

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15446/revfacmed.v67n1.66001>

Split-night polysomnography at Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá

Caracterización de estudios de noche partida en la Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá

Received: 30/06/2017. Accepted: 09/12/2017.

Edgar Osuna-Suárez^{1,2} • Adrián Camilo Zamora-Gómez^{1,3} • Carlos Fernando Martínez-Rubio^{1,4} • María Camila Valencia-Mendoza⁴ Yuli Guzmán-Prado¹ • Marco Aurelio Venegas-Mariño⁵ • Gustavo Andrés Patiño-Fernández⁶¹ Hospital Universitario Santa Fe de Bogotá - Department of Neurology - Bogotá D.C. - Colombia.² Universidad Nacional de Colombia - Faculty of Medicine - Department of Morphology - Bogotá D.C. - Colombia.³ Universidad del Bosque - Faculty of Medicine - Graduate Program in Neurology - Bogotá D.C. - Colombia.⁴ Universidad de los Andes - Faculty of Medicine - Department of Neurology - Bogotá D.C. - Colombia.⁵ Clínica para el Diagnóstico y Tratamiento de los Trastornos de Sueño - Somnarum - Bogotá D.C. - Colombia.⁶ Oakland University - William Beaumont School of Medicine - Department of Biomedical Sciences - Rochester, MI - USA.

Corresponding author: Edgar Osuna-Suárez. Departamento de Neurología, Hospital Universitario, Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá. Carrera 7 No. 117-15. Telephone number: +57 1 6030303, ext.: 5208. Bogotá D.C., Colombia. Email: edosunas@unal.edu.co.

| Abstract |

Introduction: The obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS) is usually established using polysomnography (PSG). Most patients diagnosed with this condition receive treatment with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP). The conventional approach requires performing a full-night PSG and CPAP titration over a two-night stay in a sleep laboratory, which is costly and may present scheduling difficulties. However, the combined use of polysomnography and CPAP titration in a single night, procedure known as split-night polysomnography (SNPSG), is less-expensive and is a time saving strategy for diagnosis and treatment.

Objectives: To characterize the SNPSG studies conducted in the sleep laboratory of the Hospital Universitario Santa Fe de Bogotá (HUFsFB) and assess their performance in the diagnosis and treatment of OSAHS.

Materials and methods: Retrospective, observational and longitudinal study performed on a sample of 221 patients.

Results: 208 (94.1%) SNPSG studies were compatible with OSAHS. Most cases (54.7%) had a hypopnea apnea index (AHI) ≥ 30 . Adequate CPAP titration was achieved in 78% of patients who had severe AHI ($p=0.00$).

Conclusions: OSAHS was diagnosed and an adequate CPAP titration was achieved in most of the SNPSG studies of the analyzed sample.

Keywords: Sleep Apnea, Obstructive; Sleep; Polysomnography (MeSH).

| Resumen |

Introducción. El diagnóstico del síndrome de apnea/hipopnea obstructiva del sueño (SAHOS) se realiza mediante estudio de polisomnografía (PSG) y la mayoría de los pacientes con este diagnóstico recibe tratamiento con presión aérea positiva continua (CPAP). Este abordaje convencional requiere dos estudios de PSG: uno diagnóstico y otro de titulación. El uso combinado de PSG diagnóstica y de titulación en una sola noche, conocido como noche partida (PSGNP), es una alternativa diagnóstica y terapéutica válida que optimiza la utilización de tiempo y recursos.

Objetivo. Caracterizar los estudios de PSGNP realizados en el laboratorio de sueño del Hospital Universitario de la Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá (HUFsFB) y evaluar su desempeño.

Materiales y métodos. Se realizó un estudio observacional analítico de tipo longitudinal retrospectivo de una muestra de 221 pacientes.

Resultados. Se registraron 208 (94.1%) estudios de PSGNP compatibles con SAHOS, de los cuales la mayoría de los pacientes (54.7%) presentaron un índice de apnea hipopnea (IAH) ≥ 30 . En 78% de los pacientes que presentaban IAH severo se logró una titulación adecuada del CPAP ($p=0.00$).

Conclusiones. En la mayor parte de los estudios de PSGNP de la muestra analizada se diagnosticó SAHOS y se consiguió una titulación adecuada del CPAP.

Palabras clave: Apnea obstructiva del sueño; Sueño; Polisomnografía (DeCS).

Osuna-Suárez E, Zamora-Gómez AC, Martínez-Rubio CF, Valencia-Mendoza MC, Guzmán-Prado Y, Venegas-Mariño MA, et al. Split-night polysomnography at Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá. Rev. Fac. Med. 2019;67(1):9-16. English. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15446/revfacmed.v67n1.66001>.

Osuna-Suárez E, Zamora-Gómez AC, Martínez-Rubio CF, Valencia-Mendoza MC, Guzmán-Prado Y, Venegas-Mariño MA, et al. [Caracterización de estudios de noche partida en la Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá]. Rev. Fac. Med. 2019;67(1):9-16. English. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15446/revfacmed.v67n1.66001>.

Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS) is a frequent entity with an estimated prevalence of 3-7% in the adult population. (1) This condition is characterized by recurrent airway collapses during sleep and is associated with cardiovascular complications such as stroke (2), acute myocardial infarction and hypertension (3), as well as with increased risk of traffic accidents (4) and significant deterioration in quality of life. (5) The standard diagnostic study is polysomnography (PSG), which includes video recording, identification of different sleep stages and their distribution throughout the study, heart rate, chin and anterior tibial muscles activity, position during the study through position sensor and video recording, presence of snoring, and apneas and/or hypopneas and their association with oxygen saturation. Based on these results, the severity of OSAHS and the fragmentation of sleep that is derived from this respiratory alteration are determined.

In patients with moderate to severe OSAHS, first-line treatment involves continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP). (5) A second PSG is required to determine the optimal pressure to correct OSAHS. This treatment improves sleep quality and quality of life, and reduces daytime sleepiness. (6,7) Furthermore, CPAP may also have a positive impact on reducing cardiovascular risk. (8,9) The conventional approach that requires two PSG—one for diagnosis and another for CPAP titration—is costly, time consuming, and delays the initiation of CPAP treatment for this group of patients. (10)

The combined use of PSG and CPAP titration in one night, known as split-night polysomnography (SNPSG), is an alternative that allows for proper diagnosis and treatment in less time, thus optimizing the use of resources and patient comfort. (11,12) Elshaug *et al.* (13) achieved a 15% reduction of the time to start treatment in patients with severe OSAHS, which accelerated timely care and management of this group of patients. This procedure has sufficient diagnostic precision for patients with moderate and severe OSAHS (14) and is used in up to 20% of patients attending sleep laboratories in the United States. (15) In Colombia, however, there is no research indicating the usefulness of SNPSG. The objective of this study is to describe the split-night polysomnography procedure performed in the sleep laboratory of the Hospital Universitario de la Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá (HUFSFB) and to assess its performance in the diagnosis and CPAP titration.

Materials and methods

A retrospective, observational and longitudinal study was conducted to describe and analyze the characteristics of the SNPSG studies performed in the HUFSFB sleep laboratory between 2013-2014. The study was approved by the HUFSFB Corporate Research Ethics Committee through Act No. 2 of February 8, 2016. In addition, this study complied with the ethical principles for medical research involving human beings of the Declaration of Helsinki (16) and Resolution 8430 of 1993 of the Colombian Ministry of Health and Social Protection. (17) All SNPSG results of patients over the age of 18 referred to the sleep laboratory for split-night study were included. Patients with incomplete records, those who had previous CPAP treatment and attended the hospital for pressure titration control and those who rejected the use of CPAP since the beginning of the study were excluded.

Sample size was calculated to find repeated samples in the same group using the prevalence of apnea in the general population before CPAP (5%) and the prevalence of apnea after an unsuccessful overnight study (12%) as parameters (12,18), with a beta error of 0.20 and alpha error of 0.05 for a total of 194 patients. All patients meeting the inclusion criteria were selected until sample size was exceeded. The subjects studied in the sleep laboratory were referred by specialists in multiple areas such as internal medicine, pneumology,

otorhinolaryngology, neurology and obesity clinic. This implies that they had a high diagnostic suspicion before the test was made.

During this period, SNPSG was performed in all patients with Respiroics Alice 5 of Respiroics Inc., a brand that has been used in the institution since 1994 and is commonly used in sleep laboratories worldwide. The result was read by the same sleep medicine specialist. The PSG recorded electroencephalogram (EEG), electrooculogram (EOG), surface electromyography of the chin and anterior tibial muscles, electrocardiogram (ECG), airflow with pressure transducer by nasal cannula (Pro-Tech), chest and abdomen effort using plethysmography bands, oxygen saturation by pulse oximetry (Massimo), body position sensor, video and CPAP (Resmed) with remote control for pressure titration.

Before starting the titration study, patients had the opportunity to wear the CPAP mask at 4 cm pressure for 20 minutes to become familiar with the procedure. The diagnostic phase took place during the first 3 hours and pressure titration with CPAP was carried out in the second phase. The initial pressure of 4 cm was gradually increased according to patient tolerance. Care to ensure proper registration and accompaniment of patients was provided by personnel trained in polysomnography. CPAP pressure titration was based on the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) guidelines (19,20), which establish a titration time of no less than 3 hours during which a complete decrease in respiratory events during rapid eye movement (REM) and non-REM sleep is achieved, including REM sleep with the patient in supine position, abolition of snoring and correction of desaturation.

The SNPSG record was analyzed by a specialist in sleep disorders according to the parameters established by the AASM as follows. (19,20)

Obstructive sleep apnea: absence of oronasal flow in the presence of thoracoabdominal movements for more than 10 seconds. It is considered obstructive if there is respiratory effort during apnea. Hypopnea: reduction of airflow by at least 30% of pre-event baseline and drop in thoracoabdominal movements compared to baseline for a period of more than 10 seconds, associated with a SpO₂ drop of $\geq 4\%$.

Central sleep apnea: absence of oronasal flow and thoracoabdominal movements for more than 10 seconds. It is considered central if there is no respiratory effort.

Spontaneous arousal: appearance of alpha rhythm between 3 and 15 seconds. The apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) was determined by dividing the total number of respiratory events by the total sleep time in hours.

Kushida *et al* (19), according to the AASM clinical guidelines (19,20), classify CPAP titration as:

a) An optimal titration reduces RDI < 5 for at least a 15-min duration and should include supine REM sleep at the selected pressure that is not continually interrupted by spontaneous arousals or awakenings. A good titration reduces RDI ≤ 10 or by 50% if the baseline RDI < 15 and should include supine REM sleep that is not continually interrupted by spontaneous arousals or awakenings at the selected pressure. An adequate titration does not reduce the RDI ≤ 10 but reduces the RDI by 75% from baseline (especially in severe OSA patients), or one in which the titration grading criteria for optimal or good are met with the exception that supine REM sleep did not occur at the selected pressure. An unacceptable titration is one that does not meet any one of the above grades. (19, p157-158)

Data were collected from the sleep questionnaire usually used at the Sleep Clinic of the Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá (Annex 1) and the SNPSG results from the files of the sleep laboratory of the

Neurology Department of the HUFSFB. Each patient was assessed according to 24 variables categorized into six groups: demographic factors, subjective indicators of sleep quality, sleep characteristics, sleep breathing pattern, diagnostic characteristics of the study, and characteristics of the CPAP titration procedure.

Categorical variables were analyzed in terms of frequency and percentage. Mean and standard deviation (SD) were used for quantitative variables of normal distribution, while median and interquartile range were used for non-normal distribution variables. In order to know this distribution, a Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test was carried out. McNemar's test was applied and two paired sample categories were established before and after CPAP to measure AHI.

Subjects were categorized by sex, age group, body mass index (BMI), and severity of OSAHS. The latter was classified according to the AASM criteria (20): 1) non-OSAHS = AHI<5; 2) mild OSAHS = AHI 5-15; 3) moderate OSAHS = AHI 15-30; and 4) severe OSAHS = AHI >30. This was made possible by tabulating the data in Microsoft Excel 2010 and the statistical software SPSS version 21.

During baseline and CPAP titration recording, conditions such as whether the patient remained in supine position, whether REM sleep occurred, and whether the patient remained in supine position during REM sleep were considered. Based on these variables, the baseline record was defined as an accurate diagnosis if AHI>20/h or if AHI 5-20/h when the patient remained in supine position and REM sleep was present. An incorrect diagnosis was defined if the criteria mentioned above were not met.

Results

A total of 221 patients, 161 men (72.9%) and 60 (27.1%) women, were analyzed and SNPSG studies were conducted based on the selection criteria described above. The mean age in years was 57.29 (SD=12.03) and the median BMI was 27.55 kg/m² (IQR=5.51). In total, 208 (94.1%) SNPSG studies compatible with OSAHS were obtained, of which the majority (54.7%) had AHI>30 with an average O₂ saturation of 73%. Average sleep efficiency was 77.7%. Table 1 presents the demographic information.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients included in the study.

Characteristics		Total (n=221)
Age (years)		57.29±12.0
BMI (kg/m ²) *		27.55 (5.5)
Sex	Male	161(72.9%)
	Female	60 (27.1%)
Compatible with OSAHS		208 (94.1%)
Severity of apnea	1: Non-OSAHS	13 (5.9%)
	2: Mild	38 (17.2%)
	3: Moderate	49 (22.2%)
	4: Severe	121(54.7%)
REM without CPAP (number of patients)		152 (68.8%)
Supine without CPAP (number of patients)		212 (95.9%)
Total sleep time (minutes)		322.5 (79.0) *
Baseline sleeping time (minutes)		164.3 (64.7) *
Sleep time with CPAP (minutes)		166.4 (84.6) *
REM sleep time (minutes)		47.7 (26.7) *
Percentage of REM sleep time (%)		14.8 (8.0) *

BMI: body mass index; OSAHS: obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome; REM: rapid eye movements; CPAP: percentage of continuous positive airway pressure.

* Median and interquartile range.

Source: Own elaboration.

Patients were classified into four subgroups according to the severity of apnea. Each subgroup was analyzed for differences according to sex, finding that 96% (n=154) of the men and 90% (n=54) of the women had studies compatible with OSAHS of mild, moderate or severe AHI, and that this difference between groups was statistically significant (p=0.04). Furthermore, the variables BMI, minimum saturation in apnea, minimum saturation with CPAP, presence of REM sleep and supine position during the baseline study were analyzed in each subgroup. Differences between subgroups were statistically significant (Table 2).

Table 2. Results of clinical variables according to severity of apnea in baseline phase of polysomnography.

Variable		Severity of apnea according to AHI				P value †
		Non-OSAHS	Mild	Moderate	Severe	
BMI (kg/m ²) *		27.2 (11.9)	25.0 (6.9)	23.5 (4.7)	28.9 (5.5)	0.00
Sex	Male	7 (3.2%)	29 (13.1%)	30 (13.6%)	95 (43.0%)	0.04
	Female	6 (2.7%)	9 (4.1%)	19 (8.6%)	26 (11.8%)	
REM without CPAP (number of patients)		6 (2.7%)	31 (14.0%)	38 (17.2%)	77 (34.8%)	0.02
Supine without CPAP (number of patients)		10 (4.5%)	36 (16.3%)	49 (22.2%)	117 (52.9%)	0.00
Minimal apnea saturation (%) *		84 (10.0)	84 (8.0)	81 (8.0)	73 (10.0)	0.00
Minimal saturation with CPAP (%) *		88 (5.0)	89 (5.0)	89 (5.0)	87 (5.0)	0.03

AHI: apnea-hypopnea index; BMI: body mass index; REM: rapid eye movements; CPAP: percentage of continuous positive airway pressure; OSAHS: obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome.

* Median and interquartile range.

† Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare medians with the severity variable and χ^2 for qualitative variables.

Source: Own elaboration.

An optimal quality of CPAP titration was observed in 38.5% of the studies. When analyzing the quality of titration in relation to the severity of OSAHS, it was found that, in category 4 (severe), the quality of the titration was optimal in 24.4%, while in categories 3 and 2 the percentage of patients with optimal titration was lower, being these differences between groups statistically significant (p=0.00). A similar trend was observed in the good titration group (Table 3).

When comparing the severity of OSAHS during baseline recording and correction with CPAP use, it was observed that most patients in category 4 (severe) without CPAP moved to category 1 (non-OSAHS) when using CPAP (77.7%). In addition, 85.7% of patients in category 3 (moderate) and 86.8% in category 2 (mild) converted to category 1. These differences were statistically significant according to the McNemar's test result (p=0.00) (Table 4).

Table 3. Results of the quality of titration according to severity of apnea.

Quality of titration	n (%)	Severity of apnea according to AHI				P value*
		Non-OSAHS	Mild	Moderate	Severe	
Optimal	85 (38.5)	0	12 (5.4%)	19 (8.6%)	54 (24.4%)	0.00
Good	64 (29.0)	0	11 (5.0%)	18 (8.1%)	35 (15.8%)	
Adequate	30 (13.6)	0	1 (0.5%)	10 (4.5%)	19 (8.6%)	
Unacceptable	7 (3.2)	0	0	1 (0.5%)	6 (2.7%)	
No tolerance	7 (3.2)	0	3 (1.4%)	0	4 (1.8%)	
Not conclusive	13 (5.9)	6 (2.7%)	3 (1.4%)	1 (0.5%)	3 (1.4%)	
Not sick	15 (6.8)	7 (3.2%)	8 (3.6%)	0	0	
Total	221	13	38	49	121	

AHI: apnea-hypopnea index; OSAHS: obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome.

* p-value for χ^2 test.

Source: Own elaboration.

Table 4. Correction of severe apnea with continuous positive airway pressure.

Severity of apnea	AHI with CPAP				Total
	Non-OSAHS	Mild	Moderate	Severe	
Non-OSAHS	13 (0%)	0	0	0	13 (5.9%)
Mild	33 (86.8%)	5 (13.2%)	0	0	38 (17.2%)
Moderate	42 (85.7%)	5 (10.2%)	2 (4.1%)	0	49 (22.2%)
Severe	94 (77.7%)	11 (9.1%)	7 (5.8%)	9 (7.4%)	121 (54.8%)
Total	181 (81.9%)	22 (10.0%)	9 (4.1%)	9 (4.1%)	221 (100%)
χ^2 (McNemar's test)	188.11 (p=0.00) *				


AHI: apnea-hypopnea index; OSAHS: obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome.

* McNemar's test was used through the distribution of χ^2 to determine the statistical significance of the differences.


Source: Own elaboration.

Figures 1 and 2 present the four OSAHS severity categories according to AHI. Figure 1 shows the groups that did not use CPAP, where an increase in the number of patients with greater severity is observed. Figure 2 depicts the groups that use CPAP, making evident a marked correction of AHI with an increase in the number of patients in category 1 (non-OSAHS) and a decrease in the other categories.


Figure 3 represents the distribution of the severity of OSAHS in the baseline studies with and without CPAP. Here, a change in the distribution of the severity of OSAHS can be observed, with predominance in the number of patients in categories 3 and 4 during the baseline study and category 1 with CPAP.

**Figure 1.** Apnea severity index by categories without continuous positive airway pressure.

Source: Own elaboration.

**Figure 2.** Apnea severity index by categories with continuous positive airway pressure.

Source: Own elaboration.

**Figure 3.** Correction of the severity of OSAHS with continuous positive airway pressure.

Source: Own elaboration.

Discussion

In routine clinical practice, patients are referred by different specialists (pediatrics, internal medicine, geriatrics, pneumology, otolaryngology, psychiatry and neurology) to the sleep laboratory of the HUFSEB with an indication of SNPSG due to a clinical suspicion of OSAHS. Study variables did not include data on comorbidities such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), high blood pressure (HBP), coronary artery disease, use of medications or application of scales of diagnostic suspicion such as the Epworth Sleepiness Scale or the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, since the objective of the study was to measure the performance of SNPSG and not the association of risk factors with the diagnosis of OSAHS and its response to the use of CPAP.

In Latin America, three studies on the subject have been published in Argentina, Chile and Peru. The Argentinian study (21) included a sample of 314 patients with a diagnosis of severe OSAHS. PSG for full-night CPAP titration was performed in one group and SNPSG in the other group (216 patients), observing a rate of 73.6% adequate CPAP titration for the SNPSG group. The Chilean researchers (22) took 150 patients for SNPSG and, after focusing on the outcome of the CPAP titration, reported 80% adequate titration. A similar result was obtained in the study carried out in Peru. (23)

In our sample (221 patients), an adequate diagnosis of OSAHS was obtained in 94%, while it was ruled out in 6% of cases. In the group with diagnosis of OSAHS, based on AHI, it was possible to differentiate the severity of this condition. These findings are consistent with those reported by Khawaja *et al.* (14), Kim *et al.* (24) and Chou *et al.* (25), who concluded that 2-3 hours of PSG recording are sufficient to obtain an accurate diagnosis of OSAHS. Although the average sleep efficiency was 77.7% during the baseline study, it was possible to adequately identify patients with and without OSAHS.

To obtain a more accurate diagnosis of OSAHS within the first 3 hours, part of the study should be done in supine position and REM sleep should be present, since OSAHS is more prominent under these conditions. (26) Thus, 95% of patients remained part of the time in supine position and 68% had REM sleep during the baseline study. When examining these two variables by group severity, it is observed that the greater the severity of OSAHS, the greater the number of patients who partially remained in supine position and presented REM sleep. These differences had statistically significant values (Table 2). It is clear that a 3-hour baseline study in which part of the time is recorded in supine position and REM sleep is present is sufficient to obtain an accurate diagnosis of OSAHS.

This study found a higher prevalence of OSAHS in men (96%) than in women (83%). This difference is even greater in category 4 (severe OSAHS) with a statistically significant result. This finding is consistent with what has been reported in several studies. (1,5) Some SNPSG studies have also shown effectiveness in the diagnosis of upper airway resistance syndrome and its adequate titration with CPAP. (27)

The quality of CPAP titration for 3 hours (166 minutes on average) ranged between optimal, good and adequate in 81% of cases (Table 1). This allows establishing an adequate treatment with the use of CPAP in a high percentage of patients and coincides with what has been reported in other studies. (21,28) In the remaining 19%, clinically adequate titration was not achieved for various reasons such as low sleep efficiency (<60%), no tolerance to CPAP, or baseline study not compatible with OSAHS. These findings suggest that a smaller percentage of patients will require a new study for CPAP titration or an alternative therapy for the treatment of OSAHS such as anti-snoring pillow (PosiForm®) (26,29) or sleep position trainer (SPT). (29,30)

CPAP tolerability was high in this study (89.1%) compared to the figures reported in other studies (46-80%). (24,31,32) This higher percentage may be the result of the coexistence of other factors that influence CPAP tolerance such as the type of mask, habituation to CPAP use before starting the study, use of CPAP at low pressures at the beginning of the study, and the intervention of technicians before and during the routine study. Although the use of SNPSG may alter mask tolerability due to short titration time with respect to two-night studies, studies by BaHammam *et al.* (28), Collen *et al.* (31) and Sanders *et al.* (33) showed no significant differences in CPAP use between SNPSG and full CPAP titration studies.

The limitations of this work include its retrospective nature and the fact that the analyzed sample consisted of patients referred with a clinical suspicion of OSAHS, which increases the possibility of pre-test diagnosis. In addition, the analysis did not include the arousal index during the diagnostic phase and with CPAP use, which could show changes in the continuity of sleep.

Conclusions

In this study, OSAHS diagnosis was achieved within the first 3 hours in a high percentage of the patients (94.1%) and its severity could be classified, which allowed evaluating the need for CPAP. Correction of AHI was adequate in 78% of patients with CPAP, showing the efficacy of the split-night study for diagnosis and treatment with CPAP.

The use of SNPSG reduces the waiting time for conducting the diagnostic PSG and especially the waiting time for CPAP titration. This makes easier delivering the service and is more comfortable for patients. The possibility that, for several reasons, some patients may not attend the second study for CPAP titration should be considered, because this could further delay the initiation of the treatment. This possibility decreases significantly with the use of SNPSG.

In light of this, the use of SNPSG is recommended as the first option in patients with high suspicion of OSAHS, although local cost-effectiveness studies are needed to determine whether this is a better strategy than the conventional two-night approach.

Conflict of interests

None stated by the authors.

Funding

None stated by the authors.

Acknowledgements

To the Corporate Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital Universitario de la Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá for allowing the access to the sleep laboratory database.

To the Sleep Lab technicians.

References

1. Punjabi NM. The epidemiology of adult obstructive sleep apnea. *Proc Am Thorac Soc.* 2008;5(2):136-43. <http://doi.org/b7mbdj>.
2. Yaggi HK, Concato J, Kernan WN, Lichtman JH, Brass LM, Mo-hsenin V. Obstructive sleep apnea as a risk factor for stroke and death. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;353(19):2034-41. <http://doi.org/bvxsxj>.
3. Marin J, Agustí A, Villar I, Forner M, Nieto D, Carrizo SJ, *et al.* Association between treated and untreated obstructive sleep apnea and risk of hypertension. *JAMA.* 2012;307(20):2169-76. <http://doi.org/ctk3k>.

4. Garbarino S, Durando P, Guglielmi O, Dini G, Bersi F, Fornarino S, *et al.* Sleep apnea, sleep debt and daytime sleepiness are independently associated with road accidents. A cross-sectional study on truck drivers. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(11):e0166262. <http://doi.org/f9gmg8>.
5. Young T, Peppard PE, Gottlieb DJ. Epidemiology of obstructive sleep apnea: a population perspective. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2002;165(9):1217-39.
6. American Thoracic Society. Indications and standards for use of nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) in sleep apnea syndromes. American Thoracic Society. Official statement adopted March 1944. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1994;150(6 Pt 1):1738-45. Erratum in: *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1995;151(2 Pt 1):578. <http://doi.org/ct3n>.
7. Meslier N, Lebrun T, Grillier-Lanoir V, Rolland N, Henderick C, Saily JC, *et al.* A French survey of 3, 225 patients treated with CPAP for obstructive sleep apnea: benefits, tolerance, compliance and quality of life. *Eur Respir J*. 1998;12(1):185-92.
8. He J, Kryger MH, Zorick FJ, Conway W, Roth T. Mortality and apnea index in obstructive sleep apnea. Experience in 385 male patients. *Chest*. 1988;94(1):9-14.
9. Barbé F, Durán-Cantolla J, Sánchez-de-la-Torre M, Martínez-Alonso M, Carmona C, Barceló A, *et al.* Effect of continuous positive airway pressure on the incidence of hypertension and cardiovascular events in nonsleepy patients with obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2012;307(20):2161-8. <http://doi.org/ct3q>.
10. Deutsch PA, Simmons MS, Wallace JM. Cost-effectiveness of split-night polysomnography and home studies in the evaluation of obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2006;2(2):145-53.
11. McArdle N, Grove A, Devereux G, Mackay-Brown L, Mackay T, Douglas NJ. Split-night versus full-night studies for sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome. *Eur Respir J*. 2000;15(4):670-5.
12. Patel NP, Ahmed M, Rosen I. Split-night polysomnography. *Chest*. 2007;132(5):1664-71. <http://doi.org/fwr6hf>.
13. Elshaug AG, Moss JR, Southcott AM. Implementation of a split-night protocol to improve efficiency in assessment and treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea. *Intern Med J*. 2005;35(4):251-4. <http://doi.org/b6rv5z>.
14. Khawaja IS, Olson EJ, van der Walt C, Bukartyk J, Somers V, Dierkhising R, *et al.* Diagnostic accuracy of split-night polysomnograms. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2010;6(4):357-62.
15. Rodway GW, Sanders MH. The efficacy of split-night sleep studies. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2003;7(5):391-401.
16. Asociación Médica Mundial. Declaración de Helsinki de la Asociación Médica Mundial. Principios éticos para las investigaciones médicas en seres humanos. Fortaleza: 64.ª Asamblea General de la AMM; 2013 [cited 2018 Sep 18]. Available from: <https://goo.gl/hvf711>.
17. Colombia. Ministerio de Salud. Resolución 8430 de 1993 (octubre 4): Por la cual se establecen las normas científicas, técnicas y administrativas para la investigación en salud. Bogotá D.C.; octubre 4 de 1993 [cited 2018 Sep 24]. Available from: <https://goo.gl/wJGHT9>.
18. Iber C, O'Brien C, Schluter J, Davies S, Leatherman J, Mahowald M. Single night studies in obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep*. 1991;14(5):383-5.
19. Kushida C, Chediak A, Berry RB, Brown LK, Gozal D, Iber C, *et al.* Clinical guidelines for the manual titration of positive airway pressure in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2008;4(2):157-71.
20. Kapur VK, Auckley DH, Chowdhuri S, Kuhlmann DC, Mehra R, Ramar K, *et al.* Clinical practice guideline for diagnostic testing for adult obstructive sleep apnea: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2017;13(3):479-504. <http://doi.org/gdj4f6>.
21. Guardia S, Baldini M, Fernández A, Galperin Á, Sala H. Noche partida: utilidad y tolerancia comparada con titulación de CPAP en noche completa. *RAMR*. 2015;15(1):51-61.
22. Jorquera J, Santín J, Godoy J. La polisomnografía en noche dividida es eficaz para titular la presión en la ventilación con presión positiva continua ("CPAP") en pacientes con apnea obstructiva del sueño. *Rev Méd Chile*. 2006;134(11):1377-82. <http://doi.org/cbm329>.
23. Rey-de-Castro J, Rosales E, Ferreyra J. Utilidad y rendimiento de la polisomnografía de noche partida para el diagnóstico de síndrome de apnea-hipopnea del sueño. *Rev Soc Peru Med Interna*. 2009;22(1):7-12.
24. Kim DK, Choi J, Kim KR, Hwang K-G, Ryu S, Cho SH. Rethinking AASM guideline for split-night polysomnography in Asian patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath*. 2015;19(4):1273-7. <http://doi.org/f72k2n>.
25. Chou KT, Chang YT, Chen YM, Su KC, Perng DW, Chang SC, *et al.* The minimum period of polysomnography required to confirm a diagnosis of severe obstructive sleep apnoea. *Respirology*. 2011;16(7):1096-102. <http://doi.org/frc3t9>.
26. Omobomi O, Quan SF. Positional therapy in the management of positional obstructive sleep apnea-a review of the current literature. *Sleep Breath*. 2018;22(2):297-304. <http://doi.org/gdg2g6>.
27. Kristo DA, Shah AA, Lettieri CJ, MacDermott SM, Andrada T, Taylor Y, *et al.* Utility of split-night polysomnography in the diagnosis of upper airway resistance syndrome. *Sleep Breath*. 2009;13(3):271-5. <http://doi.org/c4rsc8>.
28. BaHammam AS, AlAnbay E, Alrajhi N, Olaish A. The success rate of split-night polysomnography and its impact on continuous positive airway pressure compliance. *Ann Thorac Med*. 2015;10(4):274-8. <http://doi.org/gcbh8x>.
29. Newell J, Mairesse O, Neu D. Can positional therapy be simple, effective and well tolerated all together? A prospective study on treatment response and compliance in positional sleep apnea with a positioning pillow. *Sleep Breath*. 2018;1-9. <http://doi.org/ct3s>.
30. De Ruiter MHT, Benoist LBL, de Vries N, de Lange J. Durability of treatment effects of the Sleep Position Trainer versus oral appliance therapy in positional OSA: 12-month follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *Sleep Breath*. 2018;22(2):441-50. Erratum in: *Sleep Breath*. 2018;22(2):451. <http://doi.org/gdg8rn>.
31. Collen J, Holley A, Lettieri C, Shah A, Roop S. The impact of split-night versus traditional sleep studies on CPAP compliance. *Sleep Breath*. 2010;14(2):93-9. <http://doi.org/fcvd4d>.
32. Rosenthal L, Gerhardstein R, Lumley A, Guido P, Day R, Syron ML, *et al.* CPAP therapy in patients with mild OSA: implementation and treatment outcome. *Sleep Med*. 2000;1(3):215-20. <http://doi.org/ckv9c3>.
33. Sanders MH, Costantino JP, Strollo PJ, Studnicki K, Atwood CW. The impact of split-night polysomnography for diagnosis and positive pressure therapy titration on treatment acceptance and adherence in sleep apnea/hypopnea. *Sleep*. 2000;23(1):17-24.

Annex 1

SLEEP CLINIC
FUNDACIÓN SANTA FE DE BOGOTÁ
Questionnaire

Date of study:

First and last names:

Age:

Sex:

Date of birth:

Marital status:

Occupation

Height:

Weight:

Time spent living in Bogotá:

Neck circumference:

Referred by Dr.

Document No.:

1. Briefly describe your sleep problem

2. How is your sleep at night?

Very good ____ Good ____ Bad ____ Very bad ____

3. How many hours do you usually sleep at night?

4. How long does it take you to fall asleep?

Less than 15 min ____ Less than 30 min ____ More than 30 min ____ More than one hour ____

5. How many times do you wake up at night?

6. If you wake up, how long does it take you to fall asleep again?

Less than 15 min ____ Less than 30 min ____ More than 30 min ____ More than one hour ____

7. How many times do you go to the bathroom to urinate at night? ____

8. How long have you had difficulties with sleep?

Days: ____ Weeks: ____ Years: ____

9. How serious do you think your sleep problem is?

Very serious: ____ Serious: ____ Moderate: ____ Mild: ____ No problem: ____

10. You experience:

Difficulty to fall asleep: ____ Frequent arousals: ____ Waking up early in the morning: ____

11. Have you felt tingling, tickling or pressure in your legs or arms when you are lying down that prevents you from falling asleep?

Never: ____ Once or twice a week: ____ Three or more times a week: ____

12. Does the tingling or tickling sensation in the legs or arms improve with movement or walking? Yes: ____ No: ____

13. Are the symptoms described in questions 11 and 12 worse at night? Yes: ____ No: ____

14. Has your partner noticed that you move your legs during sleep?

Never ____ A little ____ Quite a lot ____ Very much ____

15. Do you snore? Yes: ____ No: ____

16. If you snore, you do it:

Every night ____ 3-4 nights per week ____ 1-2 nights per week ____

17. Does the intensity of snoring affect your partner's sleep? Yes: ____ No: ____

18. Has snoring increased lately? Yes: ____ No: ____

19. Have you been told that you stop breathing while you sleep? Yes: ____ No: ____

20. Have you woken up feeling like if you were short of breath?

Never ____ Sometimes ____ Frequently ____ Every night ____

21. Is your sleep repairing? Do you feel refreshed when you get up? Yes: ____ No: ____

22. Are you drowsy during the day? Yes: ____ No: ____

23. Do you take naps during the day? Yes: ____ No: ____

24. How many naps do you take during the day? ____

25. How long are naps? ____ minutes ____ hours

26. Do you feel better after your nap? Yes: ____ No: ____

27. Do you drink alcohol? Yes: ____ No: ____ How often? ____

28. Do you smoke? Yes ____ No ____ How many cigarettes do you smoke per day? ____

29. How easily do you fall asleep or nod in the following situations? Indicate 0=never, 1= hardly, 2= easily, 3= very easy.

a. Sitting and reading ____

b. Watching TV ____

c. Sitting in a public place (cinema, theatre, church) ____

d. As a passenger for 1 hour ____

e. Lying down in the afternoon when possible ____

f. Talking ____

g. In the car waiting for the light to change ____

h. At meetings ____

i. When driving for less than 30 min ____

j. When driving for more than 30 min ____

30. Have you gained weight lately? Yes: ____ No: ____
31. Have you had any of the following problems?:
 Convulsions ____ Thrombosis ____ Hypertension ____ Heart disease ____ Diabetes ____ Depression ____
 If you have another health problem not listed, please mention it. _____
32. Have you had any surgery? _____
33. Do you take or have you taken any sleep medications? _____
34. List any medications you are currently taking _____
-
35. Have you walked in your sleep? Yes: ____ No: ____
 Do you speak in your sleep? Yes: ____ No: ____
 Do your teeth grind in your sleep? Yes: ____ No: ____
 Have you experienced nighttime bedwetting? Yes: ____ No: ____

TO ANSWER AFTER THE STUDY

1. Last night you slept
 Better ____ The same ____ Worse ____ You did not sleep _____
2. How many hours did you sleep last night? _____
3. How many times did you wake up last night? _____
4. If you have any comments, please feel free to write them below:

OPENING HOURS:

Monday to Friday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm

Department of Neurology, 7th Floor, Building Expansión. Phone number: 6030303, ext.: 5206-5208
 Carrera 9a. with Calle 119.