Substitution of *Canna edulis* starch for a mixture of potato/cassava starch in the production of almojábanas

Substitución de almidón de *Canna edulis* por una mezcla de almidón de papa/yuca en la elaboración de almojábanas

UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA

Stalin Santacruz[1,2]* and Gabriela Cobo[1]

ABSTRACT

Keywords:
Crumb
Sensory evaluation
Specific volume
Starch mixture
Texture

Almojábana is a kind of food that varies in its composition depending on the geographical place where it is baked. In Ecuador, almojábana is based on cheese, wheat flour and *Canna edulis* starch. *C. edulis* planting has diminished, leading to a high cost of the starch and less availability on the market. The present work studied *C. edulis* starch substitution by a mixture of potato and cassava starches on the elaboration of almojábanas. Specific volume, crumb structure, textural properties and sensory analyses were used to find the best starch substitution. Mixtures of cassava and potato starch (25/75, 35/65, and 45/55) and starch dough resting time (10, 15, and 20 h) were investigated. Hardness, elasticity, chewiness, specific volume, average size cell, the number of cells per area and the total area of cells of almojábanas were determined. Results showed that a mixture of 25% potato, 75% cassava and 20 h resting time could substitute *C. edulis* starch in almojábana baking. The obtained almojábanas had different flavor compared to a control sample (based on *C. edulis* starch). Starch substitution reduced the cost of raw materials (starch) by 60%.

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:
Miga
Análisis sensorial
Volumen específico
Mezcla de almidones
Textura

La almojábana es un alimento cuya composición varía dependiendo del lugar donde es elaborado. En Ecuador, se elabora con queso, harina de trigo y almidón de *Canna edulis.* El cultivo de *Canna edulis* ha disminuido dando como resultado un elevado costo del almidón y su menor disponibilidad en el mercado. En el presente trabajo se estudió la substitución de almidón de *C. edulis* por mezclas de almidones de papa y yuca en la elaboración de almojábanas. El volumen específico, estructura de la miga, propiedades de textura y análisis sensorial fueron utilizados para encontrar la mejor sustitución de almidón. Mezclas de almidones de papa y yuca (25/75, 35/65 y 45/55) conjuntamente con tiempo de reposo de las masas de almidón (10, 15 y 20 h) fueron estudiadas como variables del proceso. La dureza, elasticidad, masticabilidad, volumen específico, tamaño promedio de celda, número de celdas por área y área total de las celdas fueron los parámetros investigados. Los resultados mostraron que una mezcla de 25% papa y 75% yuca, y un tiempo de reposo de 20 min pudieron substituir al almidón de *C. edulis.* Las almojábanas obtenidas tuvieron sabor diferente en relación con el control de almidón de *C. edulis.* La substitución de este almidón redujo los costos de materia prima en un 60%.

[1] Universidad San Francisco de Quito. Av. Diego de Robles y Vía Interoceánica. CP 170901,Quito, Ecuador.

[2] Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí. Av. Circunvalación – Vía a San Mateo. CP 130804, Manta, Ecuador.

* Corresponding author: <stalin.santacruz@gmail.com>

Almojábana is a baked food that its composition depending on the geographical place where it is elaborated. Therefore, almojábana may look and taste different due to differences in ingredients and processing. Almojábana is an Arab dessert based on cheese and wheat flour. Arabs spread the recipe to Spain, where it was modified and brought later to America (De la Granja Santamaría, 1970). In Ecuador, almojábanas have been produced since 1900 by small producers mainly for local consumption, with a recipe that is based on cheese, wheat flour and *Canna edulis* starch (Cobo, 2014).

C. edulis is a native plant from America. *C. edulis* rhizomes are used for starch extraction in tropical and subtropical countries (Caicedo *et al.*, 2000). In Latin America, it is cultivated in Peru, Ecuador, Bolivia, Brazil, Venezuela, and Colombia (Caicedo *et al.*, 2003). According to Caicedo *et al.* (2000). *C. edulis* crop has diminished due to the difficulty of the starch extraction process, pests and low economic profit compared to other crops. The low cropping has triggered high-cost *C. edulis* starch and less availability on the market (Caicedo *et al.*, 2003). Therefore, there are economical and supplying problems for producers of foods based on this starch. Moreover, low planting may lead to the disappearance of a native crop, which has a promising starch for industrial applications (Parra-Huertas, 2012).

C. edulis starch is an important ingredient for the production of Ecuadorian almojábanas. *C. edulis* starch is also used to make food products like biscuits in Colombia (González, 2012). However, a expensive *C. edulis* starch with low availability has forced to look for starch substitutes.

C. edulis starch has a granule size between 35 and 101 µm, amylose content that varies from 25 to 45% (Yanuro, 2018) and a gelatinization temperature between 60 and 79 °C (Fonseca-Florido *et al.*, 2016; Aprianita *et al.*, 2014). Potato starch has granule size between 10 and 110 µm (Singh *et al.*, 2003), amylose content that varies from 16 to 24% (Jane *et al.*, 2010) and a gelatinization temperature between 56 and 67 °C. Cassava starch has a granule size between 5 and 45 µm and amylose content that varies from 14 to 19% (Gunaratne and Hoover 2002). Although cassava starch has different properties compared to *C. edulis*, it may be a good substitute since it has shown good results on the elaboration of baking products such as Pandebono (bread-based on fermented cassava starch) or *pão de queijo* (cheese bread, from Brazil), both with formulations very similar to almojábana. On the other hand, potato starch has similar properties to *C. edulis* starch, making potato and cassava starches proper choices for substitution (Salinas, 2014; Intriago and Muñoz, 2014) Both tuber starches are available on the Ecuadorian market. They have lower cost, 2,350 USD t⁻¹ and 800 USD t⁻¹, respectively, compared to *C. edulis* starch (3,000 USD t⁻¹).

Therefore, the objective of this work was to study the substitution of *C. edulis* starch by a mixture of potato and cassava starch in the almojábanas making. The specific volume, crumb structure and textural properties (elasticity, chewiness, and hardness) were evaluated in the different almojábanas made with the starch mixtures. A weighing of the variables was used to obtain the best physical characteristics. Afterward, to find out differences between the best treatment and the control (based on *C. edulis* starch), sensory analyses were performed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wheat flour was bought from La Moderna S.A. (Ecuador), *C. edulis* starch from an artisanal producer (Ecuador), potato starch from "Suiza Industrial del Ecuador" (Ecuador) and cassava starch from "Indumaíz del Ecuador" (Ecuador).

Almojábanas baking

Mixtures of potato and cassava starch were prepared according to Tables 1 and 2. Eggs were stirred in a kitchen mixer (Kitchen-Aid Professional 600, Mexico) at 220 rpm for 5 min, whereas cheese was stirred at 70 rpm for 4 min (Table 1).

Baking powder, wheat flour, starch or starch mixture (*C. edulis* for control sample) were blended and stirred at 50 rpm for 2 min. The formed dough was left at room temperature (approx. 16 °C) between 10 and 20 h (resting time). Afterward, milk was added to the dough to obtain a more liquid-like mixture. 70 g of the mixture was loaded to muffin pans and baked at 220 °C for 18 min. After baking, almojábanas were cooled before they were stored in polypropylene bags.

Table 1. Almojábana ingredients.

Ingredient	%[1]
Wheat flour	100
Starch/starch mixture[2]	100
Sugar	120
Fresh cheese	160
Baking powder	4
Eggs	100
Milk	40

[1] Percentage values are based on starch or starch mixture weight
[2] *C. edulis* starch or a mixture of potato and cassava starch

Table 2. Experimental design. Almojábanas elaboration with resting time and starch mixture composition as study factors

Starch mixture potato/cassava (%)	Resting time (h)	Treatment
25/75	10	A
	15	B
	20	C
35/65	10	D
	15	E
	20	F
45/55	10	G
	15	H
	20	I
C. edulis starch	16	Control

Specific volume and texture profile analysis

Specific volume was determined according to the AACC (2010) in triplicate. Texture profile analysis (TPA) was done according to Steffolani (2010). A texturometer (model CT3, Brookfield, USA) with a compression cell of 245 N, speed of 100 mm min^{-1}, maximum deformation of 40% and a diameter probe of 25 mm were used.

After baking, almojábanas were stored for 24 h before the TPA analyses. Measurements were done thrice testing the central part of the almojábana, which was cut with an electric knife (Oster 2619, U.S.A.). A sample of 25 mm depth, 52 mm height and 62 mm width, was loaded on the texturometer and compressed twice. Hardness, cohesiveness, and elasticity were measured, whereas chewiness was calculated according to equation 1.

$$Chewiness = hardness \times cohesiveness \times elasticity \quad (1)$$

Where:
Cohesiveness=A2/A1
Elasticity=L2/L1
A2: area under the second compression cycle of the TPA curve
A1: area under the first compression cycle of the TPA curve
L2: compression distance corresponding to the second compression cycle
L1: compression distance corresponding to the first compression cycle

Rev. Fac. Nac. Agron. Medellín 73(3): 9341-9347. 2020

9343

Crumb structure

Crumb structure was determined according to Sciarini (2011). The average cell size, the number of cells per area and total area of cells (%) were determined in triplicate. Two softwares, Fiji and Peakfit (Systat Software, Inc.) were used (*Schindelin et al.*, 2012). Fiji software was used to transform a crumb image into a gray color figure and afterward in a frequency histogram of a gray color scale (256 gray colors). Deconvolution of the frequency histogram was performed by Peakfit software. As a result, two Gaussian curves corresponding to the white and the black color. The intercept of the two curves was used as a limit, values under the intercept were appointed to the black color (crumb wall), and values above the intercept were associated with white color (crumb cell).

Experimental design

A Completely Randomized Design, 3^2+1, was used. Mixture of cassava and potato starch (25/75, 35/65 and 45/55) and resting time (10, 15 and 20 h) were used as factors (Table 2). A control sample was an almojábana, only baked with *C. edulis* starch. Hardness, elasticity, chewiness, specific volume, average size cell, number of cells per area and total area of cells were determined.

The composition of the starch mixture (Table 2) was decided based on previous assays. A substitution with potato starch above 60% produced an almojábana with low moisture and low elasticity. In contrast, a substitution with cassava with values above 80% produced an almojábana with a different flavor and higher elasticity compared to the control sample (almojábana based on *C. edulis* starch).

An ANOVA and a Tukey test with a significance level of 5% were applied by using IBM SPSS Statistics 20 software.

Sensory evaluation

The treatments with the best physical and textural characteristics were used to perform a triangle test. In this test, three samples were presented to the panelists simultaneously. Two of them were the same and one was different, the latter being the control sample. The panelist was asked to identify the different sample (Hernández, 2005).

The number of panelists was decided regarding three parameters, $\alpha=0.05$ (moderate evidence), $\beta=0.1$ (90% confidence) and Pd=20% (20% of the population can detect difference among samples).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Specific volume and texture profile analysis

Specific volume showed statistical difference among treatments ($P<0.05$). Resting time was the only significant factor. According to Table 3, treatments similar to control sample corresponded to group 1, with specific volumes between 1.75 and 2.09 $cm^3\,g^{-1}$.

Table 3. Specific volume of the different treatments

Treatments	Specific volume ($cm^3\,g^{-1}$)		
	Group, $\alpha = 0.05$		
	1	2	3
Control	1.75		
I	1.81		
C	1.95	1.95	
E	1.96	1.96	
F	1.97	1.97	1.97
B	1.99	1.99	1.99
A	2.02	2.02	2.02
H	2.09	2.09	2.09
D		2.26	2.26
G			2.36

A high specific volume is usually required for baking products; however, almojábanas had a low specific volume. Almojábanas are prepared with similar ingredients than *pão de queijo*; it has a high amount of starch, cheese and eggs, leading to the formation of heavy dough and, therefore, a food product with low specific volume (Zavareze *et al.*, 2009).

Hardness
Almojábana hardness results are shown in (Table 4). Time of rest was the only factor that influenced almojábana hardness. Results showed that the treatment prepared

with 25% potato, 75% cassava and 20 h resting time had a hardness of 21.29 N and was statistically similar to the control (23.80 N). Both samples had higher hardness compared to other samples ($P<0.05$). Cueto *et al.* (2011) found that high levels of cassava flour can generate pancakes and cakes with low hardness.

Different results may be due to the use of single starch or a starch mixture and the type of cheese on the elaboration of almojábana. Indeed, Pereira (1998) found that the type of cheese is responsible of the taste and texture of *pão de queijo*.

Table 4. Hardness and chewiness properties

Treatment	Hardness (N) Group, $\alpha=0.05$			Treatment	Chewiness (N mm) Group, $\alpha=0.05$		
	1	2	3		1	2	3
A	14.7			G	41		
G	15.7			B	42.3	42.3	
B	16.2			H	43.7	43.7	
D	16.5			I	44.7	44.7	
H	17.7	17.7		A	44.9	44.9	
E	18.6	18.6		F	46.4	46.4	46.43
I	18.7	18.7		E	48.7	48.7	48.73
F	18.9	18.9		D	51.3	51.3	51.3
C		21.3	21.3	C		56.3	56.3
Control			23.8	Control			61

Chewiness
Chewiness results showed differences among treatments. Four treatments were statistically comparable to the control (Table 4), treatment C (25% potato/75% cassava – 20 h), D (35% potato/65% cassava – 10 h), E (35% potato/65% cassava – 15 h) and F (35% potato/65% cassava – 20 h) with chewiness between 46.43 and 61.00 N mm.

Cauvain (2016) showed that changes in chewiness during storage are associated with starch retrogradation. On the other hand, Cuikeisha and Roberts (2009) showed that chewiness decreased proportionally to the quantity of fiber present. Knowing that retrograded starch behaves as fiber (Saaman, 2017), in the present work, mixtures of potato and cassava starch may promote a formation of retrograded starch in

different amounts. It could affect the chewiness of the almojábana.

Elasticity
There was no statistical difference among treatments and control sample ($P<0.05$). However, almojábanas elaborated with long resting times (15 and 20 h) had a lower elasticity. Therefore a low capacity to recover the original size after compression, compared to almojábanas with resting times of 10 h. The control sample had elasticity analogous to almojábanas elaborated with 15 h resting time.

Previous study (Cueto *et al.*, 2011) showed that a high content of cassava starch, the cell size increased. This research showed that almojábanas with the highest content of cassava starch (treatments A, B and C) had

cell sizes with intermediate values. Different results could be due to the different ingredients used on food elaboration. The number of cells per area and total area of cells showed no statistical differences among treatments.

Crumb characterization
Cell size showed only dependence of the interaction between starch mixture and resting time. Treatment D had the largest cell size (5.61 mm^2), whereas treatment E had the smallest one (1.75 mm^2) (Table 5). Treatments corresponding to group 2 had cell sizes between 1.75 and 4.17 mm^2 and were equal to the control ($P<0.05$).

In order to obtain a treatment with the best physical characteristics, a weighing of the variables was performed by giving values as follows, 1 for cell size, 2 for specific volume, 3 for hardness and 4 for chewiness. Treatment C (25% potato/75% cassava and 20 h resting time) had the highest score (10 points), and, therefore, it was selected as the best treatment. Moreover, using this treatment, the cost of raw materials (starch) was reduced by about 60%.

Table 5. Almojábanas cell size

Treatments	Cell size (mm^2)	
	Group, $\alpha = 0.05$	
	1	2
E	1.75	
B	2.03	2.03
G	2.47	2.47
I	2.48	2.48
Control	2.73	2.73
F	2.75	2.75
A	2.78	2.78
C	3.6	3.6
H	4.17	4.17
D		5.61

Sensory evaluation
In order to know if treatment C had the same characteristics as the control sample, a triangle test was executed. 39 was the number of correct answers to establish a significative difference (Hernández, 2005). A total of 46 panelists correctly identified the different samples, finding that treatment C had a texture similar to the control sample. As an additional comment, panelists evidenced differences between the almojábanas made with a mixture of potato/cassava starch and *C. edulis* starch.

CONCLUSIONS
The use of a starch mixture, potato (25%) and cassava (75%) for 20 h resting time to elaborate almojábana showed no differences compared to a control sample based on *C. edulis* starch. Treatment C could be reduced the cost of raw materials (starch) by 60%. Elasticity, chewiness and hardness were not affected by the starch substitution, whereas analysis of the crumb showed that cell size was affected by the starch substitution and the resting time.

REFERENCES
AACC. 2010. American Association of Cereal Chemist. International Approved Method of Analysis. 11th ed. AACC International, St. Paul.

Aprianita A, Vasiljevic T, Bannikova A and Kasapis S. 2014. Physicochemical properties of flours and starches derived from traditional Indonesian tubers and roots. Journal of Food Science and Technology 51: 3669-3679. doi: 10.1007/s13197-012-0915-5

Caicedo G, Rozo L y Bonilla U. 2000. La achira su producción y beneficio. CORPOICA, Bogotá. 51 p.

Caicedo G, Rozo L y Rengifo G. 2003. La achira. Alternativa agroindustrial para áreas de economía campesina. CORPOICA, Bogotá. 85 p.

Cauvain S. 2016. Bread and Other Bakery Products. pp. 431-

459. In: Subramaniam P (ed.). The Stability and Shelf Life of Food. Second Edition. Elsevier, Cambridge. 612 p. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-100435-7.00015-0

Cobo G. 2014. Substitución de almidón de achira (*Canna edulis*) por una mezcla de almidones de papa (*Solanum tuberosum*) y yuca (*Manihot esculenta*) para la elaboración de quesadillas (Tesis de pregrado). Universidad San Francisco de Quito. Quito, Ecuador. 74 p.

Cueto D, Pérez E, Borneo R y Ribotta P. 2011. Efecto de la adición de harina de yuca (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) sobre las características sensoriales, reológicas y físicas de tortas y panquecas. Revista de la Facultad de Agronomía UCV 37: 64-74.

Cuikeisha S and Robert T. 2009. Dietary Fiber: Fulfilling the Promise of Added-Value Formulations. In: Kasapis S, Norton IT and Ubbink JB (eds.). Modern Biopolymer Science: Bridging the divide between fundamental treatise and industrial application. First Edition. Academic Press, Cambridge. 640 p. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-374195-0.00013-6

De la Granja Santamaría. 1970. Fiestas Cristianas en el Andalus II, Al-Andalus, XXXV. 124 p.

Fonseca-Florido H, Méndez-Montealvo G, Velazquez G and Gómez-Aldapa C. 2016. Thermal study in the interactions of starches blends: Amaranth and achira. Food Hydrocolloids 61: 640-648. doi: 1001016/j.foodhyd.2016.06.027

González G. 2012. Desarrollo de productos con alto contenido de almidón para la industria de alimentos. Fundación Universitaria Agraria de Colombia, Bogotá. pp. 1-51.

Gunaratne A and Hoover R. 2002. Effect of heat–moisture treatment on the structure and physicochemical properties of tuber and root starches. Carbohydrate Polymers 49(4): 425-437. doi: 10.1016/S0144-8617(01)00354-X

Hernández E. 2005. Evaluación Sensorial. Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia, Bogotá DC. 125 p.

Intriago M and Muñoz G. 2014. Estudio de Factibilidad para la creación de una Empresa que produzca almidón de yuca, como materia prima para el mercado de Guayaquil. (Tesis de pregrado). Universidad Católica de Santiago de Guayaquil. Guayaquil, Ecuador. 102 p.

Jane J, Maningat C and Wongsagonsup R. 2010. Starch characterization, variety and application. In: Singh B (ed.). Crops and Uses. CAB, Chippenham. 528 p.

Parra-Huertas R. 2012. Physical-chemical and sensory characterization of compote from gulupa (*Passiflora edulis*), starch sagú (*Canna edulis*) and stevia. Vitae 19: S219-S221.

Pereira A. 1998. Factores que afetam a qualidade do pão de quiejo. CETEC, Belo Horizonte. 52 p.

Saaman R. 2017. Dietary fiber for the prevention of cardiovascular disease: fiber's interaction between gut microflora, sugar metabolism, weight control and cardiovascular health. Academic Press, London. 170 p.

Salinas L. 2014. Modelo de negocio para una empresa productora de almidón de papa de la provincia de Tungurahua. (Tesis de maestría). Escuela Politécnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador. 227 p.

Sciarini L. 2011. Estudio del efecto de diferentes aditivos sobre la calidad y la conservación de panes libres de gluten. (Tesis doctoral). Universidad Nacional de la Plata. La Plata, Argentina. 208 p.

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P and Cardona A2012. open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods 9: 676-682. doi:* 10.1038/nmeth.2019

Singh N, Singh J, Kaur L, Sodhi N and Gill B. 2003. Morphological, thermal and rheological properties of starches from different botanical sources. Food Chemistry 81(2): 219-231. doi: 10.1016/S0308-8146(02)00416-8

Steffolani, M. 2010. Efecto de las enzimas pentosanasa, glucosa oxidasa y transglutaminasa en productos de panificación. (Tesis doctoral). Universidad Nacional de la Plata. La Plata, Argentina. 240 p.

Yanuro N. 2018. Evaluación de las propiedades físicoquímicas, térmicas y microestructurales del almidón de achira (*Canna edulis*). (Tesis doctoral). Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia. 133p

Zavareze ER, Storck CR and Pereira J. 2009. Elaboração de pão de queijo com substituição do amido de mandioca por amido de batata-doce (*Ipomoea batatas*) submetido a diferentes processos de secagem. Brazilian Journal of Food Technology 12: 68-76. doi: 10.4260/BJFT20094908

Rev. Fac. Nac. Agron. Medellín 73(3): 9341-9347. 2020

9347