprocesses based on circular economy and proper use of biodiversity. They have two active projects, one of them "Meeting policy challenges for a responsible biodiversity based bio-economy in Colombia" and "Towards a sustainable bio-economy in Colombia: Organic residue valorization and bioprocessing". Also, they had finalized five projects which the mayor goal is to take advantage of the diversity to improve the agriculture in Colombia. The Centro de Investigación de Agricultura y Biotecnología (CIAB) at the Universidad Nacional Abierta y A Distancia (UNAD) has a line of research called Agricultural and environmental biotechnology with sublines for biofertilization, bioprospecting and bioremediation with 7 projects. The Bioprocess and Bioprospecting Research group at the Instituto de Biotecnología of the Universidad Nacional de Colombia has lines of research in Agricultural Microbiology and Bioprocesses with four biofertilizer products obtained through bioprospecting processes that have been patented and are currently commercialized. The Colombian company Ecopetrol created the Colombian Petroleum Institute 37 years ago in Piedecuesta (Santander) together with universities, research centers and national and international technology-based companies. Its focus is on the development of new processes and innovations, experimental tests and development of engineering and technological products. Its technological and scientific infrastructure consists of 9 laboratories comprising more than 40 experimental and analytical areas and 36 pilot plant units. The institution supports undergraduate and master's students nationwide with scholarships mediated by the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología. Some of the research topics they develop are biotechnology, microbiology and molecular biology, bioprocesses and biofuels.

Agriculture

The so-called green revolution promoted the use of chemically synthesized fertilizer as a response to the demand for food worldwide that stemmed from uncontrolled population growth. This solution increased the use of fungicides and fertilizers that, by stimulating crop productivity, caused serious environmental

problems such as soil salinization, loss of fertility and loss of organic matter (Medina, 2018). To care, protect and foment the development of sustainable agriculture, the application of beneficial microorganisms that improve production and control crop pests are part of the solution for the environmental pollutions. Several microorganisms have been identified and tested as beneficial elements for agriculture. At the Universidad Militar Nueva Granada, there are about 120 rhizobacterial isolates (*Pseudomonas*), five with biocontroller potential against *Fusarium oxysporum*. On the other hand, in Agrosavia, there is a collection of 303 filamentous fungi and 249 yeasts with biocontrolling potential for pest insects and soil, foliar and post-harvest phytopathogens, with a collection of 45 bacteria and five mycorrhizae with biofertilizing potential (Cotes *et al.*, 2012).

According to a study carried out by MADS (Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development), the IDEAM (Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies) and the U.D.C.A (University of Applied and Environmental Sciences) soils have

lost quality and microbial diversity, generating lower agricultural production. According to the FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), for 2015, 40% of Colombian soils had some type of erosion, with a reduction of land suitable for agricultural cultivation from 12% in 1961 to 8.1% in 2014 (Medina, 2018). Biofertilization takes advantage of beneficial microorganisms to improve soil conditions and colonizes the rhizosphere or the interior of plants where their metabolic processes benefit agricultural crops and pastures, which reduces or eliminates the need to use chemical fertilizers (Figure 3). Application of natural fertilizer decrease the adverse effects of remaining chemicals in food that affect the health of consumers. Also protects the environment meanwhile increase the food production, which are part of the global agenda for Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). For example, one crop yield can increase by 10–40%, also the continuous application of biofertilizer of the land for years can retain fertility, keeping the added microbial community. Because they are environmentally friendly can be more economic using natural ingredients for the formulations (Seenivasagan and Babalola, 2021).




Figure 3. Benefits of biofertilization, use of plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB). Source: modified from Singh *et al.*, 2019

Several research has sought to develop products and processes that allow fertilization to be carried out naturally. The application of nitrogen fixators enhances crop production and growth of beneficial microorganisms for the soil (Carvajal and Benavidez, 2010). This has been demonstrated with N₂-binding cyanobacteria strains such as *Anabaena* sp. UTEX 2576 and *Nostoc muscorum* (UTEX 2209S), and a polyculture of *Chlorella vulgaris* (UTEX 2714) and *Scenedesmus dimorphus* (UTEX 1237) in crops such as rice (Jochum *et al.*, 2018), demonstrating that biofertilization benefits agriculture, the environment and health. Nitrogen is a limiting factor for rice crops growth, since producers must add inorganic N but it was not efficient. Authors demonstrate that biomass of the microalgae cultivated in a photobioreactor can improve the plant height under greenhouse conditions.

In addition to bacteria, fungi are also a fundamental part of the microbial biodiversity associated with plants. Mycorrhizae, a symbiotic association between a fungus and the roots of a plant, are also effective methods for biofertilization and bioprotection of crops (Carvajal and Benavidez, 2010). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi represent a promising microbiological resource for the development of sustainable agriculture (Guerra-Sierra, 2008). Biofertilizers that possess nitrogen fixation and phosphorus solubilization traits have been reported to have the greatest potential to improve crop yield, indicating the great potential of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMs) as one of the biofertilizer for most crops and climatic situations (Schütz *et al.*, 2022). Table 2 shows the genera of microorganisms with potential use in biofertilization.

Table 2. Species of microorganisms used in biofertilization.

Category	Species	
AMs (arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi)	<i>Glomus mosseae</i>	<i>Entrophosphora colombiana</i>
	<i>Gigaspora rosasea</i>	<i>Glomus caledonium</i> , <i>G. clarum</i> , <i>G. etunicatum</i>
	<i>Arthrobacter chlorophenolicus</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i>
	<i>Penicillium bilaii</i>	<i>Bacillus firmus</i> , <i>B. megaterium</i> , <i>B. musiliginous</i>
	<i>Pseudomonas aeuriginosa</i> , <i>P. argentinenses</i> , <i>P. cepacea</i>	<i>Enterobacter asburiae</i> , <i>Microbacterium arborescens</i>
	<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	<i>Paenibacillus</i> sp., <i>P. polymixa</i>
	<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i>	
Nitrogen fixers	<i>Beijerinckia indica</i> , <i>B. japonicum</i> <i>Brevundimonas diminuta</i>	<i>Anabaena azollae</i> , <i>A. cylindrica</i> , <i>A. variabilis</i> , <i>A. turulosa</i>
	<i>Burkholderia vietnamensis</i> .	<i>Aphanothece</i> ssp., <i>Aulosira fertilissima</i>
	<i>Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus</i> <i>Herbaspirillum seropedicae</i>	<i>Azolla caroliniana</i> , <i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> , <i>A. lipoferum</i>
	<i>Mesorhizobium ciceri</i> , <i>Rhizobium leguminosarum</i>	<i>Azotobacter brasilense</i> , <i>Bacillus polymyxa</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> <i>Staphylococcus</i> sp., <i>Tylophrix tenuis</i>
Nitrogen fixers plus phosphorus solubilizers	<i>Bacillus. polymixa</i> <i>Enterobacter</i> sp.	<i>Bacillis megaterium</i>
Other biofertilizers	<i>Aspergillus niger</i> , <i>A. tubingensis</i> <i>Ochrobactrum antrophic</i> , <i>O. ciceri</i> <i>Penicillium brevicompactum</i> , <i>P. solitum</i>	<i>Actinomycetes</i> <i>Bacillus circulan</i> , <i>B. mycoides.</i> , <i>B. pummitus</i> , <i>B. simplex</i> <i>Piriformopora indica</i> , <i>Rhodobacter capsulatus</i> <i>Rhodopseudomonas</i> sp., <i>Thiobacillus</i> sp., <i>T. tihioxidans</i>

Source: Cubides (2021)

According with Ortiz-Moreno *et al.* (2022) in Colombia exist a diversity of product based on native fungi with agriculture applications. That products are the result of the biotechnological development and years of evaluating the biological activity of the native isolated fungi. Table 3 summarizes the examples mentioned by the authors.

Table 3. Examples of colombian companies and their products with application in the agriculture industry (Ortiz-Moreno *et al.*, 2022).

Company	Product	Fungi	Action
Agrosavia	Trichotec	<i>Trichoderma koningiopsis</i> Th003	Biocontrol of <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> , <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> , <i>Sclerotinia sclerotium</i> , <i>S. minor</i> and <i>Botrytis cinérea</i> . In tomato, rice, lettuce and red fruit crops. Also, plant growth-promoting action.
	Fosfobiol	<i>Penicillium janthinellum</i>	Phosphorus solubiliser. In cotton, rice, coffee, sugar cane, corn, pastures and soybeans.
Biocultivos S.A.	Trifesol	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	Biocontrol agent (mycoparasite), plant growth promoter, and cellulose degrader.
	Residue treatment	<i>Penicillium pinophilum</i> and <i>Pleurotus ostreatus</i> consortium	Cellulose and lignin degraders for the management of plant biomass residues.
Natural Control company	Anisagro	<i>Metarhizium anisoplae</i>	Bio controllers, plant growth promoters, plant protectors and soil improvers applicable to different crops.
	Vercani	<i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i>	
	Bassar	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	
	Fitotripen	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> , <i>T. koningii</i> and <i>T. viride</i>	
	Mycorrhizagro	<i>Glomus</i> , <i>Acaulospora</i> , <i>Scutellospora</i> and <i>Entrophospora</i>	
	Safelomyces	<i>Purpureocillium lilacinum</i> and <i>Cordyceps fumosorosea</i>	

(Ortiz-Moreno *et al.*, 2022).

Bioremediation as an alternative for the recovery of environments contaminated by agricultural practices and other industries

Environmental pollution due to the inadequate and excessive use of agrochemicals and fertilizers is a problem that is already being part of the agenda of world organizations. In 2012, the FAO established the Global Soil Partnership (GSP), which promotes sustainable soil management in agricultural tasks for food security and improved nutrition, adaptation, and mitigation of climate

change and sustainable development (FAO, 2022). One of the alternatives for soil care is bioremediation. This is a low-cost technology that uses the metabolic traits of the microorganisms to decontaminate or recover an environment altered by polluting substances (Jochum *et al.*, 2018). One of the advantages of this technology is applications in natural environmental conditions, guaranteeing decontamination and recovery of soils, with an economic, social and environmental sustainability benefit (Senthil *et al.*, 2017).

Water, air and soil are affected by contamination with heavy metals such as mercury (Hg), arsenic (As), lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), zinc (Zn), nickel (Ni) and chromium (Cr), products of activities such as agriculture and mining (Tchounwou *et al.*, 2012). These metals can be found at different concentrations in fish, meat, milk and vegetables as a result of bio-accumulation, putting at risk not only human health but also food safety and the environment (Reyes *et al.*, 2016). Cadmium is a heavy metal found naturally in the soil, but it is also introduced to the environment anthropogenically through phosphate fertilizers, increasing the normal load of the ore. This causes toxic effects on the health of humans, plants and animals. Once cadmium is absorbed by the body, it is housed in vital organs such as the kidneys and liver, remaining in the body for several years (Kumar *et al.*, 2012). Cadmium and lead are heavy metals with the greatest tendency to accumulate in plants, causing severe imbalances in the processes of nutrition and water transport.

Several studies have demonstrated the ability of lactic acid bacteria (LABs) for water and soil treatments. *Pediococcus* sp., *Leuconostoc* sp. and *Lactobacillus* sp. have demonstrated their ability to reduce contaminants such as chromium, cadmium and lead (Petrova *et al.*, 2022). It has also been shown that lactobacilli species such as *Lactobacillus amylovorus*, *Lb. reuteri* and *Lb. dextrinicus* are efficient at decontamination of sludge with CD and PB, providing a possible solution for decontamination of heavy metals in food and water (Kirillova *et al.*, 2017). A study using *Lb. plantarum* MF042018 isolated on the shores of the Mediterranean Sea demonstrated great potential for the treatment of heavy metals derived from the manufacture of batteries, presenting biosorbent characteristics for the removal of heavy metals in industrial wastewater (Ameen *et al.*, 2020). This type of work is fundamental for knowledge on the behavior of bacteria with potential in bioremediation. Likewise, it has been shown that there is greater effectiveness with a consortium of bacteria than with pure cultures, showing the metabolic pathways followed by different species of bacteria, which can have synergistic effects for the degradation of toxic compounds in certain environments (Zhang and Zhang, 2022). A study carried out with strains of *Viridibacillus arenosi* B-21, *Sporosarcina soli* B-22, *Enterobacter cloacae* KJ-

46 and *E. cloacae* KJ-47, where parameters such as optical density, pH, urease activity, calcite production, tolerance to heavy metals and impermeability were monitored, showed that bacterial mixtures had greater resistance and efficiency for the remediation of heavy metals than the use of a single strain (Khan *et al.*, 2016). The presence of heavy metals in soils, food and water sources is a global concern because decontamination processes can generate large costs; bioremediation is an economic and sustainable alternative applied in several countries (Yadav *et al.*, 2017).

The control of plant species that compete with crops is carried out with herbicides that contaminate agricultural soils, river systems, and aquifers and change the structure and function of soil microbial populations. Herbicides directly or indirectly impact organisms other than weeds, even humans (Pileggi *et al.*, 2020). Glyphosate is the most used chemical worldwide in agriculture; it is an herbicide that acts on all plant species by inhibiting the activity of enzymes that synthesize aromatic amino acids (Choque and Nogales, 2019). Those amino acids are essential for protein synthesis and are precursors for some secondary metabolites required for plant growth (Tzin and Galili, 2010). Several studies have reported residues of this herbicide in soils, water and food for human consumption, which can cause effects on health and the environment (Ruuskanen *et al.*, 2020). A bioremediation study in glyphosate (GP) contaminated soils, comparing native strains and two introduced strains recognized as degrading: GP *Achromobacter* sp. Kg 16 (VKM B2534D) and *Ochrobactrum anthropi* GPK 3 (VKM B-2554D), demonstrated the efficiency of the strains introduced in the first phase in the laboratory. In the field, the introduced strains had greater efficiency in the biodegradation of GP (between 49.5% and 65.8%) than native strains that did not exceed 11% for GP biodegradation (Ermakova *et al.*, 2010). This shows the great potential of bioprospecting activities to obtain strains with higher degradation activities that can be introduced into environments in a controlled manner. On the other hand, bacteria such as *Bacillus pumilus*, *Streptomyces* sp., *Serratia marcescens*, *Alcaligenes* sp., *Penicillium* sp. and *Pseudomonas putida* have been reported as organophosphate degraders. Among these species, *B. pumilus* has demonstrated the ability to degrade 300 mg L⁻¹ of TCP chlorpyrifos (3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridine) in 8

days. Additionally, it has been reported that molds such as *Aspergillus terreus* have degraded the same amount in 24 hours, which shows that this fungus has a greater

ability to degrade organophosphates (Hernández-Ruiz *et al.*, 2017). Figure 4 shows an outline of the impact of these chemicals.




Figure 4. Impact of the use of agrochemicals in food production. Source: Pileggi *et al.*, (2020).

On the other hand, economic losses caused by insect pests and nematodes in crops has forced the use of pesticides. Organochlorine compounds are fat-soluble, water-insoluble and highly toxic to plants and animals. These pesticides are absorbed by the soil and are difficult to degrade (Gregory *et al.*, 2015), which is why they have been banned or restricted in several countries according to the Latin American Observatory of Environmental Conflicts. Organochlorines (alpha and beta endosulfans) can be found accumulated in different layers of soil. In one study, total degradation of these compounds was achieved using a bacterial consortium with the genera *Bordetella petrii* I GV 34, *B. petrii* II GV 36 and *Achromobacter xyloxiidans* GV 47 (Odukkathil and Vasudevan, 2016).

The ability to assimilate agrochemicals of some species of fungi has been also demonstrated. One study demonstrated the degradation of heptachlor

and heptachlor epoxide through the use of the macroscopic fungi *Phlebia tremellose*, *P. brevispora* and *P. acanthocystis*, achieving between 71 and 90% heptachlor removal and between 16 and 25% heptachlor epoxide (Dar *et al.*, 2019). Species such as *Penicillium miczynskii*, *P. Raistrickii*, *Aspergillus sydowii*, *Trichoderma* sp., and *Bionectria* sp., obtained from marine sponges, have also demonstrated a capacity for biodegradation of organochlorine compounds (Parte *et al.*, 2017).

Organophosphates are also highly toxic compounds that control pests such as insects, mites and nematodes (Badaii and Varela, 2008). These compounds can be found in everyday consumer products such as dairy, leading to public health problems. In one study was evaluated the degradation capacity of organophosphates by LAB during milk fermentation using different combinations of species of the genus *Lactobacillus* (*Lb.*

plantarum 1.0317, *Lb. plantarum* 1.0624, *Lb. plantarum* 1.0315, *Lb. brevis* 1.0209, *Lb. helveticus* 1.0203, *Lb. helveticus* 1.9204, *Lb. lactis* 4.0611, *Lb. bulgaricus* L6 and *Streptococcus thermophilus* 3.0503), some with strong degrading activity and others weak. They observed that when combining strains that individually presented weak activity, there is synergism, and organophosphate pesticides are degraded more rapidly (Zhang *et al.*, 2014).

Bioremediation in Colombia

In recent decades, water quality studies in Colombia have shown higher concentrations of heavy metals from increases in populations, industrialization and mining activities. There are reports of large concentrations of cadmium and lead in the Negro, Bogotá, Cararé, Marmato, Cauca la Pintada, Achi and Pinillos rivers (Reyes *et al.*, 2016). On the other hand, Colombian soils have a large amount of heavy metals from agricultural work that takes place throughout Colombia, which becomes an important problem, since these compounds represent a risk for health and the environment. In the municipality of Codazzi (Cesar), large quantities of chemical inputs have been used in cotton crops for several years. In a study conducted by Kopytko *et al.* (2017) in this region, a recovery process for soils was carried out using varieties of native strains of the genera *Pseudomonas*, *Aeromonas*, *Burkholderia*, *Bacillus* and *Enterobacter*, which are reported in the literature as microorganisms with a high potential to degrade organochlorine compounds. Authors applied the bioaugmentation method to remove 56.2% of DDT (dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane), 17.1% DDD (dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane) and 44.5% DDE (chlorophenylethylene) for 8 weeks (anaerobic treatment) and 46.5% removal of DDT, 17.8% DDD and 8.5% DDE after 20 weeks (aerobic treatment).

In Colombia, the development of bioremediation processes began more than 25 years ago. Studies carried out by research groups from universities such as the Center for Microbiological Research (CIMIC) of the Universidad de los Andes, Universidad Nacional de Colombia in Medellín, and Universidad de Antioquia have compiled published studies on bioremediation in Colombia. In a study carried out in the municipality of Manaure (Guajira), a mixed culture strategy was developed to isolate halophilic bacteria with antibacterial and cytotoxic activity. The strain

Vibrio diabolicus A1S was isolated and its production of bioactive metabolites was evaluated with biodegradable polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) polymer. This material has plastic-like characteristics and has potential applications in different industries. The *V. diabolicus* A1S genome was sequenced to establish the genes responsible for the production of PHB and the metabolic pathways of this microorganism, where bioprospecting isolated at least 600 different microorganisms with potential industrial applications (Conde, 2019).

In one study, some actinobacteria were isolated from water and sediments of the Guaviare River with antimicrobial capacity over bacterial as *Chromobacterium violaceum*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Acetobacter baumannii* and *Klebsiella pneumonia* and fungi as *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. These isolated strains represent a potential for future research with valuable contributions to medicine and agribusiness (Pastrana *et al.*, 2016). In another study carried out in two riparian areas of the Arauca River, it was found that the combination of physicochemical pretreatments and the use of techniques such as MALDI-TOF MS facilitated the detection of low abundance actinobacteria with potential use as a source of antimicrobial agents (Arango *et al.*, 2018). Another study reports the analysis of samples of deep marine sediments from the Caribbean Sea from which were isolated representatives of microbial communities that contribute to global carbon recycling. Also, in this study microorganisms with antimicrobial activity were isolated, evaluating their resistance to methionine, and 78 of these bacteria belonged to the Streptomycetaceae family. Three phylogenetic groups were found: Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes. This information gives an estimation of the phylogenetic diversity and provides a first step to the creation of taxonomic inventories from existing microbial populations with bioremediation capacity (Blandón *et al.* 2022).

Applications of microbial bioprospecting in food processing

The processing of food after harvest called transformation, require preservation techniques because organic matter is susceptible to deterioration by microorganisms. Therefore, extending the shelf-life of food has been a challenge, which is why a large number of preservatives have been developed (Amit *et al.*, 2017). These preservatives in many cases can be toxic due to their continuous consumption,

causing in some cases long-term diseases. Which is why the consumption of less processed foods with fewer preservatives or from natural sources is a current trend (Gutiérrez-Cortés and Suarez, 2014).

Biotechnology is focused on food with alternatives for conservation. Bioactive molecules obtained from plants (essential oils, secondary metabolites, extracts), hive

products or bacteria are capable of inhibiting or slowing down the growth of microorganisms that cause deterioration (Ferreira *et al.*, 2021). One of the main groups of bacteria that are sought after for applications in food biopreservation are LABs because they are considered as GRAS (Generally recognized as safe), which allows them to be applied directly to food or in separate products. Figure 5 shows some sources of LAB used in bioprospecting processes.




Figure 5. Main sources of LAB and main antimicrobial metabolites with biopreservation potential.

Because of the ubiquity of LABs, it is useful to characterize the microbiota of fermented food producing regions through bioprospecting protocols for a natural source of genes to develop new initiating strains with antagonistic traits of great interest in food (Topisirovic *et al.*, 2006). In general, the use of LAB as biopreservatives is an alternative of direct preservation since they eliminate competing microbiota, improving the characteristics of

the product and extending the shelf-life without carrying out processes of separation of antimicrobial compounds. However, acidification of the fermented products or the flavors produced because the microbial metabolites, sometimes are not desirables, so another alternative is purification, which can be added directly, such as lactic acid and bacteriocins (Gutiérrez-Cortés *et al.*, 2018).

The use of LAB directly in food can give probiotic characteristics to the product (Giraffa, 2012), which must be done in the logarithmic or stationary phase at a concentration between 10^8 and 10^9 CFUs when consumed. They must be able to survive the storage conditions of the product, as well as the pH, water activity, carbon, nitrogen, minerals and oxygen (Rivera-Espinoza and Gallardo-Navarro, 2010). LABs are widely known for their presence in dairy products; however, there are other food matrices that can be fermented or be vehicles of probiotic biomass. In the case of fermented meats, LABs must withstand high salt concentrations (15% - a_w : 0.85 – 0.86) and temperatures during production (4 to 7 °C during preparation, 18 to 24 °C during fermentation, and 12 to 15 °C during maturation) and low pH values (4.6 to 5.1) (Ammor and Mayo, 2007).

The LAB species most commonly used as initiators in meat fermentation are *Lb. sakei*, *Lb. curvatus*, *Lb. plantarum*, *Lb. pentosus*, *Lb. casei*, *Pediococcus pentosaceus* and *P. acidilactici*, which cause pH reductions below 5.1, preventing the growth of sensitive microorganisms, extending shelf-life, and modifying some sensory properties. LABs reduce pH by coagulating proteins, giving texture to the product and eliminating accompanying microbiota. Later, moisture is reduced by 70–80%, decreasing the a_w , which also reduces the microbial load (Ravyts *et al.*, 2012). Table 4 shows some examples of LAB applications in the processing of meat and plant-based products. A common denominator of these studies is the release of lactic acid and bacteriocins for the control of food pathogen populations.

Table 4. Examples of some applications of LAB and its metabolites in food.

	Product	Microorganism or metabolite	Pathogen	Reference
Animal origin	Meat	<i>Lb. sakei</i>	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> and <i>E. coli</i> 0157:H7	Pragalaki, Bloukas and Kotzekidou, 2013
	Chicken	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i>	<i>S. aureus</i> (10^4 – 10^5)	Akbar and Anal, 2014
	Casein plus sorbitol edible film on meat chunks	<i>Lb. Sakei</i>	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	Gialamas <i>et al.</i> , 2010
	Sausage casings	Nisin	<i>Clostridium sporogenes</i>	Wijnker <i>et al.</i> , 2011
	Salmon fillets	Bacteriocins de <i>Lb. pentosus</i> 39	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> y <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	Anacarso <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	Vacuum-packed and refrigerated cachama hybrid fillets	Bacteriocin produced by <i>Lb. plantarum</i> LPBM10	Mesophiles, psychrotrophs, total and fecal coliforms, reducing sulfite spores and <i>Salmonella</i> .	Suárez <i>et al.</i> , 2008
	Gluten-activated low-density polyethylene films in sausages	Bacteriocins lactocin 705 and lactocin AL705 produced by <i>Lb. curvatus</i> CRL 705	<i>L. innocua</i> y <i>Lb. Plantarum</i>	Blanco <i>et al.</i> , 2014
Vegetal origin	Ready-to-eat artichokes	<i>Lb. paracasei</i> LMGP22043	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> , <i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i> , and <i>E. coli</i>	Valerio <i>et al.</i> , 2013
	Raw vegetable salad: spinach, paprika, coriander, cabbage, turnip, radish, betel leaves, mushrooms, cucumber, cabbage, tomato, carrot, soybeans and radish	Bacteriocin HKT-9 (2,5 kD) of <i>Lc. Lactis</i> spp. <i>Lactis</i> HKT-9	<i>Aeromonas</i> sp. and <i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 9144	Kumar <i>et al.</i> , 2012

Likewise, these matrices are used as a vehicle for probiotic microorganisms as an alternative to dairy products. Sheehan *et al.* (2007) evaluated the viability

of *Lb. salivarius*, *Lb. paracasei*, *Lb. rhamnosus*, *Lb. casei*, and *Bifidobacterium animalis* in heat-treated orange juice (pH 3.65) (90 and 76 °C) and pineapple

juice (pH 3.4). Pineapple juice inhibited the growth of *Lb. rhamnosus* and *Lb. casei* (2 and 1 log CFU mL⁻¹ respectively) more than orange juice because of its lower pH; however, *Lb. paracasei* ssp. *paracasei* was not affected. *Lb. salivarius* was the most sensitive to acidity, demonstrating the possibility of introducing some strains of LAB in acidic fruit juices to preserve viability, improve the probiotic quality, and extend shelf-life (Sheehan *et al.*, 2007). There are several studies that have shown the potential of fruit juices to convey probiotic bacteria while maintaining viability. Most studies were carried out with commercial strains; however, these matrices could be used in post-bioprospecting processes for the development of new products that contain this type of bacteria without using milk. Acevedo-Martínez *et al.* (2018) demonstrated the viability of *Lb. casei* in mango nectar using FOS 5% as a prebiotic substance and the acceptance of the product by a panel of consumers. Bernal-Castro *et al.* (2019) evaluated the viability of a commercial strain of *Lb. casei* in a drink prepared with red fruits and 1% inulin.

Hive products such as propolis have also been used as bioconservative substances in different food matrices. Studies demonstrated how propolis can replace synthetic preservatives to increase the shelf-life of fish sausages and fillets (Gutiérrez-Cortés and Suarez 2014; Suarez *et al.*, 2008). Propolis can also be used in microencapsulates for edible films that prolong the shelf-life of fish fillets, as demonstrated in a study by Piedrahita *et al.* (2018). In addition, a mixture of microencapsulated propolis and chitosan were also used to prolong the shelf-life of packaged pork, using electrospun polycaprolactone in linear low-density polyethylene (Vargas *et al.*, 2021).

CONCLUSION

Faced with the global targets for sustainable development, it is very important to take into account the role of bioprospecting throughout the food production chain. Food production increasingly requires new technologies and increases in capacity. That increment has to be developed in a responsible way, then the reduction of agrochemicals and additives is a goal. Taking into account that agriculture activities are responsible of an important part of pollution of soils and water as a result of the pest control or fertilizations, it is necessary the use of natural

substances that recover soil and bodies of water and controlling organisms that affect crops and that cause post-harvest deterioration. There are more and more applications of native microorganisms and bioactive compounds in the production and transformation of food, which is why, in Colombia, this initiative is increasing. While there have been advances in bioprospecting in Colombia, these efforts also need to be directed towards the agricultural industry.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Departamento Administrativo de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación – (MINCIENCIAS), call No. 848 of 2019 for postdoctoral fellowship developed in the Instituto de Ciencia y Tecnología de Alimentos (ICTA) of the Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Also, this article is a derivation of the undergraduated thesis of Claudia Patricia Cubides Guerra entitled “La bioprospección como alternativa para la disminución de la contaminación ambiental producida por agroquímicos: aproximación del estado actual en Colombia (2021) for the Environmental Engineering program of the Universidad Nacional Abierta y A Distancia (UNAD).

REFERENCES

- Acevedo-Martínez E, Gutiérrez-Cortés C, García-Mahecha M and Díaz-Moreno C. 2018. Evaluation of viability of probiotic bacteria in mango (*Mangifera indica* L. Cv. “Tommy Atkins”) beverage. DYNA 85(207):84-92. <https://doi.org/10.15446/dyna.v85n207.70578>
- Akbar A and Anal AK. 2014. Occurrence of *Staphylococcus aureus* and evaluation of anti-staphylococcal activity of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* in ready-to-eat poultry meat. Annals of Microbiology 64(1):131-8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0641-x>
- Álvarez-Tafur M. 2014. La Decisión 391 de 1996 de la Comunidad Andina: su lectura antes y después del TLC de Colombia con Estados Unidos en materia de acceso y protección de los recursos genéticos y biológicos. Revista Criterio Libre Jurídico 11(1): 53-64. <https://revistas.unilibre.edu.co/index.php/criteriojuridico/article/view/684>
- Ameen FA, Hamdan AM and Naggar MY. 2020. Assessment of the heavy metal bioremediation efficiency of the novel marine lactic acid bacterium, *Lactobacillus plantarum* MF042018. Scientific Reports 10: 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57210-3>
- Amit SK, Uddin MM, Rahman R, Rezwani I, SM and Khan S. 2017. A review on mechanisms and commercial aspects of food preservation and processing. Agriculture and Food Security 6(51). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-017-0130-8>
- Ammor MS and Mayo B. 2007. Selection criteria for lactic acid

bacteria to be used as functional starter cultures in dry sausage production: An update. *Meat Science* 76(1):138-46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.10.022>

Anacarso I, Messi P, Condò C, Iseppi R, Bondi M, Sabia C and Niederhäusern S. 2014. A bacteriocin-like substance produced from *Lactobacillus pentosus* 39 is a natural antagonist for the control of *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Listeria monocytogenes* in fresh salmon fillets. *LWT - Food Science and Technology* 55(2): 604-611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2013.10.012>

Antia O, Posada F, Bustillo A and González M. 1990. Producción en finca del hongo *Beauveria bassiana* para el control de la broca del café. Centro Nacional de Investigaciones de Café (Cenicafé) Colombia. Avances técnicos No. 182:12. <http://hdl.handle.net/10778/951>

Arango C, Acosta-González A, Parra-Giraldo C, Sánchez-Quitan ZA, Kerr R and Díaz LE. 2018. Characterization of actinobacterial communities from Arauca River sediments (Colombia) reveals antimicrobial potential presented in low abundant isolates. *The Open Microbiology Journal* 12:181-194. <https://doi.org/10.2174%2F1874285801812010181>

Armenta-Bojórquez AD, García-Gutiérrez C, Camacho-Báez JR, Apodaca-Sánchez MA, Gerardo-Montoya L and Nava-Pérez E. 2010. Role of biofertilizers in the agricultural development in Mexico. *Ra Ximhai* 6(1): 51-56. Universidad Autónoma Indígena de México. <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=46112896007>

Badaïi MH and Varela S. 2008. Insecticidas Organofosforados: Efectos sobre la Salud y el Ambiente. *Cultura Científica y Tecnológica*. ISSN 2007-0411. <http://erevistas.uacj.mx/ojs/index.php/culcyt/article/view/375/355>

Bavikatte K and Tvedt M. 2015. Beyond the Thumbrule Approach: Regulatory Innovations for Bioprospecting in India' 11/1 Law, Environment and Development Journal. <https://lead-journal.org/content/15001.pdf>

Beattie A, Hay M, Magnusson B, Nys R, Smeathers J and Vincent J. 2010. Ecology and bioprospecting. *Austral Ecology* 36(3): 341-356. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2010.02170.x>

Bernal-Castro CA, Díaz-Moreno CA and Gutiérrez-Cortés C. 2019. Inclusion of prebiotics on the viability of a commercial *Lactobacillus casei* subsp. *rhamnosus* culture in a tropical fruit beverage. *Journal of Food Science Technology* 56: 987-994. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-018-03565-w>

Blanco M, Molina V, Sanchez M, Renaud V, Eisenberg P and Vignolo G. 2014. Active polymers containing *Lactobacillus curvatus* CRL705 bacteriocins: Effectiveness assessment in Wieners. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 178:7-12. <https://10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.02.013>

Blandón LM, Marín MA, Quintero M, Jutinico-Shubach LM, Montoya-Giraldo M, Santos-Acevedo M and Gómez-León J. 2022. Diversity of cultivable bacteria from deep-sea sediments of the Colombian Caribbean and their potential in bioremediation. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 115(3): 421-431. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-021-01706-4>

Carranza X. 2017. La bioprospección de microorganismos en Colombia como uso sostenible de la biodiversidad (Trabajo de grado - pregrado. Bogotá, Colombia. 21p. <https://repository.unimilitar.edu.co/handle/10654/16406?show=full>

Carvajal Muñoz, Juan Sebastian, and Mera Benavides, Adriana Consuelo. 2010. Fertilización biológica: técnicas de vanguardia para el desarrollo agrícola sostenible. *Revista producción + limpia*. 5(2): 77-96. [http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?script=sci_](http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1909-04552010000200007)

[arttext&pid=S1909-04552010000200007](http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1909-04552010000200007)

Choque R and Nogales J. 2019. Estudio de propiedades moleculares del glifosato usando métodos químico-cuánticos computacionales. *Revista Con-ciencia* 7(1):41-56. ISSN: 2310-0265. http://www.scielo.org.bo/pdf/rcfb/v7n1/v7n1_a05.pdf

Conde MNE. 2019. Bioprospecting study of cultivable prokaryotic fraction from Manaure solar saltern, La Guajira, Colombia. Doctoral dissertation. Universidad de La Sabana. Colombia. <https://intellectum.unisabana.edu.co/bitstream/handle/10818/37414/final.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

Cotes AM, Barrero LS, Rodríguez F, Zuluaga MV and Arévalo H. 2012. Bioprospección para el desarrollo del sector agropecuario de Colombia. Bogotá (Cundinamarca. Corporación colombiana de investigación agropecuaria - Agrosavia. 195 p. <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12324/12491>

Cubides C. 2021. La bioprospección como alternativa para la disminución de la contaminación ambiental producida por agroquímicos: aproximación del estado actual en Colombia. Trabajo de grado-pregrado. Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia (UNAD). Tunja, Colombia. 78p. <https://repository.unad.edu.co/handle/10596/44442>.

Dar MA, Kaushik G and Chiu V, Villareal JF. 2019. Chapter 2 - Pollution status and biodegradation of organophosphate pesticides in the environment. In Singh P., Kumar A., Borthakur A (Eds. *Abatement of Environmental Pollutants: Trends and Strategies* p 25-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818095-2.00002-3>

Departamento Nacional De Planeación (DNP). 2011. Documento Conpes: Política para el desarrollo comercial de la biotecnología a partir del uso sostenible de la biodiversidad. Colombia.

Domínguez R, León M, Samaniego J and Sunke O. 2019. Recursos naturales, medio ambiente y sostenibilidad: 70 años de pensamiento de la CEPAL. Libros de la CEPAL, N° 158 (LC/PUB.2019/18-P. Santiago, Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe (CEPAL). https://repositorio.cepal.org/bitstream/handle/11362/44785/1/S1900378_es.pdf

Duarte O and Velho L. 2009. La bioprospección como un mecanismo de cooperación internacional para fortalecimiento de capacidades en ciencia y tecnología en Colombia. *Ciência da Informação (Brasil)* 38(3): 96-110. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-19652009000300007>

Duarte Torres. Óscar. 2011. La bioprospección en Colombia. *Expediitio* 7: 16-25. <https://revistas.utadeo.edu.co/index.php/EXP/article/view/732>

Ermakova I, Kiseleva N, Shushkovat, Zharikov M, Zharikov G and Leontievsky. 2010. Bioremediation of glyphosate-contaminated soils. *Applied Microbiology Biotechnology* 88(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-2775-0>

FAO. 2022. Global Soil Partnership 2012-2022 – Sustainable soil management in action. Rome. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc0921en>. Accessed: November 2022

Ferreira S, Sanchez G, Alves MJ and Fraqueza MJ. 2021. Editorial: Natural Compounds in Food Safety and Preservation. *Frontiers of Nutrition* 8: 759594. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.759594>

Gialamas H, Zinoviadou KG, Biliaderis CG, and Koutsoumanis KP. 2010. Development of a novel bioactive packaging based on the incorporation of *Lactobacillus sakei* into sodium-caseinate films for controlling *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods. *Food Research International* 43(10): 2402-2408. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2010.09.020>

Giraffa G. 2012. Selection and design of lactic acid bacteria probiotic cultures. *Engineering in Life Sciences* 12(4): 391-398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.els.2012.03.001>

doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201100118

Gregory SJ, Anderson C, WN, Camps-Arbestain M, Biggs PJ, Ganley ARD, O'Sullivan JM and Mcmanus MT. 2015. Biochar in Co-contaminated Soil Manipulates Arsenic Solubility and Microbiological Community Structure, and Promotes Organochlorine Degradation. *Plos One* 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125393>

Guerra-Sierra B.E. 2008. Micorriza arbuscular. Recurso microbiológico en la agricultura sostenible. *Revista Tecnología En Marcha* 21(1): 191–201. https://revistas.tec.ac.cr/index.php/tec_marcha/article/view/1352

Hernández-Ruiz GM, Álvarez-Orozco N and Ríos-Osorio L. 2017. Biorremediación de organofosforados por hongos y bacterias en suelos agrícolas: revisión sistemática. *Ciencia & Tecnología Agropecuaria* 18(1): 138-159. https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol18_num1_art:564

Gutiérrez-Cortés C and Suarez H. 2014. Antimicrobial activity of propolis and its effect on the physicochemical and sensorial characteristics in sausages. *Vitae* 21 (2): 90-96. <https://revistas.udea.edu.co/index.php/vitae/article/view/12795>

Gutiérrez-Cortés C, Suarez H, Buitrago G, Nero LA and Todorov SD. 2018. Characterization of bacteriocins produced by strains of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* isolated from Minas cheese. *Annals of Microbiology* 68: 383–398. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-018-1345-z>

Jochum M, Moncayo LP and Jo Y-K. 2018. Microalgal cultivation for biofertilization in rice plants using a vertical semi-closed airlift photobioreactor. *PLoS ONE* 13(9): e0203456. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203456>

Jutinico-Shubach A, Gutiérrez-Cortés C, Suarez H. 2020. Antilisterial activity of chitosan-based edible coating incorporating cell-free supernatant from *Pediococcus pentosaceus* 147 on the preservation of fresh cheese. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation* 44: e14715. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14715>

Khan Z, Rehman A, Hussain S, Nisar M, Zulfiqar S and Shakoori A. 2016. Cadmium resistance and uptake by bacterium, *Salmonella enterica* 43C, isolated from industrial effluent. *AMB Express* 6(54). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-016-0225-9>

Kirilova AV, Danilushkina AA, Irisov DS, Bruslik NL, Fakhrollin RF, Zakharov YA, Bukhmin VS and Yarullina DR. 2017. Assessment of resistance and bioremediation ability of *Lactobacillus* strains to lead and cadmium. *International Journal of Microbiology* 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9869145>

Kopytko M, Correa-Torres SN and Estévez-Gómez MJ. 2017. Biodegradación estimulada de los suelos contaminados con pesticidas organoclorados. *Revista de Investigación Agraria y Ambiental* 8(1): 119-130. <https://doi.org/10.22490/21456453.1843>

Kumar M, Jain AK, Ghosh M, Ganguli A. 2012. Potential application of an anti-aeromonas bacteriocin of *Lactococcus lactis* ssp. *lactis* in the preservation of vegetable salad. *Journal of Food Safety* 32(3):369-378. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4565.2012.00389.x>

Liu M, Luo K, Wang Y, Zeng A, Zhou X, Luo F and Bai L. 2014. Isolation, Identification and Characteristics of an Endophytic Quinolizar Degrading Bacterium *Bacillus megaterium* Q3. *Plos one* 9(9): e108012. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108012>

Melgarejo Luz Marina. 2013. Bioprospecting as a possible development mechanism for Colombia. *Acta Biológica Colombiana* 18(1): 19-30. http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0120-548X2013000100002&lng=en&tlng=

Medina D. 2018. Impacto ambiental generado por la agricultura

colombiana 1970 - 2014. *Conexión Agropecuaria JDC* 8(1): 31-47. <https://doi.org/10.38017/22487735.615>

Minambiente - Ministerio de ambiente y desarrollo sostenible. Decisión Andina 391. <https://www.minambiente.gov.co/documento-entidad/decision-andina-391-de-1996/>. Colombia. Accessed October 2022

Montenegro S P, Pulido S Y y Calderón Vallejo L F. (2020). Prácticas de biorremediación en suelos y aguas. *Notas De Campus* (1). <https://doi.org/10.22490/notas.3451>

Odukkathil G and Vasudevan N. 2016. Residues of endosulfan in surface and subsurface agricultural soil and its bioremediation. *Journal of Environmental Management* 165: 72-80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.09.020>

Ortiz-Moreno ML, de Almeida RF, Cárdenas M, Guevara-Suarez, M, Marbello A, Bolaños N, Jimenez P and Restrepo S. 2022. Chapter 13: Biotechnology of Fungi of Colombia. In: de Almeida R, Lücking R, Vasco-Palacios A, Gaya E and Diazgranados M (eds). *Catalogue of Fungi of Colombia*. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, and the Instituto de Investigación de Recursos Biológicos Alexander von Humboldt. 514 p.

Oyemitan I. 2017. Chapter 27 - African Medicinal Spices of Genus Piper. En: Kuete V. (ed) *Medicinal spices and vegetables from Africa: Therapeutic potential against metabolic, inflammatory, infectious and systemic diseases*. Dschang, Cameroon. Pp. 581-597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809286-6.00027-3>

Parte SG, Mohekar AD and Kharat AS (2017). Microbial degradation of pesticide. A review. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 11(24): 992-1012. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR2016.8402>

Pastrana-Camacho N, Suarez Z, Acosta-Gonzales A, Arango C, Hatli B, Correa H, Kerr R, Duque C and Diaz LE. 2016. Bioprospecting for culturable actinobacteria with antimicrobial properties isolated from rivers in Colombian Orinoquia. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research* 15(6): 1259-1265. <https://doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v15i6.19>

Petrova P, Arsov A, Tsvetanova F, Parvanova-Mancheva T, Vasileva E, Tsigoriyna L, Petrov K. 2022. The complex role of lactic acid bacteria in food detoxification. *Nutrients* 14(10): 2038. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14102038>

Piedrahita DG, Fuenmayor CA, Suarez H. 2018. Effect of chitosan-propolis edible coatings on stability of refrigerated cachama (*Piaractus brachyomus*) vacuum-packed fish filets. *Packaging Technology and Science* 32(3): 143-153. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pts.2422>

Pileggi, M, Pileggi SAV and Sadowsky MJ. 2020. Herbicide bioremediation: from strains to bacterial communities. *Heliyon* 6(12): 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05767>

Pragalaki T, Bloukas JG, and Kotzekidou P. 2013. Inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in liquid broth medium and during processing of fermented sausage using autochthonous starter cultures. *Meat Science* 95(3): 458-64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.05.034>

Ravyts F, Vuyst Ld and Leroy F. 2012. Bacterial diversity and functionalities in food fermentations. *Engineering in Life Sciences* 12(4): 356-67. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201100119>

Reyes Y, Vergara I, Torres O, Díaz-Lagos M and González-Jimenez E. 2016) Contaminación por metales pesados: Implicaciones en salud, ambiente y seguridad alimentaria. *Ingeniería Investigación y Desarrollo: I2+D*, ISSN-e 2422-4324, ISSN 1900-771X, 16(2): 66–77. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=6096110>

Rivera-Espinoza Y and Gallardo-Navarro Y. 2010. Non-dairy

- probiotic products. *Food Microbiology* 27(1): 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2008.06.008>
- Rojas P, Ospina C, Villafañe C, Carranza X, Escobar L, García L, Murillo J, Acevedo B and Orjuela M. 2016. Manual de solicitud del contrato de acceso a recursos genéticos y sus productos derivados en Colombia. Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible. Bogotá, Colombia. 122 p. https://www.minambiente.gov.co/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Manual_de_Solicitud_del_Contrato_de_Acceso_a_Recursos_Geneticos_y_sus_Productos_Derivados_en_Colombia.pdf
- Ruuskanen S, Miia J, Kuosmanen V, Laihonon M, Saikkonen K, Saloniemi I and Helander M. 2020. Female preference and adverse developmental effects of glyphosate-based herbicides on ecologically relevant traits in Japanese Quails. *Environmental Science & Technology* 54(2): 1128-1135. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b07331>
- Seenivasagan R, & Babalola O. 2021. Utilization of microbial consortia as biofertilizers and biopesticides for the production of feasible agricultural product. *Biology* 10(11): 1111. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10111111>
- Senthil P, Femina C and Varjani SJ. 2017. Pesticides bioremediation. In: Varjani S., Agarwal A., Gnansounou E., Gurunathan B. (eds) *Bioremediation: Applications for environmental protection and management. Energy, environment, and sustainability*. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7485-1_10
- Shuaib M, Azam N, Bahadur S, Romman M, Yu Q and Xuexiu C. 2021. Variation and succession of microbial communities under the conditions of persistent heavy metal and their survival mechanism. *Microbial Pathogenesis* 150: 104713-. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104713>
- Sheehan VM, Ross P and Fitzgerald GF. 2007. Assessing the acid tolerance and the technological robustness of probiotic cultures for fortification in fruit juices. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies* 8(2): 279-84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2007.01.007>
- Shi G, Li Y, Xi G, Xu Q, He Z, Liu Y, Zhang J and Cai J. 2017. Rapid green synthesis of gold nanocatalyst for high-efficiency degradation of quinclorac. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 335: 170-177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.04.042>
- Singh M, Singh D, Gupta A, Pandey K, Singh P. and Kumar A. 2019. Chapter Three - Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: Application in biofertilizers and biocontrol of phytopathogens. In Singh A., Kumar A. & Kumar Singh P. (Eds) *PGPR Amelioration in Sustainable Agriculture* 41-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815879-1.00003-3>
- Schütz L, Saharan K, Mäder P, Boller T and Mathimaran N. 2022. Rate of hyphal spread of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from pigeon pea to finger millet and their contribution to plant growth and nutrient uptake in experimental microcosms. *Applied Soil Ecology* 169. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2021.104156>
- Suárez H, Pardo S and Cortes M. 2008. Análisis estructural de filetes sajados de híbrido de cachama *Piaractus braquyponus* x *Colossoma macropomum* utilizando bacteriocinas producidas por *Lactobacillus plantarum* LPBM10 empacado al vacío. *Revista MVZ Córdoba* 13(2): <https://doi.org/10.21897/rmvz.390>
- Tchounwou PB, Yedjou CG, Patlolla, AK and Sutton DJ. 2012. Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. In: Luch, A. (eds) *Molecular, clinical and environmental toxicology. Experientia Supplementum vol 101*. Springer, Basel. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8340-4_6
- Topisirovic L, Kojic M, Fira D, Golic N, Strahinic I and Lozo J. 2006. Potential of lactic acid bacteria isolated from specific natural niches in food production and preservation. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 112(3): 230-235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2006.04.009>
- Tyagi M, da Fonseca, MMR & de Carvalho, CCCR. 2011. Bioaugmentation and biostimulation strategies to improve the effectiveness of bioremediation processes. *Biodegradation* 22(2): 231-41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-010-9394-4>
- Tyagi J, Ahmad S, and Malik M. 2022. Nitrogenous fertilizers: impact on environment sustainability, mitigation strategies, and challenges. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* 19: 11649–11672. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-022-04027-9>
- Tzin V and Galili G. 2010. New insights into the shikimate and aromatic amino acids biosynthesis pathways in plants. *Molecular Plant* 3(6): 956-972. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssp048>
- Vargas E, Lim L, Suárez H and Bohrer B. 2021. The effect of electrospun polycaprolactone nonwovens containing chitosan and propolis extracts on fresh pork packaged in linear low-density polyethylene films. *Food* 10(5): 1110. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10051110>
- Valerio F, Lonigro SL, Di Biase M, De Candia S, Callegari ML and Lavermicocca P. 2013. Bioprotection of ready-to-eat probiotic artichokes processed with *Lactobacillus paracasei* LMGP22043 against foodborne pathogens. *Journal of Food Science* 78(11): M1757-M63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12282>
- Vimal S, Singh J, Arora N and Singh S. 2017. Soil-Plant-Microbe Interactions in Stressed Agriculture Management: A Review. *Pedosphere* 27(2): 177-192. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(17\)60309-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(17)60309-6)
- Wijnker J, Weerts EAWS, Breukink EJ, Houben JH and Lipman LJA. 2011. Reduction of *Clostridium sporogenes* spore outgrowth in natural sausage casings using nisin. *Food Microbiology* 28(5): 974-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2011.01.009>
- Yadav K, Gupta N, Kumar V and Singh K. 2017. Bioremediation of heavy metals from contaminated sites using potential species: A Review. *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection* 37(1): 65-84. Available at <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313559185>
- Zhang Y-H, Xu D, Liu J-Q and Zhao X-H. 2014. Enhanced degradation of five organophosphorus pesticides in skimmed milk by lactic acid bacteria and its potential relationship with phosphatase production. *Food Chemistry* 164: 173-178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.05.059>
- Zhang T and Zhang H. 2022. Microbial Consortia Are Needed to Degrade Soil Pollutants. *Microorganisms* 10(2): 261. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10020261>
- Zhang X, Liu W, Zhange G, Jiang L and Han X. 2015. Mechanisms of soil acidification reducing bacterial diversity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 81: 275-281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.11.004>